

The Ethics of Generative AI: Misinformation, Authorship, and the Challenge of Creative Autonomy

Les Enjeux Ethiques de l'IA Générative : Désinformation, Attribution et Défi de l'Autonomie Créative

Dr. Rima SADEK ⁽¹⁾ , University of Algiers 3, ALGERIA, sadek.rima@univ-alger3.dz

Submitted: 25/05/2025

Accepted: 30/09/2025

Abstract

Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies have revolutionized content creation by enabling the production of text, images, and videos with unprecedented ease. While these advancements offer significant benefits, they also raise complex ethical challenges. This paper conducts secondary research using an interdisciplinary approach that draws on scholarly literature, case studies, and policy reviews to examine the ethical implications of generative AI. It offers a comprehensive synthesis that highlights overlooked ethical tensions in current AI policy debates. It specifically investigates how these technologies contribute to the spread of fake news and political manipulation through deepfakes, complicate the attribution of creative ownership, and rely on data often scraped without consent. The paper concludes by emphasizing the importance of public digital literacy and adaptive legal frameworks to balance the opportunities of generative AI with the need for accountability and ethical oversight.

Keywords: Creative Ownership; Digital Literacy; Ethical Implications; Generative Artificial Intelligence; Misinformation.

Résumé

Les technologies d'intelligence artificielle générative (IA) ont révolutionné la création de contenu en permettant la production de textes, d'images et de vidéos avec une facilité sans précédent. Bien que ces avancées offrent des bénéfices significatifs, elles soulèvent également des enjeux éthiques complexes. Cet article réalise une recherche secondaire adoptant une approche interdisciplinaire,

* Dr. Rima SADEK

s'appuyant sur la littérature scientifique, des études de cas et des analyses de politiques pour examiner les implications éthiques de l'IA générative. Il propose une synthèse exhaustive qui met en lumière des tensions éthiques souvent négligées dans les débats actuels sur les politiques d'IA. L'étude analyse spécifiquement la manière dont ces technologies contribuent à la diffusion de fausses informations et à la manipulation politique via les deepfakes, compliquent l'attribution de la propriété créative, et reposent sur des données souvent collectées sans consentement. L'article conclut en soulignant l'importance de la littératie numérique publique et de cadres juridiques adaptatifs pour équilibrer les opportunités offertes par l'IA générative avec la nécessité de responsabilité et de supervision éthique.

Mots clés : Attributive créative, Désinformation, Implications éthiques, Intelligence artificielle générative, Littératie numérique.

Introduction

Generative Artificial Intelligence refers to a branch of machine learning that enables systems to produce new and original content by learning from vast amounts of existing data. This content includes human-like text, realistic images, audio, and synthetic videos that are often indistinguishable from those created by human beings. Prominent examples include large language models such as GPT which generate coherent text, image generators like DALL·E which produce detailed visual content from textual prompts, and deepfake technologies that fabricate realistic video footage by manipulating facial and vocal attributes. These tools are rapidly reshaping the creative and communicative processes in sectors such as media, entertainment, education, and marketing.

As these technologies gain popularity and become increasingly accessible, a number of ethical concerns have emerged that demand careful and sustained examination. The use of generative AI challenges established norms related to truth, authorship, creative ownership, and individual consent. For instance, the capacity of these systems to generate convincing yet entirely fabricated information raises critical issues related to misinformation and the erosion of public trust. Similarly, the automation of artistic and textual creation invites debate over the legitimacy of machine-generated creativity and the potential marginalization of human authors. Furthermore, the use of AI-generated likenesses without permission introduces complex questions concerning privacy and ethical consent.

This paper aims to explore the ethical implications of generative AI by conducting a comprehensive review of existing literature, documented case studies, and emerging policy responses. It investigates how generative AI intersects with ethical principles in the domains of truthfulness, creative autonomy, and individual rights. By examining regulatory strategies and ethical guidelines proposed across

The Ethics of Generative AI: Misinformation, Authorship, and the Challenge of Creative Autonomy

academic, legal, and technological frameworks, the study seeks to map the current ethical landscape surrounding generative AI and assess the adequacy of existing responses to its challenges. Through a critical synthesis of secondary sources, the paper contributes to the broader discussion on how society might responsibly navigate the evolving capabilities of generative AI while safeguarding human values and institutional integrity.

