The Politics of Memory: How Nations Remember and Forget Historical Atrocities

Selma Bekkai✶ [1], Daoudi Frid [2]

[1] English Department, University of Tlemcen, (FLATP Lab); Algeria;
selma.bekkai@univ-tlemcen.dz

[2] English Department, University of Tlemcen, (FLATP Lab); Algeria;
frdcalco@yahoo.fr

Received: 26/05/2024 *Accepted: 10/09/2024* *Published:01/12/2024.*

Abstract:

The politics of memory is states' strategic manipulation of historical narratives to serve current political goals. This article investigates how various political regimes, including democracies and authoritarian states, use historical memory to strengthen power structures and establish political legitimacy. The concept of historical amnesia, or the deliberate forgetting of traumatic or divisive events, is examined for its impact on social cohesion and justice. Ignoring past atrocities has the potential to undermine genuine reconciliation and perpetuate violent cycles. Truth and Reconciliation Commissions (TRCs) and educational initiatives are cited as ways to address historical injustices and promote national healing. This article also explores the role of cultural and social dynamics, such as ethnic, religious, and cultural identities, as well as the impact of international organisations and foreign policy on national memories. The study emphasises the importance of remembering and confronting historical atrocities to create more just and cohesive societies.

Keywords: Memory Politics, Historical Amnesia, National Identity, Traumatic Events, Historical Atrocities

✶ ***Corresponding Author***

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of collective memory, a term first coined by Maurice Halbwachs, is not just a theoretical construct but a powerful force that shapes the identities of nations and communities. It refers to a group's collective memory and knowledge of its past, which is passed down from generation to generation. The collective memory of historical atrocities is not just a historical fact but a living part of how nations shape their identities and navigate their pasts. However, the politics of memory is not just a matter of academic debate, but also a contentious reality, with selective recollection and intentional forgetting. Governments and other powerful institutions do not just shape national narratives, but use them to strengthen their legitimacy, control social cohesion, and suppress dissent. In contrast, marginalised groups and counter-narratives do not just challenge official histories, but attempt to bring to light suppressed events and foster a more inclusive remembrance. This dynamic interplay of memory and power is not just an abstract concept, but raises important ethical and practical concerns about how societies remember and forget their traumatic pasts. Understanding these processes is not just an academic exercise, but critical for dealing with issues of justice, reconciliation, and social cohesion in post-conflict and post-authoritarian societies.

This article aims to explore the complex interplay between memory, power, and identity, providing a comprehensive framework for analysing how nations remember and forget their historical atrocities. Therefore, some research questions reflect on:

1. How do governments and state institutions influence the construction of collective memory regarding historical atrocities?
2. How do different political regimes approach the politics of memory, and what are the implications of these approaches for national identity and social cohesion?
3. What factors influence the processes of remembering and forgetting historical atrocities?
4. What are the long-term impacts of historical amnesia on social justice and collective memory in post-conflict and post-authoritarian societies?

2. The Concept of Collective Memory

Collective memory is more than just a collection of individual memories; it is a constructed and reconstructed narrative with social, cultural, and political implications. Maurice Halbwachs contends that memory is inherently social, influenced by the collective contexts of the groups we belong to. Collective memory is selective, with different social groups—such as pilgrims, wealthy families, and working classes—remembering and interpreting historical events and traditions in ways that reflect their distinct perspectives and behaviours. This implies that our memories are more than just individual recollections; they are shaped by our interactions with various social groups, resulting in diverse interpretations of the past (Halbwachs 1992). According to Ziya Meral, collective memory frequently includes a moral obligation to remember past atrocities in order to prevent their recurrence. Meral contends that remembering is more than just recalling the past; it is linked to contemporary ethical considerations and political agendas (Meral, 2015). However, Jennifer Evans and Paula-Irene Villa Braslavsky argue that collective memory can be contentious and contested. They emphasise how public commemorations and artistic representations can spark debate and reflection, either reinforcing or challenging existing narratives (Evans and Villa Braslavsky, 2020).

3. Historical Atrocities and National Identity

Historical atrocities, such as genocide, war, and systemic oppression, leave indelible marks on societies' collective consciousnesses. These events frequently redefine national identities and serve as focal points for the formation of collective memory. Ciano Aydin, "How to Forget the Unforgettable?" On Collective Trauma, Cultural Identity, and Mnemotechnologies" investigates the concept of collective trauma and its significant impact on cultural identity. Aydin contends that societies use mnemotechnologies, or cultural mechanisms, to process and cope with collective trauma, which can shape national narratives and identities (2017). Furthermore, certain atrocities are remembered and commemorated, whereas others are conveniently overlooked or marginalised. Political

power dynamics and societal preferences frequently influence selective memory and forgetting (Olmos, 2018). However, while intentionally forgetting certain events can help to maintain social cohesion and avoid reopening old wounds, it can also perpetuate cycles of violence and impede justice (Purdeková, 2019).

