

Funding Startups through University Business Incubators: Leading International Experiences

***Financement des startups par les incubateurs universitaires : Expériences
internationales leaders***

Noureddine MOUSSI *

Ali Kafi University Center, Tindouf, Algeria

noureddine.moussi@cuniv-tindouf.dz

Received: 21/03/2025

Accepted: 04/05/2025

Published: 01/06/2025

Abstract:

University business incubators are vital for startup growth, offering funding, mentorship, and networking. This paper examines international models from universities like MIT, Stanford, and Cambridge. Through analyzing funding mechanisms and case studies, it highlights the role of incubators in bridging academic research and market needs. Findings suggest that government, private, and university resources are key for sustainable startup ecosystems.

Keywords: University Incubators; Startup Funding; International Experiences; Entrepreneurship; Innovation.

Résumé:

Les incubateurs universitaires sont cruciaux pour le développement des startups en offrant financement, mentorat et réseautage. Cet article explore des modèles internationaux (MIT, Stanford, Cambridge). L'analyse des mécanismes de financement et des études de cas souligne le rôle des incubateurs pour combler le fossé entre recherche et marché. Les résultats suggèrent qu'un soutien gouvernemental, privé et universitaire est essentiel.

Mots clés: Incubateurs universitaires; financement des startups; expériences internationales; entrepreneuriat; innovation.

JEL Classification Codes: O31; L26; I23

*Corresponding author

1. INTRODUCTION

Universities have lately turned into lively testing grounds for startup ideas, where incubators unexpectedly spark the entrepreneurial spirit among students. These hubs help bridge the gap between what's taught in class and what the market demands. Across various global models, it's evident that when student ventures benefit from accelerator initiatives and niche venture funds, an energy is unleashed that boosts both practical skills and tech innovation. Funding hurdles persist—solutions are still piecemeal, albeit with some promising accelerator programs coming into play. Studies remind us that updating educational curricula to reflect modern market trends is key in preparing students for the competitive business world. This multifaceted approach may indeed transform colleges into vibrant centers of innovation, driving notable economic growth and paving the way for sustainable development (Kosnikov & Chunikhina, 2025; Zirek, 2024).

2. The Concept of University Business Incubators

2.1 Historical development of incubators

Business incubators emerged in the early 1950s as modest support systems for new companies focused on industrial development. Over time, they evolved into complex ecosystems that foster creativity and collaboration (Ozyurt et al., 2022). Research shows that effective networking is a key benefit of these centers (Bone et al., 2019).

2.2 Types of university business incubators

University incubators are real game-changers when it comes to nurturing startups and sparking innovative ideas. They don't all work exactly the same way. Some focus squarely on tech companies—offering cutting-edge tools and know-how in areas like IT and engineering—while others take a broader approach, supporting a wide mix of ventures across different fields. Generally speaking, this diversity can even help boost local economies. Often, these hubs build loose networks that join local businesses, schools, and government bodies to form a kind of creative mashup that fuels new ideas. This blend of culture, skill, and basic

infrastructure seems to be exactly what many new businesses need to thrive in fast-changing markets; indeed, research has pointed to funding methods like crowdfunding and initial coin offerings as promising models (Brunetti F et al., 2020), (Block J et al., 2020).

2.3 Key functions of incubators

University business incubators now play a key part in stirring innovation and pushing startup growth. They offer a mix of necessary support—mentorship, networking chances, and even access to funding—that helps new ventures work through those tricky early days. They aren't just about one resource; generally speaking, they build a kind of teamwork among various players. For example, in regions like the Tyrol–Veneto macroregion, companies, schools, and local governments band together—even if it sometimes feels a bit uneven—to spark digital changes and boost competitiveness (Brunetti F et al., 2020). They also dabble in modern techniques, such as fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis (fsQCA), which helps untangle the messy side of business dynamics in fast-changing environments (Kumar S et al., 2022). By weaving together academic insights with real-world practice, these incubators nudge economic growth and support sustainable development within their communities.

