

Geopolitical Dynamics and Commodity Market Volatility: Analyzing the Impact of Global Conflicts on Gold, Silver, and Energy Prices (2022-2026)

jarennis silvie
University of Poland- Poland-
E-mail: silviejarennias@gmail.com

Received: 2026-03-28 ; Accepted: 2026-04-28

Abstract

This research investigates the intricate relationship between geopolitical instability and the volatility of critical global commodities—gold, silver, and energy—during the period from January 2022 to March 2026. Following the IMRAD (Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion) structural framework, the study identifies key transmission mechanisms through which armed conflicts, specifically the Russia-Ukraine war and the 2026 Middle East escalation, influence market prices and broader macroeconomic stability. The results demonstrate that gold achieved unprecedented valuation peaks (\$5,318/oz in late January 2026) as a primary safe-haven asset, while energy markets faced systemic supply shocks that pushed Brent crude above \$112/bbl by March 27, 2026. Silver, conversely, exhibited acute "dual-nature" volatility, surging to a record \$121.69/oz in late January before collapsing approximately 44% to the \$67–73/oz range by mid-March, as industrial demand fears overwhelmed safe-haven sentiment. The discussion evaluates the heightened probability of a global recession, analyzing indicators such as the "Silver Signal," stagflationary pressures, and the erosion of consumer confidence. The article concludes with strategic recommendations for navigating a fragmented and volatile global economic order.

Keywords: Commodity Market Volatility; Global Conflicts on Gold; Energy Prices

* Corresponding author, e-mail: silviejarennias@gmail.com

Abstract This research investigates the intricate relationship between geopolitical instability and the volatility of critical global commodities—gold, silver, and energy—during the period from January 2022 to March 2026. Following the IMRAD (Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion) structural framework, the study identifies key transmission mechanisms through which armed conflicts, specifically the Russia-Ukraine war and the 2026 Middle East escalation, influence market prices and broader macroeconomic stability. The results demonstrate that gold achieved unprecedented valuation peaks (\$5,318/oz in late January 2026) as a primary safe-haven asset, while energy markets faced systemic supply shocks that pushed Brent crude above \$112/bbl by March 27, 2026. Silver, conversely, exhibited acute "dual-nature" volatility, surging to a record \$121.69/oz in late January before collapsing approximately 44% to the \$67–73/oz range by mid-March, as industrial demand fears overwhelmed safe-haven sentiment. The discussion evaluates the heightened probability of a global recession, analyzing indicators such as the "Silver Signal," stagflationary pressures, and the erosion of consumer confidence. The article concludes with strategic recommendations for navigating a fragmented and volatile global economic order.

1. Introduction

The first half of the 2020s has been characterized by what historians and economists term a "polycrisis"—a convergence of health, environmental, and geopolitical shocks that have fundamentally altered the global economic trajectory. Central to this turbulence is the relationship between geopolitical risk and commodity markets. As the world transitions from a unipolar to a multipolar structure, the traditional "rules-based order" has faced significant challenges, manifesting in large-scale armed conflicts that disrupt global supply chains and financial stability.

1.1 Background and Context

Since the 2022 invasion of Ukraine by the Russian Federation, the global community has witnessed a structural shift in energy and metal markets. Russia's role as a leading exporter of natural gas, crude oil, and industrial metals meant that any disruption would have global ramifications. The subsequent imposition of sanctions and the weaponization of energy exports introduced a "geopolitical premium" into commodity pricing that has persisted through 2026.

In early 2026, the situation was further complicated by a significant escalation in the Middle East. Hostilities involving major regional powers and direct military engagement by Western forces created a direct threat to the Strait of Hormuz, a chokepoint through which approximately one-fifth of the world's daily oil consumption passes. This event triggered a secondary wave of commodity price shocks, coinciding with a period where global central banks were already struggling to contain post-pandemic inflation.

1.2 Literature Review: Historical Precedents of Geopolitical Shocks

The relationship between war and commodity prices is well-documented in economic literature. The 1973 OPEC oil embargo, following the Yom Kippur War, remains the seminal example of a geopolitical supply shock that led to a global recession. During this period, oil prices quadrupled, and the world entered an era of "stagflation"—low growth and high inflation.

Similarly, the 1979 Iranian Revolution and the subsequent Iran-Iraq War (1980-1988) caused secondary and tertiary oil price spikes. In each of these cases, gold prices surged as investors sought protection against the devaluation of fiat currencies and the uncertainty of international relations. The 2008 financial crisis, while primarily a credit event, was preceded by oil prices reaching a then-record \$147/bbl, which many economists believe acted as a catalyst for the subsequent economic collapse.

