

Cyberbullying: Social Problems and Practical Solutions

Yamina belghoul¹ *, Ladjeroud Assia ²

¹University of jijel 18000 jijel, (Algeria) faculty of humanities and Social Sciences L.P.E.P.S.
belghoul.yamina@univ-jijel.dz

²University of jijel 18000 jijel, (Algeria) faculty of humanities and Social Sciences
a.ladjeroud@univ-jijel.dz

Submission date : 06/04/2025 Acceptance date: 28/05/2025 Published date : 31/07/2025

Abstract:

This study aims to investigate and analyze the problem of cyberbullying as a social phenomenon emerging from recent technological advancements and individuals' increasing reliance on digital media. These developments have generated increasingly discernible multidimensional impacts, permeating societal structures through interpersonal behaviors that now threaten the stability of communities, individuals, and families. Although the psychological, intellectual, and physical consequences of cyberbullying—ranging from chronic distress to suicidal tendencies—may initially appear ambiguous, their persistence exacerbates the risks to victim's well-being. The study concludes that, despite the escalating prevalence of cyberbullying, practical solutions exist and have been actively implementing by numerous governments, policymakers, and social media platforms. Such measures include short- and long-term strategies, encompassing awareness programs, technological interventions, and legislative frameworks, which collectively aim to curb these harmful practices, often classified as criminal offenses.

Keywords: Bullying; Cyberbullying; Social Problems; Practical Solutions.

* Yamina Belghoul.

Introduction:

Cyberbullying has emerged as one of the most pressing challenges confronting social media platforms, representing an extension of deeply rooted human behavioral patterns. However, its virulence has intensified with the digital revolution and the rise of social media, which have provided bullies worldwide with unprecedented ease to engage in deliberate, hostile, and repetitive behaviors aimed at harming others. This societal problem has been exacerbated by the widespread adoption of digital technologies across all social strata, coupled with the recent COVID-19 pandemic. The pandemic triggered a dramatic surge in social media usage and extended periods of lockdown-induced leisure, transforming these platforms into a primary outlet for global populations. Consequently, incidents of bullying, harassment, and cyber exploitation have escalated to unprecedented levels.

These developments have brought to light transgressive behaviors that violate ethical, legal, cultural, and religious norms, profoundly impacting victims' lives and futures due to the enduring psychological and social repercussions. Cyberbullying, as a persistent form of deviance, manifests through digital harassment—including insults, defamation, image-based abuse, and blackmail—all of which resist erasure from the digital sphere. This perpetuates long-term harm to victims. Nevertheless, collaborative efforts by governments and social media platforms, through policy reforms and technological interventions, have provided partial yet actionable solutions to mitigate this phenomenon.

This research seeks to address cyberbullying as a growing, uncontrolled societal issue, necessitating practical strategies to counter its effects. As a negative byproduct of the ongoing digital revolution, cyberbullying demands interdisciplinary solutions to curb its destabilizing influence on individuals and communities.

1. Study Objectives:

The study aims to achieve the following objectives:

- Conceptual Clarification: Define cyberbullying and elucidate its associated sociological and psychological concepts.
- Typological Analysis: Identify the defining characteristics and modalities of cyberbullying.
- Impact Assessment: Examine the types of cyberbullying and their consequences on individual well-being.
- Methodological Exploration: Investigate cyberbullying tactics and quantify its prevalence through empirical data.
- Legal Frameworks: Assess existing regulatory measures governing cyberbullying across jurisdictions.

2. Defining Key Concepts:

2.1. Concept of Bullying:

Bullying refers to any harmful behavior perpetrated by an individual or group, characterized by a *power imbalance* between the aggressor(s) and the victim. The victim, often rendered powerless, endures emotionally or physically damaging acts such as name-calling, mockery, offensive remarks, kicking, hitting, pushing, or inappropriate verbal abuse (Niamh et al, 2010, p. 10).

2.2. Concept of Cyberbullying:

Cyberbullying encompasses threats, harassment, or repeated misuse of communication technologies—such as phones and the internet—to send insults, threatening messages, or disseminate harmful content with the intent to intimidate, humiliate, or damage reputations.

This includes spreading malicious rumors or sharing private information to inflict harm (Aune, 2009, pp. 168–169).

