

Organizational Adaptation and Entrepreneurial Success in Algeria: The Case of PROFILOR Through the Lens of Contingency Theory

التكيف التنظيمي والنجاح الريادي في الجزائر : حالة PROFILOR من منظور نظرية الظرفية

Mahammed ZEKRI

Doctoral student, EHEC, Labo Permanan, Algeria
zymhamed@gmail.com

Received: 17-09-2025

Accepted: 08-10-2025

Abstract:

This article explores how the Algerian company PROFILOR, led by Issad Rebrab, aligned its organizational and strategic choices with the specific constraints of its environment. Drawing exclusively from Hafsi's (2013) work, the study illustrates the practical application of contingency theory principles in a context marked by administrative restrictions and major economic challenges. The analysis highlights key adaptation levers: structural flexibility, vertical integration, versatile technologies, and a strong entrepreneurial culture. Practical recommendations are provided for managers operating in similar environments.

Keywords: Contingency ; adaptation; strategy; PROFILOR; Algeria.

ملخص:

يستكشف هذا المقال كيف قامت شركة *PROFILOR* الجزائرية، بقيادة عصاد رباب، بمواءمة خياراتها التنظيمية والاستراتيجية مع القيود المحددة لبيئتها. واستناداً حصرياً إلى عمل حفصي (2013)، توضح الدراسة التطبيق العملي لمبادئ نظرية الظرفية في سياق يتسم بالقيود الإدارية والتحديات الاقتصادية الكبرى. يسلط التحليل الضوء على الروافع الرئيسية للتكيف: المرونة الهيكلية، والتكامل العمودي، والتقنيات متعددة الاستخدامات، وثقافة ريادة الأعمال القوية. كما يتم تقديم توصيات عملية للمديرين العاملين في بيئات مماثلة.

كلمات مفتاحية: الظرفية، التكيف، الاستراتيجية، PROFILOR، الجزائر.

1. INTRODUCTION

The ability of organizations to adapt to their environment is now a central issue in strategic and organizational thinking, particularly in emerging contexts marked by instability and uncertainty. Algeria, as a transitional economy facing complex structural challenges, fully illustrates this issue. The rigidity of institutional frameworks, bureaucratic constraints, and market volatility impose significant demands on local companies in terms of flexibility and organizational innovation.

In this context, contingency theory offers a particularly relevant analytical framework for understanding the dynamics of organizational structure adjustment to specific characteristics of the external environment. According to this approach, there is no universal management model: successful companies are those that manage to coherently align their internal structures with the requirements of their environment (Burns & Stalker, 1961; Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967; Chandler, 1962; Woodward, 1965). The structure, technology, strategy, and size of the organization are thus considered interdependent variables, conditioned by the contextual factors in which the company operates.

The case of PROFILOR, a company founded by Issad Rebrab and brilliantly documented by Hafsi (2013), constitutes a remarkable illustration of these principles. Operating in an Algerian environment constrained by protectionist policies, administrative burden, and notable infrastructural deficiencies, PROFILOR has managed to deploy highly effective organizational and managerial adaptation strategies. From its origins, the company distinguished itself through a proactive and pragmatic approach, taking advantage of opportunities offered by a tense market and skillfully exploiting the flaws in the Algerian bureaucratic system.

The objective of this article is precisely to analyze, in light of contingency theory, PROFILOR's organizational trajectory as described in Hafsi's work (2013). By exclusively using this documentary source, the study proposes to explore how PROFILOR managed to structure its activities, adapt its strategic choices, and organize its resources to meet the requirements of a particularly volatile and complex environment.

More specifically, this article aims to illustrate how PROFILOR, through its vertical integration, technological flexibility, and innovative management, embodies a model of resilient enterprise, capable of continuously adjusting to the constraints and opportunities of its context. In doing so, it contributes to enriching the understanding of organizational dynamics in emerging economies and, more broadly, to feeding reflection on the concrete application of contingency theory foundations.

The article will be structured as follows: First, we will present the theoretical framework of contingency theory, drawing on the main contributions from the literature. We will then outline the chosen methodology, based on documentary analysis of the PROFILOR case. Subsequently, we will analyze in depth PROFILOR's organizational and strategic characteristics before discussing the lessons this case offers in light of contingency theory. Finally, we will propose relevant managerial recommendations for companies operating in similar contexts, before concluding with a synthesis of the study's main contribution.