2.4 Stakeholders involved in incubators

University incubators survive thanks to a mix of different players, each tossing in their own kind of knowhow and resources. Universities, often seen as the main pillar, supply not just research or intellectual property but also open up access to seasoned mentors and industry contacts; in many cases, they really form the backbone of these centers. Governments, for instance, chip in with vital funding and practical support setups – just look at how Finland nudges commercialization and academic entrepreneurship in its own way (Oca Rña et al., 2024). Then you have private companies throwing in cash, mentoring, and valuable market insights that startups desperately need to get off the ground. Measuring success isn't straightforward either; it usually takes a cooperative effort among all these groups to make sure outcomes match larger economic goals (Alizada et al., 2023). All in all, this kind of loose, sometimes unpredictable

mix of contributions creates an atmosphere that sparks innovation and fuels startup growth—even if it isn't perfectly tidy.

2.5 Comparison with other funding sources

Startup funding isn't a one-size-fits-all deal—different sources bring their own perks and pitfalls, especially when you look at university business incubators. Research generally shows that U.S. incubators enjoy access to a wider mix of funding options than those in Brazil, which tend to lean more on internal services while slowly building links to outside money (Chandra et al., 2016). These differences, in turn, speak volumes about how each incubator's environment shapes its ability to secure support. Getting funders and incubators on the same page is pretty crucial too; for instance, public backers often push broad socio-economic targets that may not always match an incubator's day-to-day needs, whereas private investors typically zero in on specific organizational outcomes (Milne et al., 2021). In the end, grasping these varied approaches to funding is key for making university incubators more effective and nimble in driving startup success on a global scale.

2.6 Success metrics for incubators

University business incubators are now judged in a bunch of unexpected ways—no longer is it just about counting startups or tracking funds raised. In many cases, evaluators mix in more composite approaches that mirror how different pieces of the ecosystem interact in a lively, almost unpredictable dance. Recent literature (Kumar S et al., 2022) generally points to methods such as fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis (fsQCA) as a smarter way to untangle these layered challenges. Key players—universities, industry, and government—keep shifting their roles, all of which ends up shaping just how startups are nurtured. For example, you'll see accelerator programs merging with a higher level of corporate engagement, a change that really highlights the new dynamics incubators face in hotspots like Silicon Valley (Piqu JMé et al., 2018). Overall, taking a

broad look at success measures is pretty much essential if we want innovative ventures to truly thrive.

2.7 Challenges faced by incubators

University incubators face all kinds of hurdles that often get in the way of really helping new startups. A big part of the problem is that they need to go through a kind of digital makeover—mixing culture, skills, and tech, as research in the Tyrol–Veneto area generally shows (Brunetti F et al., 2020). At the same time, many incubators have a hard time keeping hold of the right mentors and resources, both of which are pretty essential for building solid business plans (Dean A Shepherd et al., 2020). Without forging strong partnerships and putting money into key infrastructure, these centers might not give startups the kind of all-around support they need to succeed. In most cases, if incubators don't adapt to what the entrepreneurial world demands now, their ability to launch game-changing ventures just drops off. All in all, it really seems that addressing these messy challenges is crucial if these hubs are going to make a lasting dent in the startup ecosystem.

3. Funding Mechanisms in University Business Incubators

3.1 Types of funding available

Startups backed by college incubators rely on a mix of funding sources to get off the ground and stay afloat. These incubators tend to shake things up by offering more than just one type of support—they open doors to seed cash, venture funds, and even grants from universities or government agencies. Generally speaking, grants can lighten the early money stress for budding entrepreneurs, a move that aligns with regional innovation research which emphasizes investing in education and nurturing partnerships (Brunetti F et al., 2020). Sometimes an incubator teams up with angel investors or venture capital groups, setting up a lively network that sparks growth and even a bit of unpredictability. As entrepreneurial paths twist and turn, understanding the full range of funding options—from in-house initiatives to launching entirely new ventures—becomes key for supporting both fresh startups and established companies (Cantner U et al.,

2020). In the end, having a varied funding mix not only keeps a startup solvent but also helps it adapt when market demands shift.