Cyberbullying further entails *intentional, repeated, and hostile* aggressive behaviors facilitated by information and communication technologies (ICTs). These acts target individuals or groups unable to defend themselves, employing intimidation, manipulation, coercion, or defamation to exert control or inflict psychological harm. Such behaviors are marked by deliberate repetition and hostile intent (Smith, 2008, pp. 376–385).

2.3. Concept of Social Problems:

Social problems arise from a *discrepancy* between existing societal conditions and the ideals seriously desired by a group within society. The severity of this disparity fluctuates with the efficacy of societal progress or the degradation of social conditions, often exacerbated by industrialization. Awareness of such issues intensifies when individuals contrast current circumstances with past norms (Phelps, 1947, p. 16).

2.4. Practical Solutions:

Practical solutions involve processes whereby individuals apply previously acquired knowledge, skills, and information to address unfamiliar challenges in innovative and effective ways, thereby resolving complex situations.

3. Cyberbullying and Its Manifestations:

- **Cyber Rage:**

The act of sending aggressive, vitriolic messages—directed at a victim or a group—via email, text, or online platforms.

- **Cyber Harassment:**

The repeated transmission of demeaning or humiliating messages through digital channels, such as email, intended to degrade the recipient.

Cyberbullying involves the *repetitive and aggressive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs)* —including the internet, mobile phones, and interactive digital devices—to transmit hostile texts, images, or videos, which are subsequently disseminated online. Key forms include:

- **Hostile Cyber Dialogue:**

Threatening harm, excessive insults, or defamation through virtual conversations or messaging platforms.

- **Cyber Denigration:**

Circulating false, derogatory, or unjust statements about a victim via online posts or messages.

- **Privacy Violation and Doxing:**

Distributing private information, messages, or images without consent (Bourhli & Ghazal, 2021, p. 1191).

- **Cyberstalking:**

Repeated electronic behaviors—such as hacking, invasive surveillance, or harassment—that cause distress, fear, or physical tracking of victims (Barrama, 2021, p. 1470).

- **Harassment:**

Persistent transmission of abusive or threatening messages to intimidate or annoy.

- **Cyber Impersonation:**

Assuming another's identity to share damaging information and tarnish reputations.

- **Cyber Exclusion:**

Deliberately and harmfully excluding individuals from online groups (e.g., chat rooms, gaming communities) to inflict social isolation (Cowie, 2013, p. 167). This often involves coercing others to ostracize the victim without justification, reflecting systemic cruelty.

- **Cyber Pursuit:**

False accusations, monitoring, identity theft, data destruction, or sexual solicitation of minors under the guise of harassment (Tala, 2021, p. 577).

4. Traditional Bullying vs. Cyberbullying: A Comparative Analysis:

Victimization through cyberbullying is strongly correlated with adverse *short- and long-term outcomes* across mental, psychological, and physical health domains, as well as academic performance—paralleling the effects of traditional bullying. The harms caused by cyberbullying are worsened, particularly among teenagers, who have higher rates of depression, anxiety, emotional dysregulation, suicidal ideation, somatic complaints, and physical health deteriorated. Externalizing behaviors, such as increased delinquency and substance abuse, are also prevalent.

Notably, cyberbullying's impact surpasses that of traditional bullying in severity due to its pervasive, inescapable nature in digital spaces. The intensity of harm escalates with the frequency of exposure, disproportionately affecting youth—a demographic already vulnerable to psychosocial destabilization.

When comparing cyberbullying to traditional bullying, empirical evidence suggests that the negative outcomes are more severe for victims of cyberbullying. Utilizing data from a nationwide survey of Swedish adolescents aged 15–18, researchers found that exposure to traditional bullying yielded less severe consequences compared to cyberbullying. Låftman et al. Identified that online victimization correlated with a higher incidence of somatic health issues, including headaches, stomach pain, appetite loss, and sleep disturbances (Farhangpour et al, 2019, p. 3).

Perren et al. Documented 26 significant associations between cyberbullying victimization and adverse psychosocial outcomes in their study of Swiss and Australian adolescents. Notably, cyberbullying explained unique variance in depressive symptoms even after controlling for exposure to traditional bullying. Similarly, Hymel and Bonanno (as cited in Farhangpour et al., 2019) demonstrated that cyberbullying among Canadian adolescents was more strongly linked to suicidal ideation, depressive symptoms, and psychological distress than traditional bullying.

