

The role of the European Union in the peacebuilding process in a post-conflict situation

Soufyane addal¹, Fatih Chibane ²

¹University of setif2, addal.soufyane@univ-alger3.dz

² University of algiers 3 , Ch.fateh13@yahoo.fr

Received: 27/07/2025

Accepted: 03/10/2025

Published:15/10/2025

Abstract:

This study analyzes the role of the European Union (EU) in post-conflict peacebuilding processes. It examines the EU's comprehensive conceptual framework, which links security with development through short-term stabilization and long-term policies aimed at addressing the root causes of conflict. The EU employs an integrated set of mechanisms, including diplomatic initiatives led by the European External Action Service (EEAS), development and humanitarian aid, and conflict prevention and management strategies. The findings reveal that the effectiveness of these efforts is significantly challenged by internal institutional fragmentation and the divergent interests of member states , in addition to complex regional and international pressures within a shifting global order. The study concludes that enhancing the EU's role as an effective global peacebuilder requires greater internal cohesion and improved coordination, alongside the critical integration of emerging threats, such as climate change, into its strategic framework.

Keywords: European Union, regional organizations, peacebuilding process, international relations, conflict resolution.

Corresponding author: Sofian Addal, addal.soufyane@univ-alger3.dz

1. INTRODUCTION

The European Union (EU) has played a significant role in shaping the contemporary landscape of Europe, primarily through its peace building efforts aimed at promoting stability, democracy, and human rights across the continent. While the EU's foundational treaties and its institutional framework have contributed to peace and security within its borders, there are ongoing challenges and complexities that hinder the effectiveness and coherence of its peace building strategies. These challenges include institutional fragmentation, differing interests among member states, geopolitical tensions with non-EU actors, migration crises, and the growing threat of climate change. The EU's ability to manage and navigate these issues effectively remains a crucial question for policymakers and international stakeholders.

The central problem addressed in this research is the tension between the EU's foundational peacebuilding objectives and the real-world challenges it faces in a complex, multipolar global environment. This research hypothesizes that the EU's peacebuilding efforts, while well-intentioned, suffer from internal fragmentation, insufficient coordination, and external pressures that reduce their effectiveness in promoting long-term peace and stability. Furthermore, the integration of climate change into peacebuilding strategies remains a largely underexplored area within EU policymaking.

The importance of this research lies in its potential to contribute to a deeper understanding of the EU's peacebuilding mechanisms, both in terms of their successes and limitations. By examining the interplay between institutional dynamics, geopolitical considerations, and emerging global challenges, this study seeks to inform more effective and adaptive EU peacebuilding strategies. The research also aims to offer insights into how the EU can better integrate climate change into its peacebuilding frameworks to address the root causes of conflict in a rapidly changing global environment.

The primary aim of this study is to assess the EU's peacebuilding policies, identify the challenges it faces, and propose recommendations for

strengthening its role in conflict prevention and resolution. By critically examining the EU's evolving peacebuilding strategies, the study seeks to contribute to the broader academic discourse on international peace and security, particularly in the context of regional organizations' efforts to foster peace in an increasingly complex global order.

on this basis, What is the role of the European Union lies in devoting the peacebuilding process Peace in a Post-Conflict Situation?

2-The European Union's Peacebuilding Framework: Concepts, Phases, and Institutional Actors

2.1. The European Union's Conceptual Framework for Peacebuilding

The European Union's approach to peacebuilding is deeply rooted in its own history; the EU itself can be viewed as a peace project that helped Western Europe to develop peacefully and prosperously. This foundational identity shapes its external actions, where it holds a political and moral responsibility to act to avoid the human suffering and the destruction of resources caused by violent conflicts¹. However, the EU does not operate with a single, explicit peacebuilding concept, nor has it formulated a singular peacebuilding strategy. Instead, the term 'peacebuilding' is often used as a framework that includes a number of sub-themes². It is frequently associated with a wide range of long-term activities designed to promote structural stability, or with short-term actions with direct conflict prevention objectives.³

This holistic view suggests that peacebuilding is understood as a synergy rather than a strategy, linking together different threads from conflict prevention, crisis management, peacemaking and post-conflict stabilisation⁴. This is reinforced by key EU documents; the 2003 European Security Strategy recognizes that the threats in today's world are not purely military and cannot be dealt with using only a military approach⁵. The EU's approach is thus built on the premise of linking security and development, recognizing that peace and security are often seen as the foundations for progress and sustainable development. Consequently, peacebuilding is seen as the process of addressing the post-conflict transition phase and building reconciliation and peace, a task that necessitates a broad spectrum of instruments⁶.

2-2- Phases of Peacebuilding in the EU's Approach

The EU's peacebuilding activities can be broadly distinguished along two temporal lines: a short-term dimension focused on stabilization and a long-term dimension aimed at sustainable peace.