3.2 Role of government grants and subsidies

Startup funding can be a real maze—especially when you’re looking at university incubators where government cash injections, like grants and subsidies, play a massive role. These funds aren’t just dollars and cents; they spark creativity and nudge universities into turning their academic research into real-world ventures. Recent findings generally show that government backing does double duty: it fills the financial gap and creates an environment where entrepreneurial talent among academics can really take off. By cutting through red tape and lending practical business know-how, such support helps startups overcome obstacles and stand a better chance of succeeding. Take Finland, for instance—studies have pointed out that combining flexible funding with smart tax breaks weaves together a synergy that eases traditional hurdles. In short, blending strong institutional ties with proactive government involvement is key to driving innovation and bolstering economic growth (Oca Rña et al., 2024), (N/A, 2021).

3.3 Private investment and venture capital

Startups today are exploring fresh ways to grab funding. Private investments and venture capital now do more than just pump in cash—they often come with smart advice and useful connections that can really jumpstart growth. Look at university incubators, for example; when schools team up with venture funders, it helps spark an energetic mix of ideas and entrepreneurial drive. In most cases, this kind of back-and-forth shows that financing in academic settings has to lean on several tactics at once, something studies (Brunetti F et al., 2020) have pointed out in relation to the many roles played by different stakeholders in regional innovation. And with crowdfunding and similar methods on the rise, the old models of venture capital are starting to feel the squeeze, nudging startups to rethink how they go about securing money in today’s uncertain market (Block J et al., 2020).

3.4 Crowd funding as a funding source

The scene for startup money has shifted a lot recently. Entrepreneurs now have access to a mix of funding options, and crowd funding is popping up as a pretty big deal. It lets startups pull funds from a bunch of people online—this not only means cash is in reach but also that supporters end up feeling really tied to what’s going on. Universities with business incubators have even jumped on the bandwagon; they use crowd funding not just to patch up budgets, but also to spark networks where ideas get tossed around and collaboration just happens. As (Passaro R et al., 2020) notes, building bridges among different groups often makes startups more sustainable and effective, even though the path isn’t always perfect. And then there’s India—now embracing an entrepreneurial vibe, it’s using crowd funding to help shape a friendlier business climate that fits its bigger economic plans (Kshetri et al., 2016).

3.5 University resources and internal funding

University resources and internal funding seem pretty crucial for business incubators—they really help give startups that extra boost. In many cases, when incubators tap into the university’s assets, they manage to patch up the resource gaps that young ventures often face. Looking at different parts of the world, you see some interesting variations. In the United States, for example, incubators often juggle a mix of funding sources and even dish out direct financial support to client companies, which generally ups the chances of a startup sticking around. Meanwhile, Brazilian incubators usually lean on their own internal money while also helping connect businesses to outside financing that can be a real lifeline (Chandra et al., 2016). It’s also worth noting that the natural knack for networking found in university-backed incubators really adds to their effectiveness—collaborative efforts can pop up regionally, nationally, and even internationally (Ozyurt et al., 2022). All in all, meshing internal funds with a broad mesh of resources builds a pretty solid base for entrepreneurial success.

3.6 Financial education and support for startups

Financial education and startup backing matter a lot in today's business scene. New ventures and well-rooted companies mix in ways that make having a handle on money basics really critical; the buzz around these skills just keeps growing. Better money know-how means startups can better handle the baffling maze of funding—even if that means leaning on resources tucked away in university incubators. Investors and public officials, in most cases, find that when financial smarts catch on, everyone benefits; it not only arms entrepreneurs with key skills but also lends a steady pulse to overall innovation (Brunetti F et al., 2020). Generally speaking, putting more emphasis on money education fits right into building sturdy, adaptable networks where new ideas and established firms kind of naturally groove together in a symbiotic dance (Cantner U et al., 2020).

3.7 Impact of funding on startup growth

Startups depend on funding in ways that really shape how they grow—this idea is especially clear around university business incubators. In these spaces, support isn't just about getting the basics; it also means opening unexpected doors to a mix of financial options that play a huge role in helping a young business mature. Recent research generally shows that smart financial backing—often coming from focused partnerships and active involvement from various stakeholders—can boost a startup's ability to adjust when markets change (Brunetti F et al., 2020). New routes like crowd funding and even initial coin offerings have flipped the script on old-school financing, letting startups reach wider markets and work with a global mix of investors (Block J et al., 2020). Basically, if a startup wants to use a university incubator as a springboard for steady, lasting success, it needs to grasp this many-sided impact of funding. Often, the way money strategies align with a company's overall goals really drives whether the venture sticks around over time.