The 2022-2026 period represents a modern iteration of these historical cycles but with heightened complexity, owing to the global integration of supply chains and the centrality of transition metals—silver and copper—to renewable energy infrastructure. Academic literature has consistently demonstrated gold's safe-haven properties during periods of systemic stress (Baur & McDermott, 2010), while more recent work documents the bidirectional linkages between oil price shocks and equity market volatility (Antonakakis et al., 2017). The comovement between gold, oil, and financial markets (Le & Chang, 2016; Bouri et al., 2017) provides the theoretical foundation for the analytical framework applied in this study.

1.3 Problem Statement

The core challenge for policymakers and investors is the unpredictability of "black swan" geopolitical events and their non-linear impact on markets. While gold is traditionally viewed as a hedge against uncertainty, its price movements are often influenced by real interest rates and dollar strength, which can conflict with its safe-haven role. Similarly, energy prices are not merely a function of supply and demand but are increasingly subject to political leverage and strategic blockades. The central problem addressed here is: To what extent do geopolitical shocks drive commodity price volatility, and how does this volatility translate into a global recessionary risk?

1.4 Research Objectives

This study aims to achieve the following:

- 1 Analyze Price Dynamics: Quantify the volatility and price peaks of gold, silver, and energy (Brent crude and natural gas) from 2022 to March 2026.
- 2 Identify Transmission Channels: Determine how geopolitical risk translates into market price changes (e.g., supply disruptions vs. sentiment shifts).
- 3 Assess Macroeconomic Impact: Evaluate the correlation between commodity price spikes and global economic indicators such as inflation, GDP growth, and recession probability.
- 4 Provide Strategic Foresight: Offer a framework for understanding the "New Normal" of commodity market volatility in a multipolar world.

2. Methods

This research adopts a comprehensive analytical framework to ensure the reliability and validity of the findings. The study utilizes a mixed-methods approach, integrating quantitative data analysis with qualitative geopolitical assessment.

2.1 Data Collection and Selection

The study period is defined as January 1, 2022, to March 28, 2026. This timeframe captures the two most significant geopolitical disruptions of the decade. Data were collected from the following authoritative sources:

- Financial Market Data: Daily closing prices for Gold (XAU/USD), Silver (XAG/USD), and Brent Crude Oil were sourced from Bloomberg Terminal and Trading Economics.
- Institutional Reports: Annual and quarterly reports from the International Monetary Fund (IMF), specifically the World Economic Outlook (WEO) 2024-2026, and the World Bank's Global Economic Prospects.
- Energy Market Intelligence: Data on supply disruptions and strategic reserves were obtained from the International Energy Agency (IEA) and OPEC monthly bulletins.
- Geopolitical Risk Index (GPR): The research utilizes the GPR index developed by Caldara and Iacoviello (2022), which tracks geopolitical tensions through automated text-mining of international newspapers.

2.2 Analytical Framework: The IMRAD Approach

The article is structured using the IMRAD method to provide a clear, scientific progression of ideas:

- **Introduction:** Establishes the context and necessity of the study.
- **Methods:** Details the data sources and the logic behind the analysis.
- **Results:** Presents the empirical findings and statistical observations.
- **Discussion:** Interprets the results, links them to economic theory, and discusses future implications.

2.3 Statistical Methodology

This study employs a descriptive-analytical framework combining event-driven price analysis with qualitative geopolitical assessment. Commodity price movements are examined within defined temporal windows around major geopolitical events—specifically the February 28, 2026 launch of Operation Epic Fury and the subsequent Strait of Hormuz crisis—in order to trace the transmission of geopolitical shocks into market outcomes. Correlation patterns between peaks in the GPR index and commodity price volatility are identified through visual and tabular analysis of time-series data. The macroeconomic impact is assessed through triangulation of IMF GDP projections, IEA supply data, and institutional forecasts from the World Bank and Deloitte, allowing a structured narrative of the growth–inflation divergence to emerge. This approach deliberately prioritizes analytical clarity and empirical grounding over formal econometric modeling, which is reserved for subsequent research using extended panel data.

3. Results

The empirical evidence collected from 2022 to early 2026 highlights a period of unprecedented market turbulence. The following sections present the data categorized by commodity type and economic impact.

3.1 Precious Metals: Gold and Silver

Gold and silver, while both classified as precious metals, exhibited divergent behaviors during the study period.

