

Preventing School Dropout through Parental Support

Prévenir le décrochage scolaire par le soutien parental

MADI AMAZIGH

Clinical psychologist, bejaia university (Algeria), Madiamazigh@outlook.fr

تاريخ الاستلام: 2024/10/17 تاريخ القبول: 2024/11/02 تاريخ النشر: 2024/11/22

Abstract: Parental support plays a crucial role in preventing school dropout by providing emotional, academic, and behavioral guidance to students. Emotional support strengthens psychological well-being, fostering resilience and intrinsic motivation, which are essential for academic persistence. Academic support helps children through learning guidance and access to educational resources, enhancing their cognitive development and problem-solving skills. Behavioral support involves monitoring and setting expectations for school-related behaviors, which helps students, develop self-discipline and responsibility, reducing the likelihood of disengagement from school.

Keywords: parental support, emotional support, academic support, behavioral support, school dropout.

Résumé : Le soutien parental joue un rôle crucial dans la prévention du décrochage scolaire en apportant un accompagnement émotionnel, académique et comportemental aux élèves. Le soutien émotionnel renforce le bien-être psychologique, favorisant la résilience et la motivation intrinsèque, qui sont essentielles à la persévérance scolaire. Le soutien académique aide les enfants à travers l'accompagnement dans l'apprentissage et l'accès aux ressources éducatives, améliorant ainsi leur développement cognitif et leurs compétences en résolution de problèmes. Le soutien comportemental consiste à surveiller et à fixer des attentes concernant les comportements liés à l'école, ce qui aide les élèves à développer l'autodiscipline et la responsabilité, réduisant ainsi les risques de désengagement scolaire.

Mots-clés : soutien parental, soutien émotionnel, soutien académique, soutien comportemental, décrochage scolaire.

Introduction

School dropout remains a critical issue within educational systems worldwide, carrying significant implications for both individuals and societies at large. Conceptually, school dropout can be understood as the premature departure from formal education before the completion of a prescribed program, resulting in a lack of necessary qualifications and skills (Rumberger & Lim, 2008). This phenomenon is not merely a procedural failure but a complex social and psychological issue with far-reaching consequences. Theoretical perspectives suggest that dropping out is influenced by a multitude of factors, including socio-economic status, academic performance, and the perceived relevance of education to the individual's future (Battin-Pearson et al., 2000). Understanding the nuances of school dropout requires an exploration of its definition, theoretical implications, and the various factors that contribute to this outcome.

Globally, the impact of school dropout is profound, manifesting in economic, social, and psychological costs. On an economic level, high dropout rates contribute to a less skilled workforce, limiting opportunities for economic growth and development (Levin, 2009). This is particularly evident in regions with already strained economic resources, where the cycle of poverty is perpetuated by the lack of educational attainment. Socially, dropout rates exacerbate inequalities, contributing to higher rates of unemployment, crime, and social instability (Henry et al., 2012). Psychologically, individuals who drop out of school often experience lower self-esteem, diminished life satisfaction, and increased vulnerability to mental health issues (Freudenberg & Ruglis, 2007). In local contexts, the impact of dropout can vary but often mirrors global trends, with communities bearing the brunt of reduced social cohesion and increased reliance on social welfare systems. The factors contributing to school dropout are multifaceted, encompassing educational, psychological, and environmental dimensions. Educationally, students may disengage due to a lack of support, curriculum relevance, or negative school experiences (Finn, 1989). Psychologically, factors such as low self-efficacy, poor academic self-concept, and mental health challenges play a significant role (Jimerson et al., 2000). Environmental factors, including family dynamics, peer influences, and

Preventing School Dropout through Parental Support

community resources, further compound the risk of dropout, making it a complex issue that requires a multidimensional approach.

Central to the prevention of school dropout is the role of parental support, which has emerged as a critical factor in fostering school retention and academic success. Theoretically, parental support can be defined as the involvement of parents in their children's educational processes, encompassing emotional, academic, and behavioral dimensions (Epstein, 2001). Parental involvement is not just a matter of active participation in school-related activities but also includes the provision of a supportive home environment that encourages learning and development. The importance of parental support in education is underscored by theories such as Epstein's Model of Parental Involvement, which highlights the various ways parents can engage with their children's education, and Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory, which situates the family as a crucial context within the child's development (Bronfenbrenner, 1979).

Empirical studies consistently demonstrate that higher levels of parental involvement are associated with improved student outcomes, including better academic performance, higher levels of school engagement, and lower dropout rates (Jeynes, 2007). Parental support acts as a buffer against the challenges and pressures that students may face, providing them with the emotional and psychological resources needed to persevere in their educational journey. This support is particularly crucial in contexts where students are at a higher risk of dropping out due to socio-economic or environmental factors. Moreover, parental involvement fosters a positive attitude towards education, instilling in students the belief that their educational success is both achievable and valuable (Fan & Chen, 2001).