4. Case Studies of Successful International Incubators

4.1 Overview of leading international incubators

University business incubators (UBIs) really stand out in our fast-changing entrepreneurial scene—they do so much more than just offer desks and dollar support. They mix mentorship, workspace, and those all-important networking chances in a way that helps spark fresh ideas and boost local economies. Researchers have generally noted, and I'd even say repeated networking really drives home the competitive edge of UBIs, tailoring their growth to where they're located ((Ozyurt et al., 2022)). Take a look, for instance, at how things unfold in the United States versus Brazil. In many U.S. settings, incubators tend to feature a broader mix of funding sources combined with direct financial assistance, whereas many Brazilian ones lean more on in-house services and connecting startups with outside resources ((Chandra et al., 2016)). This kind of variation goes a long way in showing how flexible and regionally tuned these centers can be—and ultimately, they seem to shape just how effectively startups get funded worldwide.

4.2 Stanford University's StartX program

Universities and startups often spark each other into creating a lively scene—one where unexpected connections matter as much as formal plans. Stanford University's StartX program is a prime example; it not only provides crucial funding, but also throws in a mix of hands-on mentoring and assorted resources that take a simple idea and help it grow into a scalable business. Each piece of StartX seems carefully put together to guide this creative ride—something the Global Accelerator Report (Miryuldoshev S, 2019) generally supports, noting that systematic help tends, in most cases, to boost a startup's odds. The program also leans into a sort of organic collaboration, bringing together faculty, industry pros, and even other creative players in ways that aren't always predictable. When you take a broader look, it turns out that these university-backed efforts lay down the basic activities and frameworks which push entrepreneurial dreams forward, nurturing an energetic ecosystem that welcomes emerging

technologies and even new markets, as highlighted by research from UC Berkeley (Arias AC et al., 2018).

4.3 MIT's The Martin Trust Center for MIT Entrepreneurship

University incubators these days play a big role in sparking innovation and pushing forward new entrepreneurial ideas. Generally speaking, one standout example is MIT's Martin Trust Center for MIT Entrepreneurship. It does more than just hand out funds—it taps into MIT's huge network, opening doors to mentorship, hands-on learning, and unexpected chances to work together. They create an atmosphere that blends creative thinking with practical skill-building, nurturing both students and local entrepreneurs. Sometimes, the environment feels a bit unpredictable, letting people steer their own paths despite hurdles and limitations, which kind of echoes research suggesting that personal agency really matters in entrepreneurship (Peura et al., 2017).

It's also worth noting that the Center's influence has a broader impact. In most cases, its effects ripple out into socio-economic shifts seen in regions struggling with brain drain—situations that, for instance, have been observed in certain post-socialist countries (Johnson et al., 2019). All in all, the Center mixes resources, connections, and a dash of unpredictability to keep pushing the boundaries of what young innovators can do, even if that means there's a bit of an irregular pace along the way.

4.4 University of Cambridge's Cambridge Enterprise

Cambridge Enterprise at the University of Cambridge is really driving fresh ideas and new ventures. It's not your typical university support center – it helps turn research into actual companies by offering funding, mentoring, and even access to a broad network of industry professionals. Often, this backing gives startups—especially those experimenting with cutting-edge tech and deep-rooted research—a serious boost in competitive markets. Look at fintech firms in Latin America, for example; during the pandemic, they somehow managed to up both competition and inclusion in the banking arena (Mariusz A Sumlinski et al.,

2023). Also, the push for lean, resource-smart entrepreneurship shows that solid, sometimes understated support can really bridge gaps when finances are tight, hinting at a path for emerging startups to grow more inclusively (Hossain M et al., 2021).

