

Received 16/12/2024

Accepted: 20/05/2025

Published: 20/08/2025

Pedagogical Strategies for ESP in the Training of the Nation's Cadets: Challenges and Practices in a Military Context

Khadidja ABOUTALEB¹,

PhD Candidate, Kasdi Merbah University, Ouargla, Algeria

aboutalebkhadidja@gmail.com

Mohamed DRIDI

Professor at the University of Kasdi Merbah Ouargla, Algeria

dridi.mohammed@univ-ouargla.dz

Abstract

This paper examines the pedagogical strategies employed in the teaching of French for Specific Purposes (FSP) within the context of military education, with a particular focus on the training of cadets in an Algerian military academy. The primary objective is to investigate the challenges encountered by language instructors and to identify effective practices that address the specific linguistic and professional needs of cadets preparing for military careers. In order to achieve this goal, a qualitative research design was adopted. Data were collected through classroom observations, informal interviews with instructors, and a descriptive analysis of instructional materials and learners language tasks. The findings reveal that teaching FSP in a military environment demands more than linguistic competence; it requires a thorough understanding of military discourse, codes of conduct, and communicative situations specific to military life. Teachers must adapt their strategies to foster not only language acquisition but also discipline, clarity, and operational relevance. The results underscore the importance of providing targeted teacher training programs focused on military-specific pedagogy, as well as the development of didactic materials that reflect real-life scenarios and tasks encountered in military contexts. Furthermore, the study emphasizes the value of learner engagement through authentic communicative tasks and simulations that mirror military missions. These insights contribute to the broader field of FSP by shedding

¹ Auteur correspondant : Khadidja ABOUTALEB, aboutalebkhadidja@gmail.com

light on a relatively underexplored but strategically significant learning environment. The paper concludes with pedagogical recommendations aimed at enhancing the effectiveness of FSP instruction in military institutions and supporting language instructors in designing context-appropriate, goal-oriented teaching approaches

Keywords: French for Specific Purposes (FSP), School of the Nation's Cadets, pedagogical strategies, linguistic skills, academic training.

Introduction

In today's globalized world, the role of languages for specific purposes (LSP) has gained increasing importance, particularly in professional domains where communication is governed by specialized codes, objectives, and situational contexts. Among these, the military represents a unique and demanding environment that requires clear, precise, and action-oriented communication. French for Specific Purposes (FSP), as a branch of LSP, has found its way into military education, where language instruction must align closely with the operational, hierarchical, and disciplinary nature of military life.

In Algeria, military academies such as those training the Nation's Cadets have incorporated FSP programs to ensure that future officers possess the linguistic competence necessary to operate in both national and international military settings. However, teaching French in such a context is far from conventional. It involves not only mastering linguistic structures, but also adapting to a professional culture marked by rigor, efficiency, and strategic thinking. This raises several pedagogical challenges: How can language instructors respond effectively to the communicative needs of cadets? What methodological approaches are most appropriate for this environment? How can teaching strategies be aligned with the dual objectives of language acquisition and military training?

This paper seeks to explore these questions through a case study conducted in an Algerian military academy. It aims to identify the key pedagogical strategies used in FSP instruction, analyze their effectiveness, and reflect on the implications for curriculum design and teacher training. Following this introduction, the paper will review relevant literature on FSP and military education, present the methodology of the study, analyze the collected data, and finally propose pedagogical recommendations based on the findings.

In military education, language functions as more than a medium of instruction—it becomes a critical tool for leadership, coordination, and operational efficiency. For cadets enrolled in institutions such as the School of the Nation's Cadets, the mastery of French is not merely an academic goal but a professional imperative. Whether drafting operational reports, interpreting orders, or delivering briefings, future officers must demonstrate a high level of linguistic accuracy and clarity. The capacity to communicate effectively in French is directly linked to mission success and command authority.

Teaching French in such a context presents specific pedagogical challenges. Language instruction must respond to the dual demands of linguistic proficiency and military professionalism. Cadets are not typical learners; they operate within a highly structured, hierarchical, and goal-oriented environment. Consequently, educators must design instruction that reflects these realities, integrating language tasks with real-world military communication scenarios.

This section outlines the communicative expectations placed on cadets and highlights the implications for language educators working within military institutions. It underscores the necessity of aligning language learning with operational demands, thereby laying the groundwork for a context-sensitive and purpose-driven approach to French for Specific Purposes (FSP).

2. Understanding the Specificity of FSP in Military Contexts

French for Specific Purposes (FSP) diverges significantly from general language instruction in both its objectives and its pedagogical design. In military settings, FSP instruction must be oriented toward equipping cadets with the communicative competencies required in highly codified and operationally sensitive environments. The stakes of communication are heightened: clarity, conciseness, and appropriateness are not optional—they are operational imperatives.