3.1.1 Gold: The Ultimate Safe Haven

Gold prices demonstrated a consistent upward trajectory during periods of high geopolitical tension. In 2022, following the invasion of Ukraine, gold surged past \$2,000/oz. After a period of consolidation in 2024–2025, the 2026 Middle East crisis pushed prices to a nominal record.

Table 1: Gold Price Milestones (2022-2026)

Date	Geopolitical Context	Gold Price (USD/oz)	% Change from Previous
Jan 2022	Pre-Conflict Baseline	\$1,815	-
Mar 2022	Ukraine Invasion Peak	\$2,050	+12.9%
Oct 2024	Middle East Escalation	\$2,420	+18.0%
Jan 28, 2026	Global Macro Uncertainty & Iran War Onset	\$5,318 (intraday peak: \$5,589)	+119.7%
Mar 2026	Sustained Conflict & Fed Hawkish Hold	\$4,622	-13.1% (Correction)
Sources: Trading Economics (2026); World Gold Council (2026); Exchange-Rates.org Gold Price History USA 2026. Note: \$5,318 represents the closing price on Jan 28, 2026; intraday high was \$5,589.38 (Investing News Network, 2026).			

3.1.2 Silver: The I

ndustrial-Precious Hybrid

Silver’s performance was more erratic. While it initially rose with gold, its industrial demand—particularly in the solar and electronics sectors—became a liability as global growth expectations cooled.

Table 2: Silver Price Volatility (2022-2026)

Date	Silver Price (USD/oz)	Market Sentiment
Feb 2022	\$23.50	Neutral
Mar 2022	\$26.50	Fear-driven buying
Jan 28-29, 2026	\$116.61	Record peak: safe-haven & industrial demand confluence
Mar 2026	~\$67.91	Industrial demand fears & speculative unwinding (~42% decline from Jan peak)
Sources: Silver Institute (2026); Exchange-Rates.org Silver Price History (2026); Fortune/JM Bullion Daily Spot Data (March 2026).		

3.2 Energy: The Engine of Inflation

The energy sector experienced the most direct physical impact from the wars. The removal of Russian gas from European pipelines and the threat to the Strait of Hormuz created a supply-side crisis.

- **Brent Crude Oil:** Prices surged from approximately \$73/bbl on February 28, 2026 — the day Operation Epic Fury was launched against Iran — to an intraday peak approaching \$120/bbl as the Strait of Hormuz crisis deepened, settling at \$112.57/bbl by March 27, 2026. This represents one of the steepest monthly escalations in market history (Source: Trading Economics, March 2026).
- **Natural Gas:** European benchmark (TTF) prices reached record highs in 2022 and saw a secondary spike in 2026 as LNG (Liquefied Natural Gas) shipments were rerouted due to maritime security risks in the Middle East (Source: IEA Oil Market Report, March 2026).

3.3 Case Study: The March 2026 Strait of Hormuz Crisis

On February 28, 2026, the United States and Israel jointly launched Operation Epic Fury, targeting Iranian command centers, ballistic missile sites, and naval assets (U.S. Congress Research Service, 2026). Iran retaliated by ordering the closure of the Strait of Hormuz, with its Revolutionary Guards transmitting warnings prohibiting vessel passage. The initial Brent price response was a 10-13% surge within the first 48 hours. As shipping insurers withdrew coverage and tanker traffic dropped to near zero, Gulf oil producers—including Iraq, Kuwait, and Saudi Arabia—were forced to curtail output. By March 12, the IEA estimated a supply disruption exceeding 10 million barrels per day (mb/d), with Brent futures trading within reach of \$120/bbl (IEA, March 2026). The IEA coordinated an unprecedented emergency release of 400 million barrels from strategic reserves on

March 11 to buffer supply shortfalls. This event constitutes the largest supply disruption in the history of the global oil market, surpassing even the 1973 OPEC embargo in absolute volume.

3.4 Global Macroeconomic Indicators

The impact of these commodity price changes on the global economy is evident in the data from the IMF and World Bank.

Table 3: Global Economic Indicators (2024-2026 Forecast vs. Actual)

Indicator	2024 Actual	2025 Estimate	2026 (Q1 Actual/Proj)
Global GDP Growth	3.3%	3.2%	2.1% (Revised Down)
Global Inflation	5.8%	4.4%	6.2% (Revised Up)
Oil Price (Avg)	\$82/bbl	\$78/bbl	\$95/bbl (Projected)
Recession Prob (US)	15%	15%	35% (March 2026)
Source: International Monetary Fund (IMF) World Economic Outlook Update (January 2026) and Deloitte Economic Insights (March 2026).			

The data indicates a clear "Stagflationary Gap"—where growth is slowing while inflation is accelerating, primarily driven by energy and food costs.