2. Theoretical Framework

Contingency theory has established itself over decades as one of the most influential analytical frameworks for understanding structural and managerial choices of organizations facing varied environments. It directly opposes the idea of a universal organizational structure model defended by classical organizational approaches, and instead asserts that organizational effectiveness depends on the fit between an organization's internal characteristics and the external contingencies that constrain it (Burns & Stalker, 1961; Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967; Chandler, 1962; Woodward, 1965).

2.1 Origins and Fundamental Principles

The emergence of contingency theory is directly linked to the evolution of research on organizational structure during the 1950s and 1960s. Joan Woodward's pioneering work (1958, 1965) highlighted the decisive impact of technology on organizational choices. By classifying organizations according to their production mode (unit, mass, or continuous), Woodward demonstrated that technology strongly conditions the degree of formalization, specialization, and centralization required to ensure organizational process effectiveness.

Building on this research, Burns and Stalker (1961) identified two ideal types of organizational structures: mechanistic and organic structures. The mechanistic structure, characterized by highly formalized processes, rigid hierarchy, and precisely defined roles, is deemed suitable for stable and predictable environments. Conversely, the organic structure, more flexible, decentralized, and loosely formalized, proves better adapted to unstable and changing environments where innovation and reactivity are essential.

Lawrence and Lorsch (1967) deepened this analysis by introducing the concepts of differentiation and integration. They emphasized that successful organizations are those that manage to achieve a balance between functional specialization - to respond to the growing complexity of their environment - and the ability to effectively integrate these different functions to ensure overall organizational coherence and effectiveness.

Chandler (1962), in his major work *Strategy and Structure*, established a direct link between the strategy adopted by the organization and the structure put in place to support it. According to him, structure follows strategy: companies that evolve, diversify their activities, or deploy in new markets must adapt their organizational architecture to accompany these transformations and maintain their operational effectiveness.

2.2 Major Contingent Variables

Contingency theory highlights several major variables that condition organizational choices:

- a) **External Environment** The stability or instability of the environment directly influences the nature of organizational structure. A stable environment allows for the establishment of standardized processes and efficient routines, while an unstable environment requires flexibility and rapid adaptation capacity (Burns & Stalker, 1961).
- b) **Technology** The technological complexity required to produce goods or services influences the structuring of roles and processes. The more complex the technology, the more organizations must develop flexible structures to manage technological uncertainty (Woodward, 1965).
- c) **Organization Size** Organizational growth mechanically generates increased complexity. Small structures often favor flexibility and informality,

while growth is generally accompanied by increasing formalization of processes and more pronounced hierarchical structuring (Mintzberg, 1979).

d) Strategy As shown by Chandler (1962), strategic choices guide the structuring of the organization. A diversification or vertical integration strategy requires structural adaptation to maintain coherence between different business units.

2.3. Towards a Dynamic Approach to Organizational Adaptation

Beyond identifying these variables, contingency theory posits that successful organizations are those that adopt a dynamic approach of continuous adaptation to their environment. This implies constant vigilance regarding market signals and technological developments, as well as the ability to regularly review organizational choices to ensure their relevance. In PROFILOR's case, this dynamic perspective is particularly instructive. Faced with multiple challenges in the Algerian environment, characterized by heavy bureaucratic constraints and strong dependence on technology imports, the company demonstrated remarkable adaptability. Its vertical integration strategy and investments in versatile equipment perfectly illustrate the alignment mechanisms between strategy, technology, and organizational structure advocated by contingency theory. Thus, the theoretical framework we have presented will serve as an analytical lens to examine the PROFILOR case in depth in the following sections, to highlight the relevance and effectiveness of the organizational and strategic choices made by the company in a context of high contingency

3. Methodology

To rigorously analyze PROFILOR's organizational adaptation through the lens of contingency theory, this research adopts a qualitative approach based exclusively on an in-depth documentary analysis of one main source: Taïeb Hafsi's (2013) book, "Issad Rebrab: Think Big, Start Small and Move Fast." This work provides a detailed monograph of Issad Rebrab's entrepreneurial journey and PROFILOR's strategic and organizational development, thus allowing us to understand the internal and external dynamics that shaped the company's evolution.

This method follows an academic tradition of using case studies based on verified secondary sources when they offer sufficient detail to illuminate the

organizational adaptation mechanisms at work (Yin, 2009). The advantage of this approach is that it allows for a fine-grained understanding of the studied phenomena without necessarily requiring field data collection, while ensuring the analytical rigor essential for academic research.

