

Credibility in the age of generative AI: Verify the truth of the news

Debdouche Elhachemi
Blida2 University (Algeria),
e.debdouche@univ-blida2.dz

Received: 31/03/2026 Accepted: 17/04/2026

Abstract:

The fast growth of creative artificial intelligence (AI) has completely changed the information environment, especially the way news is made and shared. There are new possibilities for journalism with creative AI technologies, but they also make news less reliable by making fake news, automatic false information, and "deepfakes." This research looks into how generative AI affects the reliability of news and how to make sure that information in digital settings is accurate. The piece uses a qualitative literature review and conceptual analysis to look at new research on generative AI, false information, and how people check facts. The results show that creative AI both hurts and helps methods for checking the news. On the one hand, content made by AI can spread false information and make people less trusting of journalism. On the other hand, proof tools driven by AI, digital identity technologies, and programs that teach people more about media can make news more reliable. As we move into the age of creative AI, this piece suggests a multiple framework for checking the truth of news stories that includes technology solutions, journalism ethics, and public engagement. The study comes to the conclusion that to protect the purity of the global information environment, journalists, technology developers, lawmakers, and the public must work together to keep the news credible in the age of generative AI.

key words: Generative AI; news credibility; misinformation; fact-checking; digital journalism; media verification.

Introduction

The shift from analog to digital media has completely changed how information is made, shared, and used around the world. One of the most important changes in the world of information recently is the rise of artificial intelligence technologies, especially creative AI. Generative AI systems, which include big language models and image-generation tools, can make articles, pictures, videos, and audio records that look, sound, and feel a lot like media made by humans (Floridi & Chiriatti, 2020).

Even though these technologies give writers and media outlets useful tools, they also make people more worried about fake news, influence, and losing faith in the news. The fast production of fake content that looks like real media is made possible by generative AI, which makes it harder to check the accuracy of information (Vaccari & Chadwick, 2020).

For a long time, trustworthiness in the news has been seen as an important part of democracy countries. People depend on trustworthy news to help them make choices, be active in their communities, and hold institutions responsible. But the growth of digital media and social networks has already made it easier for false information to spread quickly online by weakening the old ways of editing gatekeeping (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017). The rise of creative AI makes these problems even worse by making it easier to spread a lot of fake information that seems real.

Scholars, lawmakers, and journalists are worried about the future of truth checking in digital communication because of new developments in synthetic media, such as deepfake technologies. Deepfakes, which are videos or audio recordings made by AI that change or make up events, have shown they can change the way people talk and make people less likely to believe real news (Chesney & Citron, 2019).

Because of these problems, experts and media groups are looking into new ways to check information that mix technology answers with reporting practices and programs to teach people how to use the media. It's possible that artificial intelligence can help find altered media and support fact-checking (Graves, 2018).

This piece looks into how creative AI affects the trustworthiness of news. More specifically, it wants to look at the dangers of AI-generated false information and ways to make sure that news material is accurate in today's digital world.

This study aims to examine the relationship between generative AI and news credibility and to explore strategies for verifying the authenticity of information in the

contemporary media landscape. Specifically, the study addresses the following research questions:

1. How does generative AI affect the credibility of news content?
2. What challenges does AI-generated media pose for traditional verification practices?
3. What technological and institutional strategies can help verify the truth of news in the age of generative AI?

This study adds to current academic conversations about the future of news, how to regulate AI, and how to keep reliable information safe in democracy societies by answering these questions.

I. Literature Review

1. News Credibility in the Digital Media Environment

News believability is how much people think the news is trustworthy, reliable, and correct. It is an important idea to understand how people understand and react to media messages, especially now that there is so much information coming from both traditional and digital sources. People are more likely to believe news when they think it is true. They are also more likely to use it to make decisions or form opinions. Because of this, researchers have spent a lot of time figuring out what the most important factors are that affect these perceptions of credibility.

One of the most important things is how professional the journalism is, which means following ethical standards, reporting accurately, and showing different points of view in a fair way. The source's image or reputation is just as important. People are more likely to trust well-known and respected media companies than ones they don't know or that seem questionable. Transparency, or being open, is also very important because it means being clear about where information comes from, how it was gathered, and any possible biases. This builds trust in the audience. Editorial independence, or editing freedom, also makes sure that news content isn't too influenced by outside factors like politics or business. These factors work together to greatly affect how the public sees news as credible and believable (Metzger & Flanagin, 2013).

