

Reçu le : 17 – 08 - 2025	Accepté le : 01 – 11 - 2025	Publié le : 28 – 12 - 2025
--------------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------

History writing in Maya temples: Indigenous archives of resistance

Écriture de l'histoire dans les temples mayas : Archives autochtones de la résistance

TABBI Badreddine 

Université Mohammed Lamine Debaghine Sétif 2, Algeria
b.tabbi@univ-setif2.dz

Abstract:

For centuries, the history of Maya civilization has always been understood through colonial records and Western knowledge systems that often exclude indigenous voices, making them passive objects of inquiry. Dominant paradigms have too often idealized, exoticized, or elided Maya agency, thus perpetuating the myth of a “lost” civilization and projecting indigenous people as remnants of the past rather than viewing them as vibrant communities with enduring intellectual traditions.

The reconfirmation of historical authorship is particularly pronounced in the embrace of visual and performative historiography. For instance, urban murals in Quetzaltenango, Guatemala City, and San Juan Comalapa have emerged as significant tools for the recuperation of indigenous identity within public spaces. The murals, which are frequently created by Maya artists or collectives, utilize pre-Columbian symbols, ancestral deities, and glyphs to address nationalist discourses that marginalize or commodify indigenous patrimony.

The main objectives of history writing in Maya temples as indigenous archives of resistance can be understood through several angles, including the preservation of Indigenous Knowledge, maintenance of cultural identity, the assertion of sovereignty and legitimacy, and resistance against colonial erasure and Eurocentric narratives.

The main problem behind history writing in Maya temples is the ongoing distortion and appropriation of Maya knowledge by colonial histories, which alienated the Maya texts from their Indigenous roots by interpreting them through Eurocentric frameworks. Thus, the study seeks to answer the following questions: What should be done to counter Eurocentric narratives that distort Maya indigenous history? How can Mayan dynastic records, religious beliefs, and calendrical systems be preserved as living knowledge and world heritage? To answer these questions, the research adopts a historical and analytical approach, drawing on a theoretical framework, and interdisciplinary methods.

The corpus of the study includes indigenous archives of Maya inscriptions, codices, and monumental texts and carvings on stelae, altars, lintels, and temple walls as well as royal chronicles and biographies of kings. Ultimately, the research shows that the transmission of cultural memory across generations is a necessity despite targeted alienation and cultural suppression of Maya history.

The findings reveal the falsified Western view through which Maya history has been seen. Early archaeologists generally considered Maya writings through Eurocentric lens, interpreting them as exotic relics rather than a real living narrative of indigenous defiance. Accordingly, many inscriptions, such as the myth of the "Mysterious Collapse" of Maya civilization, disregarded the continuities in Maya struggle and adaptation. Contemporary scholars should raise their voices to decolonize these distortions, though the loss from centuries of false claims remains thorny.

Key words: Maya civilization; Temples; Resistance; History

Résumé :

Pendant des siècles, l'histoire de la civilisation maya a toujours été comprise comme une succession de documents coloniaux et de systèmes de savoir occidentaux qui excluaient souvent les voix autochtones, les transformant en objets passifs d'investigation. Les paradigmes dominants ont trop souvent idéalisé, exotisé ou éludé l'action maya, perpétuant ainsi le mythe d'une civilisation « perdue » et projetant les peuples autochtones comme des vestiges du passé plutôt que comme des communautés dynamiques aux traditions intellectuelles pérennes.

La reconfirmation de la paternité historique est particulièrement prononcée dans l'adoption d'une historiographie visuelle et performative. Par exemple, les fresques murales urbaines de Quetzaltenango, Guatemala City et San Juan Comalapa se sont révélées être des outils importants pour la récupération de l'identité autochtone dans l'espace public. Ces fresques, souvent réalisées par des artistes ou des collectifs mayas, utilisent des symboles précolombiens, des divinités ancestrales et des glyphes pour répondre aux discours nationalistes qui marginalisent ou marchandassent le patrimoine autochtone.

Les principaux objectifs de l'écriture de l'histoire dans les temples mayas, en tant qu'archives de résistance autochtone, peuvent être appréhendés sous plusieurs angles, notamment la préservation du savoir autochtone, le maintien de l'identité culturelle, l'affirmation de la souveraineté et de la légitimité, et la résistance à l'effacement colonial et aux récits eurocentriques.

Le principal problème de l'écriture de l'histoire dans les temples mayas réside dans la distorsion et l'appropriation continues du savoir maya par les histoires coloniales, qui ont aliéné les textes mayas de leurs racines autochtones en les interprétant à travers des cadres eurocentriques. Ainsi, l'étude cherche à répondre aux questions suivantes : que faire face aux récits eurocentriques qui déforment l'histoire autochtone maya ? Comment les archives dynastiques, les croyances religieuses et les systèmes calendaires mayas peuvent-ils être préservés en tant que savoir vivant et patrimoine mondial ? Pour répondre à ces questions, la recherche adopte une approche historique et analytique, s'appuyant sur un cadre théorique et des méthodes interdisciplinaires.