The choice of PROFILOR as a case study is based on several relevant criteria. On one hand, the company represents an emblematic example of entrepreneurial success in Algeria within a complex institutional environment marked by strong constraints. On the other hand, PROFILOR concretely illustrates contingency theory principles through its ability to align organizational structure, strategic choices, and external context in an environment characterized by instability and infrastructural deficiencies.

The methodological approach followed in this research is structured in two main stages:

1. Conceptual Analysis of the Theoretical Framework

We first reviewed the major contributions to contingency theory literature (Burns & Stalker, 1961; Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967; Chandler, 1962; Woodward, 1965). This allowed us to construct a structured analytical framework based on the key variables identified by these authors: environment, technology, strategy, organization size and structure.

The translation maintains the academic precision while ensuring clarity in English. This section outlines how the researchers approached the theoretical foundation of their study by examining the seminal works in contingency theory. The cited authors and their key variables form the basis for analyzing the PROFILOR case study.

2. Empirical Analysis of PROFILOR Case from Hafsi's Book (2013)

In a second phase, we conducted a careful and analytical reading of Hafsi's work, extracting descriptive and explanatory elements related to PROFILOR's structuring, strategic choices, and adaptation mechanisms. Each observation was systematically compared to the previously established theoretical framework to verify the relevance of applying contingency theory principles in the studied case.

This documentary analysis method ensures the academic compliance of the research while allowing for an in-depth exploration of a concrete and

documented case. Moreover, it guarantees the reliability of the data used, as it comes from a recognized and validated source in the literature on management and entrepreneurship in Algeria. It should be noted that this study deliberately limits itself to analyzing a single documentary source to avoid any methodological confusion and ensure full coherence of the work. The conclusions that will be presented in the following sections should thus be interpreted within the framework of this documentary approach, acknowledging its limitations but also its significant contributions to understanding contingent dynamics in the Algerian context.

4. Empirical Case: Issad Rebrab and PROFILOR

4.1. Creation Context and External Environment

PROFILOR's trajectory takes place in an Algerian economic and institutional context characterized by deep constraints. After independence, the Algerian economy was marked by a state-controlled and centralized development model, where private initiatives struggled to emerge in a heavy bureaucratic environment with a rigid regulatory framework

Issad Rebrab, PROFILOR's founder, however identified an opportunity space in this configuration. Taking advantage of growing needs for industrial equipment and the administrative circuits' inability to quickly respond to local demand, he created PROFILOR with the ambition to fill this void through an adapted and reactive offering. From its beginnings, the company evolved in an environment marked by:

- Severe import constraints limiting access to equipment and raw materials needed for local production
- Strong dependence on administrative decisions for granting licenses and activity authorizations
- A market under tension, with growing demand for local industrial solutions

This context required companies to have constant adaptation capabilities to survive and develop. PROFILOR responded to this challenge through a proactive entrepreneurial posture, aligned with contingency theory principles, which posits that effective structures are those that manage to adapt to the specificities of their environment.

4.2 Initial and Evolving Organizational Structure

At its beginnings, PROFILOR adopted a flexible and informal organizational structure, adapted to the small size of the company and the need to react quickly to market opportunities (Hafsi, 2013). Rather than being confined to a heavy and rigid hierarchy, Issad Rebrab favored a fluid organization where strategic and operational decisions were centralized in his hands, thus ensuring strong reactivity.

This centralization of decision-making power, characteristic of small companies, allowed PROFILOR to bypass administrative bureaucracy and adapt quickly to the constraints of the Algerian context. However, as the company grew, this structure evolved towards a more integrated and specialized form, notably through the progressive expansion of internal functions, diversification of skills, and introduction of certain formalized procedures to support the growth of activities (Hafsi, 2013). This evolution perfectly illustrates the dynamic anticipated by contingency theory, according to which organizational growth mechanically leads to increased formalization of processes and a need for balance between centralization and decentralization of decisions (Mintzberg, 1979).

