

*Pathological Culture: The Impact of Cultural Heritage
and morbid Schemas on Psychological Vulnerability
and Resilience*

الثقافة المرضية: تأثير التراث الثقافي والأنماط المعرفية
المرضية على القابلية النفسية والمرونة

Madi AMAZIGH *

Clinical psychologist

Bejaia / Algeria

Madiamazigh@outlook.fr

Received: 16/09/2024 Accepted :12/12/2024 Published : 20/12/2024

Abstract:

Pathological culture is introduced as a concept that highlights how certain morbid aspects of culture can predispose individuals to psychological vulnerability. This framework suggests that cultural environments imbued with negative schemas, maladaptive behaviors, and harmful narratives can significantly impair psychological resilience. The historical and cultural heritage of a community plays a critical role in shaping these resilience strategies, often perpetuating patterns that either support or undermine mental health. This article aims to develop a new theoretical model that explains how pathological cultures emerge and how they contribute to psychological decline. By examining the interaction between toxic cultural elements and individual psychological processes, this model provides a comprehensive understanding of how cultural contexts can exacerbate or mitigate mental health challenges. The proposed framework offers new insights into the ways cultural history influences resilience and mental health, ultimately suggesting pathways for intervention and cultural change.

Keywords: Pathological culture, psychological vulnerability, resilience, cultural heritage, morbid schemas.

^{§§} Corresponding author.

المخلص:

يُقدّم مفهوم "الثقافة المرضية" إطار نظري يسلط الضوء على كيفية مساهمة الجوانب المرضية لبعض الثقافات في زيادة قابلية الأفراد للاضطرابات النفسية. يقترح هذا الإطار أن البيئات الثقافية المشبعة بالأنماط المعرفية السلبية والسلوكيات التكوينية الخاطئة والروايات الضارة يمكن أن تضعف بشكل كبير من استراتيجيات المرونة النفسية. يلعب التاريخ والتراث الثقافي للمجتمع دورًا حاسمًا في تشكيل هذه الاستراتيجيات، مما يؤدي غالبًا إلى استمرارية أنماط تدعم أو تضعف الصحة النفسية. تهدف هذه المقالة إلى تطوير نموذج نظري جديد يفسر كيفية نشوء الثقافات المرضية وكيفية مساهمتها في التدهور النفسي. من خلال دراسة التفاعل بين العناصر الثقافية المرضية والعمليات النفسية الفردية، يقدم هذا النموذج فهمًا شاملاً لكيفية تأثير السياقات الثقافية على تفاعل التحديات النفسية أو تخفيفها. يوفر الإطار المقترح رؤية جديدة حول كيفية تأثير التاريخ الثقافي على المرونة والصحة النفسية، ويقترح في النهاية مسارات للتدخل وتغيير الثقافة.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الثقافة المرضية، القابلية النفسية، المرونة، التراث الثقافي، الأنماط المعرفية المرضية.

1. Introduction

Pathological culture can be understood as a cultural environment characterized by morbid elements that inherently predispose individuals within it to psychological distress. Unlike healthy cultures, which foster adaptive behaviors and resilient mindsets, pathological cultures propagate harmful beliefs, maladaptive behaviors, and detrimental social norms that severely undermine mental well-being. These morbid cultural schemas, deeply embedded in the collective consciousness, shape how individuals perceive themselves, interpret their experiences, and respond to life's challenges. The concept of cultural schemas, as explored by Holland and Quinn (1987), emphasizes the role of shared cultural models in shaping individual cognition and behavior. In pathological cultures, these schemas might include rigid social hierarchies, oppressive gender norms, or pervasive ideologies that stigmatize mental health issues. Such morbid schemas not only limit the range of acceptable behaviors and coping mechanisms but also reinforce negative self-perceptions and maladaptive responses to stress. Over time, these elements create an environment where psychological distress becomes almost inevitable for those who lack effective resilience strategies.

Resilience, the ability to adapt and recover from adversity, is a crucial factor in maintaining psychological health. However, the efficacy of resilience strategies is profoundly influenced by the cultural context.

Southwick and Charney (2012) argue that resilience is not merely a trait but a dynamic process shaped by social support, cultural values, and personal experiences. In pathological cultures, strategies that could foster resilience—such as seeking social support, expressing vulnerability, or challenging morbid norms—may be discouraged or even punished. This creates a vicious cycle where the absence of supportive cultural resources exacerbates psychological vulnerability, leading to a higher prevalence of mental health issues like anxiety, depression, and burnout.