Le corpus de l'étude comprend des archives autochtones d'inscriptions mayas, de codex, de textes monumentaux et de gravures sur stèles, autels, linteaux et murs de temples, ainsi que des chroniques royales et des biographies de rois. En définitive, la recherche démontre que la transmission de la mémoire culturelle entre les générations est une nécessité, malgré l'aliénation ciblée et la répression culturelle de l'histoire Maya.

Les résultats révèlent la vision occidentale falsifiée à travers laquelle l'histoire Maya a été perçue.. Les premiers archéologues ont généralement considéré les écrits mayas à travers un prisme eurocentrique, les interprétant comme des reliques exotiques plutôt que comme un véritable récit vivant de la résistance autochtone. Par conséquent, de nombreuses inscriptions, comme le mythe de l'« effondrement mystérieux » de la civilisation maya, ont ignoré la continuité des luttes et de l'adaptation mayas. Les chercheurs contemporains devraient s'élever pour décoloniser ces distorsions, mais la perte causée par des siècles de fausses affirmations reste épineuse.

Mots clés : Civilisation maya ; Temples ; Résistance ; Histoire

E- mail de correspondance: b.tabbi@univ-setif2.dz

Introduction:

Over the past few decades, a growing body of interdisciplinary scholarship has challenged the continuous fragmentation and deliberate erasure of Maya records due to colonialism, environmental decay, and misinterpretation of facts. Modern pundits attempt to decolonize these accounts by understanding the ways in which the Maya communities have actively preserved, adapted, and rebuilt their historical memory. In doing so, they have made historiography a critical tool for cultural preservation and political resistance.

Concurrently, ethnographic research with older members of highland communities illustrates that oral traditions continue to be an effective method for conveying both mythical narrative and

lived experience. Such stories, conveyed through ritualized performance and communal gathering, are sacred obligations and modes of resistance that guard ancestral knowledge against centuries of exclusion. Early studies about Maya history generally overlooked Indigenous resistance and adaptation, portraying it through a mysterious loss narrative. Previous research includes: Tatiana Proskouriakoff's (1960) *Historical Implications of a Pattern of Dates at Piedras Negras*; Linda Schele and David Stuart's work (1980s–90s); and J. Eric S. Thompson's *Maya Hieroglyphic Writing* (1952).

The importance of Maya historiography extends beyond cultural representation, since it has tangible political and legal implications. In Guatemala, after the civil war, indigenous movements have used historical accounts to press claims to restitutions of land, rights to languages, and acknowledgment of past violence. Colonial land grants, as well as mythic texts such as the Popol Vuh, are invoked as documentation in court cases and truth commissions. Interpreted by and through indigenous people; these texts create moral legitimacy and historical continuity for present-day struggles.

The fundamental question that should be raised is: Why has Maya history been misinterpreted through colonial and Eurocentric lenses? Or: What should be done to reconstruct Maya history, confront colonial damage and academic biases, and preserve their cultural identity?

To analyze Maya temple writings as Indigenous archives of resistance, the methodology of the study focuses more on the analytical and historical approaches along interdisciplinary research techniques, which are based on decolonial epistemology, epigraphic, and archaeological Analysis.

Furthermore, recent advances in archaeology made possible through LiDAR imaging and co-research with the Maya communities have made known the scope and sophistication of earlier urban networks, trade systems, and sociopolitical organization. Discoveries, such as the large-scale infrastructure in the Maya Basin and traces of former environmental management strategies, contradict Eurocentric timelines that frame indigenous societies as stagnant or lacking in development.

Maya historiography includes an extensive array of expressive genres, ranging from pre-Columbian glyphs and codices to oral history, public murals, and computer reconstructions. These diverse genres do not simply record historical facts; they also render historical consciousness itself a lived, dynamic process. Maya individuals have used these genres consistently to establish identity, protect intergenerational memory, and counteract the forces of cultural erasure. Far from being passive consumers of externally imposed narratives, Maya scholars, artists, and writers are active agents of epistemological sovereignty. They practice what Linda Tuhiwai Smith (2012) and Walter Mignolo (2011) have termed decolonial practices, strategies that critique and rebuild the higher-order knowledge institutionalized by colonial powers.

1. Inscriptions of Authority: Maya Glyphs and Monumental Histories

Maya historiography is rooted in a complicated network of ritual power, cosmology, and writing. From inscribed monuments to sacred texts, the Maya developed an advanced tradition of historical recording for political, religious, and pedagogical purposes (Tedlock, 1996; Hanks, 2010).

1.1. Writing as Power: Structure and Meaning of Maya Glyphs

The Maya writing, with its mixture of logographic and syllabic symbols, is one of the most sophisticated writing systems developed in pre-Columbian America. Much more than mere ornamentation, these glyphs were elite communication tools that permitted their users to inscribe historical events, dynastic transitions, military campaigns, and mythological origins. figure 1 represents the Maya Temples in the Yucatan.

Figure 1
Maya Temples in the Yucatan



Source : <https://www.livescience.com/23262-chichen-itza.html>

Houston, Stuart, and Robertson (2004) write that Maya inscriptions convey “a fully developed literary tradition” adept at conveying metaphorical structures, voice, and rhetorical devices. Rulers commissioned inscriptions in order to equate their authority with a divine source, frequently characterizing their actions as the realization of cosmic orders.