As noted by Mangiante and Parpette (2004), FSP lies at the intersection of linguistic instruction and professional performance. It entails a didactic model in which each linguistic element—lexis, syntax, discourse structure—is selected based on its relevance to professional tasks. Within the military context, this may include writing after-action reports, interpreting standard operational procedures, or interacting with superiors through command protocols. Language, in this framework, is not an abstract system but a tool for action and decision-making.

Unlike conventional language classrooms, where thematic and functional content may be broad and flexible, FSP in military education requires a focused and strategic approach. Teaching materials must reflect real communicative tasks drawn from the cadets' future roles. This involves moving beyond general vocabulary and grammar drills to incorporate authentic documents, context-specific interactions, and mission-oriented communication scenarios.

To ensure the effectiveness of FSP instruction, it is essential to ground course design in a thorough understanding of the target communicative situations. This necessity brings us to the

next crucial component: the process of needs analysis, which allows educators to tailor pedagogical choices to the real linguistic and professional requirements of military learners.

3. From Needs to Strategy: Implementing Needs Analysis in Military FSP

Instruction

A central tenet of any effective French for Specific Purposes (FSP) program is the rigorous identification of learners communicative needs. In the context of military education, where language functions are tightly bound to operational tasks and hierarchical structures, conducting a comprehensive needs analysis becomes not only a pedagogical necessity but also a strategic imperative.

Hutchinson and Waters (1987) define needs analysis as the process of gathering information about learners specific language requirements within their professional contexts. For military cadets, this process involves anticipating the types of documents they will produce, the interactions they will engage in, and the discursive norms that govern communication within military institutions.

An effective needs analysis in this setting may include several interrelated procedures:

- **Questionnaires and surveys** administered to cadets to capture their perceptions of language challenges and priorities.
- **Interviews with military personnel**, particularly officers and instructors, to gain insight into real-life communication scenarios and performance expectations.
- **Textual analysis of authentic military documents**—such as operation orders, mission briefings, internal reports, and field manuals—to extract key terminology, recurrent structures, and discursive routines.

These steps allow educators to move from an abstract understanding of "military French" to a detailed, actionable profile of the linguistic skills that cadets must master. Once the communicative needs are identified, pedagogical interventions can be designed accordingly. This may include:

- Exercises focusing on the drafting of operational summaries and after-action reports.
- Lessons centered on the comprehension and use of specialized terminology and military abbreviations.
- Simulation of hierarchical exchanges—such as issuing and responding to orders—respecting rank, formality, and protocol.

Needs analysis thus provides the foundational structure upon which the FSP curriculum can be built. It ensures that instruction remains relevant, targeted, and context-sensitive. Moreover, it positions language learning as an integral component of professional readiness, thereby enhancing learner engagement and motivation. In military contexts, where precision and appropriateness in communication are non-negotiable, aligning instruction with real-world communicative demands is not merely advantageous—it is essential.

4. Translating Strategy into Practice: Classroom Implementation off FSP Approaches for Military Cadets

Once communicative needs have been thoroughly identified, the next pedagogical challenge lies in operationalizing these findings into effective classroom practices. In the context of teaching French for Specific Purposes (FSP) to military cadets, this translation requires a dual alignment: first, with the specific linguistic and discursive demands of military communication, and second, with the learning profiles and institutional realities of the cadet corps.

A military classroom is a unique environment—one where discipline, hierarchy, and goal-orientation shape both the teaching and learning processes. In this setting, **task-based learning** and **situated pedagogy** emerge as particularly effective approaches. These methods allow instructors to ground linguistic input in concrete, profession-related tasks that mirror real-life situations.

For instance, lessons may be built around simulations such as:

- Writing an *ordre de mission* or a *rapport de patrouille*.
- Participating in a structured role-play involving a chain-of-command exchange.
- Analyzing excerpts from authentic military texts to identify key structures and infer implicit rules of discourse.

This hands-on, contextualized approach fosters not only linguistic competence but also communicative confidence. As noted by Basturkmen (2010), FSP instruction must go beyond vocabulary acquisition to cultivate learners' ability to function competently within their target discourse community. This is especially vital in military contexts, where miscommunication can have operational consequences.

To ensure pedagogical coherence and progression, the following elements are crucial:

- **Spiral sequencing**, allowing the gradual deepening of both linguistic and professional complexity.
- **Integrated skill development**, promoting reading, writing, speaking, and listening in task cycles.
- **Explicit focus on form**, where grammar and syntax are taught in relation to the communicative function they serve.

Moreover, the teacher's role evolves from that of a transmitter of knowledge to that of a facilitator and mediator. Instructors must possess not only linguistic expertise but also a familiarity with military culture and terminology, enabling them to bridge the gap between civilian pedagogical models and the specific constraints of the military environment.

