

The Attachment-Violence Nexus: A Theoretico-Clinical Analysis of Insecure Bonds and Criminality

BAHMED ANAS*

Laboratoire de Psychologie Clinique et Métrique

University of Algiers 2, Algeri

anas.bahmed@univ-alger2.dz

Received date 2026-02-14

Acceptance date 2026-04-17

Abstract:

Attachment theory stands as one of the most innovative frameworks in modern psychology. Since the seminal work of British psychiatrist and psychoanalyst John Bowlby (1969), it has significantly deepened our understanding of the child's inner world, specifically regarding early affective relationships and their long-term developmental implications.

Now integrated across various fields—including education, psychotherapy, and social work—attachment theory is recognized as a major theoretical advancement that elucidates complex psychological phenomena, including the etiology of violent crime.

Within this perspective, the present study aims to highlight the influence of attachment styles on violent acting out, particularly among perpetrators of homicide and interpersonal violence (e.g., serial killers, rapists, and domestic abusers). This is achieved through a retrospective analysis of existing empirical research.

After exploring the key variables identified in these studies, we conclude with a clinical reflection based on the case study of an American serial killer, illustrating the practical applications of these theoretical concepts.

Keywords: Attachment; Violence; Crime; Psychopathology.

* Corresponding author

Introduction:

Childhood represents a critical stage in personality development. A relatively stable early life experience fosters better fulfillment in adulthood and, crucially, reduces the risk of developing psychological and mental disorders. Indeed, research has demonstrated that adverse childhood experiences constitute an etiological factor for a wide range of psychological and psychiatric conditions (Bifulco, 2006) that manifest later in life.

During adolescence, for instance, as the youth seeks identity and self-actualization, they carry the weight of their personal history. While this history may provide a foundation of solid acquisitions—such as cognitive capacity, increased autonomy, and self-confidence—it may also contain vulnerabilities that impair the "normal" functioning of psychological, cognitive, and social processes (Gahar & Bahmed, 2019). As these individuals transition into adulthood, they may follow a trajectory characterized by pathology and deviance; what were once mere precursors of potential dysfunction in adolescence evolved into overt disorders presenting an extensive array of symptoms and clinical manifestations.

Clinical experience with patients seeking psychological assistance frequently reveals that their core issues stem from childhood deficiencies, including abandonment, dysfunctional parenting patterns, and physical or psychological abuse. This observation is widely supported by mental health specialists who have developed various theoretical models to explain atypical behaviors. Among these, attachment theory stands out by focusing on the normal and pathological dimensions of a child's social and emotional development.

1 .Methodology

The present study adopts a qualitative, theorico-clinical design. It does not claim empirical or experimental validation, but rather relies on a narrative synthesis of established empirical findings combined with a retrospective theoretical analysis of documented clinical material. The clinical vignette presented is not based on direct assessment, but on secondary biographical and forensic sources, and is used strictly for illustrative and heuristic purposes. The research design is divided into three distinct phases:

- *Theoretical Synthesis:* A comprehensive review of the foundational literature on attachment theory—including the seminal works of Bowlby and Ainsworth—and its evolution into forensic and clinical psychology.
- *Thematic Analysis:* An exploration of the etiological links between attachment disturbances and specific forms of violence, such as intimate partner homicide and sexual offending, based on empirical research and meta-analyses.
- *Case Illustration:* A theoretical retrospective analysis of documented biographical material of American serial killer Edmund Kemper. This case was selected due to its rich biographical data, which allows for a detailed examination of the interplay between maternal humiliation, abandonment trauma, and lethal acting out.

By integrating these components, the study aims to provide a multidimensional understanding of how early relational deficits act as precursors to extreme criminal behavior.

2. The Foundations of Attachment Theory: A Historical and Conceptual Overview

Formalized through the seminal work of psychiatrist and psychoanalyst John Bowlby (1959), attachment theory remains one of the most influential frameworks in modern psychology. It emphasizes the critical importance of the affective bond formed between an infant and their primary caregiver. This bond not only ensures continuous care during growth but also serves as a blueprint for the individual's future relational and emotional models in their interactions with the world.

According to Bowlby (1959), human beings are born with an innate need to attach to a reassuring figure, as "the tendency to seek contact with a conspecific is not a derived

tendency, but a primary and permanent one" (Bloch et al., 1999, p. 98). From birth, infants possess a natural capacity to engage with others through an innate system of attachment behaviors. These are categorized into two types: proximity-seeking behaviors (sucking, clinging, following) and signaling behaviors (crying and smiling). Over the early years of development, these behaviors become integrated and specifically directed toward the attachment figure (Tereno et al., 2007).

In 1969, Bowlby refined his initial theory by introducing the concept of the "attachment behavioral system" (Tereno et al., 2007). While he initially posited that attachment behaviors were simple innate gestures used to establish contact with a caregiver, he later argued that these were not merely reflexive acts. Instead, they represent complex, goal-oriented actions aimed at seeking and maintaining a bond with a specific, differentiated, and preferred attachment figure.

The collective research of Bowlby (1969, 1982) and Ainsworth (1969; Ainsworth et al., 1978) demonstrates that infants establish an emotional bond with a primary attachment figure from birth. This figure serves as a source of comfort and protection during physiological arousal or external threats, as well as a "secure base" for exploring the environment. In essence, the child instinctively forms emotional ties with a caregiver who provides both physical and emotional support, thereby facilitating optimal development.

As children age, they begin to develop a hierarchy of attachment figures when multiple caregivers are present. In the absence of the primary figure, the child will turn to secondary figures. However, under significant stress, the child will invariably seek proximity to the primary attachment figure (Tereno et al., 2007).