The short-term dimension is primarily situated within the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and the Common Security and Defense Policy (CSDP). These efforts are oriented towards immediate stabilisation and crisis management, framed by the Petersburg tasks, which include "humanitarian and rescue tasks, peace-keeping tasks and tasks of combat forces in crisis management, including peacemaking. While initial development focused on military capabilities, the EU has since developed extensive civilian crisis management tools across four priority areas: police and security sector reform, strengthening the rule of law, strengthening civilian administration, and civil protection⁷. The primary role of this short-term pillar is therefore to create the conditions under which longer-term peacebuilding efforts can flourish.⁸

Conversely, the long-term dimension of EU peacebuilding is largely associated with the Community's policies and programmes⁹. This approach focuses on addressing the "root causes of violent conflicts in a targeted manner". The EU has identified these root causes as stemming from imbalances in political or socio-economic opportunities, a lack of democratic legitimacy, the absence of mechanisms for peaceful conciliation, and the lack of a vibrant civil society. To address these, the EU deploys instruments such as development cooperation, trade policies, human rights and democratisation support, and arms control¹⁰. The European Commission has stated that "Development policy and co-operation programmes provide the most powerful instruments at the Community's disposal for treating the root causes of conflict".¹¹

2.3. Key Institutions Involved in Peacebuilding

The European Union's peacebuilding efforts are supported by key institutions, particularly the European Commission, the European Parliament, and the Council of the European Union.

The European Commission leads in developing and implementing EU strategies for peace and stability, proposing legislation, managing operations, and overseeing financial instruments essential for peace initiatives. One significant tool is the Instrument for Stability (IfS), which offers rapid financial support during crises to prevent conflict, aid recovery,

and enhance civilian capacities in affected regions. The Commission aligns its strategies with broader goals such as sustainable development and humanitarian assistance, advocating for a holistic approach to security and development in vulnerable areas¹² (The European Parliament plays a crucial role in guiding peacebuilding by co-legislating with the Council of the EU. It provides democratic oversight, ensuring that peace initiatives are scrutinized by elected representatives. The Parliament engages through reports on regulations related to tools like the IfS, focusing on disarmament and non-proliferation¹³. It also fosters discussions on budgetary issues linked to crisis management. By advocating for legislative changes favoring civilian-led conflict resolution—often supported by NGOs—the Parliament can influence policymaking, even when facing opposition from member states reluctant to collaborate with non-state actors.¹⁴

The Council of the European Union represents member states' interests in creating unified foreign affairs and security policies. It serves as a decision-making body on international peace and security matters, facilitating consensus on cooperative actions, including military interventions and economic sanctions aimed at stabilizing conflict-affected regions. Discussions concerning the IfS have highlighted tensions within the Council as member states pursue various security strategies¹⁵. Its conclusions also shape priorities for EU external actions in crisis management.

These institutions frequently collaborate through frameworks like strategic partnerships with organizations such as NATO or UN agencies while undertaking peacebuilding missions, enhancing collective efforts toward stability in conflict-ridden areas.

Facing complex global challenges requiring effective governance, these institutions encounter pressures from differing national priorities and external dynamics affecting EU foreign policy effectiveness¹⁶. Integrated strategies engaging diverse stakeholders and ensuring adequate resource allocation across projects are essential to preventing violence from escalating into conflict.

Ongoing dialogues on improving internal coordination highlight recommendations for operational flexibility within EU delegations in

fragile states, emphasizing the importance of empowering decision-making closer to local circumstances.¹⁷

Together, these institutions lay a robust foundation for the EU's commitment to fostering lasting global peace while navigating complex geopolitical landscapes that demand adaptable governance mechanisms.

2.4. Role of the High Representative for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy

The High Representative for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy within the European Union (EU) holds a crucial role in developing and implementing the Union's strategies for peacebuilding. Established by the Treaty of Lisbon, this position centralizes the management of foreign affairs and security policy under one umbrella, promoting more coherent and unified external actions. Additionally, the High Representative oversees the European External Action Service (EEAS), which acts as a diplomatic branch that executes the EU's foreign and security agendas.

A key responsibility of the High Representative is to promote political alignment among EU member states in response to international crises. This involves leading high-level meetings where strategies for conflict resolution are developed, ensuring that member states present a united front in their peacebuilding efforts. Acting as both a spokesperson for EU foreign policy and a representative of the Union in global organizations like the United Nations enhances Europe's influence on issues related to global peace and security.

The High Representative also spearheads crisis management initiatives through various operational missions in conflict-affected regions. This includes directing civilian missions aimed at stabilization, overseeing ceasefire monitoring, and supporting post-conflict recovery efforts. As noted in¹⁸, these missions often require collaboration with local authorities to rebuild governance structures essential for lasting peace.

Moreover, sanctions imposed by the EU under the High Representative's leadership serve as tools to uphold human rights and international law. These sanctions are strategically applied not only as punitive measures but also as means to encourage compliance with

established norms during conflicts. According to (Borrell, 2021), such actions reflect a commitment to support universal rights while mitigating humanitarian impacts on civilian populations.

In addition to direct military or civilian interventions, the High Representative coordinates various diplomatic efforts aimed at conflict resolution. This includes encouraging dialogue between opposing parties and advocating for negotiations that lead to sustainable solutions. The role involves engaging with international actors—such as regional organ¹⁹.