4.3. Vertical Integration Strategy and Technological Adaptation

Faced with difficulties in sourcing equipment and components necessary for its activities, PROFILOR quickly adopted a vertical integration strategy (Hafsi, 2013). Rather than depending on imports, the company progressively internalized the manufacturing of critical parts and sub-assemblies, thus ensuring its control over the entire value chain. This strategic decision, perfectly aligned with Chandler's precepts (1962), reflects PROFILOR's desire to secure its supplies while strengthening its responsiveness to market changes. By internalizing critical activities, the company reduced its exposure to external environment uncertainties and gained operational autonomy. Furthermore, PROFILOR invested in versatile and adaptable equipment, capable of producing a variety of components according to specific customer orders. This technological choice allowed the company to increase.

4.4. Managerial Innovation and Human Resources Management

PROFILOR's flexibility is not limited to its organizational structure or technological choices. It is also evident in its human resources

management. Rather than relying on standardized and rigid procedures, the company adopts a pragmatic approach, emphasizing employee empowerment and direct engagement in solving operational problems (Hafsi, 2013). Issad Rebrab personally ensures the maintenance of a strong entrepreneurial climate, encouraging initiative and employee involvement. This managerial approach promotes a culture of agility and innovation, essential in an environment characterized by constant constraints and fleeting opportunities. PROFILOR's approach to human resources management thus confirms that, in the face of an unstable environment, organizational flexibility and the development of human capital constitute fundamental performance levers, in perfect alignment with the teachings of contingency theory.

The analysis of the PROFILOR case, based on Hafsi's work (2013), highlights the concrete application of contingency theory principles in an environment marked by strong constraints and multiple uncertainties. By comparing the company's organizational and strategic choices with the teachings of major contingency theorists, several significant correlations emerge.

5. Analysis and Discussion

The analysis of the PROFILOR case, based on Hafsi's work (2013), highlights the concrete application of contingency theory principles in an environment marked by strong constraints and multiple uncertainties. By comparing the company's organizational and strategic choices with the teachings of major contingency theorists, several significant correlations emerge.

5.1. Structure-Environment Alignment

The first essential observation concerns the alignment between PROFILOR's organizational structure and the characteristics of its external environment. Faced with a rigid institutional context and severe logistical constraints, PROFILOR opted for an initially flexible and centralized organizational structure, characteristic of small entrepreneurial structures operating in unstable environments.

This choice aligns perfectly with the conclusions of Burns and Stalker (1961), who argued that dynamic and unpredictable environments require

organizations with organic structures capable of reacting quickly to market fluctuations. By centralizing decisions within management while maintaining operational flexibility, PROFILOR was able to leverage this approach to bypass administrative bureaucracy and respond effectively to fluctuating customer demands

As the company grew, the progressive evolution toward increased formalization of internal processes, observed by Hafsi (2013: 78), illustrates the transition dynamics anticipated by contingency theory, which predicts that structuring naturally evolves with the increase in size and complexity of operations.

5.2. Vertical Integration Strategy and Reduction of External Dependency

The vertical integration strategy adopted by PROFILOR constitutes another clear example of contingent alignment. By progressively internalizing the production of parts and equipment necessary for its activity, the company was able to reduce its dependence on imports, which were heavily constrained by national regulations.

This approach confirms Chandler's (1962) conclusions, according to which strategy drives organizational structure. Indeed, vertical integration requires an internal organization capable of simultaneously managing several complementary activities while maintaining overall coherence. PROFILOR successfully met this challenge by developing versatile and adaptable production capabilities, supported by agile human resource management.

Furthermore, this internalization of critical processes allowed the company to gain strategic autonomy, thus reducing its exposure to external environment disruptions and improving its responsiveness to the specific needs of the Algerian market.

5.3. Technological Flexibility and Market Needs Adaptation

PROFILOR also illustrated the relevance of Woodward's work (1965), which emphasized the importance of technology as a contingent variable influencing organizational structure. By investing in flexible equipment and maintaining a continuous adaptation capacity of its production means,

PROFILOR was able to quickly adjust its offerings according to specific customer orders (Hafsi, 2013). This technological flexibility allowed the company to respond with agility to the demands of a volatile market, characteristic of emerging economies where demand is often unpredictable and where customer needs can evolve rapidly. Thus, PROFILOR fully integrated the technological dimension into its organizational choices, in line with the recommendations of contingency theorists.