4. The Purpose of Remembering and Forgetting

The study of how nations remember and forget historical atrocities is critical to understanding the dynamics of collective memory and its impact on national identities. This process requires a delicate balance between the imperative to remember and the necessity to forget, both of which play distinct but interconnected roles in shaping a society's understanding of its past.

Meral (2015) emphasises the moral imperative to remember atrocities, arguing that it is critical for honouring victims and preventing future atrocities. Remembering allows societies to acknowledge the suffering of victims and survivors, validating their experiences and ensuring that such events are not trivialised or denied. This particular form of memory serves two purposes: it gives those affected a sense of justice and closure and educates future generations about the consequences of such acts, fostering a collective resolve to prevent them from occurring again. In this context, memory serves as a form of moral accountability, compelling nations to confront and learn from their history.

In contrast, Aydin (2017) emphasises the psychological and cultural significance of forgetting as a coping mechanism. In this context, forgetting does not imply erasure or denial, but rather a method of reducing the psychological burden of trauma. Societies, like individuals, must find ways to rebuild and heal after being paralysed by the effects of previous atrocities. This selective forgetting process allows for reconciliation and the formation of a cohesive national identity capable of looking forward rather than being perpetually anchored to past horrors. It is a pragmatic approach that acknowledges the limitations of the human capacity to endure prolonged trauma and the importance of psychological resilience.

The conflict between these two imperatives -remembering and

forgetting- reflects the complicated relationship between memory and identity. Evans and Villa Braslavsky (2020) show how public commemorations and artistic expressions can serve as catalysts for national dialogue and reflection. These types of memory work can challenge long-held narratives and promote a more inclusive and multifaceted understanding of history. Artistic expressions, in particular, provide a unique means of processing and interpreting historical events, allowing different perspectives and voices to contribute to collective memory. Public commemorations allow societies to engage in collective remembrance of past atrocities while also promoting healing and reconciliation.

Olmos (2018) and Purdeková (2019) demonstrate how memory politics are inextricably linked to power, justice, and reconciliation. Remembering and forgetting are not neutral acts; they are influenced by those in positions of power and their interests in shaping national narratives. Memory politics can be used to oppress as well as liberate people. In post-conflict societies, how history is remembered and taught can either reinforce divisions or contribute to a more just and cohesive society. Recognising past injustices and including marginalised voices in the national narrative are critical for genuine reconciliation and building a more equitable society.

Studying how nations remember and forget historical atrocities is a multifaceted endeavour that highlights the complex dynamics of communal memory. Remembering serves as a moral obligation to honour victims and prevent future atrocities, whereas forgetting serves as a coping mechanism to promote psychological healing and reconciliation. The interplay of these processes reflects the ongoing struggle to strike a balance between the need for justice and the desire for national unity. Public commemorations and artistic expressions are critical components of this process, challenging dominant narratives and cultivating inclusive memories. Finally, the politics of memory emphasise the role of power, justice, and reconciliation in shaping national identities and histories.

5. The Role of Memory in Shaping National Identity and Collective Consciousness

Memory is an essential component in the development and maintenance of national identity and collective consciousness. It serves as the foundation on which societies build their common narratives and cultural heritage. This essay investigates the role of memory in shaping national identity and collective consciousness, drawing on insights from a variety of scholarly works as well as Maurice Halbwachs and Pierre Nora's contributions to memory theory.

On the one hand, Maurice Halbwachs argued that memory is a social phenomenon rather than an individual one. Halbwachs contended that collective memory is formed through social frameworks that are influenced by interactions within a community. This memory contributes to group identity and gives a sense of continuity and coherence (Halbwachs, 1992). On the other hand, Pierre Nora expanded on Halbwachs' ideas by introducing the concept of "Lieux de Mémoire" (memory sites), which refers to specific locations, events, or symbols that have significant meaning for a group. Nora argued that these sites are critical for the preservation and transmission of collective memory, acting as focal points for national identity and historical consciousness (Nora 1989).