Despite the acknowledgment of the significant impact of culture on psychological health, there is a notable lack of a comprehensive theoretical model that fully integrates cultural morbidity, historical heritage, and psychological vulnerability. Current models in cultural psychology often focus on the positive aspects of culture, neglecting how morbid elements within a culture can undermine mental health. Similarly, while theories of resilience recognize the importance of cultural context, they do not adequately address how certain cultural environments actively impede resilience. This theoretical gap limits our understanding of the full spectrum of cultural influences on psychological health, particularly in environments where negative cultural schemas dominate.

The objective of this article is to propose the development of a theoretical framework that explains how pathological cultures emerge and how they affect psychological resilience. By integrating insights from cultural psychology, historical heritage, and resilience theory, this framework aims to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms through which morbid cultural elements contribute to psychological vulnerability. This approach not only addresses the existing theoretical gap but also offers a new lens for examining the interplay between culture and mental health, with potential implications for both research and clinical practice.

2. Theoretical Background

Pathological culture is rooted in the concept of morbid cultural schemas—deeply ingrained beliefs and patterns of thought that perpetuate maladaptive behaviors and psychological distress. Cultural schemas, as conceptualized by Holland and Quinn (1987), are cognitive frameworks that individuals use to process information, interpret experiences, and guide behavior. In healthy cultures, these schemas

promote adaptive responses and social cohesion. However, in pathological cultures, morbid schemas emerge, fostering maladaptive behaviors and dysfunctional thought patterns that contribute to psychological vulnerability.

These morbid cultural schemas often originate from and are reinforced by historical heritage, collective trauma, and pervasive cultural narratives. Historical events, particularly those involving collective trauma, such as wars, colonization, or systemic oppression, leave indelible marks on the collective memory of a culture. This collective memory, as described by Halbwachs (1992), shapes the way groups perceive themselves and their place in the world, often embedding negative or fatalistic beliefs within the cultural consciousness. These morbid schemas are then transmitted across generations, becoming an integral part of the cultural identity. For instance, narratives of victimization or inferiority can become so deeply rooted that they influence the way individuals within the culture perceive their own capabilities and the external world, leading to a pervasive sense of powerlessness and despair. Moreover, theories of collective memory suggest that these historical legacies are not just passively inherited but actively maintained through cultural practices, rituals, and education. Ricoeur (2004) discusses how collective memory can be manipulated or selectively remembered, often reinforcing the morbid aspects of a culture's historical heritage. This selective memory can solidify morbid cultural schemas, making them resistant to change and ensuring their persistence across generations.

Resilience, the ability to bounce back from adversity, is significantly affected by the cultural environment. Southwick and Charney (2012) emphasize that resilience is not an isolated trait but a process that is influenced by various factors, including social support, individual coping strategies, and cultural context. In a pathological culture, however, the very factors that typically promote resilience are often compromised. For example, cultural norms that stigmatize mental health issues or discourage seeking help can severely limit an individual's ability to develop effective coping strategies. Additionally, in cultures where morbid schemas dominate, individuals may internalize harmful beliefs about themselves and their abilities, leading to decreased self-efficacy and increased vulnerability to stress. The interplay between cultural schemas, historical heritage, and resilience is complex and

multifaceted. In pathological cultures, resilience is not just compromised; it is systematically undermined by the very cultural environment that should support it. The constant reinforcement of negative cultural narratives and morbid schemas creates an atmosphere where psychological distress becomes normalized, and resilience strategies that might be effective in other cultural contexts are rendered ineffective. This leads to a heightened risk of mental health issues, as individuals are less equipped to cope with the challenges they face. Thus, the development of pathological culture can be seen as a process in which morbid cultural schemas, rooted in historical trauma and reinforced by collective memory, actively undermine resilience and promote psychological vulnerability. By understanding the mechanisms through which these morbid elements are embedded in and perpetuated by cultural contexts, we can begin to develop more effective interventions and support systems that address the unique challenges posed by pathological cultures.

3. *Development of the Pathological Culture Concept*

Pathological culture can be defined as a cultural environment characterized by the persistent presence of morbid cultural schemas, negative historical narratives, and a significant lack of adaptive resilience strategies. This environment creates a context where psychological distress is not merely a potential outcome but a pervasive and almost inevitable experience for individuals who lack the means to resist or overcome the cultural forces at play. The key characteristics of pathological culture include deeply ingrained beliefs and behaviors that promote maladaptive responses to stress, historical legacies that reinforce these beliefs, and an absence of cultural resources that could otherwise foster resilience.