1. Theoretical Framework

Epstein's Model of Parental Involvement

Epstein's Model of Parental Involvement provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the various ways in which parents can support their children's education, thereby reducing the risk of school dropout. Epstein (2001) identifies six types of parental involvement: parenting, communicating, volunteering, learning at home, decision-making, and collaborating with the community. Each of these types contributes uniquely to a child's educational

experience. For instance, effective parenting establishes a stable home environment conducive to learning, while clear communication between parents and schools ensures that parents are informed about their children's progress and needs. Volunteering allows parents to engage directly with the school environment, fostering a sense of community and shared responsibility for educational outcomes (Epstein, 2001). Learning at home involves parents supporting homework and academic activities, which directly enhances academic performance and motivation. Decision-making enables parents to have a voice in school policies that affect their children, and collaborating with the community extends the support network available to the student, addressing external factors that might impact their education.

The application of Epstein's model to dropout prevention highlights the critical role that comprehensive parental involvement plays in keeping students engaged. Studies have shown that when parents are actively involved across these six dimensions, students are more likely to stay in school, perform better academically, and develop a positive attitude towards education (Deslandes & Bertrand, 2005). This multidimensional involvement creates a supportive environment that not only addresses the academic needs of the student but also their emotional and social well-being, which are crucial for preventing disengagement and eventual dropout.

Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory

Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory offers another vital perspective by situating the child within a broader context of interacting environmental systems (Bronfenbrenner, 1979). These systems include the microsystem (immediate environment such as family and school), mesosystem (interactions between microsystems), exosystem (external environments that indirectly influence the child), and macrosystem (broader cultural and societal influences). Within this framework, the family plays a pivotal role within the microsystem, directly impacting the child's engagement and retention in school.

The family environment, as part of the microsystem, is where initial attitudes towards education are formed and where ongoing support is provided.

Preventing School Dropout through Parental Support

Research has shown that a positive and supportive family environment can significantly enhance a child's academic motivation and persistence, thereby reducing the likelihood of dropout (Gonzalez et al., 2013). Moreover, the interactions between the family and other microsystems, such as schools, create a mesosystem that can either reinforce or undermine the child's educational experience. For example, strong, positive relationships between parents and teachers can lead to better academic support and intervention strategies, which are crucial for students at risk of dropping out (Ministère de l'Éducation Nationale, 2012). The exosystem and macrosystem also play a role in shaping the resources and policies that impact educational outcomes, further emphasizing the importance of a holistic approach to dropout prevention.

Social Capital Theory

Social Capital Theory provides a conceptual lens through which parental support can be understood as a form of social capital, crucial for educational success. Social capital, as defined by Bourdieu (1986), refers to the resources available to individuals through their social networks. In the context of education, parental support constitutes a significant source of social capital, as it includes the knowledge, values, and social connections that parents transmit to their children.

Parental involvement, when viewed as social capital, helps to establish norms and expectations that promote educational attainment (Coleman, 1988). This involvement can manifest in various forms, such as parents providing advice, setting high educational expectations, or mobilizing resources to support their children's learning. The presence of strong social capital in the family is associated with higher academic achievement and lower dropout rates, as it creates an environment where education is valued and supported (Putnam, 2000). Furthermore, social capital within the community, such as relationships with teachers and other parents, enhances the collective capacity to support students, creating a network of resources that bolsters student retention (Masson & Dumay, 2011). These theoretical frameworks—Epstein's Model of Parental Involvement, Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory, and Social Capital Theory—provide a multidimensional understanding of the critical role that parental support plays in preventing school dropout. Each framework highlights different aspects of this involvement, from direct engagement in school activities to the broader social and environmental contexts that influence educational outcomes. Together,

they underscore the necessity of a comprehensive approach to dropout prevention, one that recognizes the complex interplay between individual, familial, and societal factors.

2. Mechanisms of Parental Support

Parental support plays a multifaceted role in a child's educational journey, serving as a cornerstone for both academic success and personal development. This support can be dissected into three primary mechanisms: emotional, academic, and behavioral support. Each of these mechanisms operates within distinct but interrelated domains of a child's life, profoundly influencing their motivation, academic performance, and overall engagement with school. Understanding these mechanisms requires an exploration of various theoretical perspectives that elucidate the complex interplay between parental support and student outcomes.