6. Memory and National Identity

National identity is inextricably linked with collective memory because it provides the narrative foundation on which nations build their self-image and sense of unity. Collective memory contributes to national identity by fostering a common understanding of the past; additionally, commemorative practices such as national holidays and monuments play an important role in reinforcing this shared memory and, as a result, national identity (Bouchat and Rimé, 2020).

In "Collective Memory: Between Individual Systems of Consciousness and Social Systems," Jean-François Orianne and Francis Eustache emphasise memory's dual nature as both an individual and collective phenomenon. They argue that, while individual memories help to shape collective memory, the social context shapes and moulds these memories into a coherent narrative that supports national identity (Orianne & Eustache, 2019). Furthermore, historical memory serves as a repository

for shared experiences and values that shape a country's identity. This collective memory contributes to a sense of belonging and continuity, which is critical for preserving national identity (Gusevskaya & Plotnikova, 2018).

Memory is naturally malleable, susceptible to be reshaped and reinterpreted over time. This malleability is critical to the dynamic nature of collective memory and its role in shaping collective consciousness. Collective memory is subject to revision and reinterpretation as new information and perspectives emerge; this flexibility allows collective memory to remain relevant and reflective of current values and understandings (Brown, Kouri, & Hirst, 2018). This process of reinterpretation and reshaping is visible in how societies remember and commemorate historical events. Changes in political regimes or social movements, for example, can cause a reassessment of specific events, altering collective memory. This, in turn, shapes collective consciousness by aligning it with the current sociopolitical context.

7. The Role of Commemorative Practices and Lieux de Mémoire

Commemorative practices and lieux de mémoire play an important role in the preservation and transmission of collective memory. These practices include rituals, ceremonies, and the construction of monuments and memorials to serve as tangible reminders of a group's shared history and identity. Nora's concept of lieux de mémoire emphasises the role of physical and symbolic sites in establishing collective memory. These sites serve as focal points for the community's historical consciousness and touchstones for collective remembrance. They also play an important role in memory transmission across generations, ensuring that collective memories are passed down and preserved (Nora, 1989). Bouchat and Rimé (2020) emphasise the importance of these commemorative practices in preserving national identity. They argue that the ritualization of memory, such as national holidays and public commemorations, can help societies foster a sense of unity and purpose.

8. Mechanisms of Memory Formation

The process of memory formation is intricate and encompasses both

individual recollection and collective dynamics. The processes involved in memory formation include a range of social, cultural, and political mechanisms that influence how groups remember their history and build their sense of self and identities.

9. Theoretical Insights into Collective Memory: Spatial, Political, and Communicative Dimensions

Arien Mack and William Hirst argue that collective memory is more than just a passive repository of past events; it is actively maintained through ongoing communication among social groups. This dynamic interaction process is critical in sharing and reinforcing memories, resulting in a cohesive narrative that supports group identity (Mack & Hirst 2008). They elaborate on how social interaction promotes collective memory, highlighting the importance of various communicative acts. These include storytelling, commemorations, and rituals, each playing a distinct role in memory transmission and consolidation. For example, storytelling is a powerful medium for narrating, contextualising, and imbuing meaning into experiences and historical events. It enables group members to share personal and communal experiences, resulting in a shared narrative consistent with the group's overall identity. Commemorations, on the other hand, are formalised events in which collective memories are publicly honoured and reinforced. National holidays, anniversaries, and memorial services provide structured opportunities for group members to gather, reflect on their shared history, and reaffirm their collective identity. These commemorative practices help keep memories of significant events alive while renegotiating and reinforcing their meaning within the group. Rituals, both formal and informal, play an important role in memory transmission. These repetitive and symbolic actions embed collective memories into a group's daily practices, ensuring their transmission across generations. Rituals can range from the formal ceremonies associated with religious or cultural traditions to the informal practices that define everyday social interactions. Individuals who participate in these rituals are reminded of their group's shared history and encouraged to internalise and pass down these memories to future generations.

Mack and Hirst contend that these communicative acts are necessary not only for the preservation of collective memory, but also for the formation and reinforcement of group identity. Narratives created and sustained through social interaction provide a sense of continuity and belonging, connecting people to a larger community and its history. This shared understanding of the past is critical for social group cohesion and identity because it fosters a common sense of purpose and direction.

Furthermore, they emphasise the intergenerational dimension of collective memory, emphasising the significance of these communicative acts in ensuring that memories are passed down through generations. Through storytelling, commemorations, and rituals, each generation inherits a set of shared memories and narratives that define their group's identity. This intergenerational transmission is critical for preserving collective memory and group identity over time.