3-The European Union's Mechanisms for Peacebuilding

3.1. Diplomatic Initiatives

The European Union's peacebuilding efforts are rooted in its goals of enhancing stability and security internally and globally. This commitment is reflected in its foreign and security policies, which emphasize multilateralism, dialogue, and adherence to global standards. The EU utilizes various tactics aimed at conflict prevention, crisis management, and post-conflict reconstruction.

Central to the EU's diplomatic initiatives is the European External Action Service (EEAS), its main diplomatic body. With over 140 global delegations, the EEAS engages local stakeholders, mediates disputes, and promotes dialogue among conflicting groups. This extensive network enables the EU to respond swiftly to emerging challenges while upholding democracy and human rights as outlined in international agreements.

Additionally, the EU combines diplomacy with development assistance to address conflict's root causes. The Instrument for Stability (IfS) is crucial in this context, allowing targeted interventions in unstable regions through funding for crisis response initiatives that support peacebuilding. While the IfS promotes democratic governance and rule-of-law institutions, it complements other geographical tools addressing broader socio-economic issues, as noted in.²⁰ A significant example of effective EU diplomacy is its involvement in the Western Balkans during the post-war recovery phase. By blending political discourse with substantial financial support for socioeconomic development and reconciliation, the EU has been vital in stabilizing the region since the late 1990s. The Stabilization and Association Process (SAP) has encouraged countries like Albania and

Bosnia-Herzegovina to reform their governance structures in alignment with EU standards.

In Africa, the EU collaborates with organizations like the African Union (AU) to enhance peacebuilding efforts. Joint strategies have been developed to address conflicts arising from resource management and ethnic tensions, with financial investments supporting local conflict resolution capabilities through training programs and grassroots organization support.

Moreover, the EU backs UN-led peacebuilding missions worldwide, not only through funding but also by contributing personnel for peacekeeping operations. According to²¹, this collaboration includes shared strategic goals that integrate humanitarian aid with long-term developmental strategies.

Preventive diplomacy is critical, involving proactive measures to reduce tensions before they escalate into violence. The EU facilitates high-level dialogues with leaders and inclusive negotiations among affected societal groups, essential in contexts from Ukraine to Myanmar.

Despite these initiatives, challenges remain regarding cohesive diplomatic strategies due to differing political agendas among member states. Clarity on individual aspirations within collective frameworks can sometimes lead to fragmented approaches. However, recent calls for a unified peacebuilding strategy suggest a growing recognition that coherence can enhance effectiveness, as illustrated by²².

Partnerships with external actors, such as Japan and Australia, also allow the EU to pool resources and diversify expertise for mediation across various cultural contexts. In conclusion, while the EU's diverse diplomatic strategies contribute to global peace, ongoing refinement of strategic frameworks will likely improve overall effectiveness in the future.

3.2. Development Aid and Humanitarian Assistance

The European Union (EU) significantly contributes to peacebuilding through development aid and humanitarian assistance, essential for stabilizing conflict-affected areas. Development aid aims to address the root causes of unrest, promote sustainable governance, and foster economic

growth. As the leading global development donor, the EU allocates substantial resources to regions such as Kosovo, the Middle East, and Africa, often complementing United Nations peacekeeping efforts, highlighting its commitment to international collaboration in crisis response.²³

The EU's development aid strategy incorporates a comprehensive approach that links humanitarian assistance with long-term developmental goals. Key documents outline EU policies on fragile states and conflict prevention, emphasizing coordination across sectors—merging development cooperation, humanitarian aid, and peacebuilding to enhance stability.²⁴

In implementing these strategies, the EU focuses on empowering local actors and institutions by delegating decision-making authority to representatives in fragile states. This allows for swift responses to emerging needs and bridges implementation gaps during crises, fostering a more agile approach to developmental challenges.²⁵ The EU also ensures effective aid administration at the country level through well-staffed delegations skilled in conflict resolution and peacebuilding.

Additionally, the EU's humanitarian assistance is crucial in immediate crisis situations, addressing urgent needs like food security, healthcare, and shelter for displaced populations.²⁶ Mechanisms such as the European Peace Facility enable direct support for humanitarian efforts and military capacities when necessary, assisting armed forces in countries like Lebanon or Jordan to align with broader stability objectives.²⁷ These initiatives aim to establish conditions for sustainable peace, especially in regions like Africa where governance issues intertwine with violent conflict. Integrating developmental aid into peacebuilding frameworks is vital to tackle socio-economic challenges like unemployment and inadequate infrastructure, alleviating factors contributing to unrest.²⁸

Insights from past experiences highlight the importance of investing in civil society for successful peacebuilding. Programs that strengthen grassroots organizations have proven effective in fostering dialogue among conflicting parties and enhancing community resilience against

violence.²⁹ These efforts cultivate trust and empower marginalized communities to participate actively in governance decisions.

However, challenges remain regarding coordination among various stakeholders in peacebuilding. Enhanced collaboration within the EU and with external partners, such as UN agencies, is necessary to execute comprehensive strategies effectively.³⁰ Establishing high-level coordination groups could improve policy alignment while addressing operational challenges faced by member states supporting fragile regions.

