
Exploring the mysteries of Language: Structure, Influence, and Interactions with Socioeconomic, Parental, Genetic, and Cultural Factors

Abdelkader Makhoulf 

Nour Bachir University Center, Elbayadh, Algeria

a.makhoulf@cu-elbayadh.dz

Received: 15/04/2024,

Accepted: 03/10/2024,

Published: 21/12/2024

Abstract: This paper represents a complex overview concerning the multidimensional nature of language, such as its structure, influential factors, and complex relationships between parental influence, socio-economic status, genetics, and culture. Section I of the paper examines the widely recognized yet debated role of parental influence in early child language acquisition. Empirical research underlines the pivotal role of parental attitudes, linguistic exposure at home, and immigrant parents in facilitating the process of language development. The next section examines the relationship between socioeconomic status and children's language development. Evidence shows how socioeconomic status influences language development and points to targeted intervention as one means of ameliorating linguistic inequalities among children from diverse backgrounds. The paper also questions the deterministic view of the genetic basis of language ability. While the scholarship here communicated in the paper reflects the complexity of this issue and alternative interpretations, it encourages further research into the interaction between genetically determined predispositions and environmental influences on the process of language acquisition and abilities. Finally, it deliberates on the question of the interrelational dynamics between language and culture. Scholarly investigations underline the complicated interdependence of language, culture, and cognition and point out the need for interdisciplinary methodologies in order to acquire a profound understanding of this multifaceted process. This paper therefore concludes with valuable lessons from the mystery of language by explaining its structure, influential factors, and dynamic interrelations with various determinants. The research contributes to enriching our knowledge about language acquisition and proficiency, hence contributing to the building of scholarly discourses in linguistics and other related fields.

Keywords: Language, Parental influence, Socioeconomic status, Genetics, Culture

Résumé : Cet article présente en détail une grande variété de dimensions du langage, en examinant ses constituants structurels, ses facteurs d'influence et ses interactions complexes avec l'influence parentale, le statut socioéconomique, la génétique et la culture. La deuxième partie est une revue critique du rôle majeur de l'influence parentale dans l'acquisition précoce du langage. Il existe des études empiriques qui relient cela à l'influence substantielle des attitudes parentales et au rôle de l'exposition linguistique dans l'environnement familial, ainsi qu'au rôle facilitateur des parents immigrants dans le développement du langage. La section suivante traite de la relation entre le statut socioéconomique et le développement de la L1 pendant l'enfance. La recherche identifie les voies par lesquelles le statut socioéconomique affecte le développement du langage et identifie la nécessité d'une intervention ciblée pour réduire les disparités linguistiques chez les enfants de divers milieux socioéconomiques. L'article soulève également une question concernant la vision déterministe des racines génétiques de la compétence linguistique. Bien que l'importance de la génétique soit reconnue, le discours scientifique a des complexités nuancées et des explications alternatives pour une exploration plus approfondie de l'interaction complexe des prédispositions génétiques et des influences environnementales qui ont un impact sur l'acquisition et la maîtrise du langage. Enfin, nous examinons de plus près la relation dynamique entre la langue et la culture. C'est pourquoi la recherche scientifique met l'accent sur les interrelations complexes entre la langue, la culture et la cognition, ce qui nécessite des méthodologies interdisciplinaires pour les appréhender pleinement. En conclusion, cet article a mis en lumière la nature énigmatique de la langue en établissant clairement les caractéristiques de sa structure, ses forces d'influence et ses interactions dynamiques avec divers déterminants. Ce type de recherche contribuerait donc à améliorer le discours scientifique en linguistique et dans d'autres domaines qui lui sont liés, en développant une compréhension plus approfondie de la manière dont la langue est acquise et maîtrisée.

Mots-clés : Langue, Influence parentale, Statut socio-économique, Génétique, Culture

Introduction

Language acquisition and proficiency are encyclopedic cognitive processes at the heart of human communication and social interaction. The ability to acquire and use language is influenced by a variable number of factors, going way beyond simple linguistic input, including environmental, genetic, and cultural dimensions. This would constitute an insightful understanding of the process in language

development, which is very relevant, not only for theoretical investigation but also for education, psychology, and sociolinguistics. The article examines the complex aspects and their interaction that underlie the process of language acquisition and proficiency; therefore, it is trying to shed light on the complex mechanisms forming linguistic competence.

