

Redefining local policy rationalization from a participatory perspective in Algeria: A Critical Analysis of the Legal Context and Practical Application

Trari Tani Tahar ¹, Boualem Hamou ²

¹ Laboratory of Development Policies and Energy Transition in Algeria,
University of Mostaganem, tahar.traritani.etu@univ-mosta.dz

² Laboratory of Development Policies and Energy Transition in Algeria,
University of Mostaganem, hamou.boualem@univ-mosta.dz

Received: 05/08/2025

Accepted: 28/09/2025

Published:15/10/2025

Abstract:

This article aims to redefine the rationalization of local politics as a multidimensional concept that goes beyond technical efficiency to include effectiveness, legitimacy, equity, and sustainability. The article tests the hypothesis that participatory democracy represents an alternative path to achieve this inclusive rationalization in the Algerian context.

Using a critical analytical approach, the article examines the gap between text and practice in Algeria, especially after the 2020 constitutional amendment that enshrined the principle of participatory democracy. The analysis reveals a clear contradiction between an ambitious legal framework and a complex practical reality.

The findings point to deep structural barriers including administrative centralization, bureaucratic resistance to change, and weak institutional capacities. The article concludes that achieving true rationalization requires radical reforms beyond legislation, proposing practical recommendations to promote decentralization, build capacity, and develop more effective participatory mechanisms.

Keywords: Rationalization; Local Policies; Participatory Democracy; Algeria

Corresponding author: Trari Tani Tahar, tahar.traritani.etu@univ-mosta.dz

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of rationalization in public policy, traditionally associated with bureaucratic efficiency and technical optimization, faces increasing scrutiny in contemporary governance debates.

Critics argue that narrow, efficiency-focused models neglect crucial dimensions such as legitimacy, equity, and sustainability, particularly in complex and uncertain policy environments.

This has spurred interest in alternative frameworks, notably those incorporating participatory democracy as a means to achieve a more holistic, multidimensional rationalization. Participatory approaches promise to enhance policy legitimacy through citizen involvement, improve effectiveness by integrating local knowledge, promote equality by empowering diverse voices, and foster sustainability through shared ownership.

Algeria presents a compelling case study for examining the challenges and prospects of implementing participatory democracy to rationalize local public policies. Following the significant social and political mobilization of the 2019 Hirak movement, the country undertook constitutional reforms in 2020 explicitly enshrining participatory democracy as a key principle alongside representative democracy.

This normative shift created expectations for a transformation in local governance, moving away from a historically centralized, top-down administrative model towards more inclusive and responsive practices.

However, a substantial gap often exists between normative commitments and practical realities. Despite the constitutional mandate, the effective activation of participatory mechanisms at the local level in Algeria confronts numerous obstacles; these include ambiguities and weaknesses in existing organic laws governing municipalities and wilayas, the persistence of administrative centralization limiting local autonomy, bureaucratic resistance, capacity constraints within both state institutions and civil society, and lingering issues of political will and mutual trust.

This research aims to critically analyse the potential and limitations of participatory democracy as a tool for achieving multidimensional

rationalization in the context of Algerian local governance. It addresses the central question: To what extent does the current legal framework and practical application of participatory democracy in Algeria contribute to a multidimensional rationalization (encompassing effectiveness, efficiency, legitimacy, equality, and sustainability) of local public policies, and what are the primary challenges hindering this process?

To answer this question, the paper adopts a multidimensional analytical framework. The first section critically examines the traditional concept of rationalization and develops the multidimensional alternative, exploring the theoretical contributions of participatory democracy. The second section delves into the Algerian context, analysing the evolution of the legal framework for local participation, particularly before and after the 2019 Hirak and the 2020 constitutional revision, including laws on local collectivises and civil society.

The third section assesses the practical application of these legal mechanisms, identifying key structural and operational challenges that impede effective participation. Finally, synthesizes the findings, provides a direct answer to the research question, and offers recommendations for legislative bodies, local administrations, and civil society to foster more effective participatory rationalization in Algeria.

Section I: Theoretical Framework: Towards a Multidimensional Concept of Public Policy Rationalization

1.1 The Traditional Concept of Rationalization in Public Administration and Policy: A Critical Reading

The traditional concept of rationalization within public administration and policy analysis is deeply rooted in bureaucratic and rationalist foundations. Historically, this perspective, heavily influenced by figures like Max Weber and the principles of bureaucratic theory, emphasized objectivity, systematic methodology, and instrumental rationality. It viewed policymaking as a technical exercise aimed at achieving predetermined goals through the most efficient means possible, often measured by economic criteria such as cost-benefit analysis¹.

this traditional understanding faced significant criticism. Lindblom's

work highlighted the practical impossibility of the synoptic ideal, proposing incrementalism as a more realistic description of policy processes. Further critiques pointed out the traditional model's tendency to neglect crucial value-based and social dimensions of policy problems, treating citizens primarily as passive recipients rather than active participants in governance. The model struggled to cope with inherent uncertainty and ambiguity in complex policy environments and often maintained a problematic separation between the stages of policy formulation and implementation².

While rationalism as a descriptive mode of analysis has been contested by subsequent theoretical developments, including the argumentative turn and studies on bounded rationality, Saint-Martin and Allison (2011) argues that it persists strongly as a form of symbolic politics. Bureaucratic entities may project an image of rational, comprehensive decision-making to legitimize their exercise of power, particularly in the context of non-majoritarian institutions lacking direct electoral accountability.