The quality of attachment experienced during the formative years is paramount, as it fosters mentalization, emotional regulation, and the processing of internal conflicts (Harrati et al., 2013). Furthermore, the work of Bartholomew and Horowitz (1991) established a link between attachment styles, internal representations of the self and others, and modes of emotional expression. These factors constitute the core of the attachment bond; once internalized, they form a secure foundation that leads to a sense of internal security.

Conversely, when a child's needs are not adequately met—specifically when a caregiver fails to respond emotionally or misinterprets the child's signals—the affective bond becomes disrupted. Such failures lead to maladaptive attachment styles, which inevitably result in long-term emotional and relational difficulties.

3. Attachment and Psychopathology: Exploring the Developmental Links

Bowlby's (1969) foundational research demonstrated that the quality of attachment profoundly impacts mental health; specifically, secure attachment facilitates enhanced emotional regulation and affective control. Ainsworth et al. (1978) further expanded on this through empirical studies conducted with Ugandan and American cohorts.

These researchers identified three pivotal domains for child development: the concept of the "secure base," the role and significance of the attachment figure, and the notion of maternal sensitivity to the infant's needs (Ainsworth et al., 1978). According to this framework, the secure base—represented by the attachment figure—enables the child to explore the environment with confidence, knowing the caregiver remains available and responsive in times of need.

In instances where the attachment figure fails to meet the child's needs or expectations, the affective bond becomes compromised. To evaluate this postulate, Ainsworth et al. (1978) developed the "Strange Situation" experimental paradigm. This procedure involves eight episodes, including separations and reunions between the child and the attachment figure, as well as the introduction of a stranger. The objective was to assess the organization of

attachment behaviors during exploration in an unfamiliar, stress-inducing environment (Terenio et al., 2007).

The results of these experiments led to the classification of four distinct attachment patterns: Group A (Insecure-Avoidant), Group B (Secure), Group C (Insecure-Ambivalent/Resistant) (Ainsworth et al., 1978), and later, Group D (Disorganized) (Main & Solomon, 1990).

Table 01: Attachment Styles - Types and Defining Characteristics

Attachment Style	Exploration Behavior	Reaction to Separation	Reaction to Reunion
Secure (Group B)	Explores freely using the caregiver as a secure base.	Visible distress; decreased exploration.	Seeks contact; easily comforted; returns to play.
Insecure-Avoidant (Group A)	Explores with little outward interest in the caregiver.	Minimal apparent distress; continues to play.	Avoids or ignores the caregiver; focuses on toys.
Insecure-Ambivalent (Group C)	High distress; unable to explore the environment.	Extreme distress and intense anxiety.	Seeks contact but displays anger or resistance; difficult to soothe.
Disorganized (Group D)	Erratic or frozen behaviors; lacks a coherent strategy.	Apprehensive or contradictory responses.	Fearful behaviors; confusion or "freezing" upon return.

Source : (Ainsworth et al., 1978) ; (Main & Solomon, 1990).

Extensive research has subsequently explored the correlation between attachment disorders and various psychopathologies (Ditzen et al., 2008; Maunder et al., 2005; Rholes & Simpson, 2004). These studies have established that attachment styles significantly influence social functioning, coping strategies, and overall psychological health (Genest & Mathieu, 2011).

Furthermore, several authors (Bartholomew et al., 2001; Bender et al., 2001; Crawford et al., 2007; Gunderson, 1996; Levy & Orlans, 2004; Magnavita, 2004; Mauricio, Tein & Lopez, 2007; Sable, 1997; Scott et al., 2009; Timmerman & Emmelkamp, 2006) have highlighted the specific links between personality disorders classified in the DSM (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders) and particular attachment styles. Specifically, Cluster A personality disorders (schizoid, schizotypal, and paranoid) are predominantly associated with avoidant attachment. In contrast, Cluster B (antisocial, histrionic, borderline, and narcissistic) and Cluster C (avoidant, dependent, and obsessive-compulsive) are more closely linked to anxious attachment styles (Genest & Mathieu, 2011).

In a similar vein, research by Aaronson et al. (2006), Dutton et al. (1994), and others indicates the prevalence of both anxious and avoidant attachment styles in borderline and antisocial personalities (Bartholomew et al., 2001; Levy & Orlans, 2004).

It is now evident that attachment style can serve as a risk factor for the development of mental pathologies, particularly those associated with high-risk behaviors. This includes

criminal "acting out" (passage à l'acte) by individuals suffering from mental disorders. Monahan (1992) and Tiihonen et al. (1993) have demonstrated that violent crimes are often committed by individuals with significant mental health conditions.

In this context, two primary research questions emerge to guide our analysis: First, to what extent can the quality of early attachment be considered a decisive factor in the transition to criminal acting out?

Second, must we invariably attribute lethal violence and homicides to psychotic disorders, or can attachment pathology provide a more robust explanation for the psychological dysfunction observed in legally sane offenders?

To address these questions, the following section outlines the qualitative methodology employed in this study. By combining a narrative synthesis of existing empirical data with a retrospective clinical analysis, we aim to demonstrate how attachment pathology bridges the gap between early relational trauma and adult lethal violence.

4. Attachment Styles and Violent Offending: The Case of Domestic Homicide

The two questions posed above have garnered significant attention from researchers, leading to several key insights. For instance, the work of Berman et al. (1998), Fountoulakis et al. (2008), Mauricio et al. (2007), Bartholomew et al. (2001), and Dutton et al. (1994) collectively suggests that mental and personality disorders, alongside attachment disturbances, predispose individuals to violent behavior. Many acts of domestic violence, for example, are perpetrated by individuals suffering from behavioral, emotional, and relational distress resulting from inadequate attachment with a primary figure during childhood (Allison et al., 2008; Bartholomew & Allison, 2006).

