

الإدارة التعليمية الرقمية: إطار استراتيجي لتعزيز جودة الجامعة الجزائرية.

Digital Educational Management: A Strategic Framework for Enhancing University Quality

بن زيان جمال

المركز الجامعي مرسلبي عبد الله - تيبازة-

البريد الإلكتروني: @cu-tipaza.dzbenziane.djamel

الاستلام: 13 - 06 - 2025

القبول: 01 - 10 - 2025

ملخص

في سياق التقدم التكنولوجي السريع والتحول الرقمي العالمي، يتناول هذا المقال دمج الإدارة الإلكترونية في التعليم العالي كآلية استراتيجية لتعزيز جودة المؤسسات بالاستناد إلى أدبيات واسعة تشمل الممارسات الإدارية التقليدية والرقمية، وأطر ضمان الجودة، ومبادئ الإدارة الشاملة للجودة (TQM). يستقصي هذا المقال كيف تعيد الإدارة التعليمية الرقمية تعريف تخصيص الموارد، والكفاءة التشغيلية، ومشاركة أصحاب المصلحة، بمحدد التحليل تطور الإدارة - من الأساليب التقليدية الورقية إلى النظم المتطورة التي تعتمد على تكنولوجيا المعلومات والاتصالات - ويوضح أهمية التخطيط الاستراتيجي، وبناء القدرات، وأطر التقييم المتكاملة في تحقيق التحول الرقمي المستدام، من خلال تركيب الأبعاد الرئيسية للجودة في التعليم العالي، بما في ذلك الموثوقية والاستجابة والصدقية، يقدم هذا المقال نموذجاً شاملاً يوازن بين العمليات الإدارية الرقمية والأهداف التعليمية المعاصرة، يؤكد المقال على ضرورة الاستثمار في البنى التحتية الرقمية القوية ومبادرات التدريب المستهدفة مع معالجة التحديات مثل القيود المالية ومخاطر الأمن السيبراني، يساهم هذا المقال في النقاش حول التحول الرقمي في الإدارة التعليمية من خلال اقتراح توصيات عملية لصانعي السياسات والقيادات المؤسسية بهدف تعزيز بيئة تعليم عالي فعالة وشفافة وذات جودة عالية.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الإدارة الرقمية، التعليم العالي، ضمان الجودة، الحوكمة الإلكترونية، الإدارة التعليمية، التخطيط الاستراتيجي.

Abstract

In the context of rapid technological advancement and global digital transformation, this article examines the integration of electronic administration into higher education as a strategic mechanism for enhancing institutional quality. Drawing on extensive literature spanning traditional and digital administrative practices, quality assurance frameworks, and total quality management (TQM) principles, this article investigates how digital educational administration redefines resource allocation, operational efficiency, and stakeholder engagement. The analysis outlines the evolution and—driven systems-based methods to sophisticated ICT—from traditional paper—of administration emphasizes the significance of strategic planning, capacity building, and integrated evaluation key dimensions of frameworks in achieving sustainable digital transformation. By synthesizing quality in higher education, including reliability, responsiveness, and credibility, this article offers a comprehensive model that aligns digital administrative processes with contemporary educational the necessity of investing in robust digital infrastructures and objectives. The article underscores targeted training initiatives while addressing challenges such as financial constraints and cybersecurity risks. This article contributes to the discourse on digital transformation in educational management by proposing actionable recommendations for policymakers and institutional leaders .driven higher education environment-aiming to foster an efficient, transparent, and quality

Keywords: Governance; Digital Administration; Higher Education; Quality Assurance; E .Educational Management; Strategic Planning

1. Introduction

The global community has entered an advanced stage of the information age, emphasizing the effective use of information and communication technology (ICT). In recent years, this has become a crucial measure of national development. Achieving such progress depends on several factors, with management methodologies in administration, planning, and monitoring being particularly vital for attaining the desired outcomes.