1.2 Dimensions of Multidimensional Rationalization: An Expanded Analytical Framework

Moving beyond the limitations of the traditional, efficiency-focused model, a multidimensional concept of rationalization offers a more holistic analytical framework for public policy. This expanded view acknowledges that policy decisions involve balancing multiple, often competing, values and objectives. While effectiveness (achieving intended goals) and efficiency (optimal resource use) remain important dimensions, they are viewed as means rather than ends in themselves. They must be considered alongside other critical dimensions: ³

Legitimacy: This encompasses not only legal and procedural correctness but also the broader acceptance and consent of the governed. Participatory processes play a crucial role here, as involving citizens and stakeholders can enhance the perceived legitimacy of policies, even amidst uncertainty

Equality: This dimension focuses on distributive justice, ensuring that policies promote fairness, empower marginalized groups, and provide

equitable opportunities. It challenges approaches that might exacerbate existing inequalities, even if efficient in a narrow sense.

Sustainability: Incorporating environmental, social, and economic considerations, this dimension emphasizes long-term thinking and resilience. It addresses contemporary challenges like climate change and resource scarcity, demanding policies that meet present needs without compromising the future.

This multidimensional approach recognizes that policy choices are inherently value-laden and occur within complex social and political contexts. Rationality, in this view, is not about finding a single optimal solution based purely on technical calculation, but about navigating trade-offs between these different dimensions through inclusive and deliberative processes.

The rational choice, therefore, becomes one that adequately balances these dimensions based on context-specific deliberation and value judgments⁴.

1.3 Participatory Democracy and its Role in Enhancing Multidimensional Rationalization: Theoretical Insights

Participatory democracy emerges as a crucial element in achieving multidimensional rationalization, offering theoretical pathways to complement and enhance traditional representative models. It emphasizes the direct or indirect involvement of citizens in decision-making processes that affect their lives. Several key theoretical currents inform this perspective:

The Developmental/Educative Perspective: Championed by Carole Pateman, this view argues that participation, particularly in spheres like the workplace, has an educative function. It fosters civic skills, enhances feelings of political efficacy, and develops the qualities necessary for active citizenship in a democratic society. This contributes to legitimacy and potentially effectiveness by building citizens' capacity to engage constructively⁵.

Strong Democracy: Benjamin Barber advocates for "strong democracy," characterized by ongoing civic engagement, deliberation, and

Redefining local policy rationalization from a participatory perspective in Algeria: A Critical Analysis of the Legal Context and Practical Application

collective decision-making in various public arenas. It contrasts with the "thin" democracy of mere voting, aiming for richer legitimacy, more informed effectiveness (through citizen input), and greater equality through shared power⁶

Deliberative Democracy: Theorists like Jürgen Habermas focus on the quality of public discourse. Deliberative democracy emphasizes reasoned argumentation, mutual understanding, and the justification of political decisions through public reason. It aims to enhance legitimacy by grounding decisions in reasoned consensus or understanding, improve effectiveness by incorporating diverse perspectives and knowledge, and promote equality by ensuring all voices can be heard under fair conditions⁷.

Critical and Empowerment Theories: Thinkers like Peter Bachrach and Aryeh Botwinick link participation directly to power and empowerment. They argue that participation is essential for challenging existing power structures, empowering marginalized groups, and achieving genuine social and political equality. This perspective directly addresses the equality dimension of rationalization⁸

Collectively, these theories suggest that participatory democracy can significantly contribute to multidimensional rationalization. It enhances legitimacy by involving those affected by decisions⁹. It can improve effectiveness by incorporating local knowledge and diverse perspectives often missed by centralized, expert-driven processes. It supports equality by providing platforms for marginalized voices and challenging power imbalances. Finally, it can foster sustainability by building community ownership and commitment to long-term goals¹⁰

However, theoretical challenges exist. Critics question the feasibility of widespread participation, the potential for elite capture within participatory processes, the resource intensiveness, and whether participation genuinely translates into policy influence or merely serves a symbolic function¹¹. The link between participation and deliberation is also debated, with some participatory forms potentially lacking the reasoned discourse emphasized by deliberative theorists¹²

1.4 The Prevailing Discourse on "Rationalization" in the Algerian Context: Interaction between Traditional and Emerging

The discourse surrounding policy rationalization in Algeria reflects a complex interplay between a deeply entrenched traditional, centralized model and emerging pressures for participatory governance. Historically, the Algerian state adopted a top-down, centralist approach to development and policymaking, prioritizing technical efficiency and administrative control over broad participation¹³. This model often viewed citizens as recipients of state action rather than active partners.

In recent decades, international discourses promoting "good governance"

emphasizing transparency, accountability, and the rule of law have influenced Algerian policy debates¹⁴.

However, the adoption of this language often remained superficial, with underlying technocratic and centralist tendencies persisting. The practical implementation frequently lagged behind the rhetoric.

The Hirak popular movement, which began in February 2019, represented a significant challenge to this traditional paradigm. Emerging as a mass protest against the political status quo, the Hirak articulated strong demands for fundamental political change, including enhanced accountability, transparency, and genuine citizen participation in governance of the existing power structures and called for a new republic grounded in popular sovereignty and democratic principles¹⁵.

In response to these pressures, significant legal developments occurred, most notably the constitutional amendment of 2020. This revised constitution explicitly enshrines principles of participatory democracy alongside representative democracy. It aims to provide a stronger legal foundation for citizen involvement, particularly at the local level, and reflects an attempt to integrate the demands articulated during the Hirak.