A school-based study further highlighted the disproportionate impact of cyberbullying. Adolescents subjected to cyberbullying were 3.44 times more likely to attempt suicide compared to non-victimized peers, whereas traditional bullying increased suicide attempt risk by a factor of 1.63. Gill et al. Corroborated these findings, establishing a stronger association between cyberbullying and suicidal ideation relative to traditional bullying. Machmutow et al. Conducted a longitudinal analysis of seventh-grade students over six months, revealing that cyberbullying exacerbated depressive symptoms, self-harm rates,

and physical and mental health deterioration more severely than traditional peer victimization.

In a U.S. study of students in grades 6–12, Kowalski et al. Identified cyberbullying as a unique predictor of academic decline, including heightened absenteeism, lower grades, and diminished self-esteem, alongside elevated depression and anxiety. Widom and Lynch further emphasized the pronounced emotional toll of cyberbullying in their analysis of American school cohorts, underscoring its distinct psychosocial harm compared to traditional bullying. (Farhangpour et al, 2019, p03)

5. Methods of Cyberbullying:

Cyberbullying is perpetrated through multiple modalities wherein aggressors intentionally inflict harm and damage via technological mediums, such as web-based platforms (e.g., social networking sites) and smartphones equipped with advanced imaging, recording, image manipulation capabilities, and other technological features that are systematically misused by cyber aggressors.

Scholars, including Smith (Smith et al.), have delineated several cyberbullying methodologies, including:

- **Threatening Calls:**

Perpetrators intimidate victims via phone calls laden with fear-inducing language.

- **Textual Harassment:**

Sending messages that threaten to expose secrets, fabricate scandals, or extort money or favors (Al-Atel & Al-Ajmi, 2021, p. 227).

- **Image/Video Manipulation:**

Perpetrators obtain and redistribute private images or videos of victims—either unaltered or digitally modified—to create morally objectionable content. Such acts escalate from cyberbullying to criminal offenses, including online sexual exploitation, when explicit material is weaponized.

- **Malicious Emails:**

Sending emails embedded with malware to hijack victims' accounts, enabling perpetrators to access private communications or send defamatory/ threatening messages under the victim's identity, thereby inciting social ostracization.

- **Chatroom Exploitation:**

Predators exploit the anonymity of chatrooms to groom victims into sexually explicit conversations or hack personal data (e.g., emails, private images) for public shaming (Majeed Al-Najjar, 2020, p. 144).

6. Characteristics of Cyberbullying

- Cyberbullying is characterized by several distinctive features that exacerbate its potential negative consequences compared to traditional bullying.
- In cyberbullying, harmful content can be disseminated rapidly and widely. The internet inherently facilitates instantaneous and expansive proliferation of information.

- Cyber aggressors often operate under the presumption of anonymity or pseudonymity, believing their actions will remain undetected. This perceived invisibility, coupled with the difficulty of monitoring online behavior, lowers barriers to engagement in cyberbullying.
- Cyberbullying is not constrained by temporal or spatial boundaries; it can occur at any time of day and does not require face-to-face interaction, thereby increasing its accessibility and frequency.
- During cyberbullying episodes, perpetrators typically lack direct observation of the victim's emotional reactions, which may diminish their perception of the act's severity or harmfulness (digitalwellbeing.ae).

7. Prevalence Rates of Cyberbullying:

The prevalence rates of cyberbullying vary significantly depending on variables such as internet and mobile phone usage, gender, educational level (middle school, high school, and university students), country, social network size, and socioeconomic status (SES). Estimates suggest that cyberbullying affects between 7% and 35% of adolescents, with higher rates correlating to increased daily internet usage (at least three hours per day) and messaging frequency (50 or more messages daily) (www.qscience.com).