1. Understanding Generative AI and Its Applications

Generative Artificial Intelligence refers to a subset of AI systems designed to create original data or content that mimics patterns found in the training datasets. These systems are primarily built on advanced machine learning architectures such as neural networks, particularly deep learning models. Among these, Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) and transformer-based models such as those used in Natural Language Processing (NLP) have been especially influential. GANs, introduced by Ian Goodfellow and his colleagues in 2014, operate through a dual-model structure in which one network, the generator, produces data while another, the discriminator, evaluates its authenticity. Through iterative competition, the generator learns to create increasingly realistic outputs. Meanwhile, transformer-based models such as GPT (Generative Pre-trained Transformer) employ attention mechanisms to understand and generate coherent sequences of text based on context and prior data, a method proposed by Vaswani et al. in 2017.¹

Among the most prominent generative AI tools is ChatGPT, a large language model developed by OpenAI that has been widely adopted in both personal and professional settings. ChatGPT generates human-like text and is capable of performing a wide range of language tasks such as summarization, question answering, translation, and dialogue generation. It supports applications in writing assistance, customer service automation, and educational tutoring, demonstrating the potential of AI in augmenting human communication. According to OpenAI's 2023 technical report, these capabilities reflect significant advancements in natural language processing and the scalability of transformer-based architectures.

Another widely recognized model is DALL·E, also developed by OpenAI, which converts textual descriptions into original images. As detailed by Aditya Ramesh et al. (2022)², DALL·E enables users to generate diverse and contextually relevant visuals based on detailed prompts, facilitating new forms of creative

expression in design, advertising, and digital media production. In addition, Sora, OpenAI's video generation model, exemplifies the extension of generative capabilities into multimodal domains by producing short video clips based on textual input. This innovation is expected to have significant implications for the future of entertainment, education, and communication.

Deepfake technologies represent another important application of generative AI, where facial images or audio recordings are synthetically altered or generated to fabricate convincing but false representations. These tools rely heavily on GANs and have sparked global debates due to their potential misuse in spreading misinformation, impersonation, and non-consensual content. As Robert Chesney and Danielle Citron (2019)³ argue, deepfakes pose significant challenges to privacy, democracy, and national security. Despite these risks, deepfake technologies are also being investigated for constructive uses, such as in film production, language learning, and accessibility tools for speech synthesis.

The application of generative AI extends across multiple sectors. In art and media, artists use AI-generated visuals and music to explore new aesthetic forms and collaborative processes. In education, as highlighted by Olaf Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019)⁴, generative AI is integrated into tutoring systems, content generation for instructional materials, and language learning applications, offering personalized and scalable solutions for students. The advertising industry leverages these tools to develop targeted content, generate dynamic visuals, and conduct A/B testing more efficiently.

In entertainment, AI is used to script dialogue, animate characters, and even create immersive storytelling experiences. News outlets and journalists are also beginning to experiment with AI-assisted writing and automated summaries, raising questions about transparency and authenticity in reporting. These concerns echoed by Zhao, Li, and Xu (2022)⁵, who explore the evolving role of generative and cognitive AI in shaping human understanding and trust in digital information systems.

Although the applications of generative AI offer undeniable opportunities for creativity, efficiency, and accessibility, they simultaneously provoke significant concerns around authenticity, authorship, and ethical deployment. As the boundaries between human-generated and AI-generated content continue to blur, it

The Ethics of Generative AI: Misinformation, Authorship, and the Challenge of Creative Autonomy

becomes increasingly important to evaluate the implications of these technologies within their broader societal context.

2. Understanding Generative AI and Its Applications

As generative AI technologies become more powerful and accessible, a range of ethical concerns have emerged regarding their impact on society, information integrity, creative labor, and individual rights. These concerns are not merely theoretical but are already manifesting in real-world contexts where AI-generated content intersects with issues of misinformation, authorship, privacy, and public trust. Addressing these challenges requires a careful examination of how generative AI systems are developed, deployed, and governed across various domains.

2.1 Misinformation and Deepfakes

One of the most pressing ethical challenges posed by generative AI lies in its capacity to produce highly realistic but entirely fabricated content. Deepfakes; AI-generated videos or audio clips that simulate the likeness and voice of real individuals, have been widely used to spread false information, impersonate public figures, and manipulate political discourse. This manipulation poses a serious threat to democratic processes and public trust. Notable incidents include deepfakes of former U.S. President Barack Obama and Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelenskyy, which circulated widely on social media, spreading misinformation and confusing audiences (Chesney & Citron, 2019; Westerlund, 2019)⁶. In some cases, such content has been used to simulate political endorsements or fabricate scandalous behaviour, which can sway public opinion or discredit individuals.