However, translating these constitutional principles into effective practice remains a major challenge. The prevailing discourse now involves navigating the tension between the legacy of centralism, the aspirations of the Hirak for genuine participation, and the formal commitments made in

the new legal framework The effectiveness of this new framework in fostering a truly participatory approach to rationalizing local policies is contingent on overcoming significant practical and structural obstacles, which will be explored later ¹⁶.

section II: The Algerian Context: Legal Framework for Participatory Democracy at the Local Level

2.1 Historical Evolution of the Legal Framework: Before and After the 2019 Hirak

The legal framework governing local participation in Algeria has evolved significantly, marked by a distinct shift following the 2019 Hirak movement. Prior to 2019, Algeria was characterized by strong administrative centralization, which limited the effective autonomy and participatory scope of local elected assemblies. While laws governing municipalities (Communes) and wilayas existed, they often granted limited substantive powers to local councils and provided weak or vaguely defined mechanisms for citizen participation. The emphasis remained on a top-down administrative structure inherited from the post-independence era¹⁷.

The Hirak popular movement, starting in February 2019, fundamentally challenged this centralized model and brought demands for democratic reform, accountability, and enhanced citizen participation to the forefront of the political agenda¹⁸. The movement explicitly called for a break with the old system and the establishment of a "new republic" based on popular will and democratic principles.

A key outcome of this period was the constitutional amendment adopted via referendum in November 2020. This revised constitution explicitly recognizes and promotes participatory democracy alongside representative democracy as a foundational principle. It provides a strong constitutional mandate for involving citizens and civil society in the management of public affairs, particularly at the local level. This constitutional change marks a significant normative shift, creating a more robust legal basis for developing and implementing participatory mechanisms compared to the pre-Hirak era. The subsequent analysis will

examine the specific legal provisions within this new context and assess the extent to which they translate this constitutional principle into concrete participatory rights and procedures¹⁹.

2.2 Analysis of Organic Laws on Local Collectivities (Municipality and Wilaya)

The primary legal texts governing local authorities in Algeria are Law No. 11-10²⁰ concerning the Municipality (Commune) and Law No. 12-07²¹, concerning the wilaya (Wilaya), while predating the 2020 constitutional revision, these laws contain provisions intended to facilitate citizen participation, although their effectiveness is debated.

Municipality Law No. 11-10 (2011): This law outlines several mechanisms for citizen involvement at the municipal level:

Right to Information: Articles 14-17 stipulate the right of citizens to be informed about the management of local affairs, requiring the municipality to publicize deliberations, budgets, and administrative accounts.

Consultation Mechanisms: The law allows the Municipal People's Assembly (Assemblée Populaire Comunale - APC) to consult citizens on matters of municipal competence and to seek assistance from experts or associations. It also provides for public inquiries (enquêtes publiques) for specific projects²².

Neighbourhood and Youth Councils: The law mentions the possibility of creating neighbourhood committees (comités de quartier) and encourages the establishment of youth councils, intended as spaces for dialogue and proposals (Municipality Law 11-10, Art. 109, 110). However, their creation, functioning, and actual influence are often inconsistent and limited (Obstacles activating participatory democracy local; Challenges of Activating Participatory Democracy in Algeria).

Wilaya Law No. 12-07 (2012): This law mirrors some provisions of the municipal law at the provincial level, granting the Provincial People's Assembly (Assemblée Populaire de Wilaya - APW) the ability to inform citizens and organize consultations (Wilaya Law 12-07).

Critical Assessment: Despite these provisions, a critical assessment

Redefining local policy rationalization from a participatory perspective in Algeria: A Critical Analysis of the Legal Context and Practical Application

reveals significant limitations, even before considering the post-2020 constitutional context:

Advisory Nature: Most participatory mechanisms outlined in these laws are purely consultative and non-binding. There is no legal obligation for local authorities to adopt or even formally respond to citizen proposals or the outcomes of consultations²³.

Procedural Ambiguity: The laws often lack precise procedural details on how participation should be organized, how participants are selected, how deliberations are conducted, and how outcomes are integrated into decision-making processes. This ambiguity leaves significant discretion to local authorities²⁴.

Weak Integration: There is a notable absence of clear mechanisms for systematically integrating the results of participatory processes into the formal decision-making cycles of the APC and APW²⁵.

Persistence of Centralization: The broader context of administrative and financial centralization significantly limits the scope of decisions that can genuinely be influenced at the local level, regardless of participatory efforts²⁶.

Therefore, while the pre-2020 legal framework contained nascent elements of participation, these were often characterized by their optional nature, procedural vagueness, and limited impact on actual policy outcomes. The 2020 Constitution provides a stronger mandate, but the challenge lies in reforming these organic laws or adopting new regulations to create more robust, binding, and procedurally clear participatory mechanisms.

2.3 Legal Framework for Civil Society and Freedoms Related to Participation

Effective participatory democracy relies not only on specific mechanisms within local government laws but also on a conducive environment for civil society organizations (CSOs) and the protection of fundamental freedoms.

The legal framework governing CSOs and public liberties in Algeria presents both opportunities and constraints for participation.

Law on Associations: The functioning of CSOs is primarily governed by Law No. 12-06 (2012) on Associations. While acknowledging the role of associations, this law has been criticized for introducing administrative hurdles regarding registration, operation, and particularly foreign funding²⁷.

The requirement for prior authorization and administrative oversight can limit the autonomy and operational capacity of CSOs, especially those engaged in advocacy or critical analysis of public policies. These constraints can hinder their ability to act as effective intermediaries or mobilizers for citizen participation.