In this context, Bartholomew and colleagues posited that individuals who experienced frustrations in their attachment bonds and are vulnerable to the perceived loss of an attachment figure may resort to violence in adulthood as a desperate means of keeping others close (Genest & Mathieu, 2011). Essentially, they compensate for their insecurities through violent acts; having adopted anxious-ambivalent or avoidant attachment styles, they lose faith in the responsiveness of others to their needs and tend to develop dysfunctional intimate relationships.

Furthermore, these individuals often struggle to commit to relationships because they perceive every bond as carrying an inherent risk of abandonment (Steinhauer, 1996). When confronted with relational conflict, archaic anxieties may surface, triggering uncontrolled violent behaviors to express dissatisfaction toward the attachment figure or their substitutes.

A 2008 national study on domestic homicides in France concluded that while multiple factors—such as alcoholism, unemployment, and the projection of internal conflicts—play a role (De Neuter, 2013), the factor related to abandonment anxiety is particularly noteworthy. This anxiety originates in the child's psycho-affective development with their attachment figure. Much like a child who expresses insecurity and fear of abandonment through angry outbursts, leading to poor emotional control and difficulty feeling remorse (Noël, 2003), most perpetrators of uxoricide (the killing of a wife by her husband) commit the crime during a phase of separation. In these cases, the man refuses to let go of his former partner, treating her as a possession rather than an individual (Hirigoyen, 1998; De Neuter, 2013).

Bartholomew et al. (2001) argue that individuals frustrated by their attachment bonds are plagued by a sense of loss regarding the attachment figure. In adulthood, they may behave violently to maintain proximity to others. This suggests that the expression of affect, love, and attention becomes perverted in subjects with attachment disorders. This likely explains why the link between attachment and violence has primarily been studied through the lens of domestic violence (Allison et al., 2008; Dumas et al., 2008; Lawson, 2008; Pietromonaco et

al., 2004), as the sexual partner effectively functions as a primary attachment figure (Dutton et al., 1994), thereby triggering the spouse's core insecurities.

Insecure attachment styles (anxious, avoidant, or ambivalent) are strongly correlated with violent acting out within a couple (Brassard & Lussier, 2009). These individuals find themselves in a vicious cycle: while they feel uncomfortable in intimate relationships, they simultaneously harbor an intense need for reassurance (Mikulincer & Shaver, 2007). They may attempt to keep their partners at a distance, only to quickly experience a desperate need for validation. If a breakup becomes imminent, they lose emotional control and become violent and angry. Their low frustration tolerance and violent reactions are fueled by cognitive and behavioral schemas maintained by early patterns of abandonment and trauma.

5. The Nexus Between Attachment Styles and Sexual Offending

When examining perpetrators who resort to reactive violence, there is substantial reason to believe that attachment styles play a decisive role in the emergence of this phenomenon. Murders, rapes, and egregious abuses may be committed by individuals suffering from significant attachment disturbances.

Studies by Bogaerts et al. (2005a, 2005b, 2006), Marshall (1993), Miner et al. (2016), Smallbone and Dadds (1998), and Ward et al. (1995) collectively maintain that sexual offenses in general, and child abuse in particular, stem from maladaptive attachment styles. Early attachment relationships allow children to develop a sense of "epistemic trust"—that is, a genuine confidence in the authenticity and reliability of knowledge transmitted through interpersonal encounters (Fonagy & Allison, 2014; Fonagy et al., 2015). As the individual matures and interpersonal dynamics increase in complexity, those with insecure attachment systematically lose trust in others. Consequently, they develop maladaptive strategies to maintain social contact, often employing "harmful" or "toxic" methods such as manipulation, emotional blackmail, physical and verbal violence, or degradation.

According to Ward et al. (1995), the attachment style and the subsequent relational difficulties encountered by sex offenders can partially explain the nature of the deviant act and the specific dynamic established with the victim:

- Firstly, sex offenders with an anxious/ambivalent attachment primarily seek intimacy and tend to become hyper-involved in romantic relationships. However, as these relationships generate intense anxiety, they seek partners they can easily control. This partially explains why some offenders choose children as victims; they perceive the child as a partner with whom they can establish emotional intimacy that may later escalate into sexual abuse.
- Secondly, sex offenders with Type I avoidant attachment also seek intimacy, yet their overwhelming fear of rejection leads them to avoid deep emotional connections with adults. As a result, they opt for an impersonal alternative, engaging solely in sexualized contacts devoid of emotional depth, a pattern frequently observed in recidivist sexual harassers.
- Thirdly, sex offenders characterized by Type II avoidant attachment exhibit a rigid need for independence and autonomy. For these individuals, relationships must involve minimal emotion or personal investment. The distance they maintain is often enforced through hostility. When triggered to act out, their behavior is typically aggressive, manifesting in violent sexual contact. This profile is most clearly associated with sexual predators and serial rapists.

6. Insecure Attachment and the Genesis of Serial Violence: A Clinical and Retrospective Analysis

We posit that the applications of attachment theory extend far beyond explaining domestic violence or sexual offending. Indeed, many violent crimes are committed by individuals whose legal "sanity" is intact, yet who exhibit severe psychological dysfunction stemming primarily from childhood trauma. Bowlby's initial observations were based on young

delinquents who had suffered maltreatment, loss, and abandonment during World War II. This suggests that childhood trauma generally arises from two primary factors: maltreatment in all its forms and/or experiences of profound loss.