1.2. Stelae and Sacred Monuments: Historical Narratives Embodied in Stone

Monumental inscriptions, especially in the form of stela and stairways were overt expressions of collective historical memory. Located in ceremonial plazas, these monuments documented significant political events and astronomical alignments. Cities such as Copán, Palenque, and Tikal employed stelae to commemorate victories, accessions, and religious ceremonies, intertwining their histories within the Long Count calendar system.

The Hieroglyphic Stairway at Copán, for instance, has more than 2000 glyphs that tell the dynasty history and divine legitimation that underlay royal rule (Fash, 2001). They were used as historical records and as ritual tools, as shown in figure 2.

Figure 2:
Mayan Alphabet Hieroglyph, Mexxico



Source: <https://www.istockphoto.com/photos/temple-of-inscriptions>

1.3. Sacred Codices: Cosmological Framework, Chronological System, and Ritual Temporalities

Apart from inscriptions, Maya intellectuals composed codices, bark paper books folded and painted with glyphs and illustrations. Just four survived colonial destruction, astronomical tables and ritual calendars. These texts were not historically linear but wove time into cyclical cosmology. The codices suggest that the Maya viewed history as inseparable from ritual duty, prophecy, and divine time (Bricker & Bricker, 2011)

2. The Popol Vuh and the Oral Fabric of Maya

While written records and codices are crucial to Maya historiography, oral traditions hold equal importance. These traditions, spanning storytelling, ritual language, and performance, have kept Maya worldviews alive through centuries of change. One of the most significant examples of these oral legacies is the Popol Vuh, a sacred narrative of the K'iche' Maya that weaves together mythology, cosmology, and cultural memory (Adams, 2018).

2.1. The Popol Vuh as an Oral Text in Transition

Even though the Popol Vuh was written down in the 18th century using the Latin alphabet, its origins trace back to a much older oral tradition. Passed along by generations of community storytellers and ritual specialists, the Popol Vuh captures essential myths, like the creation of the world, the Hero Twins' journey to the underworld, and humanity's beginnings through maize. These tales were never fixed; they evolved with each telling, reflecting the collective memory and the needs of the time (Christenson, 2007).

The written version we have likely represents just one of many interpretations. The survival of the Popol Vuh is a testament to the power of oral transmission, especially during times when indigenous voices faced suppression or erasure under colonial rule (Maya Hieroglyphic Database (MHD). Figure 3 represents the 'Popol Vuh' of the Maya Tradition.

Figure 3:
The 'Popol Vuh' of the Maya Tradition



Source:<https://www.filosofiaesoterica.com/the-popol-vuh-of-the-maya-tradition/>

2.2. Narrative Structure and Maya Time

In contrast to Western linear storytelling, the Popol Vuh embraces a cyclical structure that aligns with the Maya understanding of time. The narratives don't unfold in a strict chronological sequence; instead, they present layered episodes that echo cycles of destruction and renewal, failure and transformation.

This approach to time reinforces broader themes in Maya cosmology, where historical and cosmic timelines intertwine. The recurring theme of regeneration, like the Hero Twins transforming into celestial bodies, suggests a worldview where death paves the way for rebirth, and history continually resonates with past events (Little, 2004; Flaherty, 2018).

3. Historiography under Siege: Colonial Violence and Indigenous Adaptation

The Spanish conquest in the 16th century represented more than mere territorial domination; it constituted an epistemic rupture. Colonial ventures in the Americas and, more specifically, in Maya territories were not only intended to control people and resources but also to contest indigenous knowledge systems, historical awareness, and sacred cosmological order.

3.1. Suppression of Culture and Text Destruction

One of the greatest setbacks to the study of Maya history was the systematic destruction of pre-Hispanic written documents. The Maya codices, manuscripts composed of folded bark paper that held systems of calendrical calculation, dynastic records, and information related to rituals, were considered heretical by Spanish missionaries. The infamous 1562 auto-da-fé arranged by Bishop Diego de Landa in Mani, Yucatán, led to the burning of many codices and ritualistic objects.

In his own defense, Landa excused the act as a means of eliminating “superstition,” though he later attempted to document aspects of Maya language and religion through the distorted lens of colonial bias. Their destruction was devastating. There are only four genuine pre-Columbia codices that survive today, restricting scholars' access to the totality of Maya intellectual accomplishment (Restall, 2003).

3.2. Colonial Impositions on Historical Expression

The colonial enterprise extended well beyond the physical destruction of texts; it was a wholesale restructuring of narrative histories. Spanish authorities imposed the Latin alphabet along with European literary conventions, making Maya scribes reformulate their historical accounts along colonial models. It was in this time that works like the Popol Vuh and the Books of Chilam Balam were composed, albeit often under duress and within the limits of Christianized perspectives.

These hybrid texts, however valuable, bear traces of resistance and accommodation. They reveal how Maya authors negotiated double systems of authority, writing indigenous cosmology into European textual genres (Hanks, 2010).