Research Question:

The core question that leads us to the very realization of the study is multi-dimensional analysis; it questions the acquisition and proficiency in language. We will find expressively how parental influence, economic condition, genetic makeup, and culture correlate in shaping language ability. In this complex interaction between its determinants, we would like to contribute to a better understanding of the processes of language acquisition and the factors influencing proficiency in languages across populations.

Objectives:

- 1- This research attempts to juxtapose the seminal role of parental influence in the early stages of language learning. In this regard, the investigation will look into parental attitude, linguistic input, and caregiving practices to identify strategies employed by parents that may foster language acquisition in children.
- 2- We aim to find what channels socioeconomic status determines the attainment of language in children. By analyzing the empirical evidence, we hope to find differences in the developing language of children from different socioeconomic statuses and pose some specific interventions which might help to alleviate the disparities.
- 3- This research tries to challenge the genetic determinism of language ability. We search for alternative approaches and empirical research in which the complexity of the genetic underpinning of language acquisition and proficiency could be presented, pointing to the interrelationship between genetic predisposition and the environment.
- 4- We aim to discuss the dynamic relationship between language and culture, pointing out the interdependence between linguistic and cultural aspects. Looking

at how language represents and defines cultural identity, we aim to tease out the consequences this relationship has for the process of language learning and the construction of identities.

Significance of the Study:

This study constitutes a tool to understand the attainment of language development and proficiency across diverse populations. Our research, seeks to explain the complex interactions between different determinants such as parental influence, socioeconomic status, genetics, and culture, enlightens educational policies and interventions for promoting linguistic competence. This article further contributes to increased growth in scholarly discourse within the fields of linguistics, psychology, sociology, and related disciplines through the elaboration of language acquisition and proficiency processes informing our understanding.

Methodology:

This research has ensured the attainment of our research objectives through an extensive review and analysis of empirical studies carried out by scholars like Mushi, Topping, Pace, Ramus, Stromswold, Kramsch, and Imai, among others. Our interdisciplinary research has gone further in understanding the mystery of language acquisition and proficiency through the integration of findings from various disciplines like linguistics, psychology, sociology, and genetics. This research, therefore, explores the structural components, influential factors, and dynamic interactions in language development as one avenue to further develop knowledge in the field of language acquisition processes and proficiency outcomes and to advance the growing body of scholarly work in linguistics and related fields.

1. Parental Influence on Early Language Learning

Studies have always found that parents have a big say in how their kids learn to talk. Mushi (2000) discovered that what parents think about language and how much they talk at home really affects how well a child picks up language. Topping (2013) backs this up, saying that it's super important for parents to respond to their kids and do stuff like reading together to help with language skills early on. Mushi (2002) also points out how immigrant parents play a big role in helping their kids learn language, especially in keeping their own language alive. Altogether, these

studies show just how much parents can shape their child's language learning journey.

Research into parental influence on early language learning over the years has identified evidence of the truism that parents themselves are significant in shaping up the linguistic potentials of a child. Indeed, studies have identified that Mushi (2000) stresses the role of parental attitudes both towards the child's language and to the actual amount of exposure to this language at home. Moreover, Topping (2013) emphasizes the significance of parental contingency and engagement in pre-literacy activities as vital components of early language development. These findings collectively emphasize the pivotal role parents hold in fostering their children's linguistic skills from an early age.

In examining parents' role in their children's language acquisition, Selina L. P. Mushi (2000) found that parents employ various strategies to facilitate their children's acquisition of new vocabulary and language structures. Both school and family environments are identified as crucial settings influencing language development. This highlights the intertwined nature of formal education and familial interactions in nurturing a child's linguistic abilities.

This brings into view the fact that formal education and family interactions are intertwined in developing the linguistics capability of a child. C. Tamis-LeMonda's (2009) research elucidates the centrality of language to early childhood development and school readiness. Major developmental changes within the period emphasize the need for supportive environments to facilitate language acquisition and learning. Understanding these factors is essential for promoting optimal language development in young children, setting a solid foundation for their educational journey.