Geographic Disparities:

A review of 142 studies on cyberbullying prevalence revealed that most research has been conducted in North America and Europe, focusing primarily on victims. In China, prevalence rates are notably higher: a survey of 2,590 middle and high school students found that 28% self-identified as perpetrators, while 45% reported being victims. Conversely, Australian students exhibited lower rates, with only 5% prevalence in a sample of 927 students. In Greece, 62% of high school students experienced cyberbullying via mobile phones, with 40% of perpetrators being strangers; 60% of victims did not seek help. Despite high prevalence, only 20% of victims exhibited psychological symptoms. A longitudinal UK study of 2,480 adolescents found 14% reported victimization, 8% admitted to perpetration, and 20% identified as both perpetrators and victims (www.qscience.com).

In Algeria, as in many other countries, society has been significantly affected by this phenomenon, which targets nearly all social categories, with the majority of victims being youth and adolescents. Sociological studies conducted in Algeria have demonstrated that this phenomenon, which was once confined to schools among students, has now proliferated into the virtual world, posing a far greater threat. For instance, a study by Fariha Mohamed Karim, which examined a group of school students who had been subjected to cyberbullying, revealed that 100% of respondents believed that the primary objective of cyberbullying is to inflict harm upon the victim and to destabilize them psychologically. Moreover, 90% of participants perceived such behavior as an act that engenders feelings of threat and fear.

The study further indicated that individuals most susceptible to cyberbullying are those characterized by shyness and emotional sensitivity, representing 60% of the sample. Additionally, 50% noted that cyberbullies are often anonymous individuals who use pseudonyms rather than their real names. The most prevalent forms of cyberbullying within school environments were identified as privacy violations and defamation, accounting for

30%, followed by threats and insults at 20%, and sexual harassment at 10%. The researcher confirmed that 98% of cyberbullying victims experience depression, while 60% report suicidal ideation (Fariha Mohamed Karim, 2020, pp. 38-41)

Furthermore, a report by Echorouk newspaper, which included interviews with specialists and presented statistics on the phenomenon, revealed that 51% of respondents perceive cyberbullying as significantly more severe than traditional bullying. Additionally, 38% of adolescents do not disclose their experiences of cyberbullying to their guardians, while 43% reported feeling incapable of helping peers who have faced such challenges (www.echoroukonline.com)

From statistics reported by Elmaouid newspaper, drawing on expert insights, it was noted that one in three victims of bullying suffer from severe psychological harm, and one in ten victims attempt suicide. Moreover, one in two victims refrain from disclosing these experiences, often due to fear of repercussions, social embarrassment, stigma, or lack of trust in legal frameworks(elmaouid.dz)

- **Regional Case Studies:**

- **Jordan:** Jaradat's (2008) study found 18.9% of students classified as perpetrators, 10.25% as victims, 1.5% as both, and 69.4% as neutral.

- **Saudi Arabia:** Al-Zahrani's study of 287 university students revealed 27% engaged in cyberbullying at least once, while 57% witnessed peers being targeted. Male students perpetrated cyberbullying more frequently, often targeting strangers met online.

- **Institutional and Demographic Factors:**

- **School Quality:**

- UK research indicates lower cyberbullying rates in "outstanding" schools compared to "good" schools.

- **Age and Education Level:** Prevalence peaks in high schools. A Boston-based survey of 17 high schools documented a rise in victimization from 15% to 21% over six years.

- **Behavioral and Emotional Disorders:**

- A Jordanian study (Al-Maqableen, Younes, & Al-Hiary, 2018) of 117 students with behavioral/emotional disorders found high cyberbullying levels (arithmetic mean = 77.3), with males and students over 14 disproportionately represented.

- **Parental and Familial Influences:**

- Rao et al. (2019) linked all cyberbullying forms among 2,590 Chinese students to poor parental competence, authoritarian discipline, and internet/gaming addiction.
- Al-Sofi and Al-Malki (2012) identified statistically significant correlations between cyberbullying and parenting styles (neglect, permissiveness, authoritarianism) and negative associations with assertive or inconsistent parenting.
- Sahloul, Al-Haddad, Hamdan, and Abu Shanab (2018) attributed high cyberbullying in Saudi high schools to familial disintegration, low parental education, and maladaptive socialization practices (www.qscience.com).