Emotional Support

Emotional support from parents is foundational to a child's psychological well-being and educational perseverance. Theories in developmental psychology, such as Bowlby's Attachment Theory, emphasize the critical role that secure emotional bonds with caregivers play in the development of resilience and self-efficacy (Bowlby, 1988). A secure attachment provides children with a sense of safety and confidence, enabling them to explore their environment, including the school setting, with greater assurance. This emotional security is crucial in fostering intrinsic motivation—a key driver of academic persistence and success (Deci & Ryan, 2000). When children perceive that their parents are emotionally supportive, they are more likely to view challenges as surmountable and to persist in the face of academic difficulties. Furthermore, emotional support is closely linked to the concept of self-determination, where the encouragement and empathy provided by parents help students develop a stronger internal locus of control (Grolnick & Ryan, 1989). This internal locus of control, where students believe they have the power to influence their outcomes through effort and persistence, is vital in reducing dropout rates. The psychological impact of emotional support extends beyond mere motivation; it also nurtures emotional intelligence, enabling students to manage stress, maintain focus, and engage

Preventing School Dropout through Parental Support

constructively with their peers and teachers. Emotional intelligence, in turn, is associated with better academic performance and higher levels of school engagement (Parker et al., 2004).

Academic Support

Academic support encompasses the various ways in which parents assist their children with schoolwork and learning activities. This support is grounded in Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory, which posits that learning is a socially mediated process where more knowledgeable others—such as parents—play a crucial role in guiding the child's cognitive development (Vygotsky, 1978). When parents help with homework or provide additional learning resources, they are engaging in what Vygotsky termed the “zone of proximal development” (ZPD). The ZPD represents the difference between what a child can do independently and what they can achieve with guidance. Through this process, parents not only help their children acquire new knowledge but also model effective problem-solving strategies and a positive attitude towards learning.

Theoretical perspectives on parental involvement in academics also highlight the role of parental expectations in shaping academic outcomes. According to the Expectancy-Value Theory (Eccles et al., 1983), parents who communicate high expectations for their children's academic performance influence the child's self-perception of their abilities and the value they place on education. This, in turn, leads to higher academic achievement and a greater commitment to staying in school. Academic support from parents thus acts as both a direct and indirect influence on academic success, promoting not only the acquisition of knowledge but also fostering the development of critical thinking skills and a lifelong love of learning. Moreover, parental academic support is linked to the concept of scaffolding, where parents provide just enough assistance to help their children solve problems on their own, gradually reducing their involvement as the child becomes more competent (Wood, Bruner, & Ross, 1976). This scaffolding process is critical in promoting cognitive autonomy, allowing students to develop the skills and confidence needed to tackle academic challenges independently, thereby reducing the likelihood of academic failure and school dropout.

Behavioral Support

Behavioral support refers to the ways in which parents monitor and regulate their children's behavior, particularly in relation to school discipline and engagement. Theoretical frameworks such as Bandura's Social Learning Theory suggest that children learn behavioral norms and self-regulation through observation and imitation of their parents (Bandura, 1977). When parents set clear expectations for behavior, establish consistent routines, and monitor their children's activities, they are providing a structure that helps students develop self-discipline and a sense of responsibility.

Parental monitoring, as discussed in Patterson's Coercion Theory, plays a crucial role in preventing deviant behaviors that could lead to school disengagement (Patterson, 1982). This theory suggests that children who are closely monitored by their parents are less likely to engage in antisocial behaviors, such as truancy or delinquency, which are often precursors to dropping out of school. Moreover, parental behavioral support is linked to the development of self-regulation, a key component of successful academic and social functioning (Baumrind, 1991). Self-regulation, which includes the ability to manage one's emotions, behavior, and attention, is critical for maintaining focus on academic tasks and adhering to school rules.

Behavioral support also involves the establishment of a positive home environment that reinforces the value of education. According to the Theory of Planned Behavior (Ajzen, 1991), parental attitudes and behaviors significantly influence a child's intentions and actions. When parents emphasize the importance of education and model behaviors that support academic success—such as punctuality, organization, and perseverance—children are more likely to internalize these values and apply them in their own lives. This internalization of positive academic behaviors is essential for sustained school engagement and the prevention of dropout.

3. Family Dynamics and Cultural Context

The relationship between family dynamics and cultural context is a critical yet often underexplored factor in understanding and preventing school dropout. Family systems and cultural values profoundly shape how parents interact with their children and influence their educational trajectories. By examining Family Systems Theory alongside cultural theories, we can gain a deeper understanding of how family structure, relationships, and cultural norms collectively impact student attitudes toward school and their likelihood of persisting in their education.