Digital media has grown so quickly that it has completely changed the old ways that news was made, spread, and read. Before, professional journalists and well-known

media companies were in charge of most news creation. They had strict rules for how to publish information. But with the rise of digital platforms and social media networks, information is now more decentralized and available right away. Today, regular people can make, publish, and share news stories in real time, often reaching a lot of people without the help of trained journalists or editorial oversight.

This change has changed the way information is controlled in a big way, especially by making news organizations less able to "gatekeep." Gatekeeping was the process by which editors and journalists checked, verified, and chose information before it was shared with the public. This made sure that the information was accurate and trustworthy to a certain degree. In the digital world, this filtering system isn't as strong because content can quickly spread across platforms without being checked by professionals. This democratization of information sharing has made it easier for more people to speak up and share their thoughts, but it has also made people worry about false information, not being able to check facts, and losing faith in news sources. As a result, audiences must now take a more active role in judging how reliable the information they come across is. This shows how digital media can make news less believable in complicated ways (Vos & Russell, 2019).

Because digital communication channels are growing so quickly, people are more likely to come across false information, unverified stories, and misleading claims that are widely shared on the internet. In traditional media settings, information usually goes through several rounds of checking and editing before it gets out. In digital platforms, on the other hand, content can spread quickly with little to no oversight. This makes it possible for false or exaggerated information to spread just as quickly, if not faster, than accurate reporting. Algorithms that prioritize engagement over reliability often make this worse.

Researchers call this a "misinformation ecosystem," which is a complicated media environment where real information competes directly with false, misleading, or only partially true information for people's attention. In this ecosystem, different kinds of bad information, like disinformation (false information on purpose), misinformation (false information by accident), and malinformation (real information used in a harmful way), work together and make each other worse. People who use social media, influencers, and even automated accounts can all help spread this kind of content, which makes it harder for people to tell what's true and what's not.

Also, the speed and size of information sharing on the internet often outpace attempts to check facts or fix mistakes. Because of this, false stories can spread and stay around even after they have been proven false. In this setting, people have a greater responsibility to think critically about the information they come across. It also shows how important it is to improve media literacy and create better ways to check the accuracy of online content (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017).

2. Generative AI and Synthetic Media

The term "generative AI" refers to a group of AI systems that can make new material by discovering trends in big datasets. These technologies include generative adversarial networks (GANs), which are used to make fake pictures and videos, and big language models that can make text that makes sense.

The creation of deepfakes, which are fake audio or video content that can successfully imitate real people, is one of the scariest uses of creative AI. Deepfake technologies have been used to change political talks, make up statements from famous people, and share false information (Chesney & Citron, 2019).

In the past few years, generative AI tools have become much easier to get, which means that even people who aren't very good at technology can make complex synthetic media. This opening up of AI technology to more people has both good and bad effects on news. AI can help writers with things like translating, analyzing data, and writing automatic reports, but it can also be used to spread fake or incorrect information (Diakopoulos, 2019).

3. Misinformation and Disinformation in the Age of AI

Misinformation is when people share fake or incorrect information without meaning to harm others. On the other hand, disinformation is material that is meant to confuse people on purpose (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017).

Generative AI has made both types of information disorder worse by letting machines create material on a scale that has never been seen before. Misinformation campaigns run by AI can make a lot of fake news stories or social media posts that people think are real (Vaccari & Chadwick, 2020).

Researchers have warned that fake news could make the "liar's dividend" worse, which is when people who are accused of wrongdoing ignore real proof as made up deepfakes (Chesney & Citron, 2019).

4. Fact-Checking and Verification Practices

Investigative writers and groups that check facts are very important for making sure that public information is correct and stopping the spread of false information. These people do a lot to keep the trustworthiness of news high by regularly checking the claims made by public figures, institutions, and online sources. In today's media, fact-checking has become an organized process that uses a number of different methods to make sure what is being said is true. Some of these methods are comparing information from different sources that you know to be reliable, looking at the original files and data sets, and making sure that the people or organizations involved are trustworthy. Visual materials like pictures and videos are also looked at by journalists and fact-checkers to find signs of editing or false context. They also often talk to experts in the field to make sure that comments about science, politics, or business are true. Investigative reporters and fact-checking groups use these strict methods to make sure the information they report is correct. This helps stop the spread of fake information and builds trust in reliable news sources (Graves, 2018).