Social interaction is critical to the formation and maintenance of collective identity. This process is critical for preserving a common understanding of the past and ensuring that collective memory lasts across generations.

10. Memory in Space: Cultural Artifacts, Symbolism, and Political Power Dynamics"

Monuments, memorials, and other cultural artifacts are physical manifestations of collective memory, offering concrete references to historical events and figures (Gusevskaya, 2018). These artifacts serve as focal points for collective remembrance and are critical to memory transmission across generations. Cultural symbolism, such as national flags, anthems, and other emblems, also helps to shape memory by encapsulating and communicating collective identities and historical narratives. These symbols contribute to a sense of continuity and belonging, strengthening collective memory and identity.

Memory is frequently linked to specific locations that have symbolic significance. These places, known as lieux de mémoire, serve as anchors for collective memory by providing physical spaces for commemorating and remembering historical events (Legg, 2017). The spatial configuration of

memory entails establishing and protecting these sites, which may include historical landmarks, battlefields, and other significant locations. Political and cultural agendas frequently influence the preservation and interpretation of these sites, determining how collective memory is constructed and maintained.

Olli Hellmann investigates the relationship between political narratives and power dynamics in memory formation. Hellmann discusses how dominant groups frequently shape the narrative of collective memory to reflect their interests and viewpoints. This process may include the marginalisation or erasure of alternative memories, particularly those of oppressed or minority groups (Hellmann, 2018).

The struggle for memory is a political act, with various groups competing for the recognition and legitimacy of their historical narratives. Counter-memories, such as those of Indigenous peoples, challenge the dominant narrative while attempting to reclaim and assert their place in collective memory. This debate reveals the power dynamics at work in memory formation and emphasises the role of memory as a site of political conflict.

11. Mechanisms of Memory Preservation and Transformation

National holidays, public ceremonies, and rituals are all examples of commemorative practices that help to preserve and transform collective memory. These practices allow for regular reinforcement and reinterpretation of memories, ensuring that they are relevant and meaningful in modern society. Mack and Hirst (2008) emphasise that these practices evolve over time to reflect changing social values and political contexts. Reinterpreting commemorative practices allows collective memory to adapt to new circumstances, ensuring its ongoing relevance and resonance.

Educational systems are another important mechanism for memory retention and transformation. Schools and other educational institutions play an important role in transferring collective memory to future generations. The content of history curricula, the interpretation of historical events, and the narratives presented in textbooks all influence how

collective memory is formed and sustained. According to Gusevskaya (2018), educational systems are frequently sites of contestation, with various groups attempting to influence the portrayal of history. The inclusion or exclusion of specific events and perspectives can have a significant impact on collective memory and identity.

12. Factors Influencing Memory Politics

Memory politics refers to the ways in which political actors use historical memory to achieve modern political aims. This technique involves selectively remembering and forgetting historical events to create narratives reinforcing specific identities, values, and power systems.

12.1. Political Regimes

Pluralism and contestation are common features of memory politics under democratic regimes. Multiple narratives can coexist in democracies, reflecting society's plurality of viewpoints. This plurality is based on democratic ideals such as free speech and the press, which allow for open discussion of historical events and interpretations. Jan Kubik and Michael Bernhard suggest that democracies encourage a more inclusive approach to historical memory. They emphasise how democratic governments frequently foster public debate and intellectual investigation to expose and correct historical injustices. This process can result in the recognition and integration of previously marginalised perspectives into the national narrative (Kubik & Bernhard, 2014).

In contrast, authoritarian regimes often maintain tight control over historical memory. These governments frequently distort historical narratives to justify their power and silence criticism. Authoritarian regimes seek to foster a unified national identity that supports their political goals by disseminating a single, state-approved version of history. Alexandra Barahona De Brito explores how authoritarian regimes frequently practise memory repression, purposefully removing or distorting difficult historical truths. This manipulation reinforces the regime's influence while preventing the creation of alternative narratives that could undermine its authority (Barahona De Brito, 2016).

12.2. Cultural and Social Dynamics: Ethnic, Religious, and Cultural Identities

Cultural and social processes have a huge impact on memory politics. Ethnic, religious, and cultural identities shape how people remember and interpret historical events. These identities frequently intersect with political goals, resulting in the selective commemoration or omission of specific portions of history. According to Dietrich Harth, cultural memory is constantly rebuilt to satisfy the requirements of the present. This process is heavily influenced by social dynamics, as many groups compete for recognition and representation in the national narrative (Harth 2013). For example, in multi-ethnic cultures, memory politics can become a source of controversy, with various groups pushing their versions of history. These contests can rise to clashes over monuments, public holidays, and educational curriculum, as each group strives to assert their identity and historical experiences.