Ultimately, the success of classroom implementation hinges on the capacity to sustain authenticity without sacrificing pedagogical clarity. When cadets perceive the relevance of each activity to their future duties, motivation increases and language acquisition becomes meaningful. This alignment between training and task prepares cadets not only to succeed in language assessments but also to navigate the communicative demands of their future professional missions.

Selecting Purposeful Teaching Materials: Authenticity and Relevance in Military ESP

In the design of any ESP course, the selection of teaching materials is a decisive step that can either reinforce or undermine pedagogical coherence. This is particularly true in the military context, where the authenticity and relevance of resources are not just pedagogical concerns, but professional imperatives.

Authentic materials—texts, audio recordings, and visual supports drawn directly from the target professional environment—are central to ESP. For cadets, such materials may include:

- Extracts from military manuals or operational guidelines.
- Real or adapted mission orders, service memos, and situational reports (*comptes rendus*).
- Transcripts of radio communications or debriefings.
- Military news articles, both national and international.

These resources immerse learners in the discursive universe they will soon inhabit, reinforcing both their lexical acquisition and their pragmatic awareness. As Hutchinson and Waters (1987) emphasized, ESP learners are not just acquiring a language—they are learning to function in a language within a specific context.

However, authenticity alone is not sufficient. Materials must be pedagogically exploitable. Raw military documents often contain dense or highly technical language, necessitating thoughtful scaffolding by the instructor:

- Pre-reading tasks to activate background knowledge.
- Glossaries and lexical mapping for unfamiliar terminology.
- Focused comprehension activities aligned with communicative objectives.

Equally important is the gradual complexity of the materials. While beginner cadets may benefit from semi-authentic or adapted texts that highlight key structures, advanced learners should engage with unmodified resources that reflect real-world communicative conditions.

Furthermore, the integration of multimodal materials—maps, images, infographics, and videos—can support visual learners and simulate operational scenarios. For example, a map-reading activity in French can foster vocabulary acquisition (e.g., *à gauche de*, *en direction de*, *au nord-est*), while simultaneously developing spatial reasoning within a military framework.

Finally, involving military personnel or experts in the selection or validation of materials ensures contextual fidelity. When cadets perceive that their language training reflects actual field demands, their motivation increases, and the ESP course gains institutional legitimacy.

In sum, the careful selection of purposeful, level-appropriate, and contextually grounded materials enables the military FSP classroom to become a realistic, engaging, and effective space for language acquisition—one that respects both the professional identity of the learners and the pedagogical mission of the instructor.

6. The Role of the FSP Instructor: Between Linguistic Expertise and Military Awareness

In a military FSP setting, the teacher occupies a unique and multidimensional role that goes far beyond traditional language instruction. Unlike in general FLE/ESL classrooms, the FSP instructor must bridge two distinct yet intertwined domains: language pedagogy and military culture.

First and foremost, the instructor must possess strong linguistic and didactic competencies. Mastery of French (or English) for specific purposes entails:

- Knowledge of specialized lexis and syntactic structures common in military communication.
- The ability to design communicative tasks aligned with operational realities.
- Familiarity with discourse types used in military contexts (e.g., orders, reports, briefings, debriefings, etc.).

However, language expertise alone is not sufficient. The instructor must also demonstrate a sensitivity to military codes, values, and constraints. Understanding the hierarchical dynamics, the importance of conciseness and clarity in orders, or the urgency embedded in certain communicative acts is crucial to delivering relevant instruction.

Moreover, the FSP teacher must be adaptive and pragmatic, able to:

- Adjust teaching approaches to the rhythm and rigor of military training.

- Navigate between formality and functionality, ensuring learners are not only accurate but operational.
- Collaborate with military staff to align linguistic objectives with mission-specific goals.

This dual competence raises the question of teacher training and professional development. Often, civilian teachers are recruited to teach in military academies without prior exposure to military environments. This can result in a pedagogical mismatch if no contextual familiarization is offered.

To address this, some institutions implement:

- Induction sessions on military communication practices.
- Shadowing opportunities with officers or subject-matter experts.
- Co-teaching or feedback loops involving military personnel.

Such measures foster what Basturkmen (2010) refers to as the “**discursive alignment**” between FSP instruction and professional field expectations.

Finally, the instructor plays a **motivational role**, especially in fostering cadets’ belief in the utility of language learning. In a setting where physical training and tactical readiness often dominate priorities, it falls to the teacher to demonstrate the operational value of communicative competence—whether during peacekeeping missions, international collaborations, or participation in joint exercises with francophone or anglophone forces.

In short, the FSP instructor in a military setting is not merely a language teacher, but a cultural mediator, skills trainer, and strategic partner in the formation of future officers.

7. Assessment Practices in Military FSP: Measuring Communicative Readiness

Assessment in military FSP contexts must go beyond

traditional testing of linguistic accuracy. It should aim to evaluate learners’ communicative readiness in authentic and operationally relevant situations. This implies a shift from purely

academic evaluation toward task-based, criterion-referenced assessments that reflect real-life demands of military communication.