But as AI-generated media gets smarter, these methods of proof are getting harder and harder to use. New developments in generative technologies make it possible to make pictures, movies, and audio files that look, sound, and act a lot like real media. Because of this, content that has been changed might have realistic features, visual consistency, and natural language patterns that make it hard to spot. This rising level of realism makes it harder for writers and fact-checkers to do their jobs using old-fashioned methods of checking. So, telling the difference between real media and material that was made by AI or was altered has become a big problem for current methods of checking the news.

To deal with these problems, researchers are making automatic tools that can find changed media by using forensic methods, pattern analysis, and watermark recognition (Diakopoulos, 2019).

II. Methodology

In order to look into the link between generative AI and news trustworthiness, this study uses a qualitative research method based on a structured literature review. The systematic review method was chosen because it lets researchers put together all the academic information that already exists and find the most important arguments, patterns, and holes in a field of study that is changing quickly. We looked at research papers, stories from news organizations, institutional journals, and policy documents

that came out between 2018 and 2024 to see how new developments in generative AI technologies have changed the media environment. The chosen literature was studied to find the main themes that were connected to generative AI, lies, disinformation, and how journalists today check the news.

The topic of the research paper was mainly about three main subjects. The first area looks at how creative AI has changed media production and journalism. It looks at things like automatic content creation, AI-assisted reporting, and how office processes have changed. The second area looks into how fake news and content made by computers (like deepfakes and AI-generated content) are used in campaigns to spread false information, mostly online and on social media sites. In the third area, we look at new tools and fact-checking methods that can be used to spot fake news and keep the trustworthiness of news in the digital age.

Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science are some of the major scholarly databases that were used to find and gather relevant academic studies. These platforms give you a lot of access to peer-reviewed research from many different fields, making sure that you get reliable and high-quality sources. The search was guided by carefully chosen keywords that reflect the main ideas of the study, such as "generative AI," "news credibility," "misinformation," "deepfakes," and "fact-checking." These terms helped me find studies that are directly related to the research topic in the huge amount of literature.

After the first search, a screening process was done to see if the article titles and abstracts were relevant and fit with the research goals. Subsequently, studies that directly pertained to the subject of investigation were chosen for a more thorough and analytical review. This step made sure that only the most relevant and thorough research contributions were included in the final analysis.

The chosen body of literature was then examined through thematic analysis, a qualitative research technique commonly used to discern recurring themes, patterns, and relationships within textual data. This method helped put together and make sense of important ideas and trends from different studies in a systematic way. Thematic analysis also helped find gaps in the current literature, which showed where more research is needed. This methodological framework not only enhanced the coherence of the review but also yielded profound insights into the dynamic terrain of research concerning generative AI, misinformation, and news credibility.

III. Results and Discussion

1. Credibility Challenges in the Age of Generative AI

First, AI systems can make a lot of fake material that looks, sounds, and is put together in a way that is very similar to real news reporting. These pieces made by AI might have made-up sources, skewed data, or false stories that seem believable to readers but aren't based on facts. Because of this, it gets harder and harder for people to tell the difference between real news and information that was made up by computers. Also, deepfake technologies make it possible to make audio and video recordings that look and sound very real and can show people saying things or taking part in events that never happened. This kind of skewed media can confuse watchers and change public opinion, especially during elections or big social events (Chesney & Citron, 2019). Also, because digital platforms are so viral, false information made by AI can spread quickly through social media networks. Because of how quickly information spreads, writers and fact-checkers don't have as much time to check it before it reaches a lot of people. Because of this, creative AI technologies are becoming more complex and easier to use, which is a rising threat to the accuracy and trustworthiness of news in today's information environments.