To achieve this objective, governments have focused on establishing essential foundations, including infrastructure and workforce development, in order to adapt to technological advancements. This approach aligns with the national context and facilitates its implementation in educational institutions. The initiative builds on sustained, methodical efforts backed by top-level decision makers, representing a strategic initiative aimed at cultural transformation and improving administrative and educational systems. However, the accelerating pace of technological change has made it increasingly difficult for governments to keep pace with these developments.

The higher education sector likewise seeks to keep pace with technological advancements to enhance educational outcomes through the implementation of electronic administrative systems to meet the needs of all stakeholders. This is particularly important because graduates and research outputs contribute to various economic sectors.

Moreover, growing global competition among universities necessitates greater use of information technology and the development of diverse information systems. This enables institutions to adapt to significant advancements across various sectors and their associated technology.

Recent discussions have emphasized the role of e-government in improving the teaching process because of its numerous advantages. E-government platforms facilitate streamlined administrative processes, enhanced communication channels, and improved data management systems in educational institutions (Nam, Taewoo, & Songeun, 2024). These technological solutions enable better tracking of student progress, more efficient resource allocation, and increased transparency in administrative decisions (Ameen, Kadir, Abdullah, Maolood, & Khidir, 2024). Furthermore, they support the integration of various educational services, from enrollment and course management to assessment and feedback systems (Galyna, Serhii, Oxana, & Svitlana, 2024), thereby creating a more cohesive and efficient educational environment. Studies have shown that these platforms enhance student engagement and promote self-organization in learning processes (Ayush, 2024).

Based on these considerations, we examine the role of electronic educational administration in ensuring the quality of university education. Consequently, we posed the following question: What is digital educational administration, and how does it enhance the quality of university education?

Digital Educational Management: A Strategic Framework for Enhancing University Quality.

2. Administration: Concept and Elements

Administration is an essential part of human history and has played a vital role in societal progress in various domains. It serves as a fundamental tool to guide nations and communities to achieve their present and future goals.

Administration remains crucial in modern societies and is growing in significance as human activities become more specialized and diverse. This evolution has been driven by technological advancements, which have continuously transformed administrative methods and practices.

Therefore, administrative professionals must address the ongoing challenges in organizational structures and human relations by integrating knowledge and methodologies from multiple disciplines.

2.1. Definition of Administration

Scholars have defined administration in various ways, emphasizing coordination, organization, and resource management.

According to Atiya (2007, p. 3) Ali Abdul Majid defines administration as the systematic coordination and organization of social activities.

As cited in Mirzā et al. (2011, p. 20), Abdin (2005) describes administration as a structured organization and efficient use of human and material resources to achieve objectives with maximum efficiency and minimal cost through systematic planning, organization, direction, supervision, and evaluation.

2.2. Elements of the Administrative Process

The administrative process comprises four fundamental elements, as outlined by Al-‘Usaylī (2009, p. 16):

- a) **Planning:** A strategic process that analyzes tasks before execution based on factual evidence and systematic analysis.
- b) **Organization:** Systematic division of work into tasks and functions, structuring them within hierarchical and functional relationships, and assigning responsibilities and authority to ensure policy implementation.
- c) **Direction:** The leadership function involves guiding, motivating, and supervising staff to achieve organizational objectives while managing workplace diversity and fostering collaboration.
- d) **Control:** The process of supervision and monitoring by higher authorities to assess progress and ensure that resources are utilized according to the established plan.

2.3. The Importance of Administration

Administration plays a crucial role in institutional success by establishing clear objectives and promoting active engagement in decision making. It ensures the efficient use of material and human resources, while facilitating systematic planning and execution across all organizational activities. This systematic approach enables optimal resource allocation while maintaining alignment with strategic goals

2.4. Types of Administration

a) **School Administration:** School administration encompasses the coordinated efforts of administrative and technical staff to achieve institutional pedagogical objectives, working within state-mandated policies to promote students' academic, social, and ethical development (Milham, 2000, p. 445).