Beyond politics, deepfakes have also been used in celebrity scams, including fraudulent videos promoting cryptocurrency schemes and identity theft, which exploit the visual and auditory likenesses of well-known figures without their consent, as detailed by Paris and Donovan in their 2019 analysis. The proliferation of such content reflects the growing ease with which generative AI can be weaponized to erode the reliability of digital information and distort public perception.

2.2 Authenticity and Truth

Generative AI technologies blur the boundaries between real and artificial content, making it increasingly difficult to distinguish between authentic media and synthetically generated outputs. This growing ambiguity fundamentally challenges

the truth and trust in public communication. Philosopher Daniel Fallis (2020)⁷, coined the term “liar’s dividend” to describe the phenomenon whereby the existence of deepfakes enables malicious actors to dismiss genuine evidence as fake, thereby evading accountability. As AI-generated content becomes more prevalent and indistinguishable from human-created material, the public may begin to question the authenticity of even legitimate sources of information.

In journalism, where credibility is paramount, the rise of AI-generated news articles, manipulated videos, and synthetic interviews raises concerns about the degradation of information standards. Journalists now face additional burdens in verifying sources, while audiences must navigate a complex media environment where trust is continually eroded (Vaccari & Chadwick, 2020)⁸. The cumulative effect of such developments may result in a heightened sense of cynicism, making it more difficult for societies to maintain informed democratic debate.

2.3 Creative Autonomy and Ownership

Another complex ethical dimension of generative AI concerns creative authorship and intellectual property rights. As AI researcher Ahmed Elgammal discussed in 2019, many generative models are trained on large-scale datasets that include copyrighted works such as images, music, or literature, without the explicit consent of the original creators. This raises serious questions about ownership, appropriation, and fairness. Artists and writers have expressed concern over the unauthorized use of their work, arguing that AI models are effectively exploiting human creativity without proper acknowledgment or compensation.

The legal framework surrounding AI-generated content remains largely underdeveloped, leading to what scholars describe as a “legal vacuum” in terms of copyright attribution (Chesterman, 2020)⁹. Compounding this issue is the difficulty in determining authorship for content generated collaboratively by humans and machines. Traditional conceptions of authorship, which presuppose individual creative intent, may no longer be applicable in a context where machines perform substantial portions of the creative process. This situation has led to tensions between human artists and AI developers, particularly in the visual arts, music, and digital design industries, where AI tools are increasingly being used to replace or supplement creative labor.

The Ethics of Generative AI: Misinformation, Authorship, and the Challenge of Creative Autonomy

2.4 Consent and Data Use

The development of generative AI systems also raises ethical concerns related to data privacy and consent. As pointed by Dhamala, J. et al. (2021)¹⁰, most generative models are trained on massive datasets scraped from the internet, often without clear transparency regarding the origins, ethical status, and legal implications of their content. These datasets often contain copyrighted materials, personal photographs, and private communications, all of which may have been collected without the individuals' knowledge or consent. Such practices violate ethical principles of data protection and individual autonomy, especially when models replicate or reveal sensitive information. Further underscoring these issues, Carlini et al. (2023)¹¹ demonstrated that certain image-generation models can reproduce faces or artworks that are traceable to real individuals or creators, intensifying concerns about consent, privacy, and appropriate attribution.

The lack of regulatory oversight on how training data is collected and used further exacerbates the problem. Users interacting with generative AI tools are often unaware of how their data may be stored, analyzed, or reused, leading to a broader erosion of privacy and accountability. These challenges underline the urgent need for clearer ethical standards and legal frameworks to govern data use in AI development.

The ethical dilemmas posed by generative AI are multifaceted, encompassing threats to truth, authorship, privacy, and public trust. As these technologies continue to evolve and permeate everyday life, the urgency to address their unintended consequences becomes more pressing. The challenges surrounding misinformation, creative autonomy, and data use reveal significant gaps in legal and ethical frameworks, highlighting the need for coordinated responses from policymakers, industry leaders, and civil society. In light of these concerns, a range of responses has begun to emerge from regulatory frameworks and industry safeguards to education-driven initiatives. The following section explores how institutions and stakeholders are attempting to mitigate the ethical risks of generative AI by promoting transparency, accountability, and responsible innovation.

3. Responses and Proposed Ethical Guidelines

In response to the ethical challenges posed by generative AI, governments, international organizations, and technology companies have proposed a range of

frameworks, guidelines, and strategies aimed at ensuring responsible development and use. These responses are grounded in key ethical principles such as transparency, accountability, human oversight, and the safeguarding of human rights. While still evolving, these efforts reflect a growing recognition that the societal impact of generative AI requires proactive governance, robust regulation, and a commitment to public education and digital literacy.