8. The Role of Algerian Legislation in Combating Cyberbullying

Under Article 6, Paragraph 3 of Presidential Decree 15-261, the National Agency for Information Security (ANSI) is tasked with:

- Conducting **preventive surveillance of electronic communications** to detect ICT-related crimes, authorized by written judicial warrants and under judicial oversight;
- Transmitting data obtained through surveillance to judicial authorities and law enforcement agencies;
- Collecting, centralizing, and analyzing intelligence to identify ICT-related crimes;
- Automatically or upon request, providing judicial authorities and law enforcement with evidence related to such crimes;
- Executing foreign judicial assistance requests within its mandate and gathering data to locate the perpetrators of ICT-related crimes (Official Gazette of the Algerian Republic, 2009, p. 8).

Law 09-04 introduced **procedural adaptations** to address the technical nature of cybercrimes. Article 13 mandates the activation and coordination mechanisms to prevent and combat ICT-related crimes, emphasizing that investigative bodies should employ the same technologies used by perpetrators to counter such offenses (Alla Nadir, 2022, pp. 429–430).

Penalties Under Algerian Law

- **Imprisonment:** Ranging from two months to three years, depending on the severity of the act.
- **Fines:** From 50,000 to 500,000 Algerian dinars (DZD), scaled according to the offense (e.g., unauthorized access, data tampering). Penalties are doubled if acts result in the deletion or alteration of organizational data.
- **Supplementary Sanctions:** Confiscation: Hardware, software, and tools used in cyber-crimes, while safeguarding third-party rights. Closure of Establishments: Permanent shutdown of websites or physical spaces (e.g., cybercafés) implicated in cyber-crimes, provided owners had prior knowledge of illicit activities (Alla Nadir, 2022, p. 430).

9. Global Legislative Frameworks Combating Cyberbullying:

Egypt:

The Egyptian Constitution of 2014 enshrines citizens' rights to dignity and protection from all forms of abuse, including bullying. Article 51 asserts, "Human dignity is an inviolable right, and the state shall safeguard and respect it." Article 53 emphasizes equality, prohibiting discrimination based on religion, belief, gender, origin, race, language, disability, social class, or political affiliation, and criminalizes incitement to hatred. Despite these constitutional guarantees, Egypt lacks a precise, codified legal framework specifically targeting cyberbullying, leaving judicial rulings ambiguous and inconsistent in addressing such cases (Parlmany, n.d.).

United Arab Emirates (UAE) and Gulf States

The UAE has enacted robust cybersecurity laws criminalizing privacy violations and cyberbullying. Federal Decree-Law No. 5 of 2012 stipulates imprisonment for at least six months and fines ranging from 150,000 to 500,000 AED (approx. 40,833–40,833–136,111)

for unauthorized capture, dissemination, or manipulation of private images or data, even if factual. Harsher penalties (minimum one-year imprisonment and fines up to 500,000 AED) apply to digital content intended to defame or harass. These measures reflect the state's commitment to safeguarding digital privacy and deterring cyber aggression (Almrsal, n.d.).

Oman:

Oman's Cybercrime Law (Article 16) penalizes cyberbullying, including online defamation and slander, with up to three years' imprisonment and fines of 5,000 OMR (approx. 13,000). *Proposed amendments aim to escalate penalties to one-year imprisonment and fines up to 300,000 yen (approx.2,235)*, signaling a stricter stance against digital harassment (Atheer, n.d.; Mafahem, n.d.).

10. Impacts of Cyberbullying on Victims: A Multidimensional Analysis:

Cyberbullying engenders pervasive psychological, emotional, and physiological consequences, often exacerbating victims' vulnerability within both private and public spheres. The effects, which may persist long-term, manifest across several domains:

10-1-Psychological and Emotional Consequences:

- Cognitive Distress: Victims report heightened feelings of embarrassment, anger, and shame, alongside a loss of interest in previously cherished activities (UNICEF, n.d.).
- Social Withdrawal: Fear of disclosure—rooted in concerns over parental reprisal (e.g., confiscation of digital devices) or escalation of abuse—often silences victims, perpetuating cycles of isolation (Al-Atel & Al-Ajmi, 2021, pp. 228–229).
- Erosion of Trust: Persistent cyberbullying fosters generalized mistrust and suspicion toward others, undermining social cohesion.