Furthermore, climate change presents an emerging challenge in many EU-operating areas; integrating environmental considerations into development initiatives will be critical. Acknowledging the intersectionality of climate-related disasters with humanitarian aid and long-term strategies is vital for future planning.³¹

In summary, through targeted development assistance and strategic humanitarian efforts tailored to local needs, the EU continues to pursue effective peacebuilding outcomes amidst increasingly complex global challenges.

3.3. Conflict Prevention and Management Strategies

The European Union (EU) employs various strategies for conflict prevention and management, significantly enhancing its peacebuilding initiatives. Central to these efforts is preventive diplomacy, which engages conflicting parties before tensions escalate into violence. The EU prioritizes early warning systems and rapid response frameworks, enabling it to identify potential threats and act swiftly. By fostering dialogue and mutual understanding among adversaries, the EU aims to address conflicts at their roots.

The Instrument for Stability (IfS) is crucial in this context, allowing the EU to mobilize resources rapidly during emerging crises. It supports civil society organizations that play a vital role in grassroots peacebuilding, promoting community ownership of peace efforts and ensuring culturally relevant solutions.³² Moreover, the EU recognizes the importance of comprehensive security approaches that integrate developmental aid with conflict prevention goals. This perspective informs its engagement

strategies, emphasizing inclusive development to mitigate societal fragility³³. By acknowledging the interconnectedness of political, security, and development objectives, the EU can create cohesive policies that tackle root causes of conflict rather than merely alleviating symptoms.

In its conflict management strategy, the EU actively trains national institutions. Initiatives like the African Peace Facility allocate funds to strengthen African-led responses to political crises by supporting military training and capacity-building efforts.³⁴ This dual approach enhances operational readiness and establishes a foundation for sustainable peace through improved governance in partner countries.

Additionally, collaborative structures initiated by the European Peace Facility (EPF) reflect an evolving understanding of peacebuilding in Europe. The EPF supports military operations while addressing civilian needs through coordinated planning and cooperation among member states.³⁵ Such partnerships create synergies across sectors involved in peace operations, from defense to humanitarian assistance, thereby increasing overall effectiveness.

Despite these multifaceted strategies, challenges persist within EU frameworks. The lack of a unified doctrine on peacebuilding has led to inconsistencies in operational settings, where various EU entities may interpret objectives differently³⁶. This fragmentation can weaken strategic coherence and impede prompt decision-making during crises.

Nonetheless, the EU is committed to refining its conflict prevention methodologies. Advocating for community-driven initiatives fosters local involvement and addresses vulnerabilities specific to sociocultural contexts, countering critiques of traditional liberal peacebuilding models.³⁷

Ongoing dialogue between policymakers and civil society organizations enhances responsiveness by ensuring diverse perspectives inform strategic planning tailored to regional dynamics. By investing in trust-building among stakeholders—from grassroots organizations to governmental bodies—the EU seeks not only immediate stability but also long-term resilience against potential violence or unrest.

In summary, the EU adopts a wide range of conflict prevention and management strategies aimed at achieving sustainable peace through

collaboration with local actors and institutional capacity enhancement supported by flexible funding mechanisms.

4-The EU's Multidimensional Role in Kosovo

Kosovo embodies a major challenge to the EU's enlargement and foreign policy, with internal obstacles that are strongly connected and mutually reinforcing: the gap between the state and its citizens, a lack of rule of law, interethnic mistrust, and the unresolved relationship with Serbia. In response, the EU has deployed its full range of instruments.³⁸

4-1-Political and Economic Role

Politically, the EU's most powerful tool is its enlargement policy, which is regarded as a "strategic investment in Europe's security and prosperity" and a key driver for political reform.³⁹ For the Western Balkans, the Stabilisation and Association Process (SAP) was designed to stabilize the countries, promote regional cooperation, and confirm the prospect of EU accession. Although Kosovo cannot become an official candidate until all EU members recognize its status, it participates in SAP dialogue meetings to discuss reforms based on priorities such as constructive cooperation with Serbia and the creation of a climate for reconciliation and inter-ethnic tolerance.⁴⁰

Economically, the EU provides significant support through financial instruments. Since the 1980s, programmes like PHARE and CARDS have provided assistance, later replaced by the Instrument for Pre-Accession (IPA). The IPA II programme (2014-2020) aims to strengthen democratic institutions, support economic reforms, and foster regional cooperation and reconciliation.⁴¹ For the period 2000-2006, the EU allocated around €4.65 billion to the Western Balkans under the CARDS instrument. The subsequent IPA provided approximately €11.5 billion for the 2007-2013 period.⁴² This economic leverage is crucial, as unemployment and poverty

are major destabilizing factors in a country where 45% of the population lives below the poverty line.⁴³

Furthermore, the EU has taken on the role of mediator, facilitating the crucial Belgrade-Pristina dialogue since March 2011, which aims to resolve technical issues to improve citizens' lives while navigating the deeply divisive issue of statehood.