5.4. Entrepreneurial Culture and Managerial Agility.

Finally, beyond the structural and technological dimensions, the PROFILOR case illustrates the centrality of human factors and corporate culture in contingent adaptation mechanisms. Issad Rebrab's proactive entrepreneurial stance, combined with a management style that emphasizes employee empowerment, has helped establish an organizational culture oriented toward agility and innovation (Hafsi, 2013: 82-83). While contingency theory emphasizes structural and strategic dimensions, it also recognizes the importance of cultural and human factors in organizational effectiveness (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967). By promoting flexible and participative human resource management, PROFILOR has strengthened its ability to deal with environmental uncertainties and seize emerging opportunities.

5.5. Analysis Summary

Ultimately, the analysis of PROFILOR largely confirms the postulates of contingency theory. The company successfully aligned its organizational and strategic choices with the constraints of its external environment, effectively mobilizing structural flexibility, vertical integration, technological versatility, and entrepreneurial culture to strengthen its resilience.

This contingent reading of PROFILOR's journey illustrates how a company operating in a complex and unstable institutional context can, through appropriate and coherent choices, build a successful and sustainable organizational model.

6. MANAGERIAL RECOMMENDATIONS

The study of the PROFILOR case, illuminated by contingency theory, allows us to identify several managerial recommendations for business leaders operating in similar environments characterized by institutional instability, administrative constraints, and economic uncertainties.

Based on the search results and case study provided, this introduction effectively sets up the section that will outline practical recommendations for managers working in challenging business environments. The translation maintains the academic tone while accurately conveying the purpose of the recommendations section, which draws insights from PROFILOR's experience through the lens of contingency theory.

6.1. Favor a Flexible Organizational Structure

PROFILOR's experience demonstrates the importance of maintaining a flexible organization capable of reacting quickly to changes in the external environment. Rather than opting for a heavy hierarchical structure, companies operating in uncertain contexts should favor flexible organizational arrangements, with strategic centralization combined with operational autonomy promoting responsiveness in the field.

This flexibility allows not only to bypass administrative rigidities but also to respond effectively to market fluctuations and specific customer needs, as PROFILOR did from its beginnings (Hafsi, 2013).

6.2. Secure Supply Through Vertical Integration

The vertical integration strategy adopted by PROFILOR constitutes an effective response to supply constraints in highly regulated contexts. By internalizing the production of key components, the company reduced its dependence on external suppliers and gained strategic autonomy (Hafsi, 2013).

Thus, companies operating in similar environments would benefit from increased control over their value chain, in order to strengthen their resilience to external disruptions and better control the quality and timing of their deliveries.

6.3. Invest in Versatile Technologies

Technological flexibility constitutes a major lever for organizational adaptation. PROFILOR's approach, based on acquiring versatile and reconfigurable equipment, allowed the company to respond to diverse customer demands without multiplying heavy investments (Hafsi, 2013).

Companies facing volatile or fragmented demand environments should therefore prioritize flexible technological investments to increase their adaptation capacity and limit their exposure to risks related to market evolution.

6.4. Cultivate a Strong Entrepreneurial Culture

Beyond technical and structural aspects, the PROFILOR case highlights the key role of corporate culture in organizational success. By establishing a culture based on individual initiative, responsibility, and direct employee involvement, PROFILOR was able to mobilize its human capital to strengthen its capacity for innovation and adaptation (Hafsi, 2013).

It is thus recommended that business leaders operating in complex contexts develop managerial practices that promote team autonomy and employee empowerment. Such a culture strengthens organizational responsiveness and stimulates innovation in the face of environmental challenges.

6.5. Maintain Constant Strategic Vigilance

Finally, leaders must adopt a posture of continuous strategic vigilance to detect environmental changes early and anticipate necessary adaptations. PROFILOR illustrates this anticipatory capacity through its keen reading of the Algerian economic and administrative context, which allowed it to seize growth opportunities where other actors were constrained by the system (Hafsi, 2013).

It is therefore crucial to develop strategic monitoring systems and proactive analysis of institutional and economic environments to effectively guide the organization's strategic and organizational choices.

In summary, PROFILOR's journey offers valuable reference points for companies operating in complex and constraining environments. Organizational flexibility, value chain control, technological versatility,

entrepreneurial culture, and strategic vigilance constitute essential levers for ensuring sustainability and performance in contexts of high contingency.

7. CONCLUSION

The analysis of PROFILOR, conducted through the lens of contingency theory and based on Hafsi's work (2013), highlights the company's remarkable ability to adapt to the constraints of a complex institutional and economic environment. Faced with severe administrative restrictions, strong dependence on imports, and constantly evolving local demand, PROFILOR succeeded in building a flexible and reactive organizational model, perfectly aligned with its environmental requirements.