10-2-Physiological and Behavioral Impacts:

- Somatic Symptoms: Chronic stress manifests as insomnia, headaches, and gastrointestinal disturbances.
- Academic Decline: Impaired concentration and reduced educational attainment are frequently observed among adolescent victims.

10-3-Long-Term Mental Health Risks:

- Psychiatric Disorders: Anxiety, depression, and suicidal ideation are disproportionately prevalent, compounded by feelings of humiliation and desires for retaliation (Digital Wellbeing Initiative, n.d.).
- Social Alienation: Victims experience profound loneliness and self-estrangement, often internalizing stigma associated with victimhood.

11. Practical Solutions to Combat Cyberbullying

- Family-Centered Interventions: Families, as the *primary agents of socialization*, must prioritize educating children on adaptive problem-solving strategies to navigate life's challenges without resorting to extreme reactions. This includes fostering resilience and ethical digital citizenship.
- Strengthening Socialization Institutions: Universities and media outlets should actively cultivate values of tolerance and acceptance toward ideological diversity, countering polarization through public awareness campaigns.

- University-Led Awareness Programs: Academic institutions must implement seminars, workshops, and conferences to educate students about cyberbullying risks and mitigation strategies.
- Ideological Safeguarding: Schools and parents must collaborate to immunize students against deviant ideologies and monitor the expression of prejudiced views in familial settings.
- Socioeconomic Engagement: Governments and NGOs should create youth employment opportunities to reduce idle time and mitigate prolonged exposure to social media platforms.
- Institutional Cyberbullying Protocols: Universities should develop evidence-based strategies to train students in responding to cyberbullying incidents, including reporting mechanisms and peer support systems.
- Psychological Support Systems: Embedding mental health professionals within universities is critical to address students' exposure to stressors that may reinforce rigid cognitive frameworks and maladaptive behaviors. Therapeutic interventions can promote flexibility and adaptive coping mechanisms (Ben Haif, 2021, p. 467).
- TikTok has introduced a novel feature enabling users of its short-video platform to block multiple accounts and remove harmful comments. Registered users can now select up to 100 comments for deletion or reporting, in addition to blocking up to 100 accounts simultaneously to mitigate harassment (Aune, 2009, pp. 17–18).
- Other platforms, including Instagram and YouTube, have implemented analogous technologies to preemptively flag offensive comments and cyberbullying attempts. YouTube, for instance, has leveraged AI-driven algorithms to combat harassment, testing a new filter to automatically quarantine potentially abusive comments for review. Similarly, Instagram employs advanced AI to detect bullying language in comments, images, and videos, either restricting their visibility or removing them entirely. The platform now utilizes real-time AI analysis to alert users if their draft comments contain cyberbullying content, thereby preemptively screening content prior to publication.
-
- Willard (2006) emphasizes the critical role of schools, parents, and students in preventing and intervening in cyberbullying. Proposed strategies include raising awareness, equipping stakeholders with response protocols, and issuing formal warnings to perpetrators and their guardians regarding legal and disciplinary consequences (m.annabaa.org).
- Another notable initiative is the Netsmartz program, launched by Compaq in collaboration with the Boys & Girls Clubs of America (BGCA) and the National Center for Missing & Exploited Children (NCMEC). This program has reached over 3.3 million youths through interactive modules and activities designed to foster responsible internet use and enhance online safety. Netsmartz received commendation from then-Vice President Joseph Biden, who facilitated funding for the initiative (Aune, 2009, p. 19).

12. Study Recommendations:

Addressing cyberbullying necessitates a multifaceted approach informed by sociocultural considerations and systemic interventions. The following recommendations integrate individual, institutional, and legal strategies to mitigate this pervasive issue:

- **Legislative Frameworks:** Develop a comprehensive legal framework regulating internet use, particularly on social media platforms. Existing laws must undergo periodic revisions to align with rapid technological advancements, as legislative inertia risks exacerbating systemic vulnerabilities (Aune, 2009; Willard, 2006).
- **Proportional Sanctions:** Implement strict penalties commensurate with the enduring psychological, social, and physical harm inflicted by cyberbullying. Sentencing should reflect the long-term repercussions on victims, acknowledging the indelible nature of digital harm.
- **Legal Literacy Initiatives:** Enhance public awareness of cyberbullying laws and digital rights through educational campaigns. Victimization often stems from legal illiteracy; thus, accessible resources must delineate reporting protocols and pathways to justice via designated legal authorities.
- **Data Privacy Measures:** Restrict access to personal information on social media by employing stringent privacy settings, thereby minimizing exposure to malicious actors.
- **Platform Accountability:** Scrutinize and leverage platform-specific regulatory frameworks (e.g., community guidelines) to hold perpetrators accountable. Victims should familiarize themselves with jurisdictional policies to pursue litigation against cyberbullies.
- **Victim Empowerment:** Encourage victims to assert legal and psychological agency by refusing to capitulate to aggressors. Public displays of resilience disrupt cycles of abuse and resist victim-blaming narratives.
- **Preventative Blocking:** Utilize platform-native reporting mechanisms to block perpetrators immediately. While temporary, this measure disrupts harassment vectors and mitigates immediate harm.
- **Criminal Reporting Protocols:** Systematically document incidents (e.g., screenshot evidence) and report cybercrimes—including threats, defamation, or extortion—to law enforcement. Legal recourse requires admissible forensic evidence to prosecute offenders effectively.
- **Avoid Engagement in Bullying Dynamics:** Refrain from participating in cyberbullying cycles by critically evaluating content before posting messages, sharing videos, or endorsing harmful material through likes or shares.
- **Regulate Digital Engagement:** Proactive management of social media usage not only mitigates exposure to cyberbullying but also reduces digital-induced stress and anxiety.
- **Minimize Oversharing:** Limiting the disclosure of personal life details on social networks diminishes vulnerabilities to cyberbullying. Oversharing provides fodder for harassment, as seemingly innocuous posts may later be weaponized to embarrass or target individuals.

recommended to mitigate the risks associated with cyberbullying and the misuse of digital technologies

Parental control over screen time: It is essential for parents and guardians to establish clear boundaries by regulating the amount of time their children spend on electronic devices. This practice not only helps in promoting healthy digital habits but also reduces exposure to potential online threats

Awareness and open communication: Promoting awareness is crucial, particularly by encouraging parents—especially mothers and fathers—to foster an environment where children feel comfortable sharing their experiences outside the home. This openness enables

parents to respond promptly in cases where their children encounter cyberbullying, the .dissemination of personal images, or online threats

Active monitoring: Parents should actively supervise their children's use of electronic - devices. Such oversight is vital in detecting early signs of problematic online behavior or .exposure to harmful content, thereby facilitating timely intervention

Dialogue and digital literacy: Engaging children in ongoing conversations about the - responsible use of technology, its potential risks, and drawbacks is fundamental. Parental guidance in developing digital literacy and critical thinking skills equips children with the tools to navigate the digital environment .safely

Educational system involvement: The educational system must play an active role in - combating cyberbullying by integrating relevant curriculum components. This includes courses on digital citizenship, ethics of technology use, and similar subjects that empower students with the knowledge and ethical frameworks necessary for responsible digital engagement. Such academic interventions represent an integral part of a comprehensive .solution to the phenomenon

Conclusion:

The preceding analysis underscores how the escalating reliance on digital communication has amplified societal anxieties, particularly due to the proliferation of cyberbullying—a challenge exacerbated by the digital age. This phenomenon demands sustained vigilance, as its psychological and social ramifications often remain obscured despite their enduring impact. Unlike traditional bullying, which manifests through direct physical or verbal aggression, cyberbullying operates within the opaque confines of digital spaces—texts, apps, social media platforms, and online gaming communities—where perpetrators can anonymize their identities and magnify harm.

Cyberbullying's insidious nature lies in its capacity to inflict *invisible yet persistent psychological trauma* on victims, often through the dissemination of private or fabricated content designed to humiliate or isolate. Its detection and mitigation are further complicated by the lack of clear legal frameworks to penalize offenders and victims' limited awareness of recourse mechanisms. Consequently, preemptive caution—such as understanding platform policies and documenting incidents—becomes essential in navigating digital ecosystems where legislative and institutional safeguards remain underdeveloped.