b) **Educational Administration:** Educational administration is a system that coordinates the functions and responsibilities of administrators in implementing and advancing education (Khaḍar, 2016, p. 01). It strategically manages human and material resources by overseeing professional development, curriculum implementation, technical operations, and community engagement to achieve institutional objectives (Bahā' al-Dīn, p. 20). At the ministerial level, the educational administration aligns with state directives and monitors their implementation through supervisory bodies. At the school level, principals lead their institutions toward specific objectives within this framework (Ahmed Ibrahim Ahmed, 2001, p.22).

c) **Pedagogical Administration:** Pedagogical administration encompasses the methodological processes through which society organizes its educational system. This includes coordinating institutions, personnel, and instructional methods in alignment with societal values and developmental goals. This systematic approach aims to improve both the quality and quantity of educational practices and to foster institutional development and professional growth (Milham, 2005, p. 445).

d) **Digital Administration:** The evolution toward digital administration marked a transformative shift in administrative practices, beginning in 1960 with IBM's pioneering development of word-processing technology (Ramzī, 2006, p. 11). This contemporary management approach utilizes computational applications and network infrastructure, both locally and globally, to enhance organizational effectiveness. Digital administration has revolutionized service delivery and reduced time, financial, and human resource costs, while maintaining high-quality standards.

This integrated system connects stakeholders with information resources through electronic channels and streamlines organizational planning, operations, and development processes. By replacing traditional manual processes with digital services on Internet platforms, the system creates an internal communication infrastructure that enables seamless device connectivity and information exchange. This digital approach optimizes time, financial, and human resources, bringing benefits

Digital Educational Management: A Strategic Framework for Enhancing University Quality.

to both the government and commercial sectors, while improving operational efficiency and institutional management (riham abdul Karim, 2010, p.35).

3. Quality Assurance in Higher Education

Although complex, the implementation of Total Quality Management (TQM) has proven to be particularly effective in university settings. When properly executed, TQM principles generate quantifiable benefits for both institutions and their stakeholders through systematic quality enhancement processes.

The fundamental dimensions of higher education quality are as follows:

- a) **Competency and Excellence:** This encompasses an institution's capacity to deliver high-quality academic programs that meet the established standards of academic rigor. Universities achieve this through comprehensive educational programs aligned with industry and academic benchmarks. The foundation of educational quality relies primarily on faculty expertise and pedagogical excellence.
- b) **Reliability:** This dimension requires institutions to maintain consistent standards of educational delivery across all programs and services. Reliability is manifested through systematic quality assurance processes and measurable institutional performance metrics. These standards must be maintained through documented procedures and regular assessment protocols in order to ensure sustained educational effectiveness.
- c) **Educational Environment:** Educational institutions must establish and maintain professional environments characterized by ethical conduct and mutual respect. The framework should promote a balance between professional authority and student dignity. Institutional culture should foster constructive dialogue within established professional parameters.
- d) **Responsiveness:** This dimension involves maintaining alignment with labor market needs and adapting to socioeconomic development. Internal responsiveness encompasses strategic resource allocation, including appropriate staffing and infrastructure development, all of which must be supported by robust financial planning and management.
- e) **Stakeholder Understanding:** Higher education institutions must develop systematic approaches to assess and respond to both student needs and labor market demands. Rigorous research methodologies must be implemented to analyze current student needs and emerging workforce trends. Institutions should establish formal mechanisms for continuous collection and analysis of data. These mechanisms should inform strategic planning and programme development.
- f) **Safety:** Safety encompasses comprehensive risk-management strategies across all institutional operations. This includes developing and maintaining a robust physical security infrastructure, establishing clear safety policies and procedures, and implementing emergency-response protocols. While dedicated security personnel play an essential role, institutional safety requires integrated

systems and protocols that align with established standards and best practices in higher education security.

g) **Credibility:** Institutional credibility is fundamental to excellence in higher education, encompassing measurable performance indicators across academic and operational domains. This dimension requires institutions to demonstrate consistent fulfillment of their academic obligations. This can be achieved through documented achievement of educational objectives, maintenance of accreditation standards, and adherence to established quality benchmarks. Institutional effectiveness must be validated through systematic assessment of academic outcomes, faculty qualifications, and operational performance metrics.