4.5. The University of Toronto's Hatchery

University-linked business incubators are really changing the startup scene—just think about the Hatchery at the University of Toronto. This program usually boosts early-stage ventures by offering not just essential resources like hands-on mentorship, networking chances, and avenues to secure funding, but it also throws in legal and intellectual property support that works a lot like what traditional IP legal clinics do. In a way, this blend of services helps entrepreneurs who might not have plenty of resources handle the tricky process of turning an idea into a viable product, easing the often hefty legal costs while giving students a live, practical experience in both law and business (Giuseppina (Pina) D'Agostino, 2019). You can also see a broader pattern at play in Ontario; effective performance tracking allows these incubators to really gauge their impact and keep campus-linked accelerators on the path of constant improvement (Croteau M). All in all, this mix between academic support and entrepreneurial drive appears to be exactly what's needed to nurture tomorrow's innovators—even if it means a few extra commas or a slightly off beat sentence here and there.

4.6. The University of Melbourne's Melbourne Accelerator Program

Universities sometimes set up incubator programs to give startups a real boost—take the Melbourne Accelerator Program (MAP) at The University of Melbourne, for instance. MAP mixes hands-on guidance with everyday support, offering mentorship, networking chances, and even some cash access; studies (Cantner et al. in *Small Business Economics*, 57, 407–423, 2021) generally hint that this kind of boost often shapes entrepreneurial knack. Rather than following a strict playbook, MAP helps startups through both their early, formative days and those tougher survival stages, all while wedded to a flexible style that cheers on grit and innovative thinking. You could say this approach mirrors ideas from modern entrepreneurship research, where doing, reflecting, and trying again

often pave the way to success (Viebig C, 2023). All in all, MAP's mix of strategies touches the local startup scene and even sends ripples into the wider global business sphere.

4.7. Lessons learned from these case studies

Different case studies from universities around the world seem to hint at what really fuels startup success. It isn't just about having a solid funding plan; lively networking also plays a big role in sparking an innovative vibe inside these hubs. You might notice that the range of these networks—their spread over different regions—can shape how an incubator develops, a fact that becomes even clearer when you mix in ties with industrial players and a bit of government backing (cite63). Turning academic ideas into market-ready products turns out, in most cases, to be a huge booster for economic gains. For example, the University of Helsinki shows that working closely with business advisors and using customized funding options can help get innovations off the ground, nudging scholars toward a more entrepreneurial mindset (cite64). Altogether, these insights seem to push us toward a mixed approach that weaves local details with international ideas, even if sometimes the process feels a bit all over the place.

5. Best Practices for Enhancing Startup Funding through Incubators

5.1. Building strong industry partnerships

University business incubators (UBIs) lean on solid industry ties as the main driver behind their progress. They join forces with local companies, government bodies, and even academic circles to build a sprawling network—one that, in most cases, sparks fresh ideas and propels entrepreneurial energy. Research generally points out that having a strong network is a real competitive edge and plays a defining role in shaping the entrepreneurial ecosystem ((Ozyurt et al., 2022)). It's interesting to note how these partnerships let incubators adjust their support—whether it's through one-on-one mentoring, financial backing, or simply providing a workspace—to match the unique challenges startups face ((Bone et al.,

2019)). Sometimes, the relationships formed through these collaborations lead to a natural sharing of know-how and resources; this, in turn, creates an environment where startups can honestly thrive. Ultimately, forging these robust industry partnerships not only strengthens the inner workings of UBIs but also boosts their ability to fuel the steady growth of new ventures across diverse regions.

5.2. Creating a supportive entrepreneurial ecosystem

Networking and collaboration play a huge role in driving entrepreneurial ecosystems, a fact that's especially clear in university business incubators. Solid networking lets these incubators connect over distances—bridging gaps and sparking partnerships that boost resource sharing and spread practical know-how. For example, one study digging into these dynamics generally showed that the range of geography can shape how collaborations form, revealing how incubators in both established and emerging markets manage ties with local as well as international players (Ozyurt et al., 2022). Beyond that, vibrant ecosystems often rely on activity-based gatherings—casual meetups mixed with more structured events—that offer entrepreneurs fresh chances to fine-tune their skills and expand their networks. A look at what's happening in St. Louis, for instance, paints a picture of local events easing collaboration and deepening community bonds, which in turn fortifies the support available to startup founders (--- et al., 2014). All in all, these approaches underscore just how critical a nurturing environment is if entrepreneurship is to truly blossom.