In criminology, these factors have been studied to establish causal links with criminal conduct. Boswell (1996) and Fonagy et al. (1997) found that 90% of their research populations (young offenders) had been victims of childhood violence, a factor that fundamentally impedes the development of secure attachment.

A significant longitudinal study by Gilliom et al. (2002) evaluated 310 children from the age of 18 months to 6 years, assessing their attachment styles and capacity to cope with frustration. The results were striking: children with insecure attachment were significantly more likely to adopt violent behaviors and exhibited a lower tolerance for frustration. These findings align with Critchfield et al. (2008), who identified a correlation between high levels of insecure/avoidant attachment and various forms of aggressive conduct in clinical populations.

Furthermore, Rouchy et al. (2019) highlighted the role of avoidant attachment in the occurrence of criminal acting out. Through a meta-analysis of 42 scientific studies, they concluded: "subjects characterized by avoidant attachment are marked by a primacy of drive and an impulsivity that allows for acting out in the form of rape or brutal physical violence" (p. 10). Consequently, it is essential to consider the content of parental imagoes, attachment dynamics, and interpersonal relational modes when conducting psychological evaluations or therapeutic interventions with violent offenders. These factors help elucidate the "incomprehensible" behaviors found in criminal seriality—specifically, serial killers[†].

Serial killers are known for the extreme brutality of their crimes (e.g., decapitation, necrophilia, and mutilation), often committed to achieve a state of "emotional relief." When interviewed about his first murder, serial killer Keith Jespersion (who claimed eight victims) stated: "The first one was an accident. After that, it becomes easier... like a habit, a solution to your problems. It's like hunting; the first time you see an animal die, you're impressed. Over time, you become insensitive to suffering" (Fezzani, 2011).

The absurd and illogical nature of such crimes often leads to them being labeled as "madness," implying the perpetrator is psychotic or detached from reality. However, as Bénézech (1997) noted: "the madness of the crime is not necessarily synonymous with the madness of its author" (p. 169). Instead, it may reflect an emotional imbalance caused by multiple factors, including attachment pathology.

Biographical analyses of serial killers often reveal similar narratives: parental abandonment and physical, psychological, or sexual abuse within the family. Hickey (1997) found that in a group of 62 serial killers, 48% had faced rejection by a parent or a significant attachment figure. Humiliation, parental neglect, and abandonment are significant risk factors for serial acting out (Hale, 1994; Whitman & Akutagawa, 2004), as they disrupt the parent-child bond and play a crucial role in the genesis of violent behavior and the experience of "agonizing loneliness."

Ramslund (2006) and Martens & Palermo (2005) argue that this agonizing loneliness can drive the criminal motivations of serial killers and their need to control victims. Through this control, the killer seeks reassurance and a sense of existence—a dynamic vividly illustrated by the case of Edmund Kemper.

7. Clinical Vignette: Edmund Kemper

[†] According to the FBI Behavioral Science Unit (2005), a serial killer is an individual who commits two or more murders in separate events with a "cooling-off" period. The motive is typically psychological rather than passionate, political, or financial.

Edmund Kemper, known as the "Co-ed Killer," is a notorious American serial killer convicted of ten murders, including his mother and grandparents. Kemper was profoundly humiliated by his mother (his primary attachment figure) and his older sister. From a young age, he engaged in the torture and decapitation of animals. When his mother sent him to live with his paternal grandparents, he experienced this as a profound abandonment (Padel, 2012). At age 15, Kemper committed a double homicide, shooting his grandmother, who had maltreated him, and then his grandfather—claiming he killed the latter "out of pity" so he would not have to learn of his wife's death.

After five years in a psychiatric facility, Kemper was released and returned to live with his mother. Between 1972 and 1973, he murdered six young women, engaging in necrophilia with their remains. On April 21, 1973, he killed his mother, decapitated her, and used her head as a target for a dart game. Psychiatric evaluations following his arrest found no evidence of psychosis; however, he displayed clear signs of Antisocial Personality Disorder (Padel, 2012).

In this case, the abuse Kemper suffered from his mother, sister, and grandmother reinforced his profound insecurities. Experiences of maltreatment and abandonment foster an insecure-avoidant attachment style and often lead to an inability to modulate impulsivity (Kesner & McKenry, 1998; De Neuter, 2013). His first murder was likely an impulsive act triggered by extreme stress. His subsequent incarceration during adolescence deprived him of the opportunity to develop healthy social schemas.

Upon his release, Kemper attempted to conform to social norms, yet his antisocial traits were already deeply ingrained. Uncomfortable with the opposite sex due to a rudimentary attachment style, he was unable to maintain "normal" relationships; notably, he only engaged in sexual acts with his victims after their death. His murderous cycle ended only after he killed his primary attachment figure—his mother. This final act signaled his profound emotional despair toward the woman he believed to be the source of his psychological torment.

The life and crimes of Edmund Kemper serve as a paradigmatic illustration of how a profound breakdown in the primary attachment bond can catalyze a trajectory toward lethal criminal seriality. According to the theoretical framework, a child's early affective relationship with their caregiver establishes the "secure base" necessary for healthy emotional regulation. In Kemper's case, this foundation was replaced by persistent humiliation and maltreatment at the hands of his mother, his primary attachment figure. This chronic relational trauma fostered an insecure-avoidant attachment style, characterized by a deep-seated mistrust of others and a systematic deactivation of normal emotional responses. The perceived abandonment he experienced when sent to live with his grandparents further shattered his internal security, leading to his initial impulsive "protest" in the form of a double homicide at age 15. This early act of violence aligns with research indicating that precarious attachment leads to poor impulse control and a diminished capacity to tolerate frustration.