h) **Service Accessibility:** Accessibility extends beyond physical location to encompass comprehensive educational delivery strategies. Although geographic accessibility remains important, institutions must develop diverse educational delivery methods and support systems. When considering physical locations, institutions should analyze demographics, transportation infrastructure, and long-term development plans. Additional accessibility factors include availability of digital resources, academic support services, and administrative system efficiency. These elements collectively ensure equitable access to educational resources for all the stakeholders in the field.

i) **Communication:** Effective communication systems are essential for quality assurance in higher education institutions. These systems must facilitate open communication channels among all stakeholders, particularly between students and faculty members. A comprehensive communication framework enables systematic collection and analysis of student feedback regarding academic programs, curricula, and support services. This feedback loop supports continuous improvements in educational delivery and institutional effectiveness through data-driven decision making.

j) **Service Tangibility:** Although educational services are inherently intangible, institutions must develop and maintain concrete elements that support educational delivery and quality assurance (Al-Najjār, 2007). These tangible components include physical infrastructure, technological resources, instructional materials, and learning-management systems. Effective integration of these components creates a comprehensive learning environment that enhances educational outcomes and facilitates quality assessment. This dimension requires regular evaluation and updating of physical and digital resources to maintain alignment with educational objectives and stakeholders' needs.

4. Digital Administration: Core Principles

Digital administration has several key characteristics. It features digital documentation systems including electronic archives, email platforms, digital directories, planners, voice messaging, and automated monitoring applications.

Digital Educational Management: A Strategic Framework for Enhancing University Quality.

It enables remote operations through mobile and international telecommunications, electronic conferencing, and virtual institutional frameworks, allowing organizations to function without physical location constraints.

The system operates continuously, eliminating traditional time restrictions. It also supports a flexible organizational structure by integrating networked institutions and knowledge-driven intelligent systems (riham abdul Karim, 2010, p.39).

Digital administration is an integrated electronic ecosystem that transforms traditional administrative processes into computer-based management systems. This transformation leverages sophisticated information architectures to facilitate expedited and cost-effective decision making while optimizing administrative performance, reducing operational expenditure, and addressing systemic bureaucratic inefficiencies. The system transcends geographical and temporal constraints, mitigates corruption risks, and enhances organizational competence.

The core objectives of Digital Administration are as follows:

- a) **Transparency Enhancement:** Digital administration ensures institutional transparency through verified information-sharing and open information exchange systems.
- b) **Government Responsiveness:** The system improves the government response to public needs through comprehensive information provision and innovative public engagement methods.
- c) **Resource Optimization:** Digital systems maximize the efficiency of time, money, and material resources, while strengthening relationships with citizens, businesses, and investors through improved performance.
- d) **Public Service Quality Enhancement:** Digital governance implements measurable quality standards to improve public administration and service delivery.
- e) **Barrier Elimination:** The framework removes geographic limitations, capability constraints, information gaps, and financial access restrictions.
- f) **Societal Development Advancement:** The system develops digital competencies among community members, promoting wider technology adoption (Bassam, 2011, p. 87).

5. Transforming Educational Management

School administration represents the coordinated efforts of educational personnel—both administrative and technical—to achieve educational objectives within state-mandated policies for students' academic, social, and ethical development (Milham, 2005, p. 445). Institutional management operates under principal leadership to meet specific goals while following broader educational guidelines.

Educational administration extends beyond individual institutions, encompassing the functions and responsibilities of administrators in implementing and advancing the educational system (Khaḍar, 2016, p. 01). Working under ministerial leadership, it coordinates the allocation of human and material resources through professional development programs, curriculum oversight, technical supervision, and community engagement initiatives (Bahā' al-Dīn, p. 20).

Building on these foundations, educational administration addresses how society organizes its educational framework. This approach integrates institutions, personnel, and teaching methods to align with the educational philosophy and development goals, supporting both institutional advancement and professional growth (Milham, 2005, p. 445).