3.1 Regulatory Frameworks

Regulatory responses to generative AI have begun to take shape across various jurisdictions, with Europe taking the lead in formalizing legal frameworks. The European Union's Artificial Intelligence Act (AI Act) represents the most comprehensive regulatory approach to date, categorizing AI systems into risk levels, from minimal to unacceptable, and imposing stringent requirements on high-risk applications, including those capable of generating deepfakes and synthetic media (European Commission, 2021)¹². The legislation mandates transparency obligations, data quality standards, and human oversight mechanisms for AI systems deployed in sensitive areas such as biometric identification and media manipulation.

On a global scale, UNESCO's Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence offers a normative framework for member states to align AI development with human rights, sustainability, and democratic values. The document advocates for inclusive policymaking, data protection, algorithmic transparency, and mechanisms to monitor AI's societal impact (UNESCO, 2021)¹³. It underscores the need for a human-centered approach, emphasizing the role of human agency and responsibility in AI decision-making processes. These frameworks are not only regulatory but also normative, shaping the ethical discourse around generative AI and establishing a foundation for international cooperation.

3.2 Industry Initiatives

Alongside governmental efforts, technology companies have introduced their own safeguards to mitigate the misuse of generative AI. OpenAI, for example, has implemented detailed usage policies that restrict the generation of harmful or deceptive content, along with content filters and moderation tools that help enforce these policies during user interactions with models like ChatGPT and DALL·E. These measures aim to limit the propagation of hate speech, disinformation, and harmful stereotypes while encouraging socially beneficial applications of AI.

The Ethics of Generative AI: Misinformation, Authorship, and the Challenge of Creative Autonomy

Meta and Microsoft have introduced watermarking and labeling systems that inform users when they are viewing AI-generated content. These mechanisms serve as transparency tools that help audiences distinguish between authentic media and synthetically produced content, reducing the likelihood of unintentional misinformation (Isaac, 2024). However, Jacobsen (2024)¹⁴ points out that while such algorithmic detectability shows promise, it remains limited by the increasing sophistication of generative models, suggesting that technical solutions alone may not be sufficient to address the deepfake threat.

Google, through its AI Principles, has committed to responsible innovation by outlining core commitments such as avoiding the creation of technologies that cause harm, ensuring accountability, and promoting fairness in AI deployment (Google AI, 2018).¹⁵ Despite these initiatives, critics argue that industry self-regulation may not be sufficient and emphasize the need for independent oversight and enforceable legal standards.

3.3 Legal Actions

Several legislative bodies have begun to address the legal implications of generative AI, particularly regarding deepfakes and digital identity rights. In the United States, the NO FAKES Act (No Unauthorized Fixation and Exploitation of AI-generated replicas and Sounds Act) is a proposed federal bill that seeks to protect individuals' likenesses and voices from unauthorized use by generative AI systems (Graham, 2024).¹⁶ The bill would grant individuals legal control over their digital identities, allowing them to take action against entities that exploit their image or voice without consent.

In Europe, the European Parliament has called for stricter enforcement mechanisms and penalties for creators of malicious deepfakes, especially those that target women, public figures, and vulnerable communities. These measures are intended to combat the disproportionate impact of synthetic media on marginalized groups, who are often the primary victims of AI-generated harassment or exploitation (European Parliament, 2023)¹⁷. Legal frameworks are also evolving at the national level, with several countries exploring data protection and intellectual property reforms to address the complexities introduced by generative AI.

3.4 Industry Initiatives

In addition to regulatory and legal interventions, fostering public awareness and digital literacy is crucial for mitigating the misuse of generative AI. As emphasized by Floridi et al. (2018)¹⁸, the societal impact of AI technologies necessitates a comprehensive framework that empowers individuals to critically engage with algorithmically generated content. As users increasingly encounter AI-generated content in their daily lives, whether through social media, advertising, or education, there is a pressing need to equip them with the analytical skills required to evaluate and verify digital information. Educational institutions and civil society organizations hold a pivotal role in promoting these competencies, particularly in helping individuals identify deepfakes, interpret AI-generated media, and recognize inherent algorithmic biases.