12.3. International Influences

International organisations and foreign policy both play important roles in moulding national memories. Institutions such as the United Nations, the European Union, and other human rights organisations frequently advocate specific historical narratives that are consistent with international standards and ideals. These narratives have the potential to impact how countries deal with their pasts, particularly in terms of post-conflict reconstruction and transitional justice. P.J. Verovšek argues that international players can foster reconciliation and democratisation by pushing countries to confront their pasts and recognise historical injustices. External pressure can drive memorial practices and educational reforms that prioritise inclusivity and critical engagement with history (Verovšek, 2016).

Foreign policy can also influence memory politics. States frequently employ historical memory as a diplomatic instrument, leveraging shared histories or grievances to form alliances or excuse hostilities. Historical events, such as battles, treaties, and colonisation, can become focal points in international relations, impacting how countries engage with one another. Kubik and Bernhard (2014) demonstrate how foreign policy considerations

might cause states to adopt specific historical narratives that serve their strategic objectives. This memory manipulation can help to strengthen national unity, legitimise territorial claims, or garner international support.

Selective Memory and Political Agenda: Reinforcing Power Structures

States often use selective memory to create national narratives that justify their current political systems. Governments can foster a sense of national pride and solidarity by emphasising events that reflect positively on the state or its leaders. In "A Theory of the Politics of Memory," Jan Kubik and Michael Bernhard argue that authoritarian regimes, in particular, alter historical narratives to stifle opposition and consolidate control. These regimes remember only events that reinforce their authority, while eliminating or misrepresenting unpleasant facts (Kubik & Bernhard, 2014).

Selective memory can also be used in democratic circumstances, but in a more contentious setting. Various political factions may propagate different historical interpretations in order to win popular support and influence policy. Democracies' pluralistic nature allows many narratives to coexist, resulting in a more dynamic but fragmented collective memory. Democratic governments that emerge after periods of authoritarian control or conflict frequently encounter issues in dealing with contested memory. They must strike a difficult balance between remembering past injustices and cultivating a strong national identity (Barahona de Brito, 2016). New democratic regimes can legitimise their authority and distance themselves from the atrocities of the previous regime by selectively commemorating events that are consistent with democratic goals and ideals. This process entails developing new symbols, narratives, and commemorations that reflect the democratic transition and foster national reconciliation and healing.

13. Historical Amnesia: Consequences for Social Cohesion and Justice

Historical amnesia can have serious implications for societal cohesion. Thus, excluding traumatic or contentious events from collective memory can result in a false sense of oneness. However, this unity is sometimes weak since unresolved historical grudges linger beneath the surface (Harth, 2013). Ignoring or downplaying difficult events can prolong

cycles of violence and injustice; hence, historical amnesia hampers attempts towards genuine reconciliation and justice. Verovšek (2016) argues that civilizations must face and repair historical wrongs to avoid repeating mistakes and establish a unified national identity.

Historical amnesia also impedes the pursuit of justice for victims of previous atrocities. When authorities or societies choose to ignore or obfuscate certain events, victims are denied the acknowledgment and justice they deserve. This erasing of memory may obstruct efforts to bring perpetrators accountable and give reparations to impacted communities. By ignoring Indigenous viewpoints and recollections, these societies fail to confront the long-term effects of colonialism and deny Indigenous peoples their legitimate role in the national narrative. (Hellmann, 2018).

14. Reconciliation and Healing: Addressing Historical Injustices

Truth and Reconciliation Commissions (TRCs) are established mechanisms that redress historical injustices and promote national healing. These commissions seek to uncover the truth about past human rights violations, provide a forum for victims to express their tales, and provide recommendations for restitution and institutional reform.

Alexandra Barahona De Brito (2016) discusses the success of TRCs in a variety of situations, including South Africa, where the Truth and Reconciliation Commission played a critical role in unearthing apartheid atrocities. TRCs can help societies reconcile with their histories by offering a complete account of past atrocities and facilitating national discourse. TRCs' success in promoting reconciliation is dependent on a number of elements, including governmental will, public engagement, and implementation of its recommendations. When TRCs are accompanied by strong political and social commitment, they can make a significant contribution to healing national wounds and creating a more equitable and inclusive society.