4. Discussion

The results presented in Section 3 provide a foundation for a deeper analysis of the mechanisms at play and the future outlook for the global economy.

4.1 The Geopolitical Premium and Market Psychology

The massive spike in gold to over \$5,000/oz in early 2026 is not merely a reflection of supply and demand. It represents a "Confidence Crisis" in the US Dollar and the international financial system. When major powers engage in direct or proxy conflicts, the risk of asset freezes and sanctions leads central banks—particularly in the "Global South"—to diversify their reserves into physical gold. This "De-dollarization" trend acts as a long-term tailwind for gold prices, independent of short-term interest rate fluctuations.

4.2 The "Silver Signal" and Industrial Contraction

The approximately 42% retracement in silver prices — from its January 28-29 peak of \$116.61/oz to a low of \$67.91/oz by late March 2026 — while gold corrected by only 13%, constitutes what we term a "Silver Signal": a divergence between the two metals that reveals a transition in market sentiment from acute geopolitical fear to a forward-looking pricing of industrial contraction. Silver is uniquely vulnerable as a "hybrid" asset: its industrial applications in photovoltaics, semiconductors, and electric vehicle components mean that deteriorating growth expectations erode its demand profile in ways that pure monetary assets like gold do not face. The Kevin Warsh Fed chair nomination on January 30, 2026 — which implied a more hawkish policy stance — triggered a 27% single-day collapse in silver alongside a 10% gold decline (J.P. Morgan Research, 2026), demonstrating the sensitivity of industrial metals to rate expectations. Historically, comparable gold-silver divergences preceded the manufacturing contractions of 2008-2009 and 2015-2016, lending empirical weight to this analytical indicator.

4.3 Energy as a Weapon of Economic Attrition

The 2026 energy crisis differs from the 1970s in its complexity. Today's global economy is more energy-efficient, but it is also more integrated. A disruption in the Strait of Hormuz does not just raise the price of gasoline at the pump; it increases the cost of every plastic component, every shipped container, and every fertilizer-dependent crop.

The "Energy-Inflation-Interest Rate" cycle is the primary mechanism for recession. High energy prices drive headline inflation. Central banks, fearing a "wage-price spiral," respond by raising interest rates. However, since the inflation is supply-driven (cost-push) rather than demand-driven, higher interest rates only serve to further suppress growth without necessarily lowering the price of oil. This creates the "Hard Landing" scenario that many economists now fear for late 2026.

4.4 Regional Divergence and the Risk of Fragmentation

The impact is not uniform across the globe.

- Europe: Faces the highest risk due to its historical dependence on imported energy and its proximity to the Ukraine conflict. The "De-industrialization" of Germany is a real threat as energy-intensive industries move to regions with cheaper power.
- United States: Remains more resilient due to its status as a net energy exporter, but high interest rates and political polarization create internal vulnerabilities. The "inverted yield curve" (where short-term debt pays more than long-term debt) has been present since 2023, a classic recession indicator.
- Emerging Markets (The Global South): Are the most vulnerable. High oil prices combined with a strong dollar (which often accompanies geopolitical risk) create a "Double Whammy" of high import costs and rising debt-servicing burdens. Countries like Egypt, Pakistan, and Argentina face severe balance-of-payments crises as their energy bills surge.

4.5 The Probability of a Global Recession

Based on the current trajectory of commodity prices and the persistence of geopolitical tensions, the probability of a global recession in the next 12-18 months has risen sharply. The combination

of an inverted yield curve in major economies, the "Silver Signal" in industrial metals, and the \$100+ oil environment suggests that the global economy is on the brink of a significant contraction. The Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research forecast of a 20% US recession probability from early 2026 (Goldman Sachs Research, 2026) now appears overly optimistic; the March energy shock and tightening financial conditions have materially worsened the outlook.

5. Conclusion

The period from 2022 to 2026 will be remembered as a turning point in global economic history. This study has demonstrated that geopolitical dynamics are no longer "external shocks" but are now intrinsic drivers of commodity market volatility. The record highs in gold and the systemic spikes in energy prices are symptoms of a world struggling to find a new equilibrium.

5.1 Summary of Findings

- Gold reached a confirmed closing high of \$5,318/oz on January 28, 2026 (intraday peak: \$5,589.38), solidifying its role as the primary safe-haven asset against geopolitical fragmentation and currency debasement risk.
- Silver surged to an all-time high of \$116.61/oz on January 28, 2026, before retracing approximately 42% to ~\$67.91/oz by March 20, while gold declined only ~13% from its peak — a divergence that functions as an early-warning indicator of industrial recession expectations.
- Energy remains the primary transmission channel for geopolitical risk into the real economy, driving stagflationary pressures.
- Global Growth is under severe threat, with recession probabilities in major economies reaching their highest levels since the 2008 financial crisis.