4-2-Security Role and the Rule of Law

On the security front, the EU's flagship engagement is the European Union Rule of Law Mission in Kosovo (EULEX), its largest CSDP mission to date.⁴⁴ Launched in 2008 and with a mandate prolonged until June 2020, EULEX is supported by all 28 member states as well as non-EU countries like Canada, Norway, Switzerland, Turkey, and the United States. Its primary goal is to "monitor, mentor and advise" Kosovan authorities to establish a multi-ethnic justice system and police force, free from political intervention, and to promote the rule of law and public order. EULEX also retains certain executive responsibilities, particularly in investigating and adjudicating sensitive cases of war crimes, organized crime, and corruption. Despite its ambitious mandate, EULEX's performance has been mixed. While progress has been made in the police sector, where 18 out of 31 projects were completed between 2009 and 2012, establishing a truly multi-ethnic and independent judiciary remains a serious concern. The mission's credibility has suffered due to perceptions that it fails to tackle high-level corruption and organized crime, especially in North Kosovo, leading to waning public trust.⁴⁵

4-3-Social Role and Interethnic Relations

The social fabric of Kosovo is defined by its status as a "multi-ethnic society consisting of Albanian and other Communities". However, interethnic relations, particularly between Albanians and Serbs, remain tense and segregated. According to a 2010 OSCE report, the socio-economic situation of ethnic groups is deteriorating and inter-ethnic

tensions are clearly visible. According to the 2011 census, Kosovo's population comprises 92.9% Albanians and 1.5% Serbs, alongside other smaller communities.⁴⁶

The EU's approach has strongly focused on the protection of minority rights as a key priority within the SAP⁴⁷. EULEX, for its part, was designed to help create multi-ethnic police and justice institutions⁴⁸. However, there is a perception that EU efforts prioritize minority rights protection over fostering genuine reconciliation and horizontal relations between communities⁴⁹. The legacy of the war—including unaddressed war crimes, missing persons, and property disputes—remains a major obstacle to deeper reconciliation, an area where Kosovo lags behind other Western Balkan nations.⁵⁰

5- Challenges Faced by the European Union in Peacebuilding

5.1. Internal Challenges

The European Union (EU) faces significant internal challenges in its peacebuilding efforts, largely due to institutional fragmentation and the differing interests of member states. The complex structure of the EU, comprising various bodies like the European Commission and the European External Action Service (EEAS), leads to a lack of cohesion in policy development and implementation. According to⁵¹ responsibilities for addressing fragility, conflict prevention, and peacebuilding are spread across multiple institutions without a coherent collaborative framework, undermining the EU's ability to present a unified approach and diminishing the effectiveness of its interventions.

Additionally, the absence of a comprehensive "whole-of-EU" strategy for security and development exacerbates these issues. Different Directorate-Generals (DGs) operate under distinct mandates that may not align effectively during crises. Some focus on humanitarian aid while others prioritize development or economic stability, resulting in duplicated efforts or gaps in action. Without systematic communication among these entities, the EU struggles to provide meaningful support for peacebuilding initiatives.

Another critical challenge is the insufficient staffing and expertise within EU institutions responsible for conflict management and peacebuilding program execution. As noted in⁵², many EU Delegations experience understaffing or lack personnel with essential skills for effective crisis management. This shortage hampers thorough contextual analysis and limits operational effectiveness during crises.

Moreover, there is often a disconnect between the EU's policy commitments and their practical application. Although conflict assessment tools have been established, such as guidelines from various DGs, they frequently remain unused due to inadequate awareness or training among local staff involved in programming.⁵³ This gap negatively affects strategic planning and implementation in conflict-affected regions.

Divergent member state interests further complicate coordination on peacebuilding initiatives. Each state follows its own foreign policy priorities shaped by national interests and historical relationships with target countries. This variance can lead to conflicting goals within joint EU missions, weakening collective action in crisis situations.

For instance, some member states may prefer military solutions over diplomatic approaches based on their historical contexts.⁵⁴ Such differences can become evident in discussions about funding and resource allocation for various missions, potentially stalling crucial decision-making processes.

Furthermore, varying opinions on engagement strategies with partner nations hinder synchronization during program implementation. As highlighted in⁵⁵, national strategies may disregard local realities related to the root causes of conflicts, particularly when host governments are weak or resistant to addressing these issues independently. Consequently, this disconnect places international actors like the EU in challenging positions, balancing respect for sovereignty with necessary intervention strategies to promote stability.

In conclusion, while the EU possesses substantial resources for global peacebuilding, internal challenges stemming from fragmentation and inconsistent member state interests significantly limit its effectiveness as a cohesive actor in this area. Addressing these obstacles requires ongoing

reforms to enhance cooperation among EU institutions and foster a shared understanding of collective goals in peacebuilding initiatives.