3.3. Systematic Exclusion and Racialized Narratives

Spanish rule imposed new political and social structures that peripheralized indigenous authority. The encomienda system, as well as caste hierarchies, racialized Maya identity and deepened the alienation of communities from their traditional practices. Indigenous language was discouraged or banned from official use, and the oral transmission of historical memory increasingly was pushed to the margins of religious or communal life (Richards-Rissetto, 2017).

This structural reconfiguration of systems served to silence the Maya within national narratives, replacing indigenous accounts with European ones of conquest and “civilization”. Colonial powers cut connections between land, language, and ritual, short-circuiting the transmission of historical consciousness from one generation to the next (Richards-Rissetto, 2017).

3.4. Fracture and Extinction

This period is often described in terms of irreversible loss, a more accurate understanding would render it as a break. Certain strands of Maya history, both written and oral, survived colonial rule, often being altered but not destroyed. The cultural continuity that resulted in this period would, in turn, form the foundation for indigenous revitalization and historical reclamation movements in the 20th and 21st centuries (Restall & Solari, 2020).

4. From Civilization to Folklore: The Marginalization of Maya Histories in the Liberal Era

The 19th century was a period of change in the representation and handling of Maya history by state powers and academic institutions. Following liberal reforms in Guatemala established by President Justo Rufino Barrios (1873-1885), the state made an effort to integrate native peoples into the national identity, not through inclusion, but through erasure and assimilation. This effort lowered the political significance and intellectual legitimacy of Maya historical awareness, relegating it to the field of folklore.

The Maya was depicted as a “lost” civilization under the influence of positivist and Eurocentric thought romanticized in archaeological ruins while being denied their contemporary agency. Researchers in line with nationalist agendas promoted the idea that the ancient Maya had disappeared, with modern Maya populations being merely debased descendants of their ancestors. This artificial separation of past and present allowed for the appropriation of pre-Hispanic cultural symbols and served to justify the ongoing marginalization of existing indigenous people (Taracena Arriola, 2002).

Archaeological excavations, often carried out by foreign researchers, extracted artifacts from their original contexts without interacting with or acknowledging the descendant communities of those cultures. World’s fairs such as the 1893 World’s Columbia Exposition in Chicago featured Maya artifacts as exotic curiosities, thus developing a nascent tourism industry that commodified indigenous culture while silencing indigenous voices.

Meanwhile, anthropologists and ethnographers of the time recorded Maya customs as instances of “primitive survivals” rather than as part of an evolving, dynamic culture. Such reading rendered indigenous historical accounts as folklore vibrant but not relevant to contemporary nation-building. As a result, oral traditions, calendars, and holy books were discounted or romanticized, rather than treated as acceptable sources of history.

In spite of these obstacles, Maya communities actively resisted this form of symbolic erasure. Certain groups preserved calendrical knowledge and ritual practice secretly, shielding oral tradition and ancestral memory from institutional scrutiny. Such efforts at cultural preservation would eventually provide the requisite bases for the Pan-Maya movement that emerged during the late 20th century, which aimed to recover historical agency and redefine indigenous identity on their own terms.

5. Reviving the Voice: Indigenous Reclamation of History in the Pan-Maya Movement

The 20th century was a time of special significance for indigenous visibility and power in Guatemala. After centuries of cultural repression and exclusion from national discourses, Maya communities started to mobilize and organize in an effort to reclaim their history, not merely as archaeology or folklore, but as the basis of their modern identity and political action.

5.1. The Emergence of the Pan-Maya Movement

The Pan-Maya movement began in the 1970s and 1980s. It was not a single organization but a network of indigenous leaders, teachers, and cultural activists. They sought to achieve political and cultural recognition for Guatemala’s indigenous majority. “Pan-Maya” refers to uniting Maya communities from various language and region groups under a shared historical and cultural identity. This concept also acknowledges the differences among those groups (Warren, 1998).

This movement aimed to challenge the prevailing national narrative that had a tendency of depicting the Maya either as a lost civilization or as simply “peasants” that needed to be changed. Instead, Maya activists underscored that there was a continuity from their distant past to the contemporary period and maintained that the Maya were not just remnants of something in the past but people with ongoing and developing identity (Grandin, 2000; Cojtí Cuxil, 1997).

5.2. Language as Revival and Resistance

One of the movements’ main goals was language revitalization. The weakening of the Maya languages, resulting from state-sponsored assimilation, exclusion in education, and social stigma, was both a symptom and a tool of cultural domination.

To counter this difficulty, intellectuals and linguists, both native and supportive, started creating standard writing systems for several Maya languages. This effort witnessed the establishment of the Academia de Lenguas Maya de Guatemala (ALMG) in 1990, whose activities include keeping languages vital, education, and translation in native languages (England, 2003). This reinstated language as a source of historical memory and cultural pride (Warren, 1998).

5.3. Reclaiming History Narratives through Education

The Pan-Maya movement tried to reform Guatemalan educational systems, which tended to overlook or misrepresent Maya history. Textbooks described the conquest as a time when civilization came and spoke of the Maya as a people who had “disappeared.”