As Kemper matured, his inability to develop "epistemic trust"—the confidence in social knowledge gained through healthy interactions—resulted in the adoption of increasingly maladaptive and toxic strategies for social contact. His incarceration during adolescence further stunted his social development, leaving him with a rudimentary attachment style that rendered adult intimacy perceived as inherently threatening. Consequently, his expression of affect became perverted; by engaging in necrophilia, he sought to bypass the risk of rejection associated with living partners, a hallmark of severe avoidant attachment. The article posits that serial killers often utilize instrumental control over their victims to reassure themselves of their own existence amid "agonizing loneliness". For Kemper, this culminated in the final resolution of his attachment conflict: the murder and decapitation of his mother. This act was

not merely a crime of passion but a desperate attempt to destroy the source of his psychological torment and reclaim a sense of identity within a "deactivated existence".

Table 02: Comparative Analysis - Theoretical Attachment Deficits vs. the Case of Edmund Kemper

Attachment Theory Framework	Kemper's Clinical Manifestations	Connection to the Article's Thesis
Insecure-Avoidant Style (Group A): Focuses on toys/objects; ignores the caregiver during reunion.	Sexualized Objects: Kemper could only engage in sexual acts with victims after their death, treating them as inanimate objects rather than sentient beings.	Illustrates the "impersonal alternative" and the fear of deep emotional connections with adults.
Epistemic Trust Deficit: Failure to develop trust in the authenticity of social knowledge.	Relational Incompetence: Incapable of maintaining "normal" social interactions or healthy social schemas.	Validates how early relational failure leads to "toxic" methods of social contact (manipulation, violence).
Abandonment Anxiety: A primary driver where separation triggers uncontrolled violent behaviors.	Profound Abandonment: The feeling of being discarded by his mother when sent to his grandparents served as a catalyst for early homicide.	Confirms that perpetrators often commit crimes during phases of separation or perceived loss.
Lack of Impulse Control: Inability to modulate emotional and impulse control due to precarious attachment.	Reactive/Impulsive Murder: His first killings at age 15 were described as impulsive acts triggered by maltreatment and extreme stress.	Demonstrates that precariously attached children are more likely to adopt violent behaviors under frustration.
Distortion of Affect: Love and attention become perverted and expressed through hostility.	The Final Act (Uxoricide Logic): The decapitation of his mother was the ultimate expression of despair toward the primary attachment figure.	Mirrors the concept that violence is used to maintain proximity or exert ultimate control over the attachment figure.

Ultimately, Kemper's case validates that egregious violence can emerge from individuals who are legally "sane" yet suffer from catastrophic affective deficiencies rooted in early attachment failure.

Edmund Kemper never felt at ease with himself or others. His heinous crimes were perhaps a desperate attempt to find "a mystery in the bodies of others that he could not find in himself—the mystery of humanity" (Babaz, 1997, p. 175).

8. Limitations of the Study

Despite its theoretical and clinical contributions, the present study presents several limitations that must be acknowledged in order to situate its conclusions within an appropriate scientific framework.

First, the study adopts a theorico-clinical and narrative review design rather than an empirical or experimental methodology. Consequently, the arguments developed here rely primarily on the synthesis and interpretation of existing literature rather than on original data collection. While this approach allows for conceptual integration across developmental, clinical, and forensic psychology, it does not permit causal inferences regarding the relationship between attachment insecurity and violent criminal behavior. The associations discussed should therefore be understood as interpretative and heuristic rather than demonstrative.

Second, the explanatory model proposed may risk theoretical reductionism if interpreted in isolation. Violent behavior is widely recognized as a multifactorial phenomenon shaped by the interaction of psychological, biological, social, and environmental determinants. Attachment disturbances constitute only one potential vulnerability factor among many, including neurodevelopmental variables, personality organization, socio-economic adversity, substance use, and situational triggers. The present analysis does not aim to establish attachment pathology as a sufficient or exclusive explanation for violent offending.

Third, the clinical illustration based on the case of Edmund Kemper represents a retrospective and secondary analysis derived from biographical and forensic sources. Such material is inherently limited by reconstruction bias, selective reporting, and the absence of direct clinical assessment. The case should therefore be considered an illustrative heuristic example intended to clarify theoretical mechanisms rather than empirical validation of the proposed framework. Furthermore, extreme cases such as serial homicide are statistically rare and cannot be generalized to the broader population of violent offenders.

Fourth, the literature reviewed predominantly emphasizes studies supporting associations between insecure attachment and violence, which may introduce confirmation bias. Future research would benefit from incorporating contradictory findings and comparative perspectives in order to refine the specificity and limits of attachment-based explanations.

Finally, cultural and contextual variables were not systematically addressed in the present analysis. Attachment processes and expressions of violence may vary across sociocultural environments, suggesting the need for cross-cultural and longitudinal empirical investigations to better evaluate the generalizability of the proposed interpretations.

Taken together, these limitations highlight the necessity of future empirical, longitudinal, and multidisciplinary research aimed at clarifying the mechanisms through which early relational experiences interact with broader developmental and contextual factors in the emergence of violent behavior.

Conclusion:

The review of diverse studies and perspectives regarding the nexus between attachment, psychopathology, and violence demonstrates a profound correlation among these three elements. This underscores the imperative to integrate the foundational insights of Bowlby (1969) and Ainsworth (1969) regarding the affective bond between infants and their primary caregivers from the earliest stages of development.