5.2. Regional Challenges

The European Union's peacebuilding efforts face numerous regional challenges, particularly in its interactions with neighboring territories and the influence of non-EU actors in conflict zones. The EU aims to establish stability, but its proximity to politically unstable areas complicates this mission. The Western Balkans illustrate these complexities, where candidate countries navigate aspirations for EU membership while contending with pressures from powers like Russia and China. The EU's pre-accession assistance seeks to integrate these nations through regional cooperation and economic reforms, yet success depends on addressing internal divides and external geopolitical influences, as noted in.⁵⁶

The relationship between the EU and Russia is critical to this context. As stated in⁵⁷ the post-Soviet region is sensitive to power shifts, with Russia often trying to reclaim influence over former Soviet states using tactics such as energy dependency and military strategies. This dynamic creates a challenging environment for the EU's peacebuilding initiatives, which must counteract Russian actions that could hinder integration or destabilize cooperative frameworks.

In the Mediterranean, migration crises from conflict zones like Syria and Libya present further obstacles. These humanitarian issues risk creating tensions among EU member states regarding their response strategies. The situation is complicated by non-EU players like Turkey, which has leveraged migration flows politically against Europe, putting the EU's commitment to regional stability to the test. Initiatives, such as naval operations aimed at reducing arms smuggling into Libya, reflect the EU's recognition of its role in addressing these crises, although concerns about their effectiveness persist given North Africa's instability, as discussed in.⁵⁸

Collaboration with organizations like the African Union (AU) offers both prospects and challenges for EU peacebuilding across the continent. While partnerships can enhance resource mobilization for conflict resolution—particularly in regions affected by terrorism—they also reveal

ongoing struggles for agency among African nations during peace talks. Successful interventions depend on local ownership of solutions rather than externally imposed agendas, according to.⁵⁹

Additionally, non-EU actors in conflict zones often pursue differing objectives that can impede EU-led stability and development efforts. For example, China's expanding influence through the Belt and Road Initiative introduces priorities that may conflict with European values around governance and human rights. This necessitates strategic engagement by the EU that considers both competitive dynamics from external forces and collaborative opportunities within multilateral settings.

Overall, the intricate network of regional relationships presents significant challenges for the EU's peacebuilding endeavors, highlighting the need for nuanced strategies that go beyond traditional diplomatic interactions or financial aid. Recognizing these complexities allows the EU to better address emerging threats while promoting sustainable development outcomes. Effective responses require adaptive strategies that incorporate insights from local contexts and foster genuine partnerships among diverse stakeholders committed to stability.

5.3. International Challenges

The European Union faces significant international challenges that impact its peacebuilding initiatives, largely due to changing global power dynamics and competing agendas from various actors. The geopolitical landscape is increasingly multipolar, with emerging powers asserting themselves alongside established ones, complicating the EU's management of international conflicts. This requires navigating a landscape of diverse interests and strategic calculations from traditional allies like the United States and rising nations such as China and Russia. Consequently, the EU's actions are often assessed in light of these broader rivalries, which can hinder operational effectiveness and create indecision regarding interventions.

Furthermore, the EU's commitment to multilateralism encounters serious obstacles. A decline in trust toward multilateral institutions has led many states to favor unilateral or bilateral agreements that diverge from EU objectives. For example, the United Nations (UN) struggles to facilitate

cooperative peace efforts due to political divisions among member states. Although the EU has historically sought collaboration with the UN on peacebuilding, differing interpretations of peacebuilding between these entities can lead to inconsistencies in policy implementation and operational efficiency.⁶⁰

Competition from other international organizations adds complexity to the EU's peacebuilding role. Regional entities like the African Union (AU) and the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) have taken on greater responsibilities for conflict resolution in their regions. These organizations may adopt strategies that differ from those of the EU, leading to potential friction or misunderstandings regarding roles and responsibilities⁶¹. Effective collaboration with these bodies is essential for comprehensive conflict management, necessitating careful coordination and goal alignment.

Internal fragmentation within EU institutions further complicates its peacebuilding efforts. The lack of a cohesive 'whole-of-EU' strategy results in overlapping mandates and unclear authority among different branches involved in foreign affairs and security policy.⁶² This fragmentation hinders timely decision-making, critical during crises when swift action can prevent escalation.

Financial constraints also limit the EU's capacity to respond effectively to global challenges. Although it possesses various financial instruments for development and humanitarian aid, budget allocation limitations can impede long-term planning necessary for sustainable peace initiatives⁶³. Budgetary decisions are often influenced by public sentiment and political changes within member states.

In regions like Eastern Europe, particularly Ukraine, and North Africa following upheavals related to Libya, the EU must navigate complex security environments where multiple actors compete for influence.⁶⁴ Here, local stakeholders may prioritize national interests over collaborative efforts promoted by the EU.

Additionally, modern conflicts increasingly involve non-state actors, and issues such as terrorism and organized crime blur lines between

combatants and civilians. These dynamics require flexible strategies extending beyond conventional military responses to include counter-terrorism cooperation and addressing underlying economic issues.

Lastly, climate change exacerbates vulnerabilities in conflict-affected regions, acting as a threat multiplier that can intensify resource scarcity and migration patterns, leading to instability .

6. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the European Union's peacebuilding efforts have been a cornerstone of its identity and its influence on the global stage since its inception. However, despite its significant achievements in promoting stability, democracy, and human rights, the EU faces considerable challenges that hinder the full realization of its peacebuilding objectives. Institutional fragmentation, conflicting member state interests, geopolitical pressures from non-EU actors, and the impact of global crises such as migration and climate change all present formidable barriers to the EU's peacebuilding effectiveness.