2. The Erosion of Public Trust in News

A lack of trust in journalists and the information environment as a whole is made worse by the rise of fake news. Media consumers may doubt the reliability of digital information more as creative AI technologies make it easier to change pictures, videos, and text. As people become aware of the ability to create media that looks and feels very real, they may start to doubt not only strange content but also reliable news sources. This increased lack of knowledge can lead to misunderstanding and make people less trusting of professional media. There may be what experts call a "generalized distrust" of media institutions in some situations because of deepfakes and AI-made false information. This kind of doubt can make news less useful as a source of confirmed knowledge. People in democracy societies rely on reliable news to understand complicated issues and take part in well-informed public discussion (Metzger & Flanagin, 2013). This loss of trust could have serious effects on those societies. So, in this age of creative AI, rebuilding and keeping people's trust in the news has become a very important task.

3. AI-Based Solutions for Verification

Additionally, while generative AI poses some problems, new technologies also offer exciting ways to improve news checking and keep information's reliability. More and

more, researchers and tech workers are making tools that can help writers find altered or AI-generated material more easily. Artificial intelligence identification systems look at language trends, information, and visual errors to see if a text, picture, or video was made or changed by AI. This is an important method. Machine learning methods are used by these systems to find problems that might not be easy for humans to see.

Blockchain-based identification systems are another new idea that could help. These systems make it possible to safely store digital material and track it back to where it came from. Blockchain technology can keep clear records of when and where media content was made or changed by keeping verification data on decentralized ledgers. This helps reporters and news groups make sure that pictures, videos, and papers going around online are real. Digital watermarking technologies are also being worked on to add marks to media made by AI that can't be seen but can still be identified. Platforms and verification tools can use these watermarks to track content back to the AI system that made it. This makes it easier to spot fake content. These technological advances can help writers, fact-checkers, and researchers a lot in their work to check information and find material that has been changed or is misleading before it gets to the public.

4. The Role of Media Literacy

In this age of creative AI, it's not enough to just use technology to fix problems; people also need to learn how to read and understand the media better. Media literacy means that a person can find, understand, rate, and make knowledge in different formats, as well as know how media is made and distributed. People can learn how to think critically about the information they find online by learning media literacy. This lets them question the information's truth, dependability, and source. In digital settings, where AI-generated material can look very real and be hard to tell apart from real news, this is especially important. People are less likely to believe false information and lies if they know how to find reliable sources, check information, and spot material that has been changed or is untrue. People can also learn how algorithms, social media sites, and AI systems affect how information gets seen and shared through media literacy classes. As a result, informed viewers are better able to spot false information, think critically about news stories, and check claims using trustworthy sources. So, improving media literacy is a very important part of supporting educated democracy and keeping people's trust in the news media.

IV. CONCLUSION

Generational AI is a major force that is changing the media environment of today, fundamentally changing how information is created, shared, and accessed. Advanced creative AI systems, such as big language models and synthetic media makers, can make text, pictures, audio, and video content that looks, sounds, and acts a lot like real news stories. While these technologies give journalists useful tools like automatic reporting, data analysis, content translation, and more efficient newsrooms, they also pose major threats to the news's trustworthiness and integrity. In particular, generative AI makes it easy to quickly make fake news, altered pictures, and deepfake videos that can confuse people and change the way people talk about issues. As these technologies become easier for more people to use, bad people will be able to make up more believable lies, which is a big problem for the trustworthiness of the global information environment. The results of this study show how important it is to quickly find a complete and broad way to check the accuracy of news in the age of generative AI. To fix the problems that AI-generated material causes with trustworthiness, people from different areas of technology, institutions, and society need to work together. New technologies, like AI-based detection systems, blockchain-based identification tools, and digital watermarking methods, can help scholars and writers spot fake or altered media. But strong reporting standards, such as clear sources, thorough fact-checking, and editing responsibility, are still needed to keep people trusting news organizations. Frameworks for rules made by states and international organizations might also help encourage responsible AI development and stop people from using generative technologies for fake news campaigns. Media literacy programs that teach people about digital lies are also very important for giving people the tools they need to think critically about the reliability of online information.

Researchers should keep looking into how to make more advanced testing technologies that can find AI-generated material in a variety of media forms. Additionally, researchers should look into the possibility of joint systems in which reporters work with AI tools to make the fact-checking process faster and more accurate. By working together in this way, news groups might be able to better deal with the growing amount and speed of false information in digital spaces. The field would also benefit from empirical studies that look at how people feel about news that is produced by AI and how well different checking methods work.