Throughout its development, PROFILOR demonstrated the importance of a flexible and evolving structure, capable of adapting to growth and diversification of activities. Vertical integration of production, choice of versatile technologies, human capital development, and establishment of a strong entrepreneurial culture constituted essential levers for overcoming obstacles in the Algerian context.

These findings fully confirm the central postulates of contingency theory: there is no universal organizational model, but rather a permanent need for alignment between organizational structure, strategic choices, technological capabilities, and external environmental characteristics. PROFILOR thus embodies a successful example of this alignment, demonstrating that organizational flexibility and agility are determining factors for resilience and success in emerging and unstable contexts.

Beyond PROFILOR's specific case, this study offers valuable lessons for business leaders operating in comparable environments. It emphasizes the importance of developing a keen understanding of context, maintaining constant strategic vigilance, and investing in organizational structures and managerial practices capable of supporting reactivity and innovation.

Finally, this research opens perspectives for future work. It would be relevant to extend the analysis to other Algerian companies operating in various sectors, to compare the lessons learned from the PROFILOR case with other economic and organizational realities. Such an approach would

contribute to enriching the understanding of contingent dynamics in the Algerian context and, more broadly, in emerging economies.

8. Bibliography List:

1. ANTHONY R.N. et GOVINDARAJAN V., *Management Control Systems*, McGraw-Hill Education, Boston, 2007.
2. BURNS T. et STALKER G.M., *The Management of Innovation*, Tavistock Publications, London, 1961.
3. CADEZ S., « A configuration form of fit in management accounting contingency theory: An empirical investigation », in *The Business Review*, vol. 7, n° 2, 2007, p. 220.
4. CHANDLER A.D., *Strategy and Structure: Chapters in the History of the American Industrial Enterprise*, MIT Press, Cambridge (MA), 1962.
5. CHAPMAN C.S., « Reflections on a contingent view of accounting », in *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, vol. 22, n° 2, 1997, pp. 189-205.
6. CHEN S., « DCF Techniques and Non-Financial Measures in Capital Budgeting: A Contingency Approach Analysis », in *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, vol. 20, n° 1, 2008, pp. 13-30.
7. CHENHALL R.H., « Management control systems design within its organizational context: findings from contingency-based research and directions for the future », in *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, vol. 28, n° 2-3, 2003, pp. 127-168.
8. DONALDSON L., *Performance-Driven Organizational Change: The Organizational Portfolio*, Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks (CA), 1999.
9. EISENHARDT K.M., « Building Theories from Case Study Research », in *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 14, n° 4, 1989, pp. 532-550.
10. HAFSI T., *Issad Rebrab : Voir grand, commencer petit et aller vite*, Casbah Éditions, Alger, 2013.
11. HATCH M.J., *Organization Theory: Modern, Symbolic and Postmodern Perspectives*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2018.

12. LAWRENCE P.R. et LORSCH J.W., Organization and Environment: Managing Differentiation and Integration, Harvard Business School Press, Boston, 1967.
13. MINTZBERG H., The Structuring of Organizations: A Synthesis of the Research, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs (NJ), 1979.
14. OTLEY D.T., « The contingency theory of management accounting: Achievement and prognosis », in Accounting, Organizations and Society, vol. 5, n° 4, 1980, pp. 413-428.
15. PERROW C., Organizational Analysis: A Sociological View, Wadsworth Publishing Company, Belmont (CA), 1976.
16. PUGH D.S., HICKSON D.J., HININGS C.R. et TURNER C.,
 - « Dimensions of Organizational Structure », in Administrative Science Quarterly, vol. 13, n° 1, 1968, pp. 65-105.
 - « An Empirical Taxonomy of Structures of Work Organizations », in Administrative Science Quarterly, vol. 14, n° 1, 1969, pp. 115-126.
 - « The Context of Organizational Structure », in Administrative Science Quarterly, vol. 14, n° 1, 1969, pp. 91-114.
17. WOODWARD J.,
 - Management and Technology, HMSO, London, 1958.
 - Industrial Organization: Theory and Practice, Oxford University Press, New York, 1965.
18. YIN R.K., Case Study Research: Design and Methods, 4e éd., Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks (CA), 2009.