

Media and Human Rights: Dynamics of Advocacy, Power, and Responsibility

* Halla Doghmane
Skikda University Algeria
h.doghmane@univ-skikda.dz
Humaid Alsaidi

Quabous University Sultanate of Oman
Hm.alsaidi2@gmail.com

Received: 26/11/2025 Accepted: 20/12/2025 Published:31/12/2025

Abstract:

This study examines the complex relationship between media and human rights, viewing them as essential pillars of democratic societies grounded in transparency and accountability. It demonstrates that media play a central role in promoting public awareness of human rights, exposing violations through investigative journalism, and empowering marginalized groups by providing platforms for representation. The study also highlights major ethical challenges in media practice, including bias, stereotyping, privacy violations, and the spread of misinformation in the digital environment. Furthermore, it emphasizes the significance of legal and professional frameworks—such as ethics codes and freedom-of-expression laws—in guiding responsible reporting. The study concludes that the media’s effectiveness in protecting human rights depends on ensuring editorial independence, enhancing journalistic professionalism, strengthening transparency mechanisms, and developing media literacy skills among the public. Thus, media can either advance or undermine human rights depending on their practices and the political and legal context in which they operate.

key words: media; human rights; misinformation; media ethics.

***Corresponding author**

Introduction:

The relationship between media and human rights represents one of the most significant intersections in modern democratic societies. Media institutions-whether newspapers, television networks, radio broadcasters, or digital platforms-shape public opinion, influence policy, and contribute to global awareness about justice and equality. Human rights, grounded in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, constitute the moral and legal framework that safeguards individual dignity and freedom.

The interaction between media and human rights is complex: while media can play a fundamental role in promoting and defending rights, they can also become tools for misinformation, discrimination, and rights violations. Understanding this duality is essential in a world where digital communication integrates deeply into political, social, and cultural life.

1. Conceptual foundations: Media and human rights in a democratic context

The foundational link between media and human rights is built upon freedom of es-expression and access to information, both of which are enshrined in Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (United Nations, 1948). Without freedom of expression, journalists cannot carry out investigative reporting: without human rights, media systems risk being manipulated or silenced.

Scholars argue that media act as key pillars of democratic governance by enabling public debate and holding power accountable (Schudson, 2008). This watchdog function is grounded in the belief that transparency is necessary for human rights protection. Public access to credible information empowers citizens to demand accountability, resist oppression, and exercise fundamental rights.

2. Media as a promoter of Human Rights

2.1. Raising Public Awareness

Media institutions play a significant role in raising public consciousness of human rights issues. Awareness campaigns, educational programs, and documentaries help translate complex legal rights into accessible content for mass audiences. UNESCO (2022) emphasizes that media literacy and public information campaigns contribute to building a culture that values equality and justice.

Through radio, television, and digital platforms, media facilitate the dissemination of crucial information during crises—such as pandemics, wars, or humanitarian emergencies—ensuring citizens understand their rights to safety, health, and protection (Couldry & Hepp, 2016).

2.2. exposing and monitoring human rights violations

Investigative journalism is a major avenue through which media uncover and monitor human rights abuses. Historically, journalists have played a vital role in documenting war crimes, exposing corruption, and reporting violations committed by state and non-state actors. Examples include coverage of apartheid in South Africa, genocide in Rwanda, and racial injustice in the United States.

Media exposure often leads to international pressure, and public mobilization (Entman, 1993). This reinforces media's watchdog role and strengthens democratic accountability.

2-3- Giving voice to marginalized groups

Media contribute to social inclusion by providing platforms for groups that are often excluded from mainstream narratives. These

Media and Human Rights: Dynamics of Advocacy, Power, and Responsibility

include refugees, women, ethnic minorities, persons with disabilities, and victims of violence. When media amplify the voices of marginalized populations, that help reshape public dialogue and promote more equitable policy frameworks (Couldry & Hepp, 2016).

3. Ethical Challenges in Media Coverage of Human Rights

3.1 Stereotyping, Bias, and Misrepresentation

Despite their potential positive role, media may contribute to stereotyping and bias. Entman's (1993) research on framing highlights how selective presentation of information can distort public perception. Biased coverage reinforces social divisions and may harm vulnerable populations.

For example, portraying refugees as security threats or depicting minority groups in negative stereotypes contributes to discrimination, which itself becomes a violation of human rights.

3.2 Privacy, Dignity, and the Protection of Victims

Reporting on sensitive human rights issues requires balancing the public's right to know with individuals' right to privacy and dignity. Journalists must follow ethical guidelines to avoid re-traumatizing victims or exposing them to stigmatization. The International Federation of Journalists (IFJ, 2019) stresses that reporters should obtain informed consent when covering issues involving children, survivors of violence, or vulnerable groups.