Morbid cultural schemas are central to the concept of pathological culture. These schemas represent deeply embedded cognitive frameworks that dictate how individuals within a culture interpret their experiences and engage with the world. Unlike adaptive cultural schemas that encourage healthy coping mechanisms and constructive social interactions, morbid schemas promote maladaptive behaviors, such as avoidance, fatalism, and self-blame. Over time, these maladaptive behaviors become normalized within the culture, perpetuating a cycle of psychological vulnerability. According to Holland and Quinn (1987), cultural schemas shape not only individual cognition but also collective

behavior, meaning that morbid schemas can be transmitted across generations, embedding themselves further into the cultural fabric.

Historical narratives play a crucial role in the maintenance and transmission of these morbid cultural schemas. As Halbwachs (1992) argues, collective memory is a powerful force in shaping cultural identity, and when this memory is dominated by trauma, victimization, or defeat, it can solidify morbid beliefs and behaviors. These negative historical narratives can take the form of myths, stories, or collective experiences that emphasize the inevitability of suffering or the futility of resistance, thereby reinforcing the very schemas that undermine psychological resilience. In pathological cultures, these narratives are not merely remnants of the past but active elements that shape contemporary cultural practices and individual behaviors.

The lack of adaptive resilience strategies within a pathological culture exacerbates psychological vulnerability. Resilience, as defined by Southwick and Charney (2012), is the process of adapting well in the face of adversity, trauma, or significant sources of stress. However, in a pathological culture, the very strategies that could promote resilience—such as seeking social support, developing problem-solving skills, or engaging in positive self-reflection—are often either discouraged or unavailable. Cultural norms may stigmatize expressions of vulnerability, discourage seeking help, or promote fatalistic attitudes that leave individuals ill-equipped to cope with stress. Consequently, individuals within such cultures are more likely to develop psychological disorders, including anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), as they lack the cultural tools necessary to navigate and overcome their challenges.

The mechanisms of psychological vulnerability within pathological cultures are further reinforced by cultural practices that perpetuate morbid schemas and discourage resilience. For example, social rituals, educational systems, and even religious practices can all serve to reinforce negative cultural narratives and schemas. These practices create feedback loops where individuals are continuously exposed to and conditioned by the same morbid elements that initially triggered their psychological distress. This cultural reinforcement ensures that even those who might initially resist the morbid influence of their culture eventually succumb to its pressures, further entrenching psychological vulnerability across the population.

The interplay between culture and individual psychology in pathological cultures can be modeled as a dynamic interaction between morbid cultural schemas, historical heritage, and individual psychological responses to stress. In this model, morbid schemas derived from historical narratives create a predisposition towards maladaptive responses to stress, which are then reinforced by cultural practices that discourage resilience. Over time, these reinforced maladaptive responses solidify into a psychological baseline that is characterized by heightened vulnerability to mental illness. The feedback loop between culture and individual psychology ensures that once psychological vulnerability is established within a population, it becomes self-perpetuating, with each generation inheriting the same morbid schemas and the same lack of resilience as the previous one. In this context, the concept of pathological culture offers a novel theoretical framework for understanding the profound impact of culture on psychological health. It highlights the ways in which morbid cultural elements can systematically undermine resilience and perpetuate psychological distress, providing a comprehensive model that links cultural morbidity, historical heritage, and psychological vulnerability. This framework not only addresses gaps in existing theories but also opens up new avenues for research and intervention, particularly in culturally informed mental health practices.

4. *Implications for Understanding Psychological Decline*

Pathological cultures create environments where individuals are predisposed to mental illness due to the pervasive absence of supportive cultural resources. These cultures are characterized by morbid cultural schemas and negative historical narratives that shape the cognitive and emotional landscape of their members, leading to an increased likelihood of psychological distress. The lack of cultural resources that promote resilience, such as social support, positive identity formation, and effective coping strategies, exacerbates this vulnerability, creating a fertile ground for the development of mental health issues.