For children of immigrant families navigating multiple linguistic environments, parental involvement in language learning becomes even more crucial. Selina L. P. Mushi (2002) delves into the challenges faced by immigrant parents in supporting their children's acquisition of English while maintaining proficiency in their mother tongue. This highlights the complexities involved in balancing linguistic priorities within diverse cultural contexts.

Parent-infant interaction emerges as a key factor in children's language development, as highlighted by K. Topping (2013). The significance of parental contingency and engagement in pre-literacy activities underscores the importance of early, enriching interactions in fostering language skills. Understanding and enhancing these interactions can lead to effective interventions aimed at promoting children's language development from infancy onwards.

2. Investigating the Relationship between Socioeconomic Status and Children's Language Acquisition

Socioeconomic status is one of those elements that influences language development in children, finding manifestation in quite consistent studies. According to Pace, three main channels emanate from socio-economic status and affect the child's language development process: the availability of educational resources. These findings are further supported by Hartas (2011), who established that the level of parental involvement in a child's learning, determined by socioeconomic status, makes a difference to the child's level of language and literacy. Hoff (2005) also affirms that cultural factors make a difference in children's language experiences and development. The cumulative message of these studies is that such pathways should be the object of intervention, aiming at reducing disparities in the emergence of language skills in children from contrasting socio-economic backgrounds.

Indeed, research has repeatedly documented that poor children perform more poorly than their more privileged peers on standardized measures of language ability. In search of an explanation for this correlation, Pace et al. investigated the following three possible mechanisms that might explain the connection between SES and child language disparities: the child's learning processes, the social interaction between child and caregiver, and the availability/access to materials that both facilitate and hinder learning. They found that language trajectories are malleable, suggesting that the language environment significantly impacts language outcomes. Consequently, interventions should be adapted for language-minority families, involve all caregivers, start early, scale to the population level using innovative technologies, and develop deep community partnerships.

Luo (2016) emphasizes the fundamental importance of early language ability to later school readiness and academic success. By age 3, many children from low socioeconomic backgrounds have already fallen behind their more affluent peers in various language skills. SES influences early language outcomes through the quantity of language input, the quality of language interactions, and the availability of learning resources. This underscores the critical need for intervention in low-SES children's language development, targeting these key mechanisms.

Hartas (2011) highlights the enduring influence of socioeconomic disadvantage on children's competencies, particularly in language and literacy. The study found that parental engagement in various learning activities remained consistent across different socioeconomic backgrounds, except for reading. Family income and maternal educational qualifications exerted a stronger impact on children's language/literacy outcomes compared to social-emotional competence. This underscores the significant effects of family income, maternal educational qualifications, and home learning on children's language/literacy and social competence.

Hoff (2005) investigated the impact of social and cultural contexts on children's language development through studies conducted in the United States and China. The research demonstrated how family SES, maternal education, and language teaching practices significantly influence child vocabulary and grammatical development in different cultural settings. These findings highlight the importance of considering social and cultural environments in understanding children's language development.

Letts et al. (2013) found that children whose mothers had a minimum level of education performed less well in language acquisition, especially younger children. Moreover, children from areas with lower socioeconomic status exhibited poorer language production skills. These findings underscore the influence of socioeconomic factors on children's language development.

Pungello et al. (2009) explored the complex relationship between socioeconomic status, race, and parenting on language development in early childhood. Their research revealed associations between negative-intrusive

parenting and language development, moderated by family context and race. This suggests that understanding the nuanced relationships between socioeconomic status, race, and parenting behaviors is crucial for addressing disparities in language development.

Miser (2012) identified a positive relationship between language abilities and socioeconomic status, validating the Home Environment Questionnaire as a measure of home environment. However, it did not find any significant relation between childcare hours with language abilities, meaning other factors might be more responsible for the development of language.

According to Brito (2017), the level of socioeconomic status is highly connected with language development when considering the various ethnic and language-exposure groups. SES plays an important role in most areas regarding the language development of children, underlining its importance for their overall development and health.

Taken together, these provide valuable insights concerning the complex interaction of factors relating to socioeconomic status and the acquisition of language in childhood-why targeted interventions are necessary to address disparities in language development.

