

Russia's Growing influence in Africa: Drivers and Geopolitical implications.

تنامي نفوذ روسيا في أفريقيا: الدوافع والآثار الجيوسياسية

Redha Demdoun 1*
Laboratory: State, Public Policies
and Governmental Strategies
University of Constantine3
redha.demdoun@univconstantine3.dz

Abdelmoumene Hammoudi 2
Laboratory: State, Public Policies
and Governmental Strategies
University of Constantine3
Abdelmoumene.hammoudi@
univ-constantine3.dz

Date of send:09/11/2025 Date of acceptance:07/12/ 2025 Date of publication:30/12/2025

Abstract

Russia's growing influence in Africa is a complex phenomenon that deserves in-depth academic attention. Russia's renewed engagement in Africa reflects a broader geopolitical competition among major powers, impacting local governance, democracy, and security in Africa. The article emphasizes the need for ongoing research into the implications of Russian influence on governance and development in Africa amidst evolving international relations.

This article employs case studies and expert analyses from scholars to assess the military, economic, and diplomatic aspects of Russian influence. It identifies three main foundations of this influence: military cooperation, economic interests in natural resources, and historical ties from the Soviet era that supported anti-colonial efforts.

The article outlines several research findings. The primary research finding highlights the complex interplay between Russia's growing influence in Africa and the implications for local governance, economic development, and geopolitical dynamics.

Keywords: Africa. Economic Development. Geopolitical Dynamics. Governance . Influence . Russia.

* *Corresponding author*

Introduction

The intersection of Russian influence and African geopolitics has a complex and multifaceted phenomenon that deserves rigorous academic investigation. Historically, the relationships between Russia, or its predecessor, the Soviet Union and various African nations can be traced back to the era of the Cold War, during which Moscow has tried to encourage alliances with newly independent countries as part of its wider strategy to counter western hegemony. Through political support, military assistance and propagation of socialist ideologies, the Soviet Union was meaning significantly with the African states, many of which were navigating with a post-colonial landscape irritated by socio-economic challenges and the search for sovereignty. However, following the collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991, Russian interests in Africa fell, leading to a substantial disengagement by the continent in the 90s and early 2000s.

In recent years, there has been a marked rebirth in Russian commitment throughout Africa. This renewed interest can be contextualized in a broader picture of movements of global power dynamics that emerged in the wake of the 21st century. In particular, Russia's efforts to expand its influence are underlined by a systematic diplomatic offensive characterized by high-level visits, the establishment of bilateral agreements and the participation in multilateral platforms.

- Importance and Scope

The article addresses the significant and growing influence of Russia in Africa, a topic of increasing relevance in the context of global geopolitical dynamics. Understanding Russia's role is crucial for several reasons:

- Geopolitical Competition: As global power dynamics shift, the competition between major powers like the United States, China, and Russia intensifies, particularly in resource-rich regions like Africa.
- Local Governance