Public Liberties: Freedoms of expression, assembly, and access to information are constitutionally guaranteed and are essential prerequisites for meaningful participation²⁸.

The practical limitations and restrictive interpretations or applications of laws governing public order or defamation can sometimes curtail these freedoms. An environment where citizens and CSOs feel constrained in expressing critical views or organizing collective action inevitably dampens the potential for robust participatory democracy²⁹, the ability of citizens to freely associate, express opinions, and access information without undue restriction is fundamental to their capacity to engage effectively in local governance.

Therefore, the legal framework surrounding civil society and public liberties significantly influences the practical potential for participatory democracy. While the constitution provides guarantees, specific laws and their application can create an environment that is more or less enabling for the active involvement of CSOs and citizens in public affairs.

2.4 Normative Assessment of the Legal Framework from a Multidimensional Rationalization Perspective

Assessing Algeria's legal framework for local participation through the lens of multidimensional rationalization reveals both strengths and significant weaknesses.

Strengths:

Constitutional Foundation (Legitimacy): The explicit enshrinement of participatory democracy in the 2020 Constitution provides a strong

Redefining local policy rationalization from a participatory perspective in Algeria: A Critical Analysis of the Legal Context and Practical Application

normative basis and enhances the potential legitimacy of participatory processes³⁰.

Diversity of Mechanisms (Potential Effectiveness/Equality): The existing laws (Municipality Law 11-10, Wilaya Law 12-07) outline a range of potential participatory tools (information provision, consultation, neighbourhood committees, public inquiries). If properly implemented, this diversity could cater to different participatory needs and potentially enhance effectiveness and equality by involving various actors³¹.

Recognition of Civil Society (Potential Legitimacy/Effectiveness): The legal framework acknowledges the role of associations, which could serve as crucial intermediaries, enhancing the legitimacy and effectiveness of participation (Democracy participatory manifestations Algerian law).

Weaknesses and Gaps:

Lack of Binding Effect (Effectiveness & Legitimacy): The predominantly advisory nature of existing mechanisms severely undermines their potential contribution to effectiveness. When participation does not demonstrably influence decisions, it can erode rather than build legitimacy, leading to disillusionment³².

Procedural Ambiguity (Effectiveness, Legitimacy & Equality): The lack of clear, detailed procedures for implementing participation creates uncertainty and allows for inconsistent or superficial application. This hinders effectiveness (unclear processes), legitimacy (potential for manipulation), and equality (risk of excluding less organized groups)³³

Constraints on Civil Society (Effectiveness & Equality): Restrictions within the Law on Associations, particularly concerning registration and funding, can limit the capacity and autonomy of CSOs, hindering their ability to facilitate effective participation and represent diverse interests, thus impacting both effectiveness and equality³⁴.

Impact of Centralization (Effectiveness): The overarching administrative and financial centralization limits the substantive scope of local decision-making. Even well-designed **participatory processes** may have little impact if key decisions remain under central control, significantly reducing potential effectiveness³⁵

Access to Information Gaps (Effectiveness & Legitimacy): Despite legal provisions, practical difficulties in accessing timely and relevant information remain a significant barrier, hindering informed participation and thus effectiveness and legitimacy³⁶

In conclusion, while the post-2020 legal framework, particularly the Constitution, offers enhanced normative support for participation, significant gaps persist in the organic laws and related regulations. From a multidimensional rationalization perspective, the framework's weaknesses particularly the lack of binding influence, procedural clarity, and the constraints imposed by centralization and on civil society severely limit its current potential to enhance policy effectiveness, ensure genuine legitimacy, promote equality, or contribute meaningfully to sustainable local development.

section III: Practical Application and Constraints: Challenges of Participatory Rationalization of Local Policies in Algeria

3.1 Reality of Applying Legally Stipulated Participatory Mechanisms: A Critical Study

Despite the legal provisions and the constitutional mandate for participatory democracy, the practical application of these mechanisms in Algeria often falls short of realizing their potential, frequently remaining formalistic or inconsistently implemented.

neighbourhoods and Youth Councils: While envisioned as platforms for local dialogue, the activation and effectiveness of neighbourhood's committees and youth councils vary significantly across municipalities. Often, they lack clear mandates, adequate resources, and guaranteed channels to influence APC decisions, rendering them largely symbolic or inactive in many areas³⁷.

Public Consultations and Inquiries: Legally mandated consultations or public inquiries are often conducted perfunctorily. Issues arise concerning inadequate public notification, limited reach to diverse citizen groups, lack of transparency regarding how input is considered, and the absence of feedback mechanisms explaining how citizen contributions influenced the final decision³⁸.

Redefining local policy rationalization from a participatory perspective in Algeria: A Critical Analysis of the Legal Context and Practical Application

Access to Information: The legally enshrined right to information faces significant practical hurdles. Citizens and CSOs report difficulties in obtaining timely, relevant, and complete information from local administrations, often encountering a persistent culture of bureaucratic secrecy. The limited use of accessible digital platforms for information dissemination further exacerbates this issue³⁹.

Digital Platforms and E-Government: While digital tools hold potential for broader reach and easier access to information and participation, their development and use in Algerian local governance remain limited. Challenges include the digital divide, the lack of integration between online platforms and offline decision-making processes, and insufficient investment in robust e-governance infrastructure⁴⁰.