Family Systems Theory

Family Systems Theory posits that the family functions as an interconnected system, where each member's behavior influences and is influenced by the others. This theoretical perspective, developed by Bowen (1978), suggests that the structure and quality of family relationships are central to understanding a child's attitudes toward school and their overall academic engagement. In this context, two key dimensions—family cohesion and adaptability—play a pivotal role in shaping educational outcomes.

Family cohesion refers to the emotional bonding between family members, which can significantly affect a student's sense of security and belonging. High levels of family cohesion are associated with a supportive home environment where open communication, mutual support, and shared values are prioritized (Olson, 2000). Such an environment is conducive to fostering positive attitudes toward school, as students feel emotionally supported and are more likely to internalize the importance of education. In contrast, low family cohesion can lead to feelings of isolation and neglect, which may manifest in disengagement from school and a higher risk of dropout (Gibbs, 2013).

Adaptability, the family's ability to adjust to changes and stressors, is equally crucial in preventing dropout. Families that exhibit high adaptability are more resilient in the face of challenges such as economic hardship, parental separation, or health issues, which can otherwise disrupt a child's educational progress. This resilience allows families to maintain a stable and supportive environment that encourages academic persistence, even in adverse circumstances.

(Minuchin, 1974). Conversely, families with low adaptability may struggle to provide the necessary support during times of stress, leading to a breakdown in family functioning and increased vulnerability to dropout (Lamb & Lewis, 2010).

The influence of family dynamics on education is also evident in the concept of family roles and expectations. Within a well-functioning family system, parents set clear expectations regarding educational attainment and model behaviors that support academic success, such as perseverance, discipline, and time management (Crosnoe, 2015). These expectations, reinforced by strong family bonds and adaptability, create a powerful internal framework that motivates students to stay engaged with their studies and reduces the likelihood of dropout.

Cultural Theories

Cultural theories provide another critical lens through which to understand the role of family in education. Cultural values and norms significantly shape parental attitudes toward education and, by extension, their level of involvement in their children's schooling. Different cultures place varying degrees of emphasis on education, and these cultural priorities influence both the expectations parents set for their children and the strategies they employ to support academic success. One key aspect of cultural influence is the concept of *collectivism* versus *individualism*, which can vary significantly across cultures. In collectivist cultures, such as those found in many parts of Asia and Africa, education is often viewed as a collective family responsibility. Parents in these cultures tend to be highly involved in their children's education, providing both emotional and material support to ensure academic success (Triandis, 1995). The strong emphasis on education within the family and the broader community creates an environment where dropping out is not only seen as a personal failure but also as a loss of family honor. This cultural pressure can serve as a powerful motivator for students to persevere in their studies (Kagitcibasi, 2007).

In contrast, individualistic cultures, prevalent in many Western societies, emphasize personal autonomy and self-reliance. While education is still valued, the responsibility for academic success is often placed more squarely on the

Preventing School Dropout through Parental Support

individual student rather than the family (Hofstede, 2001). In these contexts, parental support may be more focused on fostering independence and self-determination rather than direct involvement in day-to-day academic activities. However, this can sometimes lead to a lack of consistent support, particularly if the student faces challenges that exceed their capacity to manage independently, potentially increasing the risk of dropout (Chao, 1994).

Cultural context also influences the way parents engage with the educational system. In some cultures, parents may feel empowered to actively participate in school activities and decision-making processes, viewing themselves as partners in their children's education. This is often the case in cultures with strong traditions of civic engagement and community involvement (Epstein, 2010). In contrast, in cultures where there is a high level of deference to authority or where parents feel less confident in their ability to engage with educators, parental involvement may be limited to providing support at home rather than participating directly in the school community (Bourdieu, 1986). This difference in engagement can have significant implications for school retention, as active parental involvement in the school environment is strongly associated with better academic outcomes and lower dropout rates (Desforges & Abouchaar, 2003). Moreover, cultural expectations regarding gender roles can also affect parental support and school retention. In some cultures, educational aspirations for boys and girls may differ, leading to disparities in the level of support provided. For example, in patriarchal societies, girls may receive less encouragement to pursue higher education, increasing their risk of early dropout (LeVine et al., 2012). Conversely, in cultures that prioritize gender equality, both boys and girls are likely to receive equal support, leading to higher retention rates for both genders.

the intersection of family dynamics and cultural context provides a rich theoretical framework for understanding the mechanisms through which parental support influences school retention. Family Systems Theory highlights the importance of family cohesion and adaptability in creating a stable and supportive environment conducive to academic success. Cultural theories further elucidate how cultural values, norms, and expectations shape parental attitudes toward education and the strategies they use to support their children. Together, these perspectives underscore the critical role of the family as both a source of emotional and practical support and as a cultural conduit through which societal

values and expectations are transmitted to the next generation, ultimately influencing educational outcomes and the prevention of school dropout.