The shift to digital administration marked a significant change in educational management, beginning with IBM's word-processing technology in 1960 (Ramzī, 2006, p. 11). This technological advancement has facilitated the transition from traditional paper-based administration to digital solutions (Bilqāḍī, 2006, p. 204). Modern digital educational management integrates information about faculty, students, and administrative staff, enhancing both internal institutional coordination and external stakeholder relationships (Ḥamdī, 2008, p. 99). The system supports educational planning, resource allocation, and decision making while maintaining comprehensive databases of educational services, curricula, and assessment materials. Digital educational management streamlines administrative processes and promotes technology adoption, thereby creating an efficient environment. This transformation helps institutional leaders facilitate technical skill development among university staff (Al-‘Usaylī, 2009, p. 14).

6. Integration of Quality and Digital Systems

Quality in higher education has evolved from an aspirational goal to a fundamental imperative that serves as a cornerstone of the globalized educational landscape. The etymology of the concept can be traced back to the Latin "qualitas", denoting the essential nature of an entity and historically associated with notions of precision and excellence (Al-Rūsān, 2006, p. 35). In modern educational contexts, total quality takes the form of a comprehensive framework encompassing program documentation, systematic implementation, and continual enhancement of all educational aspects through operational and administrative excellence (Al-‘Usaylī, 2009, p. 14).

The integration of digital administration and quality management in higher education combines traditional quality standards with modern technological capabilities. Educational institutions must establish and maintain robust digital infrastructure to ensure the provision of continuous educational services. This framework includes content management, database integration, and network administration. Advanced security protocols, including data encryption for online communication, are required to maintain system integrity. These security measures support the delivery of enhanced educational services, while protecting institutional data and resources (Al-Anṣārī, 2002, p. 53).

Digital administration and quality management systems facilitate resource allocation across several key domains. These include professional development programs that enhance faculty expertise, comprehensive curriculum oversight to ensure educational standards, technical supervision to

Digital Educational Management: A Strategic Framework for Enhancing University Quality.

maintain system efficiency, and community engagement programs that strengthen institutional relationships. These elements foster a dynamic educational environment that responds effectively to contemporary challenges while maintaining rigorous standards of academic excellence (Bahā' al-Dīn, p. 20).

Through integrated data management practices, this system facilitates comprehensive educational planning, resource allocation, and evidence-based decision making. Digital educational management fosters a technology-driven culture while maintaining core quality standards, enabling staff to develop competencies that enhance institutional effectiveness (Al-'Usaylī, 2009, p. 14).

7. Strategic Implementation Framework in Higher Education

Information and communication technologies create unprecedented opportunities to develop knowledge economies and enhance competencies. This advancement requires seamless integration of scientific expertise, administrative capabilities, and technological infrastructure.

Staff members receive targeted training. They contribute to system enhancement through structured feedback mechanisms and collaborative problem solving.

This strategy operates across three interconnected areas. The first component enhances administrators' capacity to implement technological innovations in university management, support scientific progress, and ensure quality digital education systems aligned with international standards. The second component establishes excellence in administrative practices by developing skilled personnel and implementing effective motivational systems. Finally, the third component implements an integrated approach to digital educational administration that encompasses all the operational aspects.

Mi'rāj (2008, p. 55) identified the strategy's core objectives for digital transformation in higher education as establishing comprehensive online course delivery and meeting global higher education standards. It aims to enhance research capabilities through advanced communication systems and knowledge exchange platforms that optimize information accessibility and sharing.

The strategy emphasizes digitalizing administrative processes to improve operations and align them with contemporary IT standards. It leverages information and communication technology to enhance educational quality and management competence. Through technology-enabled self-directed and collaborative learning, this digitalization streamlines administration and cultivates practical skills and problem-solving abilities, ultimately fostering commitment to lifelong learning.