Initiatives such as media literacy campaigns, school curricula on ethical AI, and community workshops can empower individuals to engage with generative technologies responsibly. These efforts align with broader goals of civic education and democratic resilience, helping to build a public that is not only technologically savvy but also ethically aware. Without such foundational knowledge, regulatory and technical solutions may fall short, as individuals remain vulnerable to manipulation and exploitation by AI-generated misinformation.

4. Discussion

The ethical concerns surrounding generative artificial intelligence reflect a complex and rapidly evolving landscape. Tools such as ChatGPT, DALL·E, Sora, and deepfake technologies are reshaping how society creates, communicates, and interprets content. While these innovations offer valuable applications in education, media, and entertainment, they also introduce significant ethical risks. These include the spread of misinformation, the distortion of truth, the erosion of creative autonomy, and the misuse of personal data without consent.

Efforts to address these challenges have emerged through regulatory frameworks such as the European Union's Artificial Intelligence Act and global initiatives including UNESCO's ethical guidelines. Technology companies have also begun to implement protective measures, such as OpenAI's usage restrictions and Meta's labeling systems for synthetic media. However, these responses remain limited in scope and effectiveness. Regulatory frameworks often lag behind the pace of technological development, and voluntary industry standards lack the enforcement mechanisms necessary to ensure widespread compliance.

The Ethics of Generative AI: Misinformation, Authorship, and the Challenge of Creative Autonomy

A central concern in the ethical debate is the need to balance innovation with responsibility. Generative artificial intelligence holds enormous potential to enhance productivity, expand access to information, and foster new forms of creative expression. At the same time, unregulated use can lead to significant social harm, including the manipulation of public opinion, violations of privacy, and challenges to legal and cultural norms of authorship. Achieving this balance requires that ethical responsibility be embedded not only in the design of artificial intelligence systems but also in their deployment and governance.

To move forward constructively, a multi-stakeholder approach is essential. Governments must work alongside technology developers, legal experts, educators, civil society, and affected communities to create inclusive and adaptable ethical guidelines. This collaboration should prioritize transparency, accountability, and public engagement. Additionally, digital literacy programs should be expanded to help individuals critically assess the content they encounter and understand the capabilities and limitations of generative artificial intelligence.

In summary, while current regulatory and industrial responses represent meaningful progress, they are insufficient in isolation. The ethical challenges posed by generative artificial intelligence require sustained dialogue, interdisciplinary research, and global cooperation. The goal should be to ensure that the benefits of artificial intelligence are realized in ways that uphold human dignity, promote trust, and protect the public interest.

Conclusion

Generative artificial intelligence represents a powerful technological advancement that is redefining the boundaries of human creativity, communication, and content production. Through tools such as ChatGPT, DALL·E, and deepfake applications, generative AI has introduced new efficiencies and creative possibilities across sectors including education, journalism, marketing, and entertainment. However, these opportunities are accompanied by serious ethical challenges. Key concerns include the proliferation of misinformation and manipulated media, the erosion of trust in digital content, uncertainties around creative authorship and intellectual property, and the unauthorized use of private or copyrighted data during model training.

The urgency of addressing these challenges cannot be overstated. As generative AI systems become more accessible and sophisticated, the societal impact of their misuse intensifies. Current responses, including regulatory measures such as the European Union's Artificial Intelligence Act and corporate initiatives like OpenAI's content usage policies, signal progress but remain insufficient on their own. Ethical oversight must evolve in parallel with technological innovation, ensuring that safeguards are not only reactive but also anticipatory. Stronger regulatory enforcement, increased transparency in AI development, and inclusive dialogue between stakeholders are essential to this process.¹⁹

Looking forward, future research should focus on refining ethical frameworks that are adaptable to diverse cultural, legal, and technological contexts. Investigations into algorithmic transparency, data governance, and user consent will be particularly crucial. Policymakers and developers must also explore new models of accountability that prioritize public interest, human rights, and social equity. Equally important is the promotion of digital literacy to empower users in navigating and critically engaging with AI-generated content.²⁰

In conclusion, the ethical deployment of generative artificial intelligence requires a careful balance between innovation²¹ and responsibility. Through collaborative governance, interdisciplinary research,²² and public engagement, it is possible to harness the transformative potential of these technologies while upholding the foundational values of truth, creativity, and human dignity.²³

References

1. Carlini, Nicholas, Jamie Hayes, Milad Nasr, Matthew Jagielski, Vikash Sehwal, Florian Tramèr, Borja Balle, Daniel Ippolito, and Eric Wallace. 2023. "Extracting Training Data from Diffusion Models." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.13188*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2301.13188>.
2. Chesterman, Simon. 2020. "Artificial Intelligence and the Limits of Legal Personality." *International & Comparative Law Quarterly* 69 (4): 819–844. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020589320000366>.
3. Chesney, Robert, and Danielle K. Citron. 2019. "Deep Fakes: A Looming Challenge for Privacy, Democracy, and National Security." *California Law Review* 107 (6): 1753–1820. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3213954>.
4. Dhamala, Jwala, Tony Sun, Vinodkumar Prabhakaran, Satyapriya Krishna, Yada Pruksachatkun, Kai-Wei Chang, and Ritesh Gupta. 2021.