Evaluation of Practical Experiences: Specific initiatives aimed at promoting local participation, such as the UNDP-supported "Capdel" program (Programme de Capacitation des Acteurs Locaux), have shown mixed results. While Capdel aimed to strengthen local governance capacities and promote participatory approaches in pilot municipalities, evaluations highlight persistent challenges related to administrative resistance, limited citizen engagement beyond elites, and difficulties in institutionalizing participatory practices sustainably⁴¹.

3.2 Structural and Practical Challenges Hindering Participation Activation

Beyond the limitations in the design and application of specific mechanisms, the activation of meaningful participatory democracy in Algerian local governance faces deeper structural and practical challenges:

Continued Administrative and Financial Centralization: Despite constitutional nods towards decentralization, Algeria remains a highly centralized state. Key strategic decisions, resource allocation, and financial control largely reside with central authorities. This significantly restricts the autonomy of local governments (Communes and Wilayas) and limits the scope of issues where local participation can have a tangible impact. Local authorities often depend on central approval and funding, reducing their capacity to respond effectively to locally articulated needs and priorities⁴²

Resistance from Local Administration: An entrenched bureaucratic culture within local administrations often resists participatory approaches. This can stem from a lack of awareness or training regarding participatory methods, a fear of losing control or facing increased scrutiny and accountability, insufficient human and financial resources dedicated to organizing participation, or a simple adherence to traditional top-down modes of operating ⁴³

Challenges Facing Civil Society: While civil society is expected to play a key role, Algerian CSOs face numerous challenges. These include varying organizational capacities, the previously mentioned legal and administrative constraints (Law 12-06), difficulties in securing sustainable funding (especially independent funding), and sometimes internal competition or fragmentation. This limits their ability to consistently and effectively mobilize citizens, articulate collective demands, and engage strategically with local authorities ⁴⁴

The Broader Political Environment: The overall political climate influences the space available for genuine participation. A political culture that prioritizes control or co-optation over genuine power-sharing, or that views independent civic action with suspicion, can stifle participatory initiatives. A lack of strong political will at both national and local levels to champion and resource participatory governance remains a significant obstacle ⁴⁵

Issues of Mutual Trust: A history of limited state responsiveness, perceived tokenism in past participatory efforts, and a general disconnect between citizens and state institutions have created a significant trust deficit. Rebuilding trust requires consistent demonstration that participation leads to tangible results and that authorities are genuinely committed to dialogue and accountability ⁴⁶

Socio-political Polarization: In contexts marked by social or political polarization, participatory processes can become arenas for conflict rather than constructive dialogue, making them difficult to manage and potentially exacerbating existing divisions ⁴⁷

3.3 Critical Analysis of Practical Application from a Multidimensional Rationalization Perspective

Analysing the practical realities of participatory mechanisms in Algeria through the multidimensional rationalization framework highlights significant shortcomings across all dimensions:

Impact on Effectiveness and Efficiency: The largely formalistic and non-binding nature of participation means that citizen input, even when solicited, rarely translates into tangible policy changes or improved service delivery⁴⁸. Local knowledge is often not effectively integrated, and resources invested in organizing participation may be wasted if the process lacks real influence. This undermines both effectiveness (achieving better policy outcomes) and efficiency (optimal use of resources)

Impact on Legitimacy: While intended to enhance legitimacy, tokenistic or poorly implemented participation can have the opposite effect⁴⁹. When citizens perceive that their involvement is merely symbolic or that their contributions are ignored, it deepens cynicism and mistrust towards local institutions, further eroding legitimacy

Impact on Equality: Existing participatory practices frequently fail to ensure broad and equitable inclusion. Processes are often dominated by local elites, organized groups, or those with better access to information and political connections, while marginalized or less organized segments of the population (women, youth, the poor, rural communities) remain underrepresented or excluded. Instead of promoting equality, flawed participation can reinforce existing power imbalances⁵⁰.

Impact on Sustainability: Meaningful participation is crucial for building community ownership and long-term commitment to local development initiatives and environmental protection. When participation is weak or ineffective, it becomes difficult to foster this sense of shared responsibility, hindering the implementation and sustainability of policies that require community buy-in and behavioural change. The lack of integration of local environmental knowledge also hampers efforts towards ecological sustainability.

In essence, the practical application of participatory democracy in

Algeria, constrained by legal ambiguities, administrative resistance, centralization, and a challenging political environment, largely fails to deliver on the promises of multidimensional rationalization. The gap between the normative framework especially post-2020 and the on-the-ground reality means that participation currently contributes little to making local policies more effective, efficient, legitimate, equitable, or sustainable.

Conclusion

This research examined the rationalization of local public policies in Algeria, contrasting traditional efficiency-focused models with a multidimensional framework incorporating participation. The analysis established that while conventional approaches prioritize technical control, a truly rational approach in complex policy environments necessitates balancing effectiveness, efficiency, legitimacy, equality, and sustainability. Participatory democracy emerges theoretically as a vital means to achieve this balance, offering mechanisms to enhance legitimacy through inclusion, improve effectiveness via local knowledge, promote equality by empowering citizens, and foster sustainability through community engagement.

Applying this framework to Algeria reveals a significant evolution, particularly following the 2019 Hirak movement. The subsequent 2020 constitutional revision marked a clear normative commitment to participatory democracy, strengthening the legal basis for citizen involvement beyond the pre-existing, often limited, legislative provisions. However, this study found a persistent and substantial gap between these constitutional aspirations and the practical realities of local governance. Existing laws governing local authorities suffer from weaknesses like non-binding participatory outcomes and procedural vagueness. Furthermore, the broader context remains challenging.