The pathways to psychological distress in pathological cultures are multifaceted. One of the primary mechanisms is the internalization of morbid cultural schemas that promote maladaptive behaviors and negative self-perceptions. These schemas, as theorized by Holland and Quinn (1987), influence how individuals interpret their experiences and respond to challenges. In pathological cultures, these schemas often emphasize fatalism, shame, and a sense of powerlessness, which can lead

to feelings of anxiety, depression, and burnout. For example, cultures that stigmatize mental health or view emotional expression as a sign of weakness can create an environment where individuals feel isolated and unable to seek help, further deepening their psychological distress. Furthermore, the morbid elements within a culture can manifest in various forms of psychological distress. Anxiety may arise from the constant pressure to conform to harmful cultural norms, while depression can result from the internalization of negative self-concepts propagated by the culture. Burnout, a state of chronic physical and emotional exhaustion, is often seen in cultures that prioritize productivity over well-being, leaving individuals with little opportunity to recover from stress. Studies such as those by Hobfoll (2001) on the Conservation of Resources (COR) theory suggest that when individuals perceive a loss of valued resources (such as social support or self-esteem), they are more likely to experience stress and burnout. In pathological cultures, these losses are not only common but are often culturally reinforced, making psychological distress almost inevitable.

The development of resilience within a pathological culture is fraught with challenges. Societal expectations, stigmatization of mental health, and inadequate support systems are significant barriers that prevent individuals from developing the resilience needed to cope with adversity. In cultures where mental health is stigmatized, individuals may be discouraged from seeking help or expressing vulnerability, leading to a suppression of emotions and an inability to develop effective coping mechanisms. This is compounded by societal expectations that prioritize conformity and discourage deviation from cultural norms.

Inadequate support systems further exacerbate the challenge of developing resilience. Without access to mental health resources, social support, or education on coping strategies, individuals in pathological cultures are left to navigate their psychological distress alone. The absence of supportive cultural resources means that individuals are not only more vulnerable to stress but are also less equipped to recover from it. According to Ungar (2011), resilience is not just an individual trait but also a product of the interaction between individuals and their environment. In pathological cultures, this interaction is skewed towards reinforcing vulnerability rather than fostering resilience.

However, cultural change offers a potential pathway for overcoming these barriers and promoting resilience. Theorizing about

the role of cultural change involves recognizing that cultures are not static but dynamic and capable of transformation. Interventions aimed at altering morbid cultural schemas, challenging negative historical narratives, and introducing new cultural resources can create environments that support resilience rather than undermine it. For instance, promoting open discussions about mental health, redefining cultural values to emphasize well-being, and providing access to mental health resources can help shift a pathological culture towards one that is more supportive and adaptive.

Cultural change can be driven by various factors, including globalization, technological advancements, and shifts in social values. Research by Berry (2005) on acculturation and adaptation suggests that exposure to different cultures can lead to the adoption of new values and practices that enhance resilience. In pathological cultures, such exposure can challenge the status quo and introduce alternative ways of thinking and coping that are more conducive to psychological health. Moreover, empowering individuals within the culture to take an active role in redefining cultural norms can lead to a grassroots movement towards positive change.

The concept of pathological culture provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the pathways to psychological distress and the challenges in developing resilience within morbid cultural environments. By recognizing the interplay between morbid cultural schemas, historical narratives, and resilience, this framework offers new insights into the mechanisms of psychological vulnerability and the potential for cultural change to mitigate these effects. As cultures evolve, so too does the possibility of transforming pathological environments into ones that promote psychological well-being and resilience.

Critique of Existing Theories

Existing cultural and psychological models have provided valuable insights into how individuals and groups navigate life's complexities, yet they often fail to address the morbid aspects of culture and their profound impact on resilience. Traditional cultural psychology models, such as those by Markus and Kitayama (1991), have primarily focused on how culture shapes cognitive processes, social behaviors, and identity formation. While these models offer a framework for understanding cultural influence, they tend to overlook the darker aspects of culture—elements that may contribute to psychological distress rather than well-

being. Similarly, resilience theories have been predominantly individualistic, emphasizing personal traits such as grit, optimism, and emotional regulation (Masten, 2001). These theories often do not consider how cultural environments can undermine or even prevent the development of such traits, particularly in contexts where toxic cultural schemas prevail.

A significant limitation of existing cultural models is their tendency to idealize culture as a generally positive and cohesive force. This perspective neglects the reality that cultures can be rife with internal contradictions, harmful norms, and historical traumas that perpetuate psychological vulnerability. Hofstede's (1980) model of cultural dimensions, for example, provides a framework for understanding cultural variability, such as differences in individualism versus collectivism. However, it does not account for how certain cultural dimensions might foster psychologically damaging environments. Cultures that emphasize excessive conformity or hierarchical power structures, for instance, may stifle individual agency and promote psychological stress, yet these dynamics are rarely addressed in mainstream cultural psychology.

