

Orientalist Discourse in the Work of Palestinian Thinker Edward Said
Dr. Anissa CHERIGUI
University of Tlemcen, Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities,
Department of Philosophy

cherigui1300@gmail.com

Received: 16/03/2026

Accepted: 05/05/2026

Published: 01/10/2026

Abstract:

This article endeavors to analyze the Orientalist discourse as conceptualized by Edward Said, as it seeks to illuminate the role this discourse has played throughout the many centuries of Islamic civilization, whether that role is perceived as positive or negative.

From Edward Said's perspective, Orientalist discourse constitutes a fundamental subject of his seminal 1978 work, *Orientalism*; within this volume, Said presents a profound critique of Western practices and discourses that have engaged with the East, particularly the Arab and Islamic world, through the lens of Orientalism.

Keywords: Discourse, Orientalism, the East, the West, Arabs, Islam.

Introduction:

The intricate and often fraught relationship between the Orient and the West remains a perennial focus of scholarly inquiry, representing a complex nexus where epistemic frameworks, religious traditions, and political and economic interests converge and clash, as this dynamic, historically characterized by a power imbalance, has been extensively scrutinized, not least by the Orientalists whose very field of study became the subject of a profound critique. It was within this contentious intellectual landscape that the Palestinian-American thinker Edward Said emerged, delivering a seismic intervention with his 1978 monograph, *Orientalism: Western Conceptions of the Orient*, where the publication resonated far beyond academic circles, fundamentally recalibrating how we understand the processes of representation, knowledge production, and cultural domination. Said's work compellingly argued that Orientalism was not merely an objective academic discipline but a pervasive discursive tradition—a corporate institution for authorizing statements about the East, which had historically served to justify colonial and imperial ambitions; consequently, the book precipitated a paradigm shift, challenging entrenched methodologies and inspiring a new generation of postcolonial scholarship dedicated to deconstructing hegemonic narratives and amplifying subaltern voices. Its enduring legacy is confirmed by its unwavering status as a foundational text, continuously dissected in global academia and instrumental in imparting a radically new and critically aware image to the enduring phenomenon of Orientalism.

Problem Statement:

On this basis, the central problem of this article is constructed around the following pivotal question: How did the philosopher Edward Said view Orientalism?

From this primary question, the following sub-questions emerge:

- What is meant by 'discourse'?
- What is the concept of Orientalism?
- What are the constituent elements of the Orientalist discourse according to Edward Said?

1. The Concept of Discourse

Defining Discourse: Linguistically and Terminologically

The term "discourse" finds its etymological roots in the Arabic verb "khāṭaba", signifying the act of addressing, engaging in speech, or holding conversation with a rational and comprehending interlocutor. This foundational meaning underscores the interactive and intentional nature of discourse as a form of communication directed toward an understanding mind, meaning to direct speech toward a rational being capable of understanding; it is communication intended for comprehension. The phrase "the decisive discourse" (faṣl al-khiṭāb) denotes the distinction between truth and falsehood, as some scholars equate discourse with text or utterance, while others distinguish it from mere expression.¹

Within the historical context of philosophy, the concept of discourse (logos) in the works of Plato and Aristotle was associated with reason and logic, serving as a means to attain truth through dialogue and demonstration. In modern philosophy, for instance in Descartes, discourse signifies organized thinking leading to truth, as exemplified in his *Discourse on the Method*; whereas Hegel and Kant linked it to reason and the thinking subject, viewing it as a reflection of self-consciousness and intellectual history.

In contemporary philosophy, Michel Foucault defined it as an instrument of power and knowledge; whereby discourse—such as medical or religious discourse—produces "truths" that govern individuals according to prevailing power relations. From another perspective, Jacques Derrida viewed discourse not as stable, but as open to interpretation and difference, through his concept of "deconstruction".

2. The Concept of Orientalism

2.1 Linguistic and Etymological Foundations:

The term "Orientalism" originates from the Latin *oriens*, meaning "the rising sun" or "the east». This stands in direct opposition to the "Occident" (from *occidens*, "the setting sun"), a dichotomy that has historically framed the Western world's geographical and cultural imagination², as the word itself entered English usage in the late 18th century, precisely as formal academic interest in Asia and the Middle East was coalescing in European institutions.³

It is instructive to note that other European languages reflected similar metaphors; German scholars, for instance, used *Morgenland* ("Land of the Morning") to signify the East, contrasting it with *Abendland* ("Land of the Evening"). While "Orient" and "East" are often used interchangeably, the former frequently carries a heavier load of historical and imaginative baggage, whereas the latter can function as a more neutral geographical marker, thus a "Western Orientalist" emerged as the term for a scholar, typically based in Europe or North America, who specialized in the languages, histories, and cultures of these Eastern regions.⁴

3. Academic Definitions and Evolution:

As a formal field of study, Orientalism can be defined as a Western academic discipline dedicated to the research and teaching of the languages, literatures, religions, laws, and histories of Asian and Middle Eastern societies, where Scholar Robert Irwin characterizes it historically as "the work of a heterogeneous group of Western scholars... who have attempted to interpret the Middle East for the West".⁵

This enterprise was never monolithic in its purpose. Some practitioners, particularly in the 19th century, approached it through a predominantly philological lens, viewing the meticulous study of ancient texts as the core of the discipline; for them, it was a science of language and historical recovery. Others, however, conceived of it more broadly as the study of civilizational exchange and mutual influence between East and West.⁶

The scope of the field was vast and often fragmented, leading to specializations like Arabist, Indologist, or Sinologist, as the central figure was the Orientalist—a Western academic who had mastered the relevant languages and applied the methodologies of Western scholarship to Eastern source materials.⁷

The critical turning point in understanding this term came with Edward Said's 1978 work, *Orientalism*, as Said argued that beyond being an academic field, Orientalism was a potent "corporate institution for dealing with the Orient"—a Western style for dominating, restructuring, and having authority over it.⁸ From this perspective, the knowledge produced by Orientalism was not neutral; it was deeply enmeshed with imperial power and the project of defining the "Orient" as the West's static, exotic, and inferior "Other".⁹

In conclusion, Orientalism must be understood in its duality: it was, on one hand, a genuine scholarly pursuit that generated a vast body of knowledge about Eastern societies; and on the other, a pervasive discourse that reflected and reinforced power dynamics, shaping how the West saw the East and, ultimately, how the East often came to see itself.¹⁰ Its prominence in the 18th and 19th centuries was fueled by colonial expansion, geopolitical strategy, and an intellectual curiosity that was never entirely separate from the impulse to classify, control, and possess.¹¹

4. Edward Said: Life and Legacy

Edward Said was born on November 1, 1935, in Jerusalem, into a Christian family of Palestinian-American descent on his father's side and Palestinian-Lebanese descent on his mother's, where he was raised and spent his formative years in Cairo before emigrating to the United States, where he later gained citizenship. His childhood was divided between Jerusalem and Cairo, a period of movement that ended with the 1948 Arab-Israeli war; this pivotal event precipitated his permanent relocation to Cairo, and shortly thereafter, at the age of fifteen, he moved with his family to the United States.

He earned his Bachelor's degree from Princeton University in 1957, followed by a Master's in 1960, and ultimately a doctorate in Comparative Literature and Literary Criticism from Harvard University in 1963, and had joined Columbia University in 1963, where he served as a professor of English and Comparative Literature until he died in 2003.

Edward Said is widely regarded as a foundational figure in postcolonial studies; he was also an outspoken advocate for the human rights of the Palestinian people, so much so that the writer Robert Fisk described him as "the most powerful voice for the Palestinians"; he achieved widespread fame with his revolutionary 1978 work, *Orientalism*, which the British *The Guardian* ranked among the 100 greatest non-fiction books; this volume is considered an essential reference in the field of postcolonial studies.

His other notable publications include his autobiography, *Out of Place* (2000); *Beginnings: Intention and Method* (1974), which outlines his theoretical foundations

for literary criticism; *The World, the Text, and the Critic* (1983), a work that represented a qualitative shift in contemporary literary theory; and *Representations of the Intellectual* (1994), a series of lectures originally delivered for the BBC, as he also demonstrated a deep engagement with music, evidenced in his writing, particularly in *Musical Elaborations* (1991).

On the academic front, Said held numerous prestigious positions; he was a visiting professor at Harvard (1974), a fellow at the Center for Advanced Study at Stanford (1976), and an associate professor at Columbia (1977). He later held the Old Dominion Foundation Professorship in the Humanities and taught at other eminent institutions such as Johns Hopkins and Yale. In 1992, he received the highest academic rank at Columbia University, being named a University Professor. Furthermore, he served as president of the Modern Language Association, was an editor for the *Arab Studies Journal*, and held memberships in the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and PEN International.

Edward Said was the recipient of many distinguished awards, including:¹²

- The Prince of Asturias Award for Concord (2002)
- The Anisfield-Wolf Book Award (2000)
- The Nonino International Prize (1998)
- The American Book Award (1998)
- The Guggenheim Fellowship (1972)
- Harvard's Bowdoin Prize
- The René Wellek Prize from the American Comparative Literature Association

Edward Said died in a New York City hospital in 2003 after a long struggle with leukemia.

Orientalism remains Edward Said's most prominent intellectual contribution, a work translated into Arabic on three separate occasions, where the book's paramount importance lies in its establishment of a critical methodology that exposed the cultural mechanisms Western academia employed to represent the East, as it instigated a paradigm shift within the humanities by revealing the condescending practices and latent biases of Western intellectuals towards Eastern civilizations. Furthermore, the work played a pivotal role in redefining concepts of power and cultural hegemony,

ultimately exerting a profound influence on subsequent scholarship concerning marginalized groups, race, and gender studies.¹³

5. Evaluating the book and its impact

The philosopher Noam Chomsky described the book as "possessing remarkable originality and profound insight," noting that it is "a path-breaking study of the way the Others—in this case, the peoples of the East—are represented, not merely in Western academic writing, but in the general Western culture", where Chomsky adds, "What this book did was to open the window, at least for some, to the idea of scrutinizing their own prejudices, convictions, and negative beliefs».

Reflecting on his work, Edward Said himself explained: «This book grew in ways I had never experienced, and then it suddenly became something larger; it transformed into the entire history of how the Other is represented, and I believe it was one of the first books to attempt this».¹⁴ Said emphasized that the book was not merely an intellectual exercise but also encompassed "the clichés and stereotypes utilized by colonial powers to impose their dominance over colonized societies».

6. Orientalist Discourse from Edward Said's Perspective

According to Edward Said, Orientalist discourse is not limited to being a purely academic study of the East; rather, it constitutes a comprehensive epistemological system shaped within a specific political, cultural, and colonial context. It represents a method of constructing a stereotypical image of the "Orient" as different, backward, and dangerous, positioned in opposition to the "West", which is portrayed as rational, advanced, and civilized.¹⁵

Said views Orientalism as simultaneously a political and cultural structure. It is political in the sense that the individual efforts of Orientalists, however dispersed, remain laden with their national and political identities when they study colonies belonging to their own countries. In this way, Edward Said helped solidify the foundations of cultural criticism and paved the way for the emergence of what is known as colonial critique or postcolonial studies.¹⁶

A prominent manifestation of this approach has been the re-examination of the colonial legacy by peoples of formerly colonized countries, evident in their literatures and in new fields of critical inquiry. Across the Third World, studies have proliferated that discuss the underlying cultural attitudes these populations hold toward themselves—often an inferiority complex stemming from their inherited colonial baggage.

Said defines Orientalism as "a style of discourse, a manner of thinking and speaking, supported by institutions, vocabularies, scholarly research, imagery, doctrines, and even colonial bureaucracies and styles".¹⁷

Foundations of the Study of Orientalism According to Said

In his study of the structure of Orientalism, Edward Said focused on clear foundations, which can be summarized as follows:

- **The Internal Consistency of Orientalist Discourse:** Said is concerned with the coherence of Orientalism's intellectual system and its images of the East, regardless of their correspondence to actual reality. This is encapsulated in his statement: "Disraeli considered the Orient as a particular field of activity, a craft or profession, irrespective of any correspondence with the real East".¹⁸
- **The Relationship of Power and Domination:** The relationship between East and West is studied as one of hegemony; the West "Orientalized" the East, defining the differences between these two imagined constructs. In this context, Said cites Karl Marx's telling phrase: "They cannot represent themselves; they must be represented." This idea forms a central thread running throughout the entire book *Orientalism*.¹⁹

Thus, Orientalism becomes a mode of thinking based on an ontological and epistemological distinction between the so-called Orient and Occident; a significant number of thinkers, writers, philosophers, and political and economic theorists accepted this distinction as a starting point for developing elaborate theories about the world.²⁰

7. Elements of Orientalist Discourse According to Edward Said

Edward Said identifies the essential elements of Orientalist discourse in the following points:

- The East as an Object of Domination: Orientalism was not a neutral, objective study but rather an effective instrument in the hands of Western powers for extending control and influence; "knowledge about the Orient" was used as a means to justify political and cultural domination over it.
- The Antithetical "Us" vs. "Them" Binary: Orientalist discourse is founded on a sharp binary that presents "Us" (the West) as representative of reason, science, progress, and freedom, in contrast to "Them" (the East), who are portrayed as a realm of emotion, mystery, despotism, and backwardness. This binary was not innocent; it was used as an ideological tool to justify intervention in the affairs of the East.
- The Persistence of Stereotypes: Despite changing historical contexts, Orientalist discourse maintained fixed stereotypes about the East; in Western literature and art, the Oriental continued to be depicted as a sensual, lazy, savage, and irrational being.
- Discourse as a Practice of Power: Drawing on Michel Foucault's ideas concerning the relationship between discourse and power, Said argues that Orientalism did not merely describe the East but actively contributed to "producing" and "inventing" it as a concept that served Western purposes.
- The Organic Link to Colonialism: Orientalism was a fundamental partner in the European colonial project; the knowledge produced about the East provided the intellectual and cultural pretexts that helped justify occupation.

8. Said's Critique of the Orientalists

Edward Said believed that "Orientalism was, at its core, a political vision of reality whose structure promoted the intellectual distinction between the familiar (Europe, the West, 'us') and the strange (the Orient, 'them')", as he argues that this manufactured vision preceded actual reality, thereby creating two separate worlds: one for the Orientals and another for the Westerners.

Said does not deny that some individual Orientalists had good intentions or deep knowledge; however, he insists that the Orientalist discourse in its entirety was an ideological one that served the interests of Western hegemony. It produced a distorted

knowledge that contributed to perpetuating the unequal power relationship between the West and the East.

William Hart notes that Said's book "redirected Western academic studies", particularly among scholars critical of American and European foreign policies, where thinkers such as Rana Kabbani and Mohamed Taha have adopted Said's ideas; the latter, for instance, conducted a critical study of Western travelers who came to the East under the guise of scientific study while their intentions were laden with hidden agendas.

In contrast, Robert Irwin viewed the book as having had a "catastrophic effect on Western Orientalist studies", accusing it of reaching unclear conclusions, as this stance was also adopted by Albert Hourani and Aijaz Ahmad, who accused Said of reverse racism and of harboring a preconceived hostility toward Orientalists.

9. Conclusion:

Edward Said's Orientalism endures not merely as a text but as a foundational epistemological rupture within the humanities, as its profound legacy lies in its unflinching exposition of the intricate symbiosis between knowledge and power, demonstrating with formidable erudition how Western scholarship, literature, and policy collectively manufactured a monolithic and manageable "Orient". This constructed entity served as a permanent "Other," against which the West could define its own identity as rational, advanced, and civilized, where Said's genius was in tracing the internal coherence of this discourse, revealing how it operated as a self-perpetuating system supported by academic institutions, governmental bureaucracies, and cultural productions; consequently, he transformed our understanding of representation from an innocent act of description to a potent act of creation and domination. The work thus irrevocably shattered the illusion of academic neutrality, compelling a generation of scholars to confront the political underpinnings of their disciplines.

The enduring relevance of Said's critique is its call for perpetual intellectual vigilance, as it challenges us to interrogate not only the historical archives of the 19th century but also the contemporary narratives that circulate in our media, our foreign policies, and our globalized culture, because the dichotomies of "East/West" and "us/them," though often more subtly encoded today, continue to inform international relations and cross-cultural perceptions. Therefore, Said's project remains urgently incomplete, inviting ongoing critical engagement to identify and dismantle the neo-Orientalist assumptions that persist in the modern world, and by empowering previously marginalized voices to reclaim their right to self-representation, Orientalism laid the groundwork for the rich, polyphonic dialogues that characterize postcolonial and decolonial studies today, standing as an indispensable guide for any critical effort to imagine a more equitable and intellectually honest global order.

9.1 Recommendations:

In light of these conclusions, the following recommendations are proposed to advance the critical project initiated by Said and to apply its insights constructively:

- **Integrate Critical Pedagogy Across Disciplines:** Academic institutions should actively promote and resource interdisciplinary programs that place postcolonial theory and critical discourse analysis.
- **Implement a Critical Audit of Contemporary Media and Policy Discourses:** Scholars, journalists, and civil society actors should systematically apply a Saidian-inspired critique to modern media representations, political rhetoric, and foreign policy documents.

Through these concerted efforts, the powerful critical vision Edward Said bequeathed can be transformed from a tool of understanding the past into a proactive blueprint for building a more just and representative future.

References

- Edward Said, Natour Centers, Accessed August 29, 2025, at 11:50. <https://natourcenters.com/category>
- Al Jazeera Documentary Channel: "Out of Place.. Edward Said", Television program, 2019, Accessed on August 31, 2025.

- Ibn Manthur, Lisan Al-Arab, Al-Ma'arif house, Cairo.
- Ismail Ali Muhammad, Orientalism Between Truth and Misguidance, Al-Kutub for Publishing and Distribution, 3rd Edition, 2000.
- Saleh Hamad Hassan Al-Ashraf, Orientalism: Its Concept and Effects, First Edition, Saudi Arabia, 1438 H.
- Saleh, Hawida, "A Reading of Edward Said's Book Orientalism", February 15, 2023. <https://www.mominoun.com/articles/>
- Yahya Murad, Dictionary of Orientalists' Names, Arabic Books, No Year, No Edition.

-
- ¹ Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Department of Philosophy, University of Tlemcen, Algeria.
 - ² Ibn Manthur. Lisan al-Arab. Dar al-Ma'arif, Cairo, p. 184.
 - ³ Saleh Hamad Hassan Al-Ashraf. Al-Istishraq: Mafhumuhu wa Atharuhu [Orientalism: Its Concept and Effects]. First Edition, Saudi Arabia, 1438 H, p. 12.
 - ⁴ Saleh Hamad Hassan Al-Ashraf. Al-Istishraq: Mafhumuhu wa Atharuhu [Orientalism: Its Concept and Effects]. First Edition, Saudi Arabia, 1438 H, p. 12.
 - ⁵ Yahya Murad. Mu'jam Asma' al-Mustashriqin [Dictionary of Orientalists' Names]. Arabic Books, No Year, No Edition, p. 7.
 - ⁶ Saleh Hamad Hassan Al-Ashraf. Op. Cit., p. 13.
 - ⁷ Ismail Ali Muhammad. Al-Istishraq bayna al-Haqiqa wa al-Tadleel [Orientalism Between Truth and Misguidance]. Dar al-Kutub for Publishing and Distribution, 3rd Edition, 2000, p. 10.
 - ⁸ Yahya Murad. Mu'jam Asma' al-Mustashriqin, Op. Cit., p. 12.
 - ⁹ Saleh Hamad Hassan Al-Ashraf. Op. Cit., p. 13.
 - ¹⁰ Yahya Murad. Mu'jam Asma' al-Mustashriqin, Op. Cit., p. 8.
 - ¹¹ Ibid., p. 9.
 - ¹² Ibid., p. 10.
 - ¹³ Hawida Saleh. "A Reading of Edward Said's Book Orientalism." <https://www.mominoun.com/articles/>. February 15, 2023.
 - ¹⁴ Al Jazeera Documentary Channel: "Kharij al-Makan.. Edward Said," television program, 2019. Accessed on August 31, 2025.
 - ¹⁵ Hawida Saleh. "A Reading of Edward Said's Book Orientalism", Op. Cit.
 - ¹⁶ Edward Said. Orientalism: Western Concepts of the East, translated by Muhammad Anani, Al-Hindawi Foundation, 2006, p. 24.
 - ¹⁷ Ibid., p. 34.
 - ¹⁸ Hawida Saleh. "A Reading of Edward Said's Book Orientalism", Op. Cit.

¹⁹ Ibid.

²⁰ Edward Said. *Orientalism*, Op. Cit., p. 35.

<https://doc.aljazeera.net/portrait/2021/2/2> - Accessed on September 3, 2025, at 16:00.