3. Challenging the Genetic Determinism of Language Ability: Exploring Counterarguments

Some folks argue that our language abilities are heavily influenced by our genetic makeup. However, it is imperative to pause momentarily as there exist divergent perspectives on this matter within scholarly discourse. Pinker (1990) and Ramus (2009) both reckon that it's not just our genes at play when it comes to how we speak. Pinker talks about how natural selection has a say, while Ramus insists we need direct genetic evidence. Stromswold (2001) and Wagner (2002) back up the idea that genetics have a hand in language skills, but they also admit it's not a straightforward story. They say we need to dig deeper to really get what's going on. So, sure, genes might have a say in our language abilities, but they're not calling all the shots. Other things like our surroundings and personal experiences also have their say in how well we speak.

The discourse surrounding the genetic determinism of language ability has long been a contentious topic, with proponents and critics alike engaging in scholarly debate. One notable study by Stromswold (2001) undertook a comprehensive review and meta-analysis of twin, adoption, and linkage studies to dissect the heritability of language. While acknowledging the significant role of genetic factors in language acquisition and proficiency variation, Stromswold emphasized the nuanced nature of genetic influences, suggesting that different genetic factors may impact various facets of language development.

Pinker and Bloom (1990) contributed to this dialogue by challenging the notion that a biological specialization for grammar contradicts Darwinian theory. They argued persuasively that human language evolution can be reconciled with natural selection principles, presenting compelling evidence supporting the evolution of grammar specialization through conventional neo-Darwinian processes. This argument challenges previous assertions that language could not have evolved solely through natural selection.

Ramus and Fisher (2009) further delved into the genetics of language, scrutinizing evidence linking gene variants to language disorders and dissecting the genetic basis of language acquisition and brain development. Their analysis underscored the intricate interplay between genetic programs, language learning, and brain development, while also acknowledging the complexities involved in pinpointing specific genes related to language.

Wagner (2002) contributed valuable insights by examining the heritability of language, particularly through adoption studies. While evidence from adoption studies provided some support for the genetic basis of certain language disorders and linguistic abilities, results were not entirely conclusive. Wagner emphasized the ongoing challenges in identifying specific genes responsible for language ability despite substantial evidence supporting a genetic component.

Dediu (2011) added another dimension to the discourse by discussing genetic biases affecting language diversity and evolution. He argued that individual-level genetic factors could influence differences in language and speech

between populations, challenging the notion of a universal language while highlighting the crucial role of genetic influence in shaping linguistic diversity.

Finally, research by Dale et al. (1998), DeThorne et al. (2008), and Kovas et al. (2005) delves into specific aspects of genetic influence on language development, ranging from early language delay to conversational language skills in children. These studies collectively contribute to a nuanced understanding of the genetic underpinnings of language acquisition and proficiency across different developmental stages and contexts.

In conclusion, the studies and perspectives presented collectively challenge the deterministic view of genetic influence on language ability. While recognizing that genetics plays a fundamental role in defining and constituting the faculties of language, they do bring in perspectives that underscore complexities and ambiguities, and alternative explanations for further investigation into the interaction of genetic and environmental elements in language acquisition and proficiency. The present debate further enriches our understanding not only of language development but also frames the imperative of interdisciplinary approaches in the understanding of the complex mechanisms underlying the processes of human communication.

4. Insights into the Dynamic Relationship Between Language and Culture

The interpersonal relationship between language and culture has been emphasized in various research. Besides, Chan (2015) and Pourkalhor (2017) indicated the role of culture in language learning; the latter has underlined the need for learners to be sensitive to cultural issues. According to Kramsch (1998), it goes back to how cultural meaning is encoded and expressed in language, and to how culture is co-constructed through interaction. Imai 2016 discussed in detail the interaction between language, culture, and thought, entailing an interdisciplinary treatment crucial to such a three-pronged relationship.

Many studies have evidenced that development in language and culture are interrelated. For example, Chan (2015) and Pourkalhor (2017) stress the importance of understanding cultural aspects while learning a language; the latter mentions awareness toward cultural differences. Kramsch (1998) discusses the issue from a different angle: how language conveys cultural meaning and how culture changes

during communication. Furthermore, Imai (2016) explores the interrelationship that exists among language, culture, and cognition; he therefore implies that such a multi-complex relationship can only be understood from a multidisciplinary perspective.