Deep-rooted administrative centralization continues to limit local autonomy, while bureaucratic resistance, capacity constraints within both administration and civil society, and issues of political will and mutual trust significantly hinder the effective implementation of participatory mechanisms. Consequently, participatory practices frequently remain

Redefining local policy rationalization from a participatory perspective in Algeria: A Critical Analysis of the Legal Context and Practical Application

formalistic, failing to substantially influence policy or contribute meaningfully to the multidimensional goals of effectiveness, legitimacy, equality, and sustainability.

In response to the central research question, this study concludes that the participatory rationalization of local policies in Algeria, understood through a multidimensional lens, remains largely an unrealized potential. Despite important normative advances in the legal framework, the primary obstacles are embedded within the wider structural, political, and administrative systems that inhibit genuine citizen participation and its integration into local governance.

Recommendations: Paths to Enhance Multidimensional Participatory Rationalization

To bridge the gap between the normative commitment to participatory democracy and its practical realization, and thus move towards a more multidimensional rationalization of local policies, a multi-pronged approach is necessary:

For Legislative Bodies: Undertake a comprehensive reform of the organic laws governing municipalities and wilayas (Law 11-10 and 12-07) to align them fully with the 2020 Constitution. This should include introducing binding elements for certain participatory outcomes, establishing clear and detailed procedures for various mechanisms, and ensuring dedicated budgets for participation. Reviewing and reforming the Law on Associations (12-06) to foster a more enabling environment for independent civil society is also crucial.

For Local Administration (Communes & Wilayas): Implement extensive capacity-building programs for elected officials and administrative staff on the principles, methods, and benefits of participatory governance. Allocate specific human and financial resources within local budgets for organizing and managing participatory processes. Enhance transparency by proactively disseminating information through accessible channels (including digital platforms) and establishing clear feedback loops to inform citizens how their input was considered.

For Civil Society: Focus on strengthening internal organizational

capacity, networking, and coalition-building to amplify collective voice. Develop expertise in specific policy areas to engage more effectively with technical discussions. Prioritize inclusive outreach strategies to mobilize diverse citizen groups, particularly those often marginalized.

For Improving Participatory Mechanism Design: Move beyond purely consultative mechanisms towards more deliberative and co-decision models where appropriate. Design processes that are context-specific, inclusive, well-facilitated, and clearly linked to decision-making cycles. Ensure transparency throughout the process, from initiation to outcome.

For the Broader Political Environment: Demonstrate sustained political will at all levels to support genuine decentralization, granting local authorities' greater substantive autonomy and financial resources. Foster a political climate that values civic engagement and protects fundamental freedoms of expression and assembly, allowing for open debate and constructive criticism

References:

- ¹ Denis Saint-Martin and Christine Rothmayr Allison, ‘Rationalism and Public Policy: Mode of Analysis or Symbolic Politics?’, *Policy and Society* 30, no. 1 (1 February 2011): 19–27, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polsoc.2010.12.003>.
- ² Ibid.
- ³ Luigi Pellizzoni, ‘Uncertainty and Participatory Democracy’, *Environmental Values* 12, no. 2 (1 May 2003): 195–224, <https://doi.org/10.3197/096327103129341298>.
- ⁴ Hongxun Xiang et al., ‘What Is the Rational Choice of Community Governance Policy’, *Sustainability* 15, no. 3 (January 2023): 2395, <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032395>.
- ⁵ Carole Pateman, *Participation and Democratic Theory* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1970), <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511720444>.
- ⁶ Benjamin R. Barber, ‘Participatory Democracy’, in *The Encyclopedia of Political Thought*, ed. Michael T Gibbons, 1st ed. (Wiley, 2014), 2650–2654, <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118474396.wbept0752>.
- ⁷ Leonardo Avritzer, ‘Democracy beyond Aggregation: The Participatory Dimension of Public Deliberation’, *Journal of Deliberative Democracy* 8, no. 2 (30 December 2012), <https://doi.org/10.16997/jdd.140>.
- ⁸ Peter Bachrach and Aryeh Botwinick, *Power and Empowerment: A Radical Theory of Participatory Democracy* (Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1992).
- ⁹ Ank Michels and Laurens and De Graaf, ‘Examining Citizen Participation: Local Participatory Policy Making and Democracy’, *Local Government Studies* 36, no. 4 (1 August 2010): 477–491, <https://doi.org/10.1080/03003930.2010.494101>.
- ¹⁰ Laurence Bherer, Pascale Dufour, and Françoise Montambeault, ‘The Participatory Democracy Turn: An Introduction’, *Journal of Civil Society* 12, no. 3 (2 July 2016): 225–30, <https://doi.org/10.1080/17448689.2016.1216383>.
- ¹¹ Michels and De Graaf, ‘Examining Citizen Participation’.
- ¹² Avritzer, ‘Democracy beyond Aggregation’.

¹³ Boubker Bekhti, 'Challenges of Activating Participatory Democracy in Algeria', *Majallat Al-Dirasat Wa-l-Buhuth al-Qanuniyya [Journal of Legal Studies and Research]* 5, no. 1 (2020): 66–76,

<https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/114500>.

¹⁴ A. A. Si Hamdi and A. Griga, 'Local Governance in Algeria: A Mechanism to Consolidate Participatory Democracy and Achieve Social Security', *Majallat Al-Ulum al-Qanuniyya Wa-l-Ijtimaiyya [Journal of Legal and Social Sciences]* 8, no. 1 (2023): 713–725,

<https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/215592>.