Maya scholars and groups started creating alternative educational materials, including textbooks that represent indigenous viewpoints. They tried to illustrate that Maya civilization is not dead but living and dynamic, highlighting its intelligent concepts and social sophistication. Bilingual education programs and community-based schools were created so that children would be educated in their own language and through materials that honored their culture (Flasherty, 2018).

5.4. The Guatemalan Civil War’ Impact

The Guatemalan Civil War (1960-1996) made it more difficult to reclaim history. The military tended to target indigenous leaders, elders, and cultural workers, equating indigenous identity with revolt. During the most violent years of the war, many efforts to reclaim or practice traditional knowledge went underground in order to survive.

The Peace Accords of 1996, nevertheless, were a moment of cautious optimism. The accords officially recognized Guatemala as a multiethnic, pluricultural, and multilingual nation. The accords granted the indigenous people the right to maintain their cultural practices, languages, and educational autonomy though one that has remained uneven and contested (Sanford, 2003).

5.5. Shifting Perception of Historical Authority

In spite of continued disproportion, the Maya movement has had a lasting effect on national and scholarly discussion. Maya researchers are now more involved in historical scholarship, not just in its topics. Museums are starting to ask indigenous peoples for advice on show content, and universities are progressively including Maya viewpoints in courses. The movement has transformed the field of history itself: it turned from a colonial discipline that examined indigenous peoples from the outside, into a field where indigenous peoples write about and define themselves. History is now no longer done to the Maya, but more commonly written by the Maya (Warren, 1998).

6. The Mayan Walls Speak: Visual Historiography and Urban Memory

Urban and rural town walls in present-day Guatemala are now living canvases of colour for the writing of history. Maya street murals are more than painting but public texts, mnemonic spaces, and decolonial performances. These street murals redefine history from an indigenous perspective, disrupting master narratives while re-introducing communities to ancestral memory through visual narrative (Copán Mosaics Project Epigraphic Records).

6.1. Urban Walls as Indigenous Archives

Street murals are a form of visual historiography as they articulate cultural memory, resistance, and political critique in a public available to all. Public walls in Guatemala City's Zone 1, San Juan Comalapa, Sololá, and Quetzaltenango have murals depicting Maya mythological narratives, anti-colonial forces, and images of indigenous resilience. Murals serve as non-official educational media and counter official state-inscribed history often circulated through textbooks, museums, or national monuments (Restall & Solari, 2020).

Murals commonly include dominant figures such as Tecún Umán, the legendary K'iche' warrior who resisted Spanish conquest, and Maya Cosmo grams, symbolic depictions of space and time that reflect that sacred calendar and cosmos. Their public display recuperates presence for indigenous epistemologies targeted by colonial and postcolonial regimes for erasure (Corpus of Maya Hieroglyphic Inscriptions (CMHI)).

Figure 3:
the Statue of Tecun Uman



Source: <https://aprende.guatemala.com/cultura-guatemalteca/patrimonios/la-escultura-de-tecun-uman-que-se-encuentra-en-ecuador/>

6.2. Aesthetics of Resistance: Iconography and Meaning

Maya street murals' iconography is very intentional. Painters tend to incorporate glyphic motifs, cosmologically and cardinally referenced colour symbolism, and sacred animals (like the quetzal or jaguar). The intermingling of pre-Columbian iconography and contemporary political commentary is an exciting site where tradition intersects with activism

(Cojtí Cuzil, 1997; England, 2003).

Murals also depict modern-day indigenous leaders, martyrs, or events, linking past to future. Some are narratives of intergenerational trauma, highlighting state violence, forced removals, and land loss whereas others are celebrations of indigenous resilience and cultural resurgence.

Different from formal written histories, such visual messages require no literacy in a dominant language. They provide access to indigenous knowledge and history in urban as well as rural settings.

6.3. Public Memory and Community Engagement

Street murals are open-air classrooms sites of cultural education and social critique. While formal schooling marginalizes indigenous knowledge, these murals offer counter-narratives that validate Maya history and experience.

Some community-led projects even involve youth and local elders in the design and creation process, so that murals are intergenerational collaborations. These projects often coincide with festivals, language revitalization programs, or commemorative events, incorporating the murals into the rhythm of community life (Flasherty, 2018).

6.4. Threats to Indigenous Visual Expression

Aside from their cultural significance, Maya murals are also vulnerable to a range of threats. Urban gentrification and development can result in whitewashing or demolition of mural sites. Politically sensitive images, especially those that impinge on indigenous land rights or historical grievances are bound to be deemed subversive by government authorities and private developers (TellBear, 2013; Castañeda, 1996).

Vandalism and surveillance also assault these expressions, particularly where land conflicts or anti-indigenous bigotry are present. This is all part of a broader pattern of marginalization and censorship of indigenous voices throughout public discourse.

6.5. Living Histories in Motion

Maya murals are not stationary objects; they are a living tradition of visual activism and narrative. Their themes shift with the needs of the community, whether environmental justice, women's rights, or political autonomy. They maintain ancient worldviews while addressing contemporary injustices, and thus they are a form of living history embedded in place, spirit, and community memory.