5.2 Recommendations

- 1 For Central Banks: Move beyond a "one-size-fits-all" interest rate policy. Supply-side inflation requires targeted fiscal measures and energy subsidies rather than just aggressive monetary tightening.
- 2 For Corporations: Prioritize "Resilience over Efficiency." Diversifying supply chains and hedging commodity exposure is no longer optional.
- 3 For Governments: Accelerate the transition to domestic renewable energy to reduce the "Geopolitical Risk Premium" associated with fossil fuels.

The era of "Great Moderation" is over. We have entered the "Age of Volatility," where the ability to understand and adapt to geopolitical shifts will define economic success in the coming decade.

References (APA Style)

- Berahab, R. (2026). What 2025-2026 Tells Us About the Future of Global Energy. Policy Center for the New South. [https://mlgp4climate.com/uploads/Articles/Jan%202026/PB_02-26_%20\(Rim%20Berahab\).pdf](https://mlgp4climate.com/uploads/Articles/Jan%202026/PB_02-26_%20(Rim%20Berahab).pdf)
- Bichler, S., & Nitzan, J. (2026). Middle East Danger Zones: A 2026 Update. Research Note. <https://bnarchives.net/id/eprint/885/>
- Caldara, D., & Iacoviello, M. (2022). Measuring Geopolitical Risk. American Economic Review, 112(4), 1194-1225. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20191823>
- Deloitte. (2026, March 18). Iran and Middle East conflict impacts global economy. <https://www.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/topics/economy/iran-middle-east-conflict-impacts-global-economy.html>
- International Energy Agency. (2026, March 12). Oil Market Report - March 2026. <https://www.iea.org/reports/oil-market-report-march-2026>
- International Monetary Fund. (2026, January 19). World Economic Outlook Update, January 2026. <https://www.imf.org/en/publications/weo/issues/2026/01/19/world-economic-outlook-update-january-2026>
- Investing.com Analysis. (2026, March 2). Why Has Gold Struggled Despite War and High Oil Prices? <https://www.investing.com/analysis/why-has-gold-struggled-despite-war-and-high-oil-prices-200677435>
- Trading Economics. (2026, March 27). Crude Oil - Price - Chart - Historical Data. <https://tradingeconomics.com/commodity/crude-oil>
- Vasilyuk, D. A., & Kapustina, S. I. (2026). Peculiarities of Pricing in the Oil and Precious Metals Markets Amid Geopolitical Instability. World Scientific. <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/pdf/10.1142/14086>
- World Bank. (2026, January 5). Global Economic Prospects. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/a9e24256-baf8-45bb-9075-75e437e1d6f7/content>
- Antonakakis, N., Chatziantoniou, I., & Filis, G. (2017). Oil shocks and stock markets: Dynamic connectedness under the prism of recent geopolitical and economic unrest. International Review of Financial Analysis, 50, 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2017.01.004>
- Baur, D. G., & McDermott, T. K. (2010). Is gold a safe haven? International evidence. Journal of Banking & Finance, 34(8), 1886–1898. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2009.12.008>
- Bouri, E., Jain, A., Biswal, P. C., & Roubaud, D. (2017). Cointegration and nonlinear causality amongst gold, oil, and the Indian stock market: Evidence from implied volatility indices. Resources Policy, 52, 201–206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2017.03.003>

Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas. (2026, March 20). What the closure of the Strait of Hormuz means for the global economy. <https://www.dallasfed.org/research/economics/2026/0320>

Goldman Sachs Research. (2026). US recession probability outlook: Q1 2026 update. Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research. <https://www.goldmansachs.com/intelligence/>

J.P. Morgan Research. (2026). How will silver prices fare in 2026? J.P. Morgan Global Research. <https://www.jpmorgan.com/insights/global-research/commodities/silver-prices>

Le, T. H., & Chang, Y. (2016). Oil price shocks and gold returns. *International Economics*, 131, 71–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inteco.2012.10.002>

U.S. Congressional Research Service. (2026). Iran conflict and the Strait of Hormuz: Impacts on oil, gas, and other commodities. Report No. R45281. <https://www.congress.gov/crs-product/R45281>



The copyrights of all papers published in this journal are retained by the respective authors as per the **Creative Commons Attribution License**.

Algerian Journal of Economics and Business studies is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial license** (CC BY-NC 4.0).