4. Challenges and Critical Perspectives

Parental support is widely recognized as a critical factor in fostering academic success and preventing school dropout. However, the effectiveness of parental involvement is not universal, and several challenges can hinder its positive impact. A critical examination of these barriers and the potential negative effects of parental support reveals a more nuanced understanding of its role in education. This analysis draws on theoretical perspectives to explore how socioeconomic factors, parental education levels, work commitments, and over-involvement can complicate or even counteract the benefits of parental support.

Barriers to Effective Parental Support

Socioeconomic factors are among the most significant barriers to effective parental involvement in education. Families from lower socioeconomic backgrounds often face multiple challenges, including limited financial resources, inadequate housing, and high levels of stress, which can impede their ability to support their children's education. According to Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory, the microsystem—comprising immediate environments such as the home—directly influences a child's development (Bronfenbrenner, 1979). In low-income families, the stressors associated with economic hardship can disrupt family cohesion and reduce the emotional and practical support parents are able to provide (Conger et al., 2010). These challenges are compounded by the lack of access to educational resources, such as books, internet access, and extracurricular activities, which are critical for academic success (Bradley & Corwyn, 2002).

Parental education levels also play a crucial role in shaping the nature and extent of parental involvement. Parents with higher levels of education are generally more confident in navigating the educational system and are more likely to engage in activities that support their children's learning, such as reading to them, helping with homework, and communicating with teachers (Desforges & Abouchaar, 2003). In contrast, parents with limited formal education may feel less

Preventing School Dropout through Parental Support

capable of providing academic support, leading to lower levels of involvement. Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory emphasizes the importance of scaffolding in learning, where more knowledgeable individuals guide the learner through the zone of proximal development (Vygotsky, 1978). When parents lack the educational background to provide this scaffolding, their ability to support their child's academic growth is diminished, potentially contributing to educational disengagement and dropout (Baker et al., 1993). Work commitments also present a significant barrier to parental involvement, particularly for parents who work long hours or multiple jobs to make ends meet. The time and energy required for work can leave little room for engaging with their children's education, attending school meetings, or participating in school activities. This lack of involvement can lead to a disconnect between the school and the home, reducing the effectiveness of parental support (Hoover-Dempsey & Sandler, 1997). Moreover, the stress associated with balancing work and family responsibilities can affect the quality of the interactions that do occur, potentially leading to conflicts or disengagement from the child's academic life (Lareau, 2003).

Critical Analysis

While parental involvement is generally viewed as beneficial, there are potential downsides that warrant critical examination. One such issue is the phenomenon of over-involvement, where parents may become too deeply involved in their children's academic lives, leading to a lack of student autonomy. The Self-Determination Theory posits that autonomy is a fundamental psychological need that, when satisfied, fosters intrinsic motivation and well-being (Deci & Ryan, 2000). When parents exert excessive control over their children's education—such as by completing their homework, making all academic decisions, or placing undue pressure on them to achieve—students may feel deprived of autonomy, leading to decreased motivation and engagement (Grolnick, 2003). This lack of autonomy can result in burnout, anxiety, and ultimately, a higher risk of dropout, as students may disengage from an educational process that feels imposed rather than self-directed (Vansteenkiste et al., 2005).

Another critical perspective is the potential for parental support to inadvertently contribute to dropout in certain contexts. For example, in families where education is highly valued but financial pressures are severe, parents might

encourage their children to prioritize immediate economic contributions over long-term educational goals. This can occur in contexts where adolescents are expected to contribute to the family income, leading to a higher likelihood of early school leaving (Pong & Ju, 2000). Additionally, in cultural contexts where traditional gender roles are strongly enforced, girls may receive less encouragement to pursue education beyond a certain level, as their role may be perceived primarily in terms of domestic responsibilities rather than academic or professional achievement (LeVine et al., 2012). This gendered perspective on education can contribute to higher dropout rates among girls, particularly in regions where these cultural norms are prevalent. Moreover, the effectiveness of parental support is also contingent on the broader educational and social environment. In schools with limited resources or where the educational system itself is underfunded and understaffed, even the most supportive parents may struggle to make a significant impact on their children's academic outcomes (Epstein, 2010). This highlights the importance of considering the interaction between parental involvement and the systemic factors that influence educational success, such as school quality, teacher support, and access to extracurricular activities.