In the end, making sure the news is accurate in this age of creative AI is important for keeping democracy systems strong, making sure the public has enough information to make good decisions, and keeping the public's trust in media. As societies become more dependent on digital information networks, it becomes more difficult for both

media experts and regular people to tell the difference between real news and material that has been changed. In the coming years, protecting the stability of the information environment will depend on a number of things. These include making proof systems stronger, encouraging ethical AI development, and educating and engaging the public in critical thinking.

V. Bibliography List :

1. Chesney, R., & Citron, D. (2019). Deep fakes: A looming challenge for privacy, democracy, and national security. *California Law Review*, 107(6), 1753–1820.
2. Floridi, L., & Chiriatti, M. (2020). GPT-3: Its nature, scope, limits, and consequences. *Minds and Machines*, 30(4), 681–694.
3. Graves, L. (2018). *Deciding what's true: The rise of political fact-checking in American journalism*. Columbia University Press.
4. Metzger, M., & Flanagin, A. (2013). Credibility and trust of information in online environments. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 59, 210–220.
5. Vaccari, C., & Chadwick, A. (2020). Deepfakes and disinformation: Exploring the impact of synthetic political video on deception and trust. *Social Media + Society*, 6(1).
6. Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). *Information disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework for research and policy making*. Council of Europe.
7. Vos, T., & Russell, F. (2019). *The gatekeeping role of journalism in the digital age*. Routledge.
8. Bakir, V., & McStay, A. (2018). Fake news and the economy of emotions. *Digital Journalism*, 6(2), 154–175.
9. Brennen, J., Simon, F., Howard, P., & Nielsen, R. (2020). Types, sources, and claims of COVID-19 misinformation. *Reuters Institute Report*.
10. Carlson, M. (2017). *Journalistic authority: Legitimizing news in the digital era*. Columbia University Press.
11. Diakopoulos, N. (2019). *Automating the news*. Harvard University Press.
12. Egelhofer, J., & Lecheler, S. (2019). Fake news as a two-dimensional phenomenon. *Annals of the International Communication Association*, 43(2), 97–116.

13. Floridi, L., & Chiriatti, M. (2020). GPT-3: Its nature, scope, limits, and consequences. *Minds and Machines*, 30(4), 681–694.
14. Graves, L. (2018). *Deciding what's true*. Columbia University Press.
15. Guess, A., Nyhan, B., & Reifler, J. (2020). Exposure to untrustworthy websites. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 4, 472–480.
16. Hameleers, M. (2020). Disinformation as a context-bound phenomenon. *Political Communication*, 37(1), 132–151.
17. Lazer, D. et al. (2018). The science of fake news. *Science*, 359(6380), 1094–1096.
18. Metzger, M., & Flanagin, A. (2013). Credibility and trust in online environments. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 59, 210–220.
19. Pennycook, G., & Rand, D. (2019). Lazy, not biased: Susceptibility to partisan fake news. *Cognition*, 188, 39–50.
20. Shu, K., Sliva, A., Wang, S., Tang, J., & Liu, H. (2020). Fake news detection on social media. *ACM SIGKDD Explorations*.
21. Tandoc, E., Lim, Z., & Ling, R. (2018). Defining fake news. *Digital Journalism*, 6(2), 137–153.
22. Vaccari, C., & Chadwick, A. (2020). Deepfakes and disinformation. *Social Media + Society*.
23. Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). *Information disorder*. Council of Europe.
24. Westlund, O., & Lewis, S. (2017). Automation and journalism. *Digital Journalism*, 5(3), 299–309.
25. Waisbord, S. (2018). Truth is what happens to news. *Journalism Studies*, 19(13).
26. Zellers, R. et al. (2019). Defending against neural fake news. *NeurIPS*.
27. Zhou, X., & Zafarani, R. (2020). A survey of fake news detection. *ACM Computing Surveys*.
28. Gilbert, C. (2021). Artificial intelligence and journalism ethics. *Journalism Practice*.
29. Howard, P. (2020). *Lie machines*. Yale University Press.
30. Marconi, F. (2020). *Newsmakers: Artificial intelligence and the future of journalism*.

31. Borges-Rey, E. (2016). Unravelling data journalism. *Journalism Practice*.