Deficits in primary affective relationships inevitably degrade the quality of attachment, which subsequently distorts all future emotional bonds. Adverse childhood experiences—including abuse, abandonment, and bereavement—form a biological, cognitive, and behavioral foundation for a myriad of psychological and psychiatric disorders. Consequently, attachment quality must be viewed as a vital variable in any therapeutic or forensic

assessment. It provides the clinician with a clear perspective on the subject's socio-affective developmental trajectory and highlights the specific obstacles encountered during growth. Furthermore, attachment styles inform us about the cognitive patterns the subject employs, particularly regarding problem-solving and frustration tolerance.

Attachment disturbances (insecure, avoidant, or anxious) significantly heighten the risk of violent acting out and serious offending. As argued throughout this contribution, researchers have established that a precarious attachment style—compounded by deficits in emotional and impulse control developed in childhood—often serves as a precursor to violent behavior.

It is essential to clarify that homicides and acts of severe violence are not exclusively the domain of a psychiatric population. Egregious crimes can be perpetrated by individuals who are legally "sane," yet harbor profound affective deficiencies and psychological dysfunctions, as seen in the profiles of various serial killers.

In conclusion, attachment theory offers a robust framework for explaining criminal phenomena, particularly criminal seriality (e.g., serial murder and serial rape). Through their acts, these perpetrators seek to "promote and sustain a being that has neither existence nor consistency themselves. In such crimes, acting out succeeds in relieving total boredom and patching over the anguish of an absent identity and a deactivated existence" (Babaz, 1997, p. 175).

References

- Aaronson, C. J., Bender, D. S., Skodol, A. E., Gunderson, J. G. (2006). Comparison of attachment styles in borderline personality disorder and obsessive-compulsive personality disorder, *Psychiatric Quarterly*, 77, 1, pp. 69-80.
- Ainsworth M., Blehar, M., Waters, E., & Wall, S. (1978). Patterns of attachment : A psychological study of the strange situation, Hillsdale, USA: Erlbaum.
- Ainsworth, M. (1969). Object relations, dependency and attachment: a theoretical review of the infant – mother relationship, *Child Dev*, 40 (4), pp. 969 – 1025.
- Allison, C. J., Bartholomew, K., Mayseless, O., Dutton, D. G. (2008). Love as a battlefield: Attachment and relationship dynamics in couples identified for male partner violence, *Journal of Family Issues*, 29, 1, pp.125-150.
- Babaz, W. (1997). Le problème des tueurs à victimes multiples. Dans T, Albernhé (Ed). *Criminologie et psychiatrie* (pp. 173 – 177), Paris, France : Ellipses.
- Bartholomew, K., & Horowitz. L. M. (1991). Attachment styles among young adults: a test of a four-category model. *J Pers Soc Psychol*, 61(2), pp. 226–244.
- Bartholomew, K., Allison, C. J. (2006). An attachment perspective on abusive dynamics in intimate relationships, Dans Mikulincer, M., Goodman, G. S., eds., *Dynamics of Romantic Love : Attachment, Caregiving and Sex* (pp. 102-127), Guilford Press, New York.
- Bartholomew, K., Henderson, A. J. Z., Dutton, D. G., (2001). Insecure attachment and abusive intimate relationships, Dans Clulow, C., ed., *Adult Attachment and Couple Work : Applying the “Secure Base” Concept in Research and Practice* (pp. 43-61), Routledge, London
- Bender, D. S., Farber, B. A., Geller, J. D., (2001). Cluster B personality traits and attachment, *Journal of the American Academy of Psychoanalysis*, 29, 4, pp. 551-563.
- Bénézech, M. (1997). Essai de taxonomie des homicides pathologiques. Dans T, Albernhé (Ed). *Criminologie et psychiatrie*, (pp. 166 – 173), Paris, France : Ellipses.
- Berman, M. E., Fallon, A. E., Coccaro, E. F. (1998). The relationship between personality psychopathology and aggressive behavior in research volunteers, *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 107, 4, pp. 651-658.
- Bifulco, A. (2006). Expérience durant l'enfance et agression sexuelle. Dans T. H. Pham (Ed), *L'évaluation diagnostique des agresseurs sexuels* (pp. 09-42), Sprimont, Belgique : MARDAGA.
- Bloch, H., Chemam, R., Dépret, E., Gallo, A., Leconte, P., Le Ny, J.F., & Postel. J. (1999). Grand dictionnaire de la psychologie. Montparnasse, France : Larousse-Bordas.
- Bogaerts, S., Declercq, F., Vanheule, S., & Palmans, V. (2005). Interpersonal factors and personality disorders as discriminators between intra-familial and extra-familial child molesters. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 49, pp. 48-62. Doi :10.1177/0306624x04271233
- Bogaerts, S., Vanheule, S., & Declercq, F. (2005). Recalled parental bonding, adult attachment style, and personality disorders in child molesters: A comparative study. *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology*, 16, pp. 445-458. Doi :10.1080/14789940500094524
- Bogaerts, S., Vanheule, S., & Desmet, M. (2006). Personality disorders and romantic adult attachment: A comparison of secure and insecure attached child molesters. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 50, pp. 139-147. Doi :10.1177/0306624X05278515
- Boswell, G. (1996). Young and dangerous: The backgrounds and careers of section 53 offenders. Aldershot, UK: Avebury.
- Bowlby, J. (1969). Attachment and loss: Attachment, (1re et 2e édition respectivement). London, UK : Basic Books.