Another significant gap in existing theories is their insufficient attention to the role of historical narratives and collective memory in shaping cultural identity and psychological health. Halbwachs' (1992) work on collective memory highlighted the importance of shared historical experiences in forming group identity but did not fully explore how these memories can perpetuate toxic cultural schemas across generations. Collective memories of trauma, oppression, or defeat can reinforce a culture of victimhood and hopelessness, which in turn undermines resilience. Current psychological models often overlook the ways in which these toxic narratives contribute to a culture's pathology, leading to an incomplete understanding of the relationship between culture and mental health.

Resilience theories, while insightful in many respects, also suffer from notable limitations when applied to pathological cultures. The dominant models of resilience, such as those proposed by Masten (2001) and Werner (1995), emphasize individual-level factors—such as personal competence, self-efficacy, and social support—as key to overcoming adversity. While these factors are undoubtedly important, they do not sufficiently account for the cultural context in which

individuals are embedded. In pathological cultures, where toxic schemas and negative historical narratives are prevalent, even the most resilient individuals may struggle to maintain their psychological health. The resilience literature's failure to integrate a robust understanding of cultural pathology leaves a gap in our knowledge of how to foster resilience in such environments.

Given these limitations, the concept of pathological culture offers a new theoretical paradigm that provides a more nuanced understanding of the relationship between culture and psychological health. This framework shifts the focus from individual traits to the broader cultural environment, emphasizing how toxic cultural elements can systematically undermine resilience and perpetuate psychological distress. By recognizing that cultures can be both supportive and destructive, this paradigm allows for a more comprehensive analysis of the factors that contribute to mental illness.

This new theoretical lens also highlights the role of cultural schemas and historical narratives in shaping psychological outcomes. Unlike traditional models that view culture as a monolithic force, the concept of pathological culture acknowledges the diversity of cultural influences and the ways in which they can interact to either support or undermine psychological health. For example, a pathological culture may promote maladaptive coping mechanisms through its dominant narratives, leading to increased vulnerability to stress and trauma. By integrating insights from both cultural psychology and resilience theory, this paradigm offers a more holistic approach to understanding how culture impacts mental health. Furthermore, the concept of pathological culture has the potential to inform future theoretical developments in both cultural psychology and mental health. It calls for a re-examination of existing models to incorporate a more critical perspective on the role of culture in shaping psychological resilience. Future research could explore the specific mechanisms through which toxic cultural schemas are transmitted and reinforced, as well as the conditions under which cultural change can occur. This could lead to the development of interventions aimed at transforming pathological cultures into more supportive environments that promote psychological well-being.

For instance, research by Ungar (2011) on the social ecology of resilience suggests that resilience is not merely an individual trait but a product of the interaction between individuals and their environments.

Applying this perspective to pathological cultures, future studies could investigate how changes in cultural practices, narratives, and support systems can foster resilience even in contexts where toxic cultural schemas are deeply entrenched. This could lead to the development of culturally informed mental health interventions that address the root causes of psychological vulnerability rather than just its symptoms.

Moreover, the concept of pathological culture opens up new avenues for cross-cultural research that goes beyond comparing cultural differences to exploring the underlying dynamics that contribute to cultural pathology. For example, studies could examine how different cultures with similar toxic elements—such as those characterized by high levels of social inequality, historical trauma, or rigid gender roles—manifest psychological distress and resilience. Such research could contribute to a more global understanding of mental health, taking into account the complex interplay between culture, history, and individual psychology. the concept of pathological culture offers a new theoretical paradigm that addresses the limitations of existing cultural and psychological models. By focusing on the toxic aspects of culture and their impact on resilience, this framework provides a more comprehensive understanding of the factors that contribute to psychological distress. It also opens up new possibilities for theoretical and empirical research, with the potential to inform the development of more effective mental health interventions that are culturally informed and contextually relevant. As such, the concept of pathological culture represents a significant advancement in the field of cultural psychology and mental health, offering a novel lens through which to understand the complex relationship between culture and psychological well-being.