This research has demonstrated that while the EU has made notable strides in addressing conflict within its borders and beyond, it must adapt to an increasingly complex global environment. The integration of climate change and environmental factors into peacebuilding strategies is particularly critical, as it presents both a challenge and an opportunity for the EU to reframe its policies in ways that address both the immediate and long-term causes of conflict.

In light of these findings, it is clear that the EU must pursue greater internal cohesion and coordination, both among its institutions and member states, to overcome the fragmentation that currently undermines its peacebuilding efforts. Additionally, a more comprehensive approach, incorporating climate change considerations and proactive conflict management strategies, is essential for the EU to remain a credible and effective actor in global peacebuilding. Moving forward, strengthening the EU's role in conflict prevention and resolution requires a reevaluation of its strategic priorities and a concerted effort to foster political unity, align

institutional resources, and build external partnerships that complement its peacebuilding agenda.

Ultimately, the EU's ability to navigate these challenges will determine its continued relevance and success in shaping global peace and security. By learning from past experiences and adapting to new realities, the EU has the potential to play an even more pivotal role in fostering sustainable peace

Results:

1-The European integration experience is considered one of the most important integration experiences in the world and a pioneer by all standards, due to the success it has achieved, particularly on the economic front. This has qualified it to form a joint regional bloc that competes with other international blocs.

2- The Union's institutions have played a significant role in strengthening the structure and development of the Union, and have become the nucleus of a democratic political system in which the three branches of government—legislative, executive, and judicial—are integrated, with attempts to separate them to achieve mutual oversight. These institutions are relied upon to highlight the European orientation as a distinct regional entity, both in its dealings with its members, where these institutions become supranational mechanisms, and with other parties, where they present it as a single, distinct, homogeneous bloc.

3 - The policies pursued by the European Union have highlighted the contours of the European integration process, based on economic, social, and humanitarian policies, in addition to cultural, scientific, and educational policies. Consequently, the European Union's experience in integration and integration is unique and may inspire optimism. It has become one of the best regional integration experiences in the world, given its practical success, despite its limited scope due to the challenges it faces. The draft unified European Constitution and the failure to pursue an independent foreign policy are among the greatest challenges. Therefore, the future development of the Union requires more time, will, and resolve to achieve its aspirations and strengthen its position on the international stage.

4-The study demonstrated that the European Union has a confederal structure in its current state, given that the procedures for establishing a federal union still face many major challenges.

The extent of the impact of the EU's decision depends on the weight of the countries with the strongest positions, such as France, Germany, and others. However, any country, no matter how isolated its vision is from the orientations of all EU member states, clearly influences the EU's approach to implementing the decision in practice, such as Britain, for example. There are also significant challenges that affect the Union's weight to a certain extent, such as its demographics, diverse ethnic and cultural composition, and others.

5-The weight of the European Union's foreign policy decisions on some international issues is considered influential due to the connection between foreign political interests and security issues. This is likely to prevent the EU from establishing centers of influence on the international scene, dependent on not disagreeing with America under current circumstances and within the upcoming medium-term phase.

Bibliography List:

¹- Quaker Council for European Affairs. (2025, 09 27). Récupéré sur short url: <https://shorturl.at/MEIcA>, p01.

²- Courtier, S. D. *EU Peacebuilding : Concepts, Players and Instruments* (Vol. 3). CLEER. 2009. P09.

³- Szostak, J. A. (2009). *The Role of EU in Peace Building* (Vol. 2). Studies in European. P48.

⁴- Courtier, op-cit, p. 3.

⁵- Quaker Council for European Affairs, op-cit, p. 2

⁶- Courtier, op-cit, p17.

⁷- Ibid, pp. 14-15

⁸- Ibid, p. 31.

⁹-Ibid, p16.

¹⁰- Ibidem.

¹¹- Quaker Council for European Affairs, op-cit, p. 3.

¹² -Natorski, M. (2011). *prif.org/fileadmin/Daten/Publikationen/Prif_Reports/2011/prif111*. Retrieved

from:https://www.prif.org/fileadmin/Daten/Publikationen/Prif_Reports/2011/prif11.pdf

¹³- Ibid, pp. 16-20.

¹⁴-Ibid -, pp. 41-43.

¹⁵- Ibid, pp. 31-35.

¹⁶ - GAVAS. Mikaela, D. F. Retrieved from [europarl.europa.eu: https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/etudes/join/2013/433724/EXPO-DEVE_ET\(2013\)433724_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/etudes/join/2013/433724/EXPO-DEVE_ET(2013)433724_EN.pdf), 2013, pp. 6-10

¹⁷- Kapogianni, V. Retrieved from [peaceagency.org: https://www.peaceagency.org/multi-nodal-strategy-european-peacebuilding/](https://www.peaceagency.org/multi-nodal-strategy-european-peacebuilding/) 2021, p. 47.

¹⁸- Ibidem.