7.1. Strategic Planning and Requirements

In the digital age, government-developed digital platforms increasingly serve as an essential infrastructure for university departments and their operations. These platforms enhance administrative efficiency and potentially drive technological innovation in institutions. Mi'rāj (2008, p. 62) argues that there are key requirements for digitalizing university administration. Building on

this perspective, to implement comprehensive digitization, educational institutions must develop integrated administrative systems for effective oversight and project management. Universities must establish training centers that focus on digital administrative systems and online course design. These centers should guide administrative digitization and the transition to digital education. This includes the integration of hardware, software, networks, and security systems. Furthermore, a digital platform should centralize administrative processes and resources in an institutional database, while maintaining a repository of teaching materials and enabling access to academic publications. This integrated approach facilitates professional discourse through dedicated forums. It also helps to bridge the gap between educators and IT specialists in developing collaborative solutions.

7.2. Evaluation Framework

A robust evaluation framework is crucial for the continuous improvement of e-administration initiatives. This framework should include systematic feedback mechanisms to gain insights from the faculty, experts, administrators, and other stakeholders regarding platform effectiveness. Structured online surveys play a key role in refining teacher-training platforms and supporting the professional and cultural development of the administrative staff. Moreover, adapting training methodologies to modern best practices, as informed by expert consultations and specialist reports, ensures that the platform remains adaptable to emerging trends. Regular consultations with administrators at least twice per semester are essential for assessing progress, identifying training needs, and addressing operational challenges. This approach helps ensure that project objectives remain aligned with institutional requirements (Mi'rāj, 2008, p. 63).

7.3. Implementation Challenges

Despite comprehensive strategic planning, the transition to electronic administration has faced significant obstacles. Among these challenges is the scarcity of financial resources, which, along with limited political support, restricts the development of essential infrastructure. In developing nations, economic instability and prevalent concerns about data security and confidentiality exacerbate these challenges. Despite rapid technological advancements leading to more sophisticated cyber threats, many countries still lack unified security policies to safeguard their data and privacy. Thus, the primary risk lies not in electronic administration itself but in inadequate security measures, potentially leading to serious breaches and data exposure. Additionally, insufficient funding, limited human resource capabilities, outdated information systems, and a lack of computer literacy, especially in digitally marginalized communities, further complicate sustainable implementation (Būṭūrah, Smāylī, & Būṭūrah, 2019, p. 259).

8. Conclusion

The global expansion of electronic technology and information networks has transformed institutional administration, particularly in the field of higher education. Modern administration relies heavily on digital infrastructure, as exemplified by electronic educational administration, e-government and virtual universities. This shift, driven by the integration of information technology

Digital Educational Management: A Strategic Framework for Enhancing University Quality.

into administrative processes and distance education, has raised the standards for operational efficiency and service quality.

Recommendations for Enhancing Efficiency

To enhance university education through electronic administration, higher education institutions should implement comprehensive strategies to foster a strong e-learning culture and enhance service delivery. Administrative leaders must introduce structured training programs that leverage advanced information and communication technologies to integrate electronic systems into teaching, research, and community services. Additionally, empowering students through targeted e-learning initiatives and maintaining alignment with technological advancements are essential. Adopting global best practices for total quality management will further support these efforts and ensure long-term operational excellence and institutional growth.

References:

- Al-Anṣārī, M. a.-A. (2002). *Barnāmaj idārat al-jawdah al-shāmilah wa-taṭbīqātuhā fī al-majāl al-tarbawī* [Total Quality Management Program and its Applications in Education]. Markaz Qaṭar lil-Tadrīb al-Tarbawī li-Duwal al-Khalīj.
- Al-Najjār, F. (2007). *Idārat al-jawdah al-shāmilah wa-al-intājiyyah wa-al-takḥīṭ al-tiknūlūjī lil-tamayyuz wa-al-riyādah wa-al-tafawwuq* [Total Quality Management, Productivity and Technological Planning for Excellence, Leadership and Superiority]. alexandria: Al-Dār al-Jāmi'īyah.
- Al-Rūsān. (2006). *Al-‘Awāmil al-mu'aththirah fī jawdat al-khidmah al-funduqīyah* [Factors Affecting Hotel Service Quality]. jordan: university Irbid al-Ahlīyah.
- Al-‘Usaylī, R. Z. (2009). *Istikhdām al-tiknūlūjiyā fī al-idārah al-tarbawīyah* [Use of Technology in Educational Administration]. Research presented to Quds al-Maftūḥah.
- Ameen, A. K., Kadir, D. H., Abdullah, D. A., Maolood, I. Y., & Khidir, H. A. (2024). Assessing E-Government Effectiveness: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach. *ARO-THE SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL OF KOYA UNIVERSITY*, 12(2), pp. 52-60.
- ‘Aṭīyah, ‘. (2007). *Fikr jadīd li-taṭawwur al-idārah al-madrasīyah* [New Thinking for School Administration Development] (Vol. 1). egypt.
- Ayush, Y. (2024). Enhancing E-Learning Platform for Higher Education. *INTERANTIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT*, 8(4), pp. 1-5. doi:10.55041/IJSREM30948
- Bahā' al-Dīn, A. (n.d.). *Al-Idārah al-ta'limīyah wa-al-ṭuruq al-ḥadīthah li-taṭwīrihā* [Educational Administration and Modern Methods for its Development]. Dār al-Taqaḍḍum al-‘Ilmī.

- Bilqāḍī, ʿ. (2006). *Al-Iʿlām al-ālī lil-mubtadiʿīn wa-al-mubarmijīn [Computer Science for Beginners and Programmers]*. algeria: Dār Humūmah.
- Būṭūrah, F., Smāyālī, N., & Būṭūrah, F. a.-Z. (2019). Athar al-taʿlīm al-iliktrūnī ʿalā taḥqīq al-jawdah al-shāmilah bi-muʿassasāt al-taʿlīm al-ʿālī [The Impact of E-learning on Achieving Total Quality in Higher Education Institutions]. *Majallat al-Ināsah wa-ʿUlūm al-Mujtamaʿ*, 5.
- Galyna, G., Serhii, M., Oxana, K., & Svitlana, M. (2024). Enhancing Educational Quality Through the Use of Information Systems. *Archives des sciences / éditées par la Société de physique et d'histoire naturelle de Genève*, 74(3), pp. 84-88. doi:10.62227/as/74314
- Ḥamdī, A. ʿ.-ʿ. (2008). *Al-Taʿlīm al-iliktrūnī: al-falsafah, al-mabādiʿ, al-adawāt, al-taṭbīqāt [E-learning: Philosophy, Principles, Tools, Applications]* (1 ed.). jordan: Dār al-Fikr.
- Khaḍar, M. (2016). Maḥmūd al-idārah al-taʿlīmīyah [The Concept of Educational Administration].
- Milḥam, S. M. (2005). *Al-Qiyās wa-al-taqwīm fī al-tarbiyah wa-ʿilm al-naḥs [Measurement and Evaluation in Education and Psychology]*. amman, jordan: Dār al-Masīrah lil-Nashr wa-al-Tawzīʿ wa-al-Ṭibāʿah.
- Miʿrāj, H. (2008). Namūdḥaj muqtaraḥ li-taḥsīn jawdat al-taʿlīm al-iliktrūnī ʿan ṭarīq ḥawsabat al-muqarrarāt [A Proposed Model for Improving E-learning Quality Through Course Computerization]. *Majallat al-Wāḥāt lil-Buḥūth wa-al-Dirāsāt*, 1.
- Mirzā, H., Mirzā, H., & al-Jamāʿī, R. (2011). *Abjadīyāt al-idārah wa-al-ishrāf al-tarbawī: Taṭbīqāt fī majāl idārat al-tarbiyah al-khāṣṣah [Basics of Educational Administration and Supervision: Applications in Special Education Management]* (1 ed.).
- Nam, H., Taewoo, N., & Songun, K. (2024). Identifying the Determinants of Platform-Based E-Government Service Use. *Journal of Global Information Management (JGIM)*, 31(1), pp. 1-21.
- Ramzī, A. ʿ.-H. (2006). *Naḥwa mujtamaʿ iliktrūnī [Towards an Electronic Society]*. cairo: Dār Zahraʾ al-Sharq.