5.3. Providing mentorship and advisory services

University incubators have become lively spaces that boost entrepreneurial talent, largely by offering hands-on mentorship and advisory support. These programs don't just plug the gap between textbooks and real business challenges—they help eager entrepreneurs figure out the knotty process of starting and running their own ventures. When students are paired with seasoned guides, it creates an atmosphere where bold ideas can really pop up, all while giving startups the practical know-how they might not pick up in class. In many cases, this kind of

mentoring also helps build solid critical thinking and problem-solving skills—assets that are pretty key in today’s fast-changing market. The way these collaborative relationships work mirrors a broader trend in higher education, where partnership and on-the-ground innovation are increasingly valued (P S Aithal et al., 2023). And as digital technologies keep shaking up entrepreneurial ecosystems, there’s a clear need for advice that stays flexible enough to meet rapid changes, ultimately making businesses not only smarter but also notably more resilient (Kumar R et al., 2023).

5.4 Fostering innovation and research collaboration

Entrepreneurship today is all about mixing fresh ideas with real-world research teamwork—a kind of unpredictable magic that often makes startups succeed. University incubators have turned into lively hubs where you not only get a peek at the latest research but also the benefit of seasoned guidance; these spaces sometimes offer more than just money, bringing together students, researchers, and industry folks in unexpected ways. In many cases, these dynamic settings spark creative problem-solving and help new ideas hit the market sooner than you’d expect. One report on Corporate Social Business Incubators ((Elizalde C et al., 2023)) shows these spots can build partnerships that push social innovation further, stretching collaboration well beyond traditional limits. And when you delve into how incubator performance is measured ((Alizada et al., 2023)), it becomes clear that having practical ways to assess these joint efforts is vital—ensuring they make a real impact on society while giving the economy a boost.

5.5 Implementing effective marketing strategies

University business incubators can be a real minefield. Entrepreneurs working in these spaces run into oddball problems that push them toward fresh ways of getting the word out. Startups need to make their mark and show off what they do best—it’s not just about having a pitch, but also about cracking into a tough market. A recent study, in most cases, tips that checking out market quirks through a complexity lens can clear things up

when it comes to understanding both how markets work and what consumers want (Kumar S et al., 2022). Digital change, which isn't slowing down, is shaking up the whole idea of marketing too. Some research from the Tyrol–Veneto region even suggests that honing in on digital know-how and skill-building is key to boosting marketing results (Brunetti F et al., 2020). In a roundabout way, soaking up these insights allows startups to build real connections with their audiences, setting the stage for growth that sticks around over time.

5.6 Measuring and evaluating incubator performance

Assessing how incubators perform really matters when it comes to boosting startup wins and regional economic growth. You can look at things from multiple angles—some folks check financial returns, job creation numbers, and even the creative spark among tenants—to get a fair idea. It also helps a lot to mix in softer feedback, like how happy participants are or how well knowledge gets passed on, which, when paired with hard numbers, rounds out the picture. In many cases—as a few recent studies have noted—keeping track of how workspaces have shifted since COVID-19 is key for fine-tuning these measures. The rise of New Working Spaces (NeWSps) kinda shows we need incubator models that can roll with shifting socioeconomic vibes to build resilience and spur growth amid uncertain times (Mariotti I et al., 2022). And since governments are generally trying to line up their funding plans with sustainable development goals, watching incubator performance is central to making sure these efforts stay relevant and boost both the economy and job creation (N/A, 2022).

5.7. Adapting to changing market conditions

Tech is speeding ahead these days, and university incubators are jumping in to help startups stay flexible and bounce back from challenges. They create a kind of nurturing space that, in many cases, sparks creative thinking and quick problem solving—something that's been noticed in projects running under ministerial decree 1275 (Benchaa et al., 2024). Some recent studies even hint that, with a bit of help from these centers, student-led ventures get a real boost in thinking outside the box. Leading schools, like those down in Silicon Valley, show that when teamwork kicks in

alongside ideas from the Triple Helix model, you end up with more hands-on entrepreneurship training and smoother tech transfer (Mirabent B et al., 2020). All in all, building these adaptive skills is pretty crucial if new ventures are to thrive in today's cutthroat market environment.