References:

- Al-Atel, M., & Al-Ajmi, M. A. (2021). Cyberbullying among students of the College of Basic Education in Kuwait and its relationship to some variables. *Journal of Studies and Educational Research*, 1(2), 219–254. http://search.shamaa.org/PDF/Articles/KUJsere/JsereVol1No2Y2021/jsere_2021-v1-n2_219-254.pdf11/06/2024/15:00
- Al3loom. (n.d.). The concept of problem-solving in teaching and its types. Retrieved May 31, 2023, from <https://al3loom.com/> 17:13/2024/06/11/المفهوم-حل-المشكلات-في-التدريس-وأنواعها/
- Aune, N. M. (2009). Cyberbullying [Master's thesis, University of Wisconsin-Stout]. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/132195235.pdf>12/06/2024/02:00
- Al-Najjar, S. F. (2020). The crime of cyberbullying. *Academic Journal of Legal Research*, 11(4), 144. <https://www.asjp.cerist.dz/en/downArticle/72/11/4/13995610/06/2024/23:110>
- Barrama, S. (2021). The legal framework for protecting children from cyber violence between the ambiguity of the concept and the problem of impunity. *Journal of Comparative Legal Studies*, 7(2), 1470. <https://www.asjp.cerist.dz/en/downArticle/141/7/2/17336912/06/2024/18:10>
- Ben Haif, K., & Al-Raqqas, K. (2021). Cyberbullying and its relationship to the tendency towards extremism among a sample of university students. *Arab Journal for Scientific Publishing*, 29, 467. <https://m.annabaa.org/arabic/informatics/2822812/06/2024/00:00>
- Bourhali, W., & Ghazal, A. R. (2021). Cyberbullying behavior among children as a new form of induction. *Journal of the Mosque in Psychological Studies and Educational Sciences*, 6(2), 1191. <https://www.asjp.cerist.dz/en/downArticle/309/6/2/16810412/06/2024/22:11>Journal of Internet Services and Trust. (2020). 6. <https://doi.org/10.5339/jist.2020.610/06/2024/20:18>
- Cowie, H. (2013). Cyberbullying and its impact on young people's emotional health and wellbeing. *The Psychiatrist*, 37(5), 167–170. <https://doi.org/10.1192/pb.bp.112.04084010/06/2024/20:00>
- Farhangpour, P., Maluleke, C., & Mutshaeni, H. N. (n.d.). Emotional and academic effects of cyberbullying on students in a rural high school in the Limpopo province, South Africa. *South African Journal of Information Management*, 21(1). <http://www.scielo.org.za/pdf/sajim/v21n1/07.pdf>10/06/2024/20:11
- Hinduja, S., & Patchin, J. W. (2020). Cyberbullying: Identification, prevention, and response (PhD ed.).
- Mohamed, K, F. (2020). Cyberbullying among Adolescents: A Case Study of Algeria. *Journal of Special Education and Rehabilitation*, Vol. 11, Issue 39, Part 02, pp. 28-47
- Nadhir, A. (2022). Requirements for developing strategies to confront cyberbullying to enhance moral and religious intellectual security. *Journal of Exploratory Studies*, 2(5), 430. <https://democraticac.de/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/10/06/2024/20:10>
- Official Gazette of the Algerian Republic. (2009, August 15). 47, 8.
- O'Brien, N., & Moules, T. (2010). The impact of cyber-bullying on young people's mental health. *Anglia Ruskin University*. <https://www2.uwstout.edu/content/lib/thesis/2009/2009aunen.pdf>12/06/2024/22:11
- Phelps, H. A. (1947). *Contemporary social problems* (3rd ed.). Prentice-Hall.

- Smith, P. K. (2008). Cyberbullying: Its nature and impact in secondary school pupils. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 49(4), 376–385. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01846.x>10/06/2024/22:11
- Tala, L. (2021). Cyberbullying: A psycho-sociological impact reading. *Journal of Media and Society*, 5(2), 577. <https://www.asjp.cerist.dz/en/downArticle/496/5/2/18110712/06/2024/19:10>
www.echoroukonline.com/10:11/06/05/2025/ احذروا-التنمر-الإلكتروني-إيمان-جديد-ي
<https://elmaouid.dz/2025/05/05/01:00/06/05/2025/> التنمر-الإلكتروني-خطر-داهم-آخر-يهدد-
/20:11