¹⁵ A. T. I. Araissia and M. A. Gazou, 'The Demands of the Popular Hirak and the Content of the 2020 Constitutional Amendment', *Al-Dirasat al-Qanuniyya al-Muqaranah [Comparative Legal Studies]* 6, no. 2 (2020): 1519–1541, <https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/139155>; A. Berrahmoun, 'Inside the Hirak: The Dynamics of a Mass Movement for Social Justice and Human Rights', n.d.

¹⁶ Bekhti, 'Challenges of Activating Participatory Democracy in Algeria'; People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, 'Constitution of the People's Democratic Republic of Algeria of 2020', 2020,

<https://www.joradp.dz/TRV/AConsti.pdf>; Araissia and Gazou, 'The Demands of the Popular Hirak and the Content of the 2020 Constitutional Amendment'.

¹⁷ Bekhti, 'Challenges of Activating Participatory Democracy in Algeria'.

¹⁸ Araissia and Gazou, 'The Demands of the Popular Hirak and the Content of the 2020 Constitutional Amendment'.

¹⁹ People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, 'Constitution of the People's Democratic Republic of Algeria of 2020'.

²⁰ People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, 'Law No. 11-10 of June 22, 2011, Related to the Municipality', Official Gazette of the People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, 2011.

²¹ People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, 'Law No. 12-07 of February 21, 2012, Related to the Province', Official Gazette of the People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, 2012.

²² People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, 'Law No. 11-10 of June 22, 2011, Related to the Municipality'.

²³ Yama. Ibrahim, 'Participatory Democracy and Its Manifestations in Algerian Law', *Majallat Al-Buhuth al-Qanuniyya Wa-l-Iqtisadiyya*

[*Journal of Legal and Economic Research*] 2, no. 2 (2019): 188–204,
<https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/93739>.

²⁴ Bekhti, ‘Challenges of Activating Participatory Democracy in Algeria’.

²⁵ Yacine Rebbouh, ‘Participatory Democracy in Algeria: Between Application and Obstacles’, *Majallat Abḥāth [Journal of Researches]* 9, no. 1 (2024): 383–92, <https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/249805>.

²⁶ Fouad Zenati, ‘The Tension between Centralization and Decentralization and Its Impact on the Autonomy of Local Authorities in Algeria (2011–2024)’, *The Academic Journal of Social and Human Studies* 17, no. 1 (2025): 207–22, <https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/262985>.

²⁷ Bekhti, ‘Challenges of Activating Participatory Democracy in Algeria’; L. Ismail and M. T. Gouasmia, ‘Obstacles to Activating Participatory Democracy at the Local Level in Algeria’, *Majallat Afaq Li-l-Abhath al-Siyasiyya Wa-l-Qanuniyya [Horizons Journal for Political and Legal Research]* 4, no. 2 (2021): 39–57, <https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/169261>.

²⁸ People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, ‘Constitution of the People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria of 2020’.

²⁹ Bekhti, ‘Challenges of Activating Participatory Democracy in Algeria’.

³⁰ People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, ‘Constitution of the People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria of 2020’.

³¹ People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, ‘Law No. 11-10 of June 22, 2011, related to the Municipality’; People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, ‘Law No. 12-07 of February 21, 2012, Related to the Province’.

³² Ibrahim, ‘Participatory Democracy and Its Manifestations in Algerian Law’.

³³ Bekhti, ‘Challenges of Activating Participatory Democracy in Algeria’.

³⁴ Ismail and Gouasmia, ‘Obstacles to Activating Participatory Democracy at the Local Level in Algeria’.

³⁵ Bekhti, ‘Challenges of Activating Participatory Democracy in Algeria’.

³⁶ Ismail and Gouasmia, ‘Obstacles to Activating Participatory Democracy at the Local Level in Algeria’.

³⁷ Fetnaci Abderrahmane, ‘The Legislative Enshrinement of Participatory Democracy in Local Authorities in Algeria and The Challenges of Its Implementation’, *Russian Law Journal* 13, no. 01 (16 January 2025): 1097–1111,

<https://www.russianlawjournal.org/index.php/journal/article/view/5029>.

³⁸ Ibid.

³⁹ Soumia Boukhenfouf and Chahrazed Aouabed, 'The Deliberations of the Municipal People's Council Are a Mechanism to Establish Administrative Transparency at the Municipal Level in Algeria', *Milev Journal of Research and Studies* 8, no. 1 (30 June 2022): 6–17, <https://doi.org/10.58205/mjrs.v8i1.368>.

⁴⁰ Sami Esselimani, 'E-Government Effect on Participatory Democracy in the Maghreb: Indirect Effect and Government-Led Participation', *Wiley Online Library*, 2021, <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1155/2021/6642998>.

⁴¹ Sahnine hebri, 'Participatory Democracy as a Mechanism for Achieving Local Development in Algeria – A Case Study of the Capdel Program.', *Psychology and Education Journal* 61, no. 2 (10 March 2024): 908–917, <http://psychologyandeducation.net/pae/index.php/pae/article/view/8436>.

⁴² Zenati, 'The Tension between Centralization and Decentralization and Its Impact on the Autonomy of Local Authorities in Algeria (2011-2024)'.

⁴³ Abderrahmane, 'The Legislative Enshrinement of Participatory Democracy in Local Authorities in Algeria and The Challenges of Its Implementation'.

⁴⁴ Bekhti, 'Challenges of Activating Participatory Democracy in Algeria'.

⁴⁵ Ismail and Gouasmia, 'Obstacles to Activating Participatory Democracy at the Local Level in Algeria'.