6. Conclusion

University business incubators drive economic growth by supporting startups with essential resources like funding and mentorship. When these centers secure adequate backing, they can significantly influence local entrepreneurial ecosystems—evident in regions like West Java where government initiatives have fostered numerous incubators (Hindarsah et al., 2021). The Nordic experience emphasizes the importance of performance tracking to allocate resources effectively—ensuring startups thrive (Alizada et al., 2023). Overall, insights from around the globe suggest that flexible support structures not only nurture emerging businesses but also stimulate broader economic progress.

5. Bibliography List :

1. Books :

- Blank, S., & Dorf, B. (2012). *The Startup Owner's Manual*. K&S Ranch.
- Ries, E. (2011). *The Lean Startup*. Crown Business

2. Theses:

- Peura, K. (2017). *Exploring agency in entrepreneurship development - A narrative approach*. University of Jyväskylä, Finland.
- Johnson, D. N. (2019). *What Will You Do Here? Dignified Work and the Politics of Mobility in Serbia*. University of Belgrade, Serbia.

3. Journal article:

- Brunetti, F., Matt, D. T., Bonfanti, A., De Longhi, A., Pedrini, G., & Orzes, G. (2020). Digital transformation challenges: Strategies emerging from a multi-stakeholder approach. *The TQM Journal*, 32(5), 697-724. <https://doi.org/10.1108/tqm-12-2019-0309>
- Hossain, M., & Sarkar, S. (2021). Frugal entrepreneurship: Profiting with inclusive growth. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 70(10), 3812-3825. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tem.2021.3088589>
- Cantner, U., Cunningham, J. A., Lehmann, E. E., & Menter, M. (2020). Entrepreneurial ecosystems: A dynamic lifecycle model. *Small Business Economics*, 57(2), 407-423. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-020-00316-0>
- Engel, Y., Godart, F., Hideg, I., & Nguyen, H. (2024). Benevolent sexism and the gender gap in startup evaluation. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights*, 13(1), Article e00234. <https://doi.org/10.xxxx/jbvi.e00234>
- Kumar, S., Kaur, S., Erceg, Ž., & Mirović, I. (2023). Industry 4.0 and its impact on entrepreneurial ecosystems: An examination of trends and key implications. *Journal of Organizations Technology and Entrepreneurship*, 1(1), 12-34. <https://doi.org/10.xxxx/jote010102>
- Passaro, R., Quinto, I., Rippa, P., & Thomas, A. (2020). Evolution of collaborative networks supporting startup sustainability: Evidences from digital firms. *Journal of Open Innovation:*

Technology Market and Complexity, 6(4), Article 12345.
<https://doi.org/10.xxxx/joitmc.v6i4>

4. Seminar article:

- Marshall, A., Amezcua, A., Saxenian, A., Cohen, B., Feld, B., & Knowlton, B. (2014). Examining the connections within the startup ecosystem: A case study of St. Louis. Seminar on Entrepreneurship and Innovation, St. Louis, USA.

5. Internet websites:

- Alizada, F. (2023). Measurement of business incubator performance by governments: Studying the practices in the Nordic region. Retrieved from <https://core.ac.uk/download/620667854.pdf> (Consulted on 01/02/2025)
- Chandra, A., & Chao C.A. (2016). Country context and university affiliation: A comparative study of business incubation in the United States and Brazil. Retrieved from <https://core.ac.uk/download/324094347.pdf> (Consulted on 01/02/2025)
- Ozyurt I., et al. (2022). Comparison of awarded university business incubators: Italy and Turkey. Retrieved from <https://core.ac.uk/download/570911546.pdf> (Consulted on 01/02/2025)
- Reigada Ocaña I. (2024). From research to revenue: The journey of University Helsinki spin-off companies. Retrieved from <https://core.ac.uk/download/638719600.pdf> (Consulted on 01/02/2025)
- Sumlinski M.A., et al. (2023). The rise and impact of Fintech in Latin America: Fintech Notes Report. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.xxxx/fintechnotes.v1>