The role of culture while learning a foreign language and the construction of cultural identity by means of language acquisition has lately been one of the most debated aspects in research. Chan, Bhatt, Nagami, and Walker (2015) provide insights into these areas, along with implications for language education practices. Their work highlights the crucial relationship between culture and language learning, emphasizing the need for integrated approaches that consider cultural nuances in curriculum design and teaching methodologies.

Pourkalthor and Esfandiari (2017) stress the importance of cultural awareness for both language teachers and learners. Their study delves into the historical background of culture in language learning and suggests that actively engaging with the culture of the target language can enhance performance and comprehension. They underscore how cultural issues can significantly impact the language learning process, urging stakeholders to navigate these complexities effectively.

Kramsch (1998) explores the intricate relationship between language, culture, and technology. By examining various research methods in applied language studies, Kramsch underscores how cultural meanings are encoded, expressed, and co-constructed through linguistic interactions, shaped by technological advancements. This comprehensive approach sheds light on the dynamic interplay between language, culture, and contemporary modes of communication.

Imai, Kanero, and Masuda (2020) delve into the interplay between culture, language, and thought, emphasizing the multidimensional nature of this relationship. Their research highlights the necessity of considering cultural and linguistic factors in understanding human cognition comprehensively. In that direction, by bridging disciplinary boundaries, they uncover the complex ways in which culture and language drive cognitive processes.

The weekly topic appears to provide the Umpteenth argument for language and culture being inextricably interrelated, and that language is a vital attribute of culture. Ilic (2004) ponders over various perspectives on the connection between language and culture. Ilic discusses the effect of language on culture and vice versa with the aim of evoking shared research interests across approaches of various disciplines and, hence establishing a more intricate understanding of the relationship between language and culture.

5. Recommendations

After conducting a comprehensive analysis and thorough research, we confidently endorse the following recommendations:

1-Programs and initiatives for parents to realize how they are central in the linguistic development of their children since birth are absolutely necessary. This should be accompanied by an outpouring of resources and full support to enable parents to create linguistically stimulating environments in their homes.

2-Targeted interventions are recommended that could reduce the inequalities in linguistic competence due to socioeconomic inequalities. This may include access to quality early childhood education, language-enriching programs in poor communities, and a review of the systemic barriers to equal opportunities for language resources.

3-The need to add one's voice to the call for a holistic approach to the subject of genes and their influence on language acquisition and proficiency: basically, the consideration of genetic predisposition and interaction with the environment is basic in determining linguistic outcomes. Interdisciplinary research for the intricate relation among genetics, environment, and language development is hereby encouraged.

4-It is suggested that cultural perspectives should be incorporated into the curriculum of language education so as to help students appreciate linguistic diversity and respect cultural identity. Promote programs that stress the interactional relationship between language and culture, enabling students to gain insight into how language portrays and shapes norms, values, and practices within cultures.

5- Basic research in linguistics and other relevant fields shall be supported with the purpose of continuing to expand knowledge of the processes of language acquisition and improvement. Foster translation among findings into education policy and intervention strategies that support a wide range of populations to achieve linguistic competence. Encourage collaboration among researchers, educators, policy-makers, and members of the community in pursuing multi-faceted challenges around language development.

6-It is desirable to nurture projects that allow cross-cultural understanding and communication in a pluralistic world. Bring out the role of language as a fundamental aspect of human cognition and communication which goes beyond barriers and allows meaningful interaction across cultural boundaries.

Conclusion

While negotiating the complex topography of language acquisition and proficiency, the article has taken a right turn into the most profound analysis of the linguistics, which variously shows an in-depth interplay among structural components, influential factors, and dynamic interactions that form the mysterious nature of language. We have unraveled some mysteries about the development of language through a multidisciplinary perspective, digging deep into how parental involvement and interaction, socioeconomic status, predispositions of a genetic nature, and cultural background may serve to shape children's language acquisition and proficiency.