3.3 Misinformation and Digital Manipulation

The digital era has magnified the risks associated with misinformation. Deepfakes, fabricated news stories, and digitally altered images can spread rapidly, undermining human rights protections, inciting violence, and eroding democratic stability. Wardle and Derakhshan (2017) argue that "information disorder"

has become one of the most significant threats to human rights, particularly in conflict zones and during elections.

4. Media Responsibility and Regulatory Frameworks

4.1 Professional Codes of Ethics

Journalistic ethics guide responsible reporting. UNESCO (2020) and IFJ (2019) both emphasize accuracy, fairness, transparency, and respect for human dignity. These codes oblige media practitioners to avoid harm, protect sources, and ensure the credibility of information, especially when covering conflict or vulnerable individuals.

4.2 Legal Protections for Media and Human Rights

Legal frameworks that promote press freedom and guarantee access to information empower media to expose abuses without fear of retaliation. However, overly restrictive laws—often justified by national security concerns—may hinder journalists from reporting on violations. Article 19 (2018) highlights the global increase in legislation that criminalizes journalism, restricts online speech, or limits access to information.

Legal protections for whistleblowers and human rights defenders are essential to maintaining transparency and accountability in both government and corporate institutions.

4.3 International Organizations and Media Partnerships

International organizations such as the United Nations, Amnesty International, and Human Rights Watch rely heavily on media collaboration to disseminate findings and mobilize global awareness. Their reports often serve as key sources for journalists and help legitimize public debates around human rights violations (Amnesty International, 2023).

5. Media in the Digital Age: Opportunities and Risks

5.1 Technology as an Enabler of Human Rights Advocacy

Digital media enable rapid dissemination of information, allowing human rights advocates to document abuses in real time. Social media platforms have become essential tools for mobilizing public support, organizing protests, and raising awareness. Examples include the Arab Spring movements and global campaigns such as #MeToo and #BlackLivesMatter.

UNESCO (2022) notes that digital technologies have democratized communication, enabling citizen journalism and empowering individuals to challenge dominant narratives.

5.2 Surveillance, Censorship, and Digital Oppression

While digital media provide new opportunities, they also introduce new threats. Governments and corporations increasingly use surveillance technologies to track online behavior. Such practices may violate privacy, suppress dissent, and target activists (Article 19, 2018).

Censorship—whether through internet shutdowns, algorithmic suppression, or legal restrictions—remains a major obstacle to free expression and human rights protection.

5.3 Hate Speech, Online Violence, and Discrimination

Digital platforms have become spaces where hate speech, cyberbullying, and targeted harassment proliferate. Such actions can escalate into real-world discrimination and violence. Women, minorities, and activists are especially vulnerable. Scholars argue that preventing online violence should be viewed as a core human rights issue (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017).

6. The Dual Nature of Media: Protector and Potential Violator

The duality of media as both protector and violator of human rights reflects deeper structural, political, and economic dynamics. Media may promote rights through ethical journalism, but structural biases, commercial pressures, and political manipulation can distort their role.

In authoritarian contexts, state-controlled media often silence dissent and promote propaganda. In commercialized media systems, sensationalism may overshadow accuracy. Both situations threaten human rights and undermine trust.

Thus, ensuring media independence, supporting ethical training, and strengthening democratic institutions are crucial for aligning media practices with human rights values (Schudson, 2008).

Conclusion

The relationship between media and human rights is complex, multidimensional, and constantly evolving in the digital era. Media can empower individuals, promote justice, and expose violations, but they can also reinforce stereotypes, spread misinformation, and compromise dignity.

To ensure a responsible and ethical media landscape, societies must invest in:

press freedom and legal protections, ethical training and accountability mechanisms, digital literacy education, institutional support for investigative journalism, inclusive media representation for marginalized groups.

Strengthening these pillars will enable media to act as defenders of human dignity and promote a more just and equitable global society.

References

1. Amnesty International. (2023). Annual report on the state of the world's human rights. Amnesty International Publications.
2. Article 19. (2018). Freedom of expression and the media. Article 19.

***Media and Human Rights: Dynamics of Advocacy, Power, and
Responsibility***

3. Couldry, N., & Hepp, A. (2016). The mediated construction of reality. Polity Press.
4. Entman, R. M. (1993). Framing: Toward clarification of a fractured paradigm. *Journal of Communication*, 43(4), 51– 58.
5. International Federation of Journalists. (2019). Global Charter of Ethics for Journalists. IFJ.
6. Schudson, M. (2008). Why democracies need an unlovable press. Polity Press.
7. United Nations. (1948). Universal Declaration of Human Rights.
8. UNESCO. (2020). Media ethics and governance. UNESCO Publishing.
9. UNESCO. (2022). World trends in freedom of expression and media development. UNESCO Publishing.
10. Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). Information disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework. Council of Europe.