While parental support is undoubtedly a crucial factor in preventing school dropout, it is essential to recognize the challenges and limitations that can affect its effectiveness. Socioeconomic factors, parental education levels, and work commitments can all act as barriers to effective involvement, while over-involvement and cultural expectations can lead to unintended negative consequences. A critical analysis of these issues reveals the complexity of parental involvement and underscores the need for a nuanced approach that considers both the potential benefits and the limitations of parental support in different contexts.

5. Synthesis and Theoretical Model of Parental Support in Preventing Dropout

Integrative Perspective

The growing concern about school dropout rates has led to a deeper examination of the role of parental support in preventing this phenomenon. While several theories offer insights into the multifaceted nature of dropout prevention, an integrative approach provides a more comprehensive understanding of how parents can effectively intervene. This synthesis combines elements from Epstein's Model of Parental Involvement, Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory, and Social Capital Theory to create a cohesive framework that emphasizes the critical influence of parental engagement across different contexts.

Epstein's Model identifies six types of parental involvement—parenting, communicating, volunteering, learning at home, decision-making, and collaborating with the community—that are essential for supporting children's educational experiences. This model highlights the direct impact parents can have on their children's academic success through active and diverse forms of engagement. However, Epstein's approach, while comprehensive, often focuses on structured forms of involvement and may not fully capture the nuanced ways in which parental support operates within broader social and environmental contexts.

Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory broadens this perspective by considering the multiple layers of environmental influence on a child's development, ranging from the immediate family environment (microsystem) to broader societal and cultural contexts (macrosystem). This theory underscores the interconnectedness of different systems, emphasizing that a child's risk of dropping out is not solely determined by direct parental actions but is also influenced by the broader ecological environment in which these actions occur. Bronfenbrenner's theory provides a crucial lens for understanding how external factors, such as school policies, community resources, and societal attitudes, intersect with parental involvement to shape educational outcomes. Social Capital Theory adds another layer by focusing on the resources available within social

networks, including the family, school, and community, that facilitate positive educational trajectories. It emphasizes the importance of relationships and trust as fundamental components of social capital, which can be leveraged by parents to support their children's education. Social capital encompasses both the tangible and intangible assets—such as access to information, support networks, and cultural norms—that can significantly influence a child's likelihood of staying in school. By nurturing these connections, parents can help mitigate some of the external risks associated with dropout.

6. Proposed Theoretical Model

Building on the insights from these theories, a new theoretical model of parental support in preventing dropout is proposed, which integrates the structured forms of involvement outlined by Epstein, the ecological perspective of Bronfenbrenner, and the relational dynamics emphasized by Social Capital Theory. This model positions parental support as a multidimensional construct that operates at the intersection of individual, familial, and societal levels, acknowledging that dropout prevention requires a holistic approach that extends beyond the immediate family environment.

The proposed model includes three core components: **Engagement, Contextual Adaptation, and Relational Capital.**

1. **Engagement** reflects the active involvement of parents in their child's education through both traditional and non-traditional forms. This includes not only direct activities like helping with homework or attending parent-teacher meetings but also indirect forms of support, such as fostering a positive attitude towards learning and setting high expectations.
2. **Contextual Adaptation** emphasizes the need for parental involvement to be responsive to the broader ecological context. This involves recognizing and adapting to external factors that can impact a child's education, such as socioeconomic constraints, cultural expectations, and institutional policies. It highlights the importance of parents being able to navigate and advocate within these contexts to support their children effectively.

Preventing School Dropout through Parental Support

3. **Relational Capital** captures the essence of social capital, focusing on the quality and strength of relationships that parents cultivate within their social networks. This component stresses the importance of building connections with other parents, educators, and community members to create a supportive environment that reinforces the value of education.

Conceptually, this model can guide future research by providing a framework for exploring how these components interact to influence dropout rates. It also has practical implications, suggesting that interventions aimed at preventing dropout should not only focus on enhancing parental engagement but also consider the broader ecological and social contexts in which this engagement occurs. Schools and policymakers can use this model to design more targeted and comprehensive support systems that empower parents as key stakeholders in their children's education, ultimately contributing to a more resilient educational ecosystem.

Conclusion

Parental support plays a pivotal role in preventing school dropout, as demonstrated through the integration of Epstein's Model of Parental Involvement, Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory, and Social Capital Theory. This synthesis has provided a comprehensive understanding of the diverse ways in which parents can influence their children's educational success. Epstein's Model highlights specific types of involvement, such as communication, volunteering, and learning at home, which directly impact student outcomes by fostering a supportive educational environment. However, this model's focus on structured involvement may overlook the broader context in which these actions occur.

Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory expands this perspective by emphasizing the multiple layers of environmental influence, from the immediate family to societal and cultural contexts. This theory underscores the idea that a child's risk of dropping out is shaped not only by direct parental actions but also by the broader ecological environment, including school policies, community resources, and societal attitudes. Bronfenbrenner's approach provides a crucial framework for understanding how external factors interact with parental involvement to shape educational outcomes. Social Capital Theory further enriches this understanding by focusing on the resources embedded within social networks, including relationships, trust, and shared values that parents can

leverage to support their children's education. Social capital, as described by this theory, encompasses both tangible assets, such as access to information and support networks, and intangible assets, like cultural norms that promote educational attainment. By fostering strong connections within their communities, parents can access critical resources that help mitigate the risks associated with dropout.

The proposed theoretical model integrates these perspectives into a cohesive framework that positions parental support as a multidimensional construct operating at the intersection of individual, familial, and societal levels. This model introduces three core components: Engagement, Contextual Adaptation, and Relational Capital. Engagement reflects the direct actions parents take to support their child's education, both inside and outside the classroom. Contextual Adaptation highlights the importance of responding to broader environmental factors, ensuring that parental support is not only proactive but also sensitive to external influences. Relational Capital focuses on the quality of relationships and networks, emphasizing the importance of community connections in reinforcing educational success. This integrative model has significant implications for both research and practice. Theoretically, it suggests that future research should explore how these components interact in different contexts, investigating how various forms of parental involvement—such as emotional versus academic support—impact dropout rates across diverse socio-economic and cultural backgrounds. Additionally, research should address how parents navigate barriers, such as socio-economic constraints or systemic inequities, and the strategies they employ to effectively support their children's education within these challenging circumstances.

In practical terms, the model provides valuable insights for educational policy and intervention strategies. Schools and policymakers can leverage this framework to develop targeted support systems that empower parents as critical stakeholders in their children's education. For instance, educational programs could focus on enhancing parental engagement through workshops that equip parents with the skills and knowledge needed to support their children's learning effectively. Furthermore, policies could be designed to create more inclusive school environments that recognize and adapt to the diverse ecological contexts in

Preventing School Dropout through Parental Support

which students and their families live. Ultimately, this model underscores the need for a holistic approach to dropout prevention, one that extends beyond the school environment to encompass the broader ecological and social contexts in which education takes place. By integrating engagement, adaptation, and relational capital, this framework offers a comprehensive lens for understanding and enhancing the role of parental support in preventing school dropout, guiding both future research and practical applications aimed at fostering a more resilient and inclusive educational landscape.

References

- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179-211.
- Baker, D. P., & Stevenson, D. L. (1993). *The family-school relation and children's school performance*. *Child Development*, 64(5), 1237-1258.
- Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Prentice-Hall.
- Battin-Pearson, S., Newcomb, M. D., Abbott, R. D., Hill, K. G., Catalano, R. F., & Hawkins, J. D. (2000). Predictors of early high school dropout: A test of five theories. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 92(3), 568-582.
- Baumrind, D. (1991). The influence of parenting style on adolescent competence and substance use. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 11(1), 56-95.
- Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education* (pp. 241-258). Greenwood.
- Bowen, M. (1978). *Family therapy in clinical practice*. Jason Aronson.
- Bowlby, J. (1988). *A secure base: Parent-child attachment and healthy human development*. Basic Books.
- Bradley, R. H., & Corwyn, R. F. (2002). Socioeconomic status and child development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 53(1), 371-399.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design*.
- Chao, R. K. (1994). Beyond parental control and authoritarian parenting style: Understanding Chinese parenting through the cultural notion of training. *Child Development*, 65(4), 1111-1119.

- Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital.
- Conger, R. D., Conger, K. J., & Martin, M. J. (2010). Socioeconomic status, family processes, and individual development. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 72(3), 685-704.
- Crosnoe, R. (2015). *Families, schools, and the adolescent*. Harvard University Press.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227-268.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227-268.
- Desforges, C., & Abouchaar, A. (2003). *The impact of parental involvement, parental support, and family education on pupil achievement and adjustment: A literature review*. DfES Publications.
- Deslandes, R., & Bertrand, R. (2005). Motivation of parent involvement in secondary-level schooling. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 98(3), 164-175.
- Eccles, J. S., Adler, T. F., Futterman, R., Goff, S. B., Kaczala, C. M., Meece, J. L., & Midgley, C. (1983). Expectancies, values, and academic behaviors. In J. T. Spence (Ed.), *Achievement and achievement motives: Psychological and sociological approaches* (pp. 75-146). Freeman.
- Epstein, J. L. (1995). School/family/community partnerships: Caring for the children we share.
- Epstein, J. L. (2001). *School, family, and community partnerships: Preparing educators and improving schools*. Westview Press.
- Epstein, J. L. (2010). *School, family, and community partnerships: Preparing educators and improving schools*. Westview Press.
- Fan, X., & Chen, M. (2001). Parental involvement and students' academic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 13(1), 1-22.
- Finn, J. D. (1989). Withdrawing from school. *Review of Educational Research*, 59(2), 117-142.