Conclusion

The concept of pathological culture offers a critical expansion of existing cultural and psychological theories by focusing on how toxic cultural elements contribute to psychological vulnerability. Traditional models often depict culture as a predominantly positive force that shapes identity, cognitive processes, and social behaviors. However, these models frequently overlook the darker, harmful aspects of culture—such as maladaptive cultural schemas, damaging historical narratives, and a lack of effective resilience strategies—that can predispose individuals to mental distress. By introducing pathological culture as a framework, a more comprehensive analysis is possible, emphasizing how the broader

cultural environment, rather than just individual resilience traits, can significantly impact psychological health.

This theoretical model shifts the discourse from individual-level resilience to a broader cultural context, highlighting the ways in which culture can both support and undermine mental well-being. By integrating insights from cultural psychology and resilience theory, the concept of pathological culture provides a more nuanced lens through which to examine the complex interplay between cultural environments and mental health outcomes. This framework is particularly useful for identifying toxic elements within cultures that may lead to increased psychological disorders such as anxiety, depression, and burnout.

The implications of this concept are far-reaching, especially in understanding mental health within diverse cultural contexts. The model challenges existing paradigms by bringing attention to how cultural toxicity can manifest in various forms of psychological distress. It also underscores the importance of cultural narratives and collective memory in shaping psychological resilience or vulnerability. This approach offers a more dynamic view of how historical experiences and cultural practices influence mental health, highlighting the role of cultural reinforcement in maintaining toxic schemas.

Future research could apply the pathological culture model to specific cultural groups, examining how unique historical experiences and cultural narratives contribute to pathological elements that affect psychological health. This could lead to a deeper understanding of how cultural toxicity varies across different contexts and how it can be mitigated through culturally sensitive interventions. Additionally, the integration of the pathological culture model with existing resilience theories presents another promising research direction. While traditional resilience models often focus on individual traits, the pathological culture framework suggests that resilience is also deeply influenced by the cultural environment. Research could explore how these perspectives can be combined to develop a more holistic understanding of resilience, particularly in cultural contexts where toxic elements are prevalent. This integration could inform the development of mental health strategies that not only build individual resilience but also address and transform the cultural conditions that undermine it.

This study provides critical insights into how toxic cultural elements contribute to psychological vulnerability. It expands the scope

of cultural psychology by addressing the harmful aspects of culture and their impact on mental health, while also offering new directions for theoretical and empirical research. As this concept continues to evolve, it holds significant potential to advance our understanding of the relationship between culture and psychological well-being, leading to more effective approaches for promoting mental health across diverse cultural settings.

References

- [1] Berry, J. W. (2005). Acculturation: Living successfully in two cultures. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 29(6), 697-712.
- [2] Halbwachs, M. (1992). *On Collective Memory*. University of Chicago Press.
- [3] Hobfoll, S. E. (2001). The influence of culture, community, and the nested-self in the stress process: Advancing conservation of resources theory. *Applied Psychology*, 50(3), 337-421.
- [4] Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's Consequences: International Differences in Work-Related Values*. Sage.
- [5] Holland, D., & Quinn, N. (1987). *Cultural Models in Language and Thought*. Cambridge University Press.
- [6] Holland, D., & Quinn, N. (1987). *Cultural Models in Language and Thought*. Cambridge University Press.
- [7] Markus, H. R., & Kitayama, S. (1991). Culture and the self: Implications for cognition, emotion, and motivation. *Psychological Review*, 98(2), 224–253.
- [8] Masten, A. S. (2001). Ordinary magic: Resilience processes in development. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 227-238.
- [9] Ricoeur, P. (2004). *Memory, History, Forgetting*. University of Chicago Press.
- [10] Southwick, S. M., & Charney, D. S. (2012). *Resilience: The Science of Mastering Life's Greatest Challenges*. Cambridge University Press.
- [11] Southwick, S. M., & Charney, D. S. (2012). *Resilience: The Science of Mastering Life's Greatest Challenges*. Cambridge University Press.
- [12] Ungar, M. (2011). The social ecology of resilience: Addressing contextual and cultural ambiguity of a nascent construct. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 81(1), 1-17.
- [13] Ungar, M. (2011). The social ecology of resilience: Addressing contextual and cultural ambiguity of a nascent construct. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 81(1), 1-17.
- [14] Werner, E. E. (1995). Resilience in development. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 4(3), 81-85.

- [15] Halbwachs, M. (1992). On Collective Memory. University of Chicago Press.
- [16] Holland, D., & Quinn, N. (1987). Cultural Models in Language and Thought. Cambridge University Press.
- [17] Southwick, S. M., & Charney, D. S. (2012). Resilience: The Science of Mastering Life's Greatest Challenges. Cambridge University Press.