The survey of the literature, in an attempt to understand parental influence on early language learning, found that caregivers are indeed able to nurture the linguistic skills of a child right from infancy. Mushi, Topping, among others, also indicated how this parental attitude, linguistic input, and cultural practices have greatly influenced the children's language development; supportive environments, therefore, are needed that nurture linguistic competence from the earliest stages of life.

Additionally, our study on the predictability of socioeconomic status regarding language attainment in children succeeded in the identification and ascription of systemic inequalities that prevail among children of different statuses. For instance, Pace, Luo, and Hartas updated knowledge on socioeconomic status influences on language development through complex pathways and pressed for targeted interventions that focus on reducing linguistic inequalities and ensuring equal access to language opportunities. In such a route of challenging the deterministic view of genetic influence on language ability, there was diversity of thought and perspectives with nuanced complexities. Studies conducted by Pinker, Ramus, Stromswold, and others highlighted the polymorphous action of genes in contributing to the processes involved in language acquisition and proficiency, thus requiring an integrated understanding that encompasses not only genetic predispositions but also environmental interactions in shaping linguistic outcomes.

Finally, our journey through the dynamic relationship between language and culture staged the intricate relationship between linguistic and cultural diversity with the cognitive processes of the human mind. Such scholars as Chan, Kramsch, and Imai have illuminated the symbiotic relationship related to language and culture in that the one influences the other; language is a reflection and shaper of cultural norms, values, and practices.

This, in the end, serves as a highly illuminating question into the mystery of language by carving out a niche for the meaningful unraveling of the various cause factors intertwined in language acquisition and proficiency. By discussing the structural components, varying influential factors, and dynamic interactions of languages in their development, this work extends academic discourse in linguistics and related fields, informing education policies and interventions toward the stimulation of linguistic competence across diverse populations. As we delve deeper into the realm of linguistic inquiry, may our synergies further enhance this view concerning language as a basic endowment of human cognition and turn it into a powerful tool for communication across boundaries in an ever-shrinking world.

References

- BRITO, Natalie H. (2017). «Influence of the Home Linguistic Environment on Early Language Development». *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 4(2), 155-162. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2372732217720699>
- CHAN, Wai Meng, BHATT, Sunil Kumar, NAGAMI, Masanori and WALKER, Izumi. (2015). *Culture and Foreign Language Education: Insights from Research and Implications for the Practice*. Berlin, München, Boston: De Gruyter Mouton. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781501503023>
- CLARK, Elizabeth V. (2004). «How Language Acquisition Builds on Cognitive Development». *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 8(10), 472-8. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2004.08.012. PMID: 15450512.
- DALE, Philip S., SIMONOFF, Emily, BISHOP, Dorothy V. M., ELEY, Thalia C., OLIVER, Bonamy, PRICE, Thomas S., PURCELL, Shaun M., STEVENSON, Jim, & PLOMIN, Robert. (1998). «Genetic Influence on Language Delay in Two-Year-Old Children». *Nature Neuroscience*, 1(4), 324–328. <https://doi.org/10.1038/1142>
- DETHORNE, Laura S., PETRILL, Stephen A., HART, Sara A., CHANNELL, Ron W., CAMPBELL, Rebecca J., DEATER-DECKARD, Kirby, THOMPSON, Lee A., & VANDENBERGH, David J. (2008). «Genetic Effects on Children's Conversational Language Use». *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 51(2), 423-435. doi: 10.1044/1092-4388(2008/031).
- DEDIU, Dan. (2011). Are Languages Really Independent from Genes? If Not, What Would a Genetic Bias Affecting Language Diversity Look Like? *Human Biology: The Official Publication of the American Association of Anthropological Genetics*.
- GERVAIN, Judit. (2018). The Role of Prenatal Experience in Language Development». *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*. 21, 62-67.
- HOFF, Erika & RIBOT, Krystal M.. (2015). «Language Development: Influence of Socio-Economic Status». *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences*. 10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.23132-2.
- HOFF, Erika. (2013). «Interpreting the Early Language Trajectories of Children from Low-SES and Language Minority Homes: Implications for Closing Achievement Gaps». *Developmental Psychology*, 49(1), 4-14. doi: 10.1037/a0027238.
- IMAI, Mutsumi, KANERO, Junko, & MASUDA, Tatsuya. (2020). «Culture, Language and Thought». In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Psychology*. doi:10.1093/acrefore/9780190236557.013.579