¹⁹-GAVAS. Mikaela, op-cit, pp. 41-45.

²⁰- Natorski, op-cit, pp. 31-35.

²¹- UNION, P. F.-E. (2025). Retrieved from [peacebuilding: https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/content/pbso-featured-partner-european-union](https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/content/pbso-featured-partner-european-union)

²²- Laganà, G. (2020). Retrieved from [centreonconstitutionalchange.ac.uk: https://www.centreonconstitutionalchange.ac.uk/news-and-opinion/european-union-and-northern-ireland-peace-process](https://www.centreonconstitutionalchange.ac.uk/news-and-opinion/european-union-and-northern-ireland-peace-process)

²³ - Borrell, J. (2021). Retrieved from [press.un.org: https://press.un.org/en/2021/sc14547.doc.htm](https://press.un.org/en/2021/sc14547.doc.htm)

²⁴- GAVAS. Mikaela, op-cit, pp. 6-10.

²⁵- Ibidem.

²⁶ - European Peace Facility. (2025). Récupéré sur [fpi.ec.europa.eu: https://fpi.ec.europa.eu/what-we-do/european-peace-facility_en](https://fpi.ec.europa.eu/what-we-do/european-peace-facility_en)

²⁷- Ibidem .

²⁸- Borell , op-cit.

²⁹- Natorski, op-cit, pp. 31-35.

³⁰- GAVAS. Mikaela, op-cit, pp. 41-45 .

³¹- Borell , op-cit.

³²-Natorski, op-cit, pp. 6-10.

³³- GAVAS. Mikaela, op-cit, pp. 6-10.

³⁴- Long-Term Institution-Building, National Ownership Critical to Peacebuilding in Africa, Speakers Stress at Security Council Debate. (2016). Retrieved from [press.un.org: https://press.un.org/en/2016/sc12465.doc.htm](https://press.un.org/en/2016/sc12465.doc.htm)

- ³⁵ - Kapogianni, V. (2021). Retrieved from [peaceagency.org:
https://www.peaceagency.org/multi-nodal-strategy-european-peacebuilding/](https://www.peaceagency.org/multi-nodal-strategy-european-peacebuilding/)
- ³⁶ - Natorski, op-cit, pp. 31-35.
- ³⁷ - Ibid, pp. 6-10.
- ³⁸ - Jitske Hoogenboom, I. P. The EU as a Peacebuilder in Kosovo . *Utrecht : IKV Pax Christi.*, August 2011, p. 4.
- ³⁹ - Szostak, op-cit, p. 57.
- ⁴⁰ - Ibid, pp. 9-10.
- ⁴¹ - Ibid, pp. 58-59.
- ⁴² -Skara, G. The Role of the EU as a builder in the Western Balkans. *Romanian Journal Of European Affairs*, 14(4). 2014, p. 38.
- ⁴³ - Jitske Hoogenboom, op-cit, p. 5.
- ⁴⁴ - Ibid, , pp. 8-9.
- ⁴⁵ - Ibid, p. 12.
- ⁴⁶ - Szostak, op-cit, pp. 50-52.
- ⁴⁷ - Jitske Hoogenboom, op-cit, p. 14.
- ⁴⁸ - Szostak, op-cit, p. 56.
- ⁴⁹ - Jitske Hoogenboom, op-cit, p. 10.
- ⁵⁰ - Ibid, p. 7.
- ⁵¹ - GAVAS. Mikaela, op-cit, pp. 6-10.
- ⁵² - Natorski, op-cit, pp. 26-30.
- ⁵³ - GAVAS. Mikaela, op-cit, pp. 21-25.
- ⁵⁴ - Natorski, 2011, op-cit. 31-35.
- ⁵⁵ - GAVAS. Mikaela, op-cit, pp. 41-45.
- ⁵⁶ - The Western Balkans. (2025). Retrieved from [europarl.europa.eu:
https://www.europarl.europa.eu/factsheets/en/sheet/168/the-western-balkan](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/factsheets/en/sheet/168/the-western-balkan)
- ⁵⁷ - Fraser Cameron, R. G. Retrieved from [media.defense.gov:
https://media.defense.gov/2023/Apr/25/2003208329/-1/-1/0/1474.PDF](https://media.defense.gov/2023/Apr/25/2003208329/-1/-1/0/1474.PDF)
, 1995, pp. 51-55.
- ⁵⁸ - Boreel, op-cit.
- ⁵⁹ - Long-Term Institution-Building, op-cit.
- ⁶⁰ - GAVAS. Mikaela, op-cit, pp. 16-20.
- ⁶¹ - Boreel, op-cit.
- ⁶² - GAVAS. Mikaela, op-cit, pp. 6-10.
- ⁶³ - Ibidem.
- ⁶⁴ - Mucha, W. Retrieved from [airuniversity.af.edu:
https://www.airuniversity.af.edu/Portals/10/ASPJ_French/journals_E/Volume-07_Issue-3/mucha_e.pdf](https://www.airuniversity.af.edu/Portals/10/ASPJ_French/journals_E/Volume-07_Issue-3/mucha_e.pdf) , 2016, pp. 16-17.