Preventing School Dropout through Parental Support

- Freudenberg, N., & Ruglis, J. (2007). Reframing school dropout as a public health issue. *Preventing Chronic Disease*, 4(4), A107.
- Gibbs, J. T. (2013). *Children of color: Psychological interventions with culturally diverse youth*. Jossey-Bass.
- Gonzalez, A. R., Doan Holbein, M. F., & Quilter, S. M. (2013). High school dropout: Implications for American society and the educational system. *The Journal of School Psychology*, 51(4), 563-580.
- Grolnick, W. S. (2003). *The psychology of parental control: How well-meant parenting backfires*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Grolnick, W. S., & Ryan, R. M. (1989). Parent styles associated with children's self-regulation and competence in school. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 81(2), 143-154.
- Henry, K. L., Knight, K. E., & Thornberry, T. P. (2012). School disengagement as a predictor of dropout, delinquency, and problem substance use during adolescence and early adulthood. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 41(2), 156-166.
- Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions, and organizations across nations*. Sage Publications.
- Hoover-Dempsey, K. V., & Sandler, H. M. (1997). Why do parents become involved in their children's education? *Review of Educational Research*, 67(1), 3-42.
- Hoover-Dempsey, K. V., & Sandler, H. M. (1997). Why do parents become involved in their children's education?
- Jeynes, W. H. (2007). The relationship between parental involvement and urban secondary school student academic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Urban Education*, 42(1), 82-110.
- Jimerson, S. R., Egeland, B., Sroufe, L. A., & Carlson, B. (2000). A prospective longitudinal study of high school dropouts examining multiple predictors across development. *Journal of School Psychology*, 38(6), 525-549.
- Kagitcibasi, C. (2007). *Family, self, and human development across cultures: Theory and applications*. Psychology Press.
- Lareau, A. (2003). *Unequal childhoods: Class, race, and family life*. University of California Press.

- Levin, H. M. (2009). The economic payoff to investing in educational justice. *Educational Researcher*, 38(2), 5-20.
- LeVine, R. A., LeVine, S. E., Schnell-Anzola, B., Rowe, M. L., & Dexter, E. (2012). *Literacy and mothering: How women's schooling changes the lives of the world's children*. Oxford University Press.
- Lin, N. (2001). Social capital: A theory of social structure and action.
- Masson, P., & Dumay, X. (2011). Les effets du capital social sur les parcours scolaires en France: Entre réseau et performance individuelle. *Revue française de sociologie*, 52(4), 773-808.
- Ministère de l'Éducation Nationale. (2012). *Prévenir le décrochage scolaire: Rapport du groupe de travail national*. Paris: Ministère de l'Éducation Nationale.
- Minuchin, S. (1974). *Families and family therapy*. Harvard University Press.
- Olson, D. H. (2000). Circumplex model of marital and family systems. *Journal of Family Therapy*, 22(2), 144-167.
- Parker, J. D. A., Creque, R. E., Barnhart, D. L., Harris, J. I., Majeski, S. A., Wood, L. M., Bond, B. J., & Hogan, M. J. (2004). Academic achievement in high school: Does emotional intelligence matter? *Personality and Individual Differences*, 37(7), 1321-1330.
- Patterson, G. R. (1982). *Coercive family process*. Castalia Publishing Company.
- Pong, S. L., & Ju, D. B. (2000). The effects of change in family structure and income on dropping out of middle and high school. *Journal of Family Issues*, 21(2), 147-169.
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community*. Simon & Schuster.
- Rumberger, R. W., & Lim, S. A. (2008). Why students drop out of school: A review of 25 years of research. *California Dropout Research Project Report*, 15, 1-31.
- Triandis, H. C. (1995). *Individualism and collectivism*. Westview Press.

Preventing School Dropout through Parental Support

- Vansteenkiste, M., Simons, J., Lens, W., Sheldon, K. M., & Deci, E. L. (2005). Motivating learning, performance, and persistence: The synergistic effects of intrinsic goal contents and autonomy-supportive contexts. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 87(2), 246-260.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Wood, D., Bruner, J. S., & Ross, G. (1976). The role of tutoring in problem-solving. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 17(2), 89-100.