7.1. Towards Competency-Based Assessment

Unlike standard language courses, FSP programs for cadets often align with competency-based education. This model defines clear performance benchmarks such as:

- Issuing oral or written orders effectively.
- Reporting incidents using the appropriate terminology.
- Participating in multinational briefings with clarity and confidence.

Assessments, therefore, must simulate these real tasks, using rubrics that combine:

- Linguistic accuracy (grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation),
- Task fulfillment (was the communicative goal achieved?),
- Strategic competence (use of paraphrasing, clarification, and non-verbal cues when facing gaps).

7.2. Authentic Assessment Tools

The military environment provides fertile ground for **authentic assessment**, such as:

- Role-plays based on mission simulations (e.g., giving a safety briefing).
- Oral presentations of military dossiers or strategic plans.
- Listening comprehension tasks using real military radio communications or field recordings.
- Written tasks such as incident reports, mission summaries, or standard operating procedures (SOPs).

These assessments reflect the **FSP principle of relevance** (Hutchinson & Waters, 1987), ensuring learners see the immediate utility of their efforts.

7.3. Formative vs. Summative Evaluation

While summative evaluations (final exams, proficiency ratings) remain institutional requirements, formative assessment is particularly effective in military settings. It allows cadets to:

- Monitor their progress over time.
- Receive actionable feedback tailored to their operational needs.
- Build confidence gradually in using the language under pressure.

Feedback mechanisms like checklists, peer evaluation, and performance journals help create reflective learners, which is essential in high-stakes environments.

7.4. Assessment Challenges

Several challenges persist:

- Time constraints: Language assessment may be compressed due to intense military schedules.
- Heterogeneity of learners: Cadets may have varied language levels and learning paces.
- Tension between form and function: Some cadets focus on "getting the job done" rather than linguistic precision. Assessment must balance communicative effectiveness with correctness.

To overcome these issues, assessment strategies must remain flexible and contextually anchored. As Basturkmen (2006) argues, successful FSP evaluation depends on the degree to which assessment mirrors the discourse, genres, and practices of the target domain.

Conclusion

The teaching of English for Specific Purposes (FSP) in military contexts such as the training of the Nation's cadets poses unique challenges but also offers powerful opportunities for pedagogical innovation. Through a focused analysis of learner needs, carefully adapted teaching materials, and context-sensitive pedagogical strategies, instructors can equip future officers with the linguistic tools necessary for operational effectiveness in diverse and often high-stakes environments.

This article has highlighted the necessity of moving beyond generic language instruction to embrace a task-based, learner-centered, and goal-oriented approach, tailored to the communicative demands of military professions. The integration of authentic materials, the promotion of intercultural competence, and the development of soft skills all contribute to building the communicative readiness of cadets, preparing them for multilingual and multicultural military operations.

However, these practices also demand a high level of teacher preparation, institutional support, and ongoing curriculum development. It is crucial for stakeholders—trainers, curriculum designers, and policy makers—to collaborate and innovate continuously, ensuring that FSP instruction remains aligned with both military objectives and pedagogical standards.

Ultimately, the effectiveness of FSP in military training lies not only in its linguistic outcomes but in its capacity to foster clarity, confidence, and command in communication—qualities that are as strategic in the classroom as they are on the field.

References

- Crosnier, É., & Meunier, M.-É. (2013). *Former pour enseigner le FOS: Approches didactiques et professionnalisation*. Paris: Didier.
- Dörnyei, Z. (2001). *Motivational Strategies in the Language Classroom*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hutchinson, T., & Waters, A. (1987). *English for Specific Purposes: A Learning-Centred Approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mangiante, J.-M., & Parpette, C. (2004). *Le français sur objectifs spécifiques: De l'analyse des besoins à l'élaboration d'un cours*. Paris: Hachette FLE.
- Puren, C. (2009). *Didactique du français langue étrangère et seconde: Vers une perspective actionnelle*. Paris: Clé International.
- Siegel, A., Vance, M., & Nilsson, D. (2024). Military English language education: A scoping review of 30 years of research. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17501229.2024.2370986>
- Zambrano, R. G. L., & Vélez Yanza, P. A. (2025). Enhancing English language learning for specific purposes in military schools: Strategies to overcome academic and physical challenges. *ResearchGate*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390986749_Enhancing_english_language_learning_for_specific_purposes_in_military_schools_Strategies_to_overcome_academic_and_physical_challenges/download

- Pham, T. T., & Le, T. T. (2023). English for Specific Purposes in military contexts: Insights from a Vietnamese EFL teacher's experience. *Kognisi*, 2(1).
<https://doi.org/10.59698/kognisi.v2i1.191>