Brassard, A., Lussier, Y. (2009). L'attachement dans les relations de couples : fonctions et enjeux cliniques, *Psychologie Québec*, 26, 3, pp. 24-26.

Crawford, T. N., Livesley, W. J., Jang, K. L., Shaver, P. R., Cohen, P., Ganiban, J., (2007). Insecure attachment and personality disorder: A twin study of adults, *European Journal of Personality*, 21, 2, pp. 191-208.

Critchfield, K.L., Kenneth, N.L., John, F.C., & Otto F. K. (2008). The relational context of aggression in borderline personality disorder: using adult attachment style to predict forms of hostility. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 64, 1, pp. 67-82.

De Neuter, P. (2013). Violences masculines et angoisses d'abandon. *Cliniques méditerranéennes*, 2, 88, pp. 113-122.

Ditzen, B., Schmidt, S., Strauss, B., Nater, U. M., Ehlert, U., Heinrichs, M. (2008). Adult attachment and social support interact to reduce psychological but not cortisol responses to stress, *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 64, 5, pp. 479-486.

Doumas, D. M., Pearson, C. L., Elgin, J. E., Mckinley, L. L. (2008). Adult attachment as a risk factor for intimate partner violence : The “mispairing” of partners’ attachment styles, *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 23, 5, pp. 616-634.

Dutton, D. G., Saunders, K., Starzomski, A., Bartholomew, K. (1994). Intimacy-anger and insecure attachment as precursors of abuse in intimate relationships, *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 24, 15, pp. 1367-1386.

Eurelings-bontekoe, E. H. M., Verschuur, M. J., Schreuder, B. (2003). Personality, temperament and attachment style among offspring of World War II victims: an integration of descriptive and structural features of personality, *Traumatology*, 9, pp. 106-121.

Federal Bureau of Investigation [FBI]. (2005). <https://www.fbi.gov/statsservices/publications/serial-murder>. Consultation at August, 28th 2022.

Fezzani, N. (2011). Mes tueurs en série, Quebec, Canada : Les éditions de l'Homme.

Fonagy, P., & Allison, E. (2014). The role of mentalizing and epistemic trust in the therapeutic relationship. *Psychotherapy*, 51, pp. 372-380. Doi :10.1037/a0036505

Fonagy, P., Luyten, P., & Allison, E. (2015). Epistemic petrification and the restoration of epistemic trust : A new conceptualization of borderline personality disorder and its psychosocial treatment. *Journal of Personality Disorders*, 29, pp. 575-609.

Fonagy, P., Target, M., Steele, M., Steele, H., Leigh, T., Levinson, A., & Kennedy, R. (1997). Morality, disruptive behaviour, borderline personality disorder, crime, and their relationships to security of attachment. Dans L. Atkinson and K. J. Zucker (Eds), *Attachment and psychopathology* (pp. 223–274). New York : Guilford Press.

Fountoulakis, K. N., Leucht, S., Kaprinis, G. S. (2008). Personality disorders and violence, *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 21, 1, pp. 84-92.

Gahar, S., & Bahmed, A. (2019). Psychologie de l'adolescent. In M. Khiati (Ed), *Psychologie Médicale*, (pp. 42-52), Ben Aknoun, Alger : OPU.

Genest, A. & Mathieu, C. (2011). Lien entre troubles de personnalité, troubles de l'attachement et comportements violents : synthèse des écrits. *Santé mentale au Québec*, 36(2), pp. 161–180. Doi :10.7202/1008595ar

Gilliom, M., Shaw, D. S., Beck, J. E., Schonberg, M. A., & Lukon, J. L. (2002). Anger regulation in disadvantaged preschool boys: Strategies, antecedents, and the development of self-control. *Developmental Psychology*, 38(2), pp. 222–235. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.38.2.222>

Gunderson, J. G. (1996). Borderline patient's intolerance of aloneness: Insecure attachments and therapist availability, *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 153, 6, pp. 752-758.

Hale, R. (1994). The role of humiliation and embarrassment in serial murder. *Psychology : A Journal of Human Behavior*, 31(2), pp. 17–23.

Harrati, S., Mazoyer, V., & Vavassori, D. (2013). Traduction au Thematic Apperception Test des modèles d'attachement insécurité des femmes criminelles. *L'évolution psychiatrique*. Doi <http://dx.org/10.1016/j.evopsy.2013.06.001>

Henderson, A. J. Z., Bartholomew, K., Trinke, S. J., Kwong, M. J. (2005). When loving means hurting : An exploration of attachment and intimate abuse in a community sample, *Journal of Family Violence*, 20, 4, pp. 219- 230.

Hickey, E. (1997). Serial murderers and their victims. Belmont, Canada : Wadsworth Pub Co.

Hirigoyen, M.F., (1998). Le harcèlement moral. La violence perverse au quotidien. Paris, France : Pocket.

Kesner, J. E., & McKenry, P. C. (1998). The role of childhood attachment factors in predicting male violence toward female intimates. *Journal of Family Violence*, 13(4), pp. 417–432.

Lawson, D. M. (2008). Attachment, interpersonal problems, and family of origin functioning: Differences between partner violent and nonpartner violent men, *Psychology of Men and Masculinity*, 9, 2, pp. 90-105.

Levy, K. N., Meehan, K. B., Weber, M., Reynoso, J., Clarkin, J. F. (2005). Attachment and Borderline Personality Disorder: Implications for Psychotherapy, *Psychopathology*, 38, 2, pp. 64-74.

Levy, T. M., Orlans, M. (2000). Attachment disorder as an antecedent to violence and antisocial patterns in children, In Levy, T. M., ed., *Handbook of Attachment Interventions* (pp. 1-26), Academic Press, San Diego.