⁴⁶ Bekhti, 'Challenges of Activating Participatory Democracy in Algeria'.

⁴⁷ Ibid.

⁴⁸ Ismail and Gouasmia, 'Obstacles to Activating Participatory Democracy at the Local Level in Algeria'.

⁴⁹ Ibid.

⁵⁰ Ibid.

Bibliography list:

A. Legal Documents

1. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria. *Constitution of the People's Democratic Republic of Algeria of 2020*. 2020.
<https://www.joradp.dz/TRV/AConsti.pdf>.
2. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria. Law No. 11-10 of June 22, 2011, Related to the Municipality. Official Gazette of the People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, 2011.
3. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria. Law No. 12-07 of February 21, 2012, Related to the Province. Official Gazette of the People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, 2012.

B. Books

1. Bachrach, Peter, and Aryeh Botwinick. *Power and Empowerment: A Radical Theory of Participatory Democracy*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1992.
2. Pateman, Carole. *Participation and Democratic Theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1970.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511720444>.

C. Book Chapters

1. Barber, Benjamin R. "Participatory Democracy." In *The Encyclopedia of Political Thought*, edited by Michael T. Gibbons, 1st ed, 2650–2654. Wiley, 2014.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118474396.wbept0752>.

D. Journal Articles

1. Abderrahmane, Fetnaci. "The Legislative Enshrinement of Participatory Democracy in Local Authorities in Algeria and the Challenges of Its Implementation." *Russian Law Journal* 13, no. 01 (2025): 1097–1111.
<https://www.russianlawjournal.org/index.php/journal/article/view/5029>.
2. Araissia, A. T. I., and M. A. Gazou. "The Demands of the Popular Hirak and the Content of the 2020 Constitutional Amendment." *Comparative Legal Studies* 6, no. 2 (2020): 1519–1541.
<https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/139155>.

3. Avritzer, Leonardo. "Democracy beyond Aggregation: The Participatory Dimension of Public Deliberation." *Journal of Deliberative Democracy* 8, no. 2 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.16997/jdd.140>.
4. Bekhti, Boubker. "Challenges of Activating Participatory Democracy in Algeria." *Journal of Legal Studies and Research* 5, no. 1 (2020): 66–76. <https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/114500>.
5. Bherer, Laurence, Pascale Dufour, and Françoise Montambeault. "The Participatory Democracy Turn: An Introduction." *Journal of Civil Society* 12, no. 3 (2016): 225–230.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17448689.2016.1216383>.
6. Boukhenfouf, Soumia, and Chahrazed Aouabed. "The Deliberations of the Municipal People's Council Are a Mechanism to Establish Administrative Transparency at the Municipal Level in Algeria." *Milev Journal of Research and Studies* 8, no. 1 (2022): 6–17.
<https://doi.org/10.58205/mjrs.v8i1.368>.
7. Ibrahim, Yama. "Participatory Democracy and Its Manifestations in Algerian Law." *Journal of Legal and Economic Research* 2, no. 2 (2019): 188–204. <https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/93739>.
8. Ismail, L., and M. T. Gouasmia. "Obstacles to Activating Participatory Democracy at the Local Level in Algeria." *Horizons Journal for Political and Legal Research* 4, no. 2 (2021): 39–57.
<https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/169261>.
9. Michels, Ank, and Laurens De Graaf. "Examining Citizen Participation: Local Participatory Policy Making and Democracy." *Local Government Studies* 36, no. 4 (2010): 477–491.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03003930.2010.494101>.
10. Pellizzoni, Luigi. "Uncertainty and Participatory Democracy." *Environmental Values* 12, no. 2 (2003): 195–224.
<https://doi.org/10.3197/096327103129341298>.
11. Rebbouh, Yacine. "Participatory Democracy in Algeria: Between Application and Obstacles." *Journal of Researches* 9, no. 1 (2024): 383–392. <https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/249805>.
12. Saint-Martin, Denis, and Christine Rothmayr Allison. "Rationalism and Public Policy: Mode of Analysis or Symbolic Politics?" *Policy and Society* 30, no. 1 (2011): 19–27.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polsoc.2010.12.003>.

13. Si Hamdi, A. A., and A. Griga. "Local Governance in Algeria: A Mechanism to Consolidate Participatory Democracy and Achieve Social Security." *Journal of Legal and Social Sciences* 8, no. 1 (2023): 713–725. <https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/215592>.
14. Xiang, Hongxun, et al. "What Is the Rational Choice of Community Governance Policy." *Sustainability* 15, no. 3 (2023): 2395. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032395>.
15. Zenati, Fouad. "The Tension between Centralization and Decentralization and Its Impact on the Autonomy of Local Authorities in Algeria (2011-2024)." *The Academic Journal of Social and Human Studies* 17, no. 1 (2025): 207–222. <https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/262985>.

E. Online Sources (Websites & Reports)

1. Berrahmoun, A. "Inside the Hirak: The Dynamics of a Mass Movement for Social Justice and Human Rights."
2. Esselimani, Sami. "E-Government Effect on Participatory Democracy in the Maghreb: Indirect Effect and Government-Led Participation." *Wiley Online Library*, 2021. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1155/2021/6642998>
3. Sahnine Hebri. "Participatory Democracy as a Mechanism for Achieving Local Development in Algeria – A Case Study of the Capdel Program." *Psychology and Education Journal* 61, no. 2 (2024): 908–917. <http://psychologyandeducation.net/pae/index.php/pae/article/view/8436>.