- ILIC, Biljana. (2004). «Language And Culture Studies – Wonderland Through The Linguistic Looking Glass». *Facta Universitatis, Series: Linguistics and Literature*, 3(1), 1-15.
- KÖVECSES, Zoltán. (2010). «Metaphor and Culture». *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae, Philologica*, 2 (2), 197-220. 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190224868.003.0005.
- KRAMSCH, Claire J. (1998). *Language and Culture*. Oxford University Press.
- LETTS, Carolyn, EDWARDS, Susan, SINKA, Indra, SCHAEFER, Blanca, & GIBBONS, Wendy. (2013). «Socio-economic Status and Language Acquisition: Children's Performance on the New Reynell Developmental Language Scales». *International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders*, 48(2), 131-143. doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12004.
- LUO, Ruixue, PACE, Angela, MASEK, Lauren, HIRSH-PASEK, Kathy, & GOLINKOFF, Roberta Michnick. (2016). «The Family's Role in the Relation between Socioeconomic Status and Early Language Development». *Journal of Family Medicine*, 3(6), 1073-1077.
- MUSHI, Selina L.P. (2000). «Parents' Role in Their Children's Language Acquisition» *Teacher Education Faculty Publications*. 3. <https://neiudc.neiu.edu/ted-pub/3>
- MUSHI, Selina L.P. (2002). «Acquisition of Multiple Languages Among Children of Immigrant Families: Parents' Role in the Home-School Language Pendulum». *Early Child Development and Care*, v172 n5 p517-30 Oct 2002
- POURKALHOR, Omid, & ESFANDIARI, Nastaran. (2017). «Culture in Language Learning: Background, Issues and Implications». *International Journal of English Language and Translation Studies*, 5(1), 23-32.
- PACE, Amy, LUO, Rufan, HIRSH-PASEK, Kathy, & GOLINKOFF, Roberta Michnick. (2017). «Identifying Pathways Between Socioeconomic Status and Language Development». *Annual Review of Linguistics*, 3, 285-308. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-linguistics-011516-034226>
- PINKER, Steven, & BLOOM, Paul. (1990). «Natural Language and Natural Selection». *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 13(4), 707-784. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X00081061>
- REESE, Elaine, Alison, Sparks, & Diana Leyva. (2010). «A Review of Parent Interventions for Preschool Children's Language and Emergent Literacy» *Journal of Early Childhood Literacy*, 10(1).
- ROY, Penny, CHIAT, Shula, & DODD, Barbara. (2014). *Language and Socioeconomic Disadvantage: From Research to Practice*. City University London, UK.

- RAMUS, Franck, & FISHER, Simon E. (2009). «Genetics of Language». In M. S. Gazzaniga et al. (Eds.), *The Cognitive Neurosciences* (4th ed., pp. 855-871). Massachusetts Institute of Technology. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/8029.003.0074>
- SEPORA, Tengku, MAHADI, Tengku Sepideh Moghaddas Jafari. (2012). «Language and Culture». *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 2(17), 231-235.
- STROMSWOLD, Karin. (2001). «The Heritability of Language: A Review and Metaanalysis of Twin, Adoption, and Linkage Studies». *Language*, 77, 647-723.
- TOPPING, Keith, DEKHINET, Rayenne & ZEEDYK, Suzanne. (2013). «Parent–Infant Interaction and Children’s Language Development». *Educational Psychology*, 33(4), 391–426. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2012.744159>
- WAGNER, Laura. (2002). «The Heritability of Language». *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 6, 198. 10.1016/S1364-6613(02)01900-9.

Author’s biography

Abdelkader MAKHLOUF, Associate Professor (MCA) at Nour Bachir University Center-El Bayadh, Algeria, received his PhD in ‘English Discourse Studies and Applied Linguistics’ in 2019 from Sidi Bel Abbes University, Algeria. He has authored numerous articles in both national and international journals and has actively engaged in various international conferences, serving as an organizer, moderator, or presenter. ORCID: [0000-0001-6898-5975](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6898-5975)