Levy, T. M., Orlans, M., (2004). Attachment disorder, antisocial personality, and violence, *Annals of the American Psychotherapy Association*, 7, 4, pp. 18-23.

Magnavita, J. J. (2004). Classification, prevalence, and etiology of personality disorders: Related issues and controversy, In Magnavita, J. J., ed., *Handbook of Personality Disorders: Theory and Practice* (pp. 3-23), John Wiley and Sons Inc., Hoboken, NJ.

Main, M., & Solomon, J. (1990). Procedures for classifying infants as disorganized/disoriented during the Ainsworth Strange Situation?», In M, Greenberg., D, Cicchetti., & E, Cummings (Eds.), *Attachment in the preschool years* (pp. 121-160), Chicago, USA : Chicago University Press.

Marshall, W. L. (1993). The role of attachments, intimacy, and loneliness in the etiology and maintenance of sexual offending. *Sexual and Relationship Therapy*, 8, pp. 109-121. Doi :10.1080/14681990903550191

Martens, W., & Palermo, G. (2005). Loneliness and Associated Violent Antisocial Behavior: Analysis of the Case Reports of Jeffrey Dahmer and Dennis Nilsen. *International journal of offender therapy and comparative criminology*, 49, pp. 298-307. Doi : 10.1177/0306624X05274898.

Maunder, R. G., Lancee, W. J., Hunter, J. J., Greenberg, G. R., Steinhart, A. H. (2005). Attachment insecurity moderates the relationship between disease activity and depressive symptoms in ulcerative colitis, *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases Journal*, 11, 10, pp. 919-926.

Mauricio, A. M., Tein, J.-Y., Lopez, F. G. (2007). Borderline and antisocial personality scores as mediators between attachment and intimate partner violence, *Violence and Victims*, 22, 2, pp. 139-157.

Meyer, B., Pilkonis, P. A., Proietti, J. M., Heape, C. L., Egan, M. (2001). Attachment styles and personality disorders as predictors of symptom course, *Journal of Personality Disorders*, 15, 5, pp. 371-389.

Mikulincer, M., & Shaver, P. R. (2007). Attachment in adulthood: Structure, dynamics, and change. New York, USA : The Guilford Press.

Miner, M. H., Swinburne Romine, R., Robinson, B. B., Berg, D., & Knight, R. A. (2016). Anxious attachment, social isolation, and indicators of sex drive and compulsivity: Predictors of child sexual abuse perpetration in adolescent males? *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment*, 28, pp. 132-153. Doi :10.1177/1079063214547585

Monahan, J. (1992). Mental disorder and violent behavior: Perceptions and evidence. *American Psychologist*, 47, pp. 511-521.

Nickell, A. D., Waudby, C. J., Trull, T. J. (2002). Attachment, parental bonding and borderline personality disorder features in young adults, *Journal of Personality Disorders*, 16, 2, pp. 148-159.

Pietromonaco, P. R., Greenwood, D., Barrett, L. F. (2004). Conflict in adult close relationships : An attachment perspective, Dans Rholes, W. S., Simpson, J. A., eds., *Adult Attachment : Theory, Research, and Clinical Implications* (pp. 267-299), Guilford Publications, New York.

Pradel, J. (2012). Ed Kemper, tueur en série et personnage de roman, émission *L'Heure du crime* sur RTL, 17 avril.

Ramsland, K. (2006). Inside the Minds of Serial Killers. Californie, USA : ABC-CLIO, LLC,

Rholes, W. S., Simpson, J. A. (2004). Attachment theory : Basic concepts and contemporary questions, in Rholes, W. S., Simpson, J. A., eds., *Adult Attachment: Theory, Research, and Clinical Implications* (pp. 3-14), Guilford Publications, New York.

Rouchy E, et al. (2019). De l'attachement au crime : une revue systématique des relations entre styles d'attachement et nature du passage à l'acte criminel. *Ann Med Psychol (Paris)* (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amp.2019.09.006>

Sable, P., 1997, Attachment, detachment and borderline personality disorder, *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, 34, 2, pp. 171-181.

Scott, L. N., Levy, K. N., Pincus, A. L. (2009). Adult attachment, personality traits, and borderline personality disorder features in young adults, *Journal of Personality Disorders*, 23, 3, pp. 258-280.

Smallbone, S. W., & Dadds, M. R. (1998). Childhood attachment and adult attachment in incarcerated adult male sex offenders. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 13, pp. 555-573. Doi :10.1177/088626098013005001

Tereno, S., Soaras, I., Martins, E., Sampaio, D., & Carlson, E. (2007). Théorie de l'attachement, son importance dans un contexte pédiatrique. *Devenir*, 19(2), pp. 151-188.

Tiihonen, J., Eronen, M., & Hakola, P. (1993). Criminality associated with mental disorders and intellectual deficiency. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 50(11), pp. 917-918. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1993.01820230087010>

Timmerman, I. G. H., Emmelkamp, P. M. G. (2006). The relationship between attachment styles and Cluster B personality disorders in prisoners and forensic inpatients, *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 29, 1, pp. 48-56.

Ward, T., Hudson, S. M., Marshall, W. L., & Siegert, R. (1995). Attachment style and intimacy deficits in sexual offenders: A theoretical framework. *Sex Abuse : A Journal of Research and Treatment*, 7, pp. 317-355

Whitman, T.S., & Akutagawa, D. (2004). Riddles in serial murder: A synthesis. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 9, pp. 693-703.