Muralism continues the tradition of maya visual culture displayed on early stelae and codices. Just like glyphs in earlier times recorded royal actions and cosmic reality on the walls of temples, contemporary murals continue with the work of inscribing history onto the landscape

(Richards-Rissetto, 2017)

7. Digital Historiography and New Media: Reimagining Maya Memory in the 21st Century

In the internet era, the avenues through which Maya history is archived, accessed, and reinterpreted have expanded exponentially. Emerging media forms, from virtual reality recreations and social media storytelling to language-learning apps and online archives, are revolutionizing the way indigenous knowledge is passed on. These technologies are doing more than merely archiving historical content; they also offer a vehicle for resisting colonial erasure and taking control of the narrative.

7.1. Ancient Glyphs in the Digital Age

Digital epigraphy projects have made possible unprecedented access to Maya glyphic texts and codices. The Maya Hieroglyphic Database Project and FAMSI (Foundation for the Advancement of Mesoamerican Studies) are two projects that provide searchable, annotated glyph records for scholars and the general public (Houston, Stuart, & Robertson, 2004).

Through high-quality scans and 3D renderings, monuments and codices once limited to museums or research facilities can be examined by users. These sites decentralize knowledge and allow Maya communities themselves to engage directly with their ancestors' historical writings (Richards-Rissetto, 2017).

7.2. Virtual Reconnection with Sacred Spaces

Virtual recreations of Maya cities like Tikal, Copán, and El Mirador using GIS mapping and 3D modeling create immersive experiences of past place. These virtual environments are used as teaching tools in classrooms and museums, but also offer spiritual and cultural reconnection for Maya people who do not have physical access to these sites. By combining archaeological data with narrative design, these technologies allow audiences to comprehend the scale, symbolism, and ceremonial use of Maya architecture and urban planning (TallBear, 2013).

7.3. Language Apps and Digital Storytelling

Maya communities are getting creative with mobile apps, video platforms, and interactive games to boost language revitalization and ensure the intergenerational transmission of cultural memory. Projects like Kaqchikel Cha'b'al and IxTz'i are using storytelling to teach grammar, cosmology, and oral history, mixing traditional narratives with modern teaching methods (England, 2003).

At the same time, TikTok and YouTube influencers from the Maya diaspora are reimagining historical and mythological content for wider audiences, often infusing humor and performance to keep it authentic and relatable for younger generations (Hutson, 2021).

7.4. Data Sovereignty and Ethical Challenges

While digital technologies hold a lot of promise, they also bring up some serious ethical issues. Most databases and archives are still housed in Western institutions, which raises important questions about data ownership, digital colonialism, and the right of communities to manage their own historical resources (Matzat, 2019; TallBear, 2013).

There's a growing worry that sharing cultural materials online can lead to misappropriation, decontextualization, or commodification by those outside the community. In response, some Maya groups are creating community-based platforms that set clear guidelines around access, representation, and consent (Hansen et al., 2022).

7.5. Technology as Decolonial Practice

Despite the hurdles, digital historiography is paving the way for fresh, collaborative, and independent expressions of Maya identity. The internet allows indigenous historians, artists, and educators to bypass traditional gatekeepers and connect directly with both local and global audiences, these digital spaces encourage intercultural dialogue while safeguarding the integrity of Maya memory-making processes (Matzat, 2019).

By using digital technology critically, not just as a tool but as a means of political expression, Maya communities are turning digital spaces into arenas of resistance, cultural renewal, and historical authenticity.

8. Controversies of Maya Historical Representation

In spite of recent attempts at appropriating and valorizing Maya past, the question of how Maya past is represented, and who will represent it, is still deeply contentious. Between academics, the media, the tourist industries and states' agendas, the representation of Maya people and their past have continued to spark the issues of cultural appropriation, ownership of story, and epistemic justice. The ensuing controversies reveal history not only to be about the past, but about a potentially most powerful agent employed to shape present and future lives.

The most recalcitrant problem is probably silencing the voice of indigenous people from the world of academic scholarship and the practice of archaeology. For much of the 20th century, the Maya were watched as objects, never talked to as collaborators. Archaeologists, linguists, and historians, sometimes from the Global North, generated narratives on the basis of excavation, linguistics, or ethnohistoric records, but never talking with the very people whose heritage they claimed to interpret. Since Castañeda (1996) argues in his deconstruction of tourism and intellectual

authority at Chichén Itzá, exclusion serves to entrench colonial power and limit indigenous agency in the building of their own cultural and historical identity.

The issue is exacerbated in the tourism industry. While Maya ruins like Tikal, Copán, and Palenque receive millions of visitors every year and bring substantial economic benefits, local communities typically receive few dividends. Instead, their symbols and customs are commodified for visitors stripped of spiritual and communal contexts and restructured as foreign entertainment. Ceremonies, crafts, and traditional attire are sold for beauty rather than being understood in the cultural systems to which they belong (Little, 2004). Maya individuals; thus, become at risk of being made invisible even while engaging in their own heritage.