The Ethics of Generative AI: Misinformation, Authorship, and the Challenge of Creative Autonomy

"BOLD: Dataset and Metrics for Measuring Biases in Open-Ended Language Generation." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.11718*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2101.11718>.

5. Elgammal, Ahmed. 2019. "AI Is Blurring the Definition of Artist." *American Scientist* 107 (1): 18. <https://doi.org/10.1511/2019.107.1.18>.
6. European Commission. 2021. "Proposal for a Regulation Laying Down Harmonised Rules on Artificial Intelligence." *Policy and Legislation*. <https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/library/proposal-regulation-laying-down-harmonised-rules-artificial-intelligence>.
7. European Parliament. 2023. "Foreign Interference in All Democratic Processes in the European Union, Including Disinformation (2022/2075(INI))." *P9_TA(2023)0219*, June 1. https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/TA-9-2023-0219_EN.pdf.
8. Fallis, Don. 2020. "The Epistemic Threat of Deepfakes." *Philosophy & Technology* 34 (4): 623–643. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-020-00419-2>.
9. Floridi, L., Cows, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., ... & Schafer, B. (2018). *AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations*. *Minds and Machines*, 28(4), 689–707. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5>
10. Goodfellow, Ian J., Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. "Generative Adversarial Nets." In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 63 (11):139–144. DOI:[10.1145/3422622](https://doi.org/10.1145/3422622)
11. Google AI. 2018. "AI at Google: Our Principles." <https://blog.google/technology/ai/ai-principles/>.
12. Graham, Michael. 2024. "Developments in the Regulation of Deepfakes." *Practical Law The Journal*, September 1. <https://www.reuters.com/practical-law-the-journal/litigation/recent-developments-regulation-deep-fakes-2024-09-01/>.

13. Isaac, Mike. 2024. "Meta Calls for Industry Effort to Label A.I.-Generated Content." *The New York Times*, February 6. <https://www.nytimes.com/2024/02/06/technology/meta-ai-standards-labels.html>.
14. Jacobsen, Benjamin N. 2024. "Deepfakes and the Promise of Algorithmic Detectability." *European Journal of Cultural Studies* 28 (2): 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13675494241240028>.
15. OpenAI. 2023. "GPT-4 Technical Report." <https://openai.com/research/gpt-4>.
16. Paris, Britt, and Joan Donovan. 2019. "Deepfakes and Cheap Fakes: The Manipulation of Audio and Visual Evidence." *Data & Society*. <https://datasociety.net/library/deep-fakes-and-cheap-fakes/>.
17. Ramesh, Aditya, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. 2022. "Hierarchical Text-Conditional Image Generation with CLIP Latents." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2204.06125>.
18. UNESCO. 2021. "Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence." <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000380455>.
19. Vaccari, Cristian, and Andrew Chadwick. 2020. "Deepfakes and Disinformation: Exploring the Impact of Synthetic Political Video on Deception, Uncertainty, and Trust in News." *Social Media + Society* 6 (1): 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305120903408>.
20. Vaswani, Ashish, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. "Attention Is All You Need." *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 30. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1706.03762>.
21. Westerlund, Mika. 2019. "The Emergence of Deepfake Technology: A Review." *Technology Innovation Management Review* 9 (11): 40–53. <https://doi.org/10.22215/timreview/1282>.
22. Zawacki-Richter, Olaf, Victoria I. Marín, Melissa Bond, and Franziska Gouverneur. 2019. "Systematic Review of Research on Artificial Intelligence Applications in Higher Education – Where Are the Educators?" *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education* 16 (1): 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0>.

The Ethics of Generative AI: Misinformation, Authorship, and the Challenge of Creative Autonomy

23. Zhao, G., Li, Y., & Xu, Q. (2022). "From emotion ai to cognitive ai." *International Journal of Network Dynamics and Intelligence* 65-72.
<https://doi.org/10.53941/ijndi0101006>