However, the efficiency of TRCs varies. In some circumstances, political constraints or a failure to follow through on recommendations can reduce their effectiveness. According to Stephen Legg in his book "Contesting and Surviving Memory: Space, Nation, and Nostalgia," the

spatial and symbolic components of memory are critical to the success of reconciliation attempts. Effective TRCs must address not only historical narratives, but also the physical and cultural symbols that represent collective memory (Legg 2017).

15. Educational Initiatives: Promoting Historical Awareness

Education plays a pivotal role in fostering historical awareness and critical thinking. Schools and universities are important locations for transmitting communal memory and developing a critical perspective of history. Educational curriculum that incorporate multiple views and foster critical engagement with historical events can assist students in developing a broader understanding of history.

N. Gusevskaya emphasises the role of education in forming national identity and promoting social cohesiveness. Educational systems can help to create a more inclusive and reflective national memory by including various narratives and addressing historical injustices (Gusevskaya, 2018).

16. CONCLUSION

The article examined how the strategic use of memory and forgetting affects political legitimacy, societal cohesiveness, and justice. To begin, it highlighted the disparities in how democratic and authoritarian countries approach memory politics. Furthermore, it reflected on the concept of historical amnesia, which states that ignoring traumatic events hinders social cohesion and perpetuates injustice. Suppressing difficult historical truths impedes meaningful reconciliation efforts, particularly for marginalised people.

Besides, Truth and Reconciliation Commissions (TRCs) and educational programmes were considered as critical methods for confronting historical injustices and promoting reconciliation. TRCs can help with national healing when they are supported by strong political and societal forces. Similarly, educational programmes that promote historical awareness and critical thinking are essential for building a nuanced view of the past and fostering an inclusive national memory.

Furthermore, the study focused on how acknowledging historical

atrocities is critical for establishing a just and cohesive society. While political manipulation of memory can strengthen political structures and marginalise communities, acknowledging historical injustices provides a way to a more fair future. As a result, education plays an important part in this process by promoting historical awareness and critical thinking. Consequently, future generations will be better able to appreciate the complexities of their history and the value of reconciliation.

Finally, connecting with history in an inclusive manner allows societies to respect human dignity and form cohesive communities.

17. Bibliography List:

1. Aydin, C. (2017). How to Forget the Unforgettable? On Collective Trauma, Cultural Identity, and Mnemotechnologies.
2. Barahona De Brito, A. (2016). The Politics of Memory and Democratization.
3. Bouchat, P., & Rimé, B. (2020). National Identity and Collective Memory: A Social Psychological Perspective.
4. Brown, A. D., Kouri, N., & Hirst, W. (2018). Memory's Malleability: Its Role in Shaping Collective Memory and Social Identity.
5. Evans, J., & Villa Braslavsky, P.-I. (2020). Can Remembering Past Atrocities Prevent Future Ones? How a Recent Art Installation in Berlin Sparked Controversy.
6. Gusevskaya, N., & Plotnikova, E. (2018). Historical Memory and National Identity.
7. Halbwachs, M. (1992). On Collective Memory. University of Chicago Press.
8. Harth, D. (2013). The Invention of Cultural Memory.
9. Hellmann, O. (2018). Settler Memory and Indigenous Counter-Memories: Narrative Struggles Over the History of Colonialism in Aotearoa New Zealand. History and Memory, 30(1), 89-123.
10. Kubik, J., & Bernhard, M. (2014). A Theory of the Politics of Memory.
11. Legg, S. (2017). Contesting and Surviving Memory: Space, Nation, and Nostalgia in Les Lieux de Mémoire. Geographical Review, 107(4), 543-556.
12. Mack, A., & Hirst, W. (2008). Collective Memory and Collective Identity. Social Research, 75(1), 49-74.
13. Mack, A., & Hirst, W. (2008). Collective Memory and Collective Identity. Social Research, 75(1), 49-74.

14. Meral, Z. (2015). A Duty to Remember? Politics and Morality of Remembering Past Atrocities.
15. Nora, P. (1989). Between Memory and History: Les Lieux de Mémoire. Representations.
16. Olmos, A. (2018). Remembering the Crimes That Society Wants to Ignore.
17. Orianne, J.-F., & Eustache, F. (2019). Collective Memory: Between Individual Systems of Consciousness and Social Systems.
18. Purdeková, A. (2019). Forgetting Atrocity in East Africa.
19. Verovšek, P.J. (2016). Collective Memory, Politics, and the Influence of the Past: The Politics of Memory as a Research Paradigm.