Popular culture adds another distorting layer. Films and documentaries tend to show the Maya as mystical prophets or as crumbling, doomed remnants. Hollywood productions like *Apocalypto* (2006), for example, offer visually arresting but historically inaccurate presentations confusing different periods and cultures and hyping violent, primitive stereotypes. Such images can influence public opinion on a global scale, too often reinforcing racist presumptions and ignoring contemporary indigenous realities (Flasherty, 2018).

But another significant controversy revolves around the use of Maya cosmology and spirituality by New Age movements. Maya healing, gods, and calendars are typically adopted without cultural knowledge or permission into spiritual trends that divest them of context. The 2012 “Maya apocalypse” craze, for example, sensationalized the assertion that the world would come to an end on the basis of a misinterpretation of the Long Count calendar. While it was rejected by scholars, it bought attention to the Maya again, but in ways that minimized their intellectual legacy and reduced their calendrical expertise to doomsday pseudo-science (Restall & Solari, 2020).

Among scholars, indigenous intellectuals have increasingly challenged gatekeeping practices that dictate what history is recorded. They complain about selective inclusion of indigenous perspectives, typically confined to “ethnographic commentary” rather than being recognized as historiographical contributions. The majority suggest a methodological turn away from leaving behind observation of indigenous peoples in order to study with them the co-authoring texts, jointly building research, and taking control of interpretation and dissemination. This is in line with additional appeals to decolonize knowledge production and renounce the extractive thrust of conventional scholarship (TallBear, 2013).

Even more pragmatic issues arise around cultural property and sacred objects. For example, problems of artifact ownership removed from tombs or temples remain unresolved in the majority of international cases. Certain religious objects are held in private collections or foreign museums, removed without communal consent. Similarly, Maya designers and weavers have protested unauthorized applications of their traditional textile patterns by fashion houses, alleging intellectual property and cultural piracy concerns. Despite the existence of some legal mechanisms to protect indigenous cultural rights, they are generally insufficient to prevent appropriation in practice (Little, 2004; Castañeda, 1996).

Even within nation-states such as Guatemala, there are conflicts over how history is presented in schools, national holidays, and public monuments. State textbooks have the tendency to represent the conquest as a “civilizing mission” and minimize the Maya to an ancient past, failing to connect ancient civilizations with the living descendants who still strive to preserve and renew that legacy. When native peoples protest changes in the curriculum or demand restitution for historical wrongs, they are too frequently confronted by political resistance or bureaucratic apathy (Taracena Arriola, 2002).

These debates are not merely about cultural sensitivity, but they are about power: who owns historical narratives, who benefits from heritage, and whose knowledge is legitimate. Maya peoples have resisted by claiming narrative sovereignty, taking back control over their archives, and creating their own educational curricula, museums, and media outlets. The trend toward indigenous

focused historiography is not only reconfiguring the way history is narrated, but also subverting deeper structures of inequality in knowledge production and representation (López, 2022; Adams, 2018).

Lastly, Maya people's representation politics show there is a call to move from tokenistic membership and towards serious collaboration, moral obligation, and epistemic justice. Admitting the Maya peoples as active historians, as opposed to the object of academic research, is part of an integral movement to invert several centuries of misrepresentation and toward the grant of support of their right to narrate themselves based on their own terms.

Conclusion:

The examination of Maya historiography reveals not only the complexity of indigenous historical consciousness, but its stunning resilience and political salience. Maya history is anything but locked away in the dust of the past; it is a living, contested terrain which should be rewritten, performed, painted, and now digitized. Over the decades, Maya communities have fought to maintain control over their histories, aimed violent breaks of colonization, state repression, and academic erasure.

From glyphic writing in ancient days to modern murals, from bark-paper codices to bilingual schooling and social media storytelling, Maya people have demonstrated that historiography can be a tool for cultural survival and active resistance. Their method of history, cyclical, sacred, and communal attachment interrupts Western linear and text-based conventions, offering other epistemologies rooted in community memory, cosmology, and rituals. In doing so, the Maya show us that history is not simply chronicle as it also entails making meaning, constructing identity, and claiming space in the present.

The colonial encounter attempted to deconstruct this system of memory by burning codices, eliminating native languages, and imposing European models of knowledge upon Maya histories. But fragments remained, placed into text with Latin script, spoken in whispered ceremonies, or carved on stone. These remains formed the basis upon which 20th century cultural activism among the Maya revived. Indigenous leaders and intellectuals claimed history authorship through institutions like the Academia de Lenguas Mayas de Guatemala and the Pan-Maya movement, challenging their depiction as passive or extinct.

Community murals and internet forums added to the set of available tools for historical intervention. Maya youth and artists now write public memory in indigenous language, as well as paint and pixels, taking back cyberspace as well as the public sphere as a space of resistance and of pride. These moments of creativity are as political as they are aesthetically driven. They resist the hegemony of state-sanctioned narratives, resist global commodification of indigenous culture, and assert the right to define identity in their own terms.

Yet, the struggle over representation in history continues. Maya people continue to be underrepresented in academic literature, their cultural heritage continues to be taken without consent, and their voices continue to be silenced in national education and international discourse. These ongoing exclusions demonstrate that the struggle for historical justice is not simply about recovering the past, but it is also about changing power relations in the present.

In order to create such a battle, scholars, teachers, and institutions must create symbolic inclusion. They must do so by carrying out ethical alignments that center on indigenous methods, respecting community possession of knowledge-making, and representing Maya people, rather than merely portraying their symbols. Shared research, double-language education, indigenous-governed museums, and community repositories are not merely cultural projects, but also political performances of change and epistemic reparation.

In short, Maya historiography is neither closed nor static; it is an evolving tradition that constantly changes, resists, and imagines. It encourages us not only to re-read the past but to rethink

the manner in which we write history itself. For the Maya, history is ceremony, prophecy, resistance, and renewal. As long as these things remain alive in word, image, ritual, and virtual reality, the Maya will continue not only to be remembered, but also to forge the future.

Bibliography

-Archives

1. Copán Mosaics Project Epigraphic Records – Honduran Institute of Anthropology.
2. Corpus of Maya Hieroglyphic Inscriptions (CMHI) – Peabody Museum, Harvard University
3. Maya Hieroglyphic Database (MHD) – University of California, Riverside.

-Studies (Books and articles)

1. ADAMS, A. (2018). Guatemalan graffiti and the art of resistance. *Latin American Perspectives*, 45(4), 183-197.
2. BRICKER, V. R., & BRICKER, H. M. (2011). Astronomy in the Maya Codices. *American Philosophical Society*.
3. CASTAÑEDA, Q. E. (1996). *In the museum of Maya culture: Touring Chichén Itzá*. University of Minnesota Press.
4. CHRISTENSON, A. J. (2007). *Popol Vuh: The sacred book of the Maya*. University of Oklahoma Press.
5. COJITÌ CUXIL, D. (1997). El Movimiento Maya: Trayectoria y perspectivas. *FLACSO*.
6. ENGLAND, N. C. (2003). Maya linguists, linguistics, and the politics of identity. *Texas Linguistics Forum*, 47, 1-12.
7. FSH, W. L. (2001). Scribes, warriors and kings: The city of Copán and the ancient Maya. *Thames & Hudson*.
8. FLACHERTY, C. (2018). The commodification of belief: New Age appropriations of Maya spiritually. *Journal of Religion and Society*, 20, 1-18.
9. GRANDIN, G. (2000). *The blood of Guatemala: A history of race and nation*. Duke University Press.
10. HANKS, W. F. (2010). *Converting words: Maya in the age of the cross*. University of California Press.
11. HANSEN, R. D., et al. (2022). LiDAR analysis and the rise of the Kan Kingdom in northern Guatemala. *Ancient Mesoamerica*, 33(1), 1-24.
12. HUSTON, S., STUART, D., & ROBERTSON, J. (2004). The decipherment of Maya writing. In *Understanding Maya inscriptions: A hieroglyph handbook* (pp. 1-24). *University of Pennsylvania Museum*.
13. HUTSON, S. R. (2021). Maya youth, TikTok, and the digital reimaging of ancestral knowledge. *Journal of Latin American Cultural Studies*.
14. LANDA, D. de. (1978). *Relación de las cosas de Yucatán* (A. M. Tozzer, Trans.). Dover Publications. (Original work published 1566)
15. LITTLE, W. E. (2004). *Maya in the marketplace: Tourism, globalization, and cultural identity*. University of Texas Press.
16. LÓPEZ, A. J. (2022). *Street art and indigenous memory in Latin America: Visual politics in public space*. University of Texas Press.
17. MARTIN, S., & Grube, N. (2008). *Chronicle of the Maya kings and queens: Deciphering the dynasties of the ancient Maya* (2nd ed.). Thames & Hudson.
18. MATZAT, L. A. (2019). *Digital heritage and indigenous communities: New technologies for preserving culture*. University of Arizona Press.
19. MIGNOLO, W. D. (2011). *The darker side of Western modernity: Global futures, decolonial options*. Duke University Press.
20. RESTALL, M. (2003). *Seven myths of the Spanish conquest*. Oxford University Press.
21. RESTALL, M., & Solari, A. (2020). *The Maya: A very short introduction*. Oxford University Press.
22. RICHARDS-RISSETO, H. (2017). An iterative 3D GIS analysis of the role of visibility in ancient Maya landscapes. *Digital Scholarship in the Humanities*, 32 (2), 195-212.
23. SANFORD, V. (2003). *Buried secrets: Truth and human rights in Guatemala*. Palgrave Macmillan.
24. SCHELE, L., & Freidel, D. (1990). *A forest of kings: The untold story of the ancient Maya*. William Morrow.

25. SMITH, L. T. (2012). *Decolonizing methodologies: Research and indigenous peoples (2nd ed.)*. Zed Books.
26. TALLBEAR, K. (2013). *Native American DNA: Tribal belonging and the false promise of genetic science*. University of Minnesota Press.
27. TARACENA ARRIOLA, A. (2002). *Invención criolla, sueño ladino, pesadilla indígena: Los Altos de Guatemala, de región a Estado, 1740-1850*. CIRMA.
28. TEDLOCK, D. (1996). *Popol Vuh: Mayan book of the dawn of life*. Touchstone.