

Methodological guidelines for conducting research interviews

Dhahbi Brahim ^{*1} Bouteria bilal²

¹ جامعة الوادي (الجزائر)، dhahbi-brahim@univ-eloued.dz

² جامعة الوادي (الجزائر)، bilal-bouteria@univ-eloued.dz

Received: 16 / 12 /2024

Accepted: 28 / 06 /2025

Published: 08 /10 /2025

ملخص:

المقابلات البحثية هي أدوات متعددة الاستخدامات في البحث النوعي، ولها أنواعا منظمة وشبه منظمة وغير منظمة. تتضمن المقابلات المنظمة الاتساق مع الأسئلة المحددة مسبقاً، في حين توفر المقابلات شبه المنظمة المرونة لاستكشاف الموضوعات المعقدة. المقابلات غير المنظمة، مثالية للدراسات الاستكشافية، تشجع الحوار المفتوح. حيث يتضمن التحضير للمقابلات تحديد الأهداف وتصميم دليل فعال والأخذ في الحسبان الاعتبارات الأخلاقية، مثل الموافقة المستنيرة والسرية. أثناء المقابلات، يضمن بناء التفاهم والاستماع النشط وإدارة التحيزات العمق والأصالة في جمع البيانات. تتطلب التحديات مثل تردد المشاركين والمناقشات العاطفية والقضايا الفنية القدرة على التكيف والتعاطف. تشمل المزالق الشائعة الأسئلة الموجهة والالتزام الصارم بالإرشادات وإهمال تجريب الأداة. تخفف الاستراتيجيات الفعالة من هذه المشكلات، وتعزز الموثوقية والثراء. من خلال إتقان هذه الجوانب، يمكن للباحثين اكتشاف رؤى دقيقة ودعم المعايير الأخلاقية، مما يساهم في التوصل إلى نتائج نوعية قوية.

الكلمات المفتاحية : مقابلات البحث، دليل المقابلة، الاعتبارات الأخلاقية.

Abstract:

Research interviews are versatile tools in qualitative research, encompassing structured, semi-structured, and unstructured formats. Structured interviews ensure consistency with pre-determined questions, while semi-structured ones offer flexibility to explore complex topics. Unstructured interviews, ideal for exploratory studies, encourage open dialogue. Preparing for interviews involves defining objectives, designing an effective guide, and addressing ethical considerations, such as informed consent and confidentiality. During interviews, building rapport, active listening, and managing biases ensure depth and authenticity in data collection. Challenges like participant reluctance, emotional discussions, and technical issues require adaptability and empathy. Common pitfalls include leading questions, rigid adherence to guides, and neglecting pilot testing. Effective strategies mitigate these issues, enhancing reliability and richness. By mastering these aspects, researchers can uncover nuanced insights and uphold ethical standards, contributing to robust qualitative findings.

Keywords: Research interviews, interview guide, ethical considerations

I .Types of Research Interviews

Research interviews are a cornerstone of qualitative research, offering diverse approaches tailored to the study's objectives. **Structured interviews** rely on a set of pre-determined questions, ensuring consistency and comparability of responses. This type is ideal for studies requiring standardized data collection, such as surveys assessing customer satisfaction or evaluating the effectiveness of training programs (Bryman, 2016). For instance, structured interviews can be used in large-scale public health studies to gather demographic and behavioral data systematically. In contrast, **semi-structured interviews** blend the rigor of structure with the adaptability of open-ended questioning, enabling researchers to explore topics more deeply while maintaining focus. These are commonly employed in qualitative research to probe complex social phenomena, such as examining the experiences of teachers during curriculum reforms or exploring consumer behavior patterns (Creswell & Poth, 2018). A researcher might use a semi-structured approach to study patients' coping strategies with chronic illness, allowing for rich, nuanced responses. **Unstructured interviews**, however, are entirely exploratory, featuring open-ended formats that facilitate spontaneous dialogue. They are particularly suited for exploratory studies where little is known about the subject, such as understanding indigenous knowledge systems or capturing the life histories of marginalized communities (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015). For example, an anthropologist investigating cultural rituals might use unstructured interviews to gather detailed narratives. These three types of research interviews, each with distinct features and applications, provide invaluable tools for uncovering the complexities of human experiences. By aligning the interview type with the research purpose, scholars can ensure both depth and reliability in their findings.

II .Preparing for Interviews

1. Defining objectives

Defining clear objectives is essential for designing interview questions that align with research goals, as it ensures that the data collected is relevant and meaningful. The objectives serve as a guiding framework, linking the broader aims of the study to specific, measurable outcomes. According to Bryman (2016), well-defined objectives help researchers maintain focus and avoid collecting unnecessary or irrelevant data. When crafting interview questions, researchers must ensure that each question addresses a particular aspect of the research objectives, whether it is exploring behaviors, attitudes, or perceptions. For instance, if the goal is to understand the impact of remote work on employee productivity, questions should target specific productivity metrics and contextual factors, such as work-life balance or technological challenges. Creswell and Poth (2018) emphasize the importance of pilot testing interview questions to ensure

clarity, relevance, and alignment with objectives. Moreover, the phrasing of questions should be neutral and open-ended to elicit comprehensive responses while minimizing bias. For example, instead of asking, "Do you find remote work challenging?" a more aligned question could be, "How has remote work influenced your daily routines and productivity?" Aligning questions with objectives also requires sensitivity to the cultural and contextual nuances of the study population, which can enhance the reliability and richness of the data (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015). By systematically mapping questions to research goals, scholars can ensure that interviews yield actionable insights, contributing to the study's validity and overall success.

2. Developing a Guide:

Creating an effective interview guide is essential for gathering insightful and reliable information during qualitative research. A well-structured guide begins with establishing clear objectives, ensuring that each question aligns with the study's purpose (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Open-ended questions are a cornerstone, as they allow participants to express their thoughts freely and provide richer, more nuanced data (Patton, 2015). Questions should avoid leading language to prevent bias and encourage authentic responses (Rubin & Rubin, 2012). Using a mix of grand tour questions, which elicit broad narratives, and specific probes helps maintain a balance between depth and focus (Spradley, 1979). Organizing questions in a logical sequence, starting with general topics before diving into sensitive or specific issues, builds rapport and fosters trust (Seidman, 2019). Including follow-up prompts ensures flexibility, enabling interviewers to explore unanticipated but relevant themes (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015). Pilot testing the guide is critical for refining clarity and identifying potential issues (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Ensuring questions are culturally and contextually appropriate helps avoid misunderstandings and enhances data quality (Bernard, 2017). The language used should be simple and accessible, tailored to the participant's background (Patton, 2015). The guide should also allocate time for informal conversation or debriefing to ease participants and gather additional insights (Rubin & Rubin, 2012). Digital tools, such as audio recorders, can help ensure no data is lost while allowing interviewers to focus on the conversation (Bernard, 2017). Ethical considerations, including informed consent and confidentiality, must be incorporated into the guide to ensure adherence to research standards (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015). Moreover, interviewers should practice active listening and adaptability, as dynamic interactions often yield the richest data (Seidman, 2019). By creating a thoughtfully designed interview guide, researchers enhance the quality and reliability of their findings, paving the way for meaningful conclusions and actionable insights.

3.Ethical Considerations:

Ethical considerations are central to conducting research, particularly in studies involving human participants. Gaining informed consent is a foundational principle, ensuring participants fully understand the purpose, procedures, risks, and benefits of the study before agreeing to take part (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Consent should be an ongoing process, allowing participants to withdraw at any time without penalty, reflecting respect for their autonomy (Belmont Report, 1979). Confidentiality is another critical ethical obligation, requiring researchers to protect participants' identities and sensitive information through secure data handling and anonymization techniques (Patton, 2015). Transparency in data storage and access policies further strengthens trust and safeguards privacy (Rubin & Rubin, 2012). Respecting participant boundaries involves being sensitive to cultural norms, emotional triggers, and personal preferences, particularly when discussing sensitive topics (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015). Researchers should use non-coercive methods, ensuring that participation is entirely voluntary and free from pressure or undue influence (Sieber & Tolich, 2013). Establishing rapport and fostering an environment of mutual respect during data collection helps participants feel comfortable and valued, enhancing the quality of the information they provide (Seidman, 2019). Ethical review boards or institutional review boards (IRBs) play a crucial role in evaluating study protocols to ensure adherence to ethical standards (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Researchers must also anticipate and mitigate potential harm, including psychological distress, by offering resources or referrals when needed (Belmont Report, 1979). Continuous self-reflection and ethical training are essential for researchers to navigate complex ethical dilemmas effectively (Patton, 2015). By prioritizing informed consent, confidentiality, and respect for boundaries, researchers not only uphold ethical standards but also build trust and credibility with participants, which is essential for the integrity and success of the research process.

III. Conducting the Interview

1. Positive Relationship With Participants:

Building rapport with participants is a critical component of qualitative research, as it fosters trust, comfort, and openness, enabling richer data collection. Effective rapport-building techniques include using a warm, friendly tone and displaying genuine interest in the participant's perspective (Seidman, 2019). Researchers should create a nonjudgmental environment where participants feel safe expressing their thoughts and experiences, which can be achieved through empathy and respectful communication (Rubin & Rubin, 2012). Active listening is essential in this process, requiring researchers to listen attentively to understand, not just to prepare a response (Patton, 2015).

This involves paying close attention to verbal and nonverbal cues, such as tone, pauses, and body language, which often reveal deeper insights (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015). Techniques such as paraphrasing, summarizing, and asking follow-up questions demonstrate understanding and encourage participants to elaborate (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Building rapport also includes establishing common ground or shared interests to make interactions more relatable and personable (Spradley, 1979). Starting with general, less sensitive questions can ease participants into the discussion and build confidence (Bernard, 2017). Active listening contributes to this dynamic by validating the participant's experiences, ensuring they feel heard and valued (Rubin & Rubin, 2012). Researchers must remain fully present, avoiding interruptions and distractions, to maintain the flow of communication and deepen the connection (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015). This attentiveness not only enriches data quality but also upholds ethical standards of respect and care (Patton, 2015). Through these approaches, researchers create an atmosphere of trust and authenticity, encouraging participants to open up and share their stories more freely, ultimately enhancing the depth and reliability of the research findings.

2. Finding Depth and Managing Bias :

Probing for depth and managing bias are crucial skills in qualitative research, ensuring the collection of comprehensive, authentic data. Probing effectively involves asking thoughtful follow-up questions that encourage participants to expand on their initial responses, uncovering richer insights and underlying meanings (Rubin & Rubin, 2012). Open-ended probes, such as "Can you tell me more about that?" or "What led you to feel that way?" help delve into participants' experiences without steering the conversation (Patton, 2015). Strategic pauses and reflective paraphrasing can also prompt participants to elaborate further (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015). Managing bias is equally vital, requiring researchers to craft neutral, non-leading questions that do not inadvertently influence participants' responses (Seidman, 2019). This includes avoiding suggestive language or assumptions embedded in the question, such as "Why do you agree?" which presumes consensus, and instead asking, "What are your thoughts?" (Creswell & Poth, 2018). A reflexive approach helps researchers identify and mitigate their own biases, ensuring the interpretation of data remains balanced and credible (Patton, 2015). Remaining neutral during interviews by maintaining an open posture and using affirmations like nodding or neutral phrases ("I see") can foster a comfortable environment for participants to share freely (Rubin & Rubin, 2012). Pilot testing questions in advance helps refine their neutrality and effectiveness (Bernard, 2017). The balance of skillful probing and rigorous bias management ensures data collection is thorough and reflective of participants' authentic perspectives, ultimately enhancing the study's validity and depth of understanding (Kvale &

Brinkmann, 2015). Researchers who master these techniques can navigate the complexities of qualitative inquiry with greater ethical and analytical precision.

3. Dealing with Challenges:

Dealing with challenges in qualitative research requires adaptability, empathy, and preparedness to navigate obstacles such as reluctant participants, emotional moments, or technical issues. Reluctant participants may hesitate to engage fully due to mistrust, discomfort, or fatigue, and researchers can address this by building rapport and creating a safe, respectful environment (Seidman, 2019). Techniques such as explaining the study's importance, ensuring confidentiality, and offering breaks or flexible scheduling help alleviate concerns (Rubin & Rubin, 2012). Emotional moments may arise when participants discuss sensitive or traumatic experiences, requiring researchers to demonstrate empathy and maintain professional boundaries (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Active listening and reflective responses can provide support without compromising objectivity, while researchers should remain aware of referral options for professional help if needed (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015). Technical issues, such as equipment malfunctions or recording failures, can disrupt the interview process and compromise data integrity. Researchers should mitigate this by testing equipment in advance, having backup devices, and taking detailed notes during interviews (Patton, 2015). Flexibility is key; for instance, switching to an alternative communication method if virtual connections fail ensures continuity (Bernard, 2017). Pilot testing interview protocols can also identify potential challenges and prepare researchers to address them effectively (Rubin & Rubin, 2012). Additionally, maintaining a reflective journal allows researchers to document challenges and refine strategies for future interactions (Creswell & Poth, 2018). By employing these strategies, researchers can navigate difficulties while upholding ethical standards and preserving the integrity of the research process, ensuring meaningful and reliable data collection.

IV. Some Problems When Conducting Interviews

Researchers conducting qualitative interviews can encounter pitfalls that compromise data quality and validity if they are not cautious. One common mistake is asking leading questions, which can steer participants toward a specific response rather than eliciting their authentic perspectives (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Another error is failing to establish rapport, resulting in participants feeling uncomfortable or unwilling to share openly (Rubin & Rubin, 2012). Overly rigid adherence to the interview guide is also problematic, as it can prevent researchers from exploring unexpected but valuable themes (Patton, 2015). Interrupting participants or dominating the conversation undermines the purpose of qualitative research, which is to understand

the participant's viewpoint (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015). Researchers may also neglect to pilot test their interview questions, leading to unclear or irrelevant prompts that hinder effective data collection (Seidman, 2019). Poor time management, such as rushing through questions or running out of time before covering critical topics, further affects the depth and quality of responses (Bernard, 2017). Another issue arises when researchers fail to remain neutral, introducing bias through verbal or nonverbal cues that influence participants' answers (Rubin & Rubin, 2012). Inadequate note-taking or over-reliance on recording devices can result in lost nuances if technical issues occur or recordings lack context (Patton, 2015). Ignoring ethical considerations, such as obtaining informed consent or safeguarding confidentiality, compromises the integrity of the research process (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015). Additionally, some researchers may overgeneralize findings from a limited or non-representative sample, reducing the reliability of conclusions (Creswell & Poth, 2018). By addressing these common mistakes, researchers can enhance the validity, reliability, and richness of their qualitative research interviews

References:

1. Belmont Report. (1979). *Ethical principles and guidelines for the protection of human subjects of research*. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.
 2. Bernard, H. R. (2017). *Research methods in anthropology: Qualitative and quantitative approaches* (6th ed.). Rowman & Littlefield.
 3. Bryman, A. (2016). *Social research methods* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.
 4. Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
 5. Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. (2015). *Interviews: Learning the craft of qualitative research interviewing* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
 6. Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
 7. Rubin, H. J., & Rubin, I. S. (2012). *Qualitative interviewing: The art of hearing data* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
 8. Seidman, I. (2019). *Interviewing as qualitative research: A guide for researchers in education and the social sciences* (5th ed.). Teachers College Press.
 9. Sieber, J. E., & Tolich, M. B. (2013). *Planning ethically responsible research* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Spradley, J. P. (1979). *The ethnographic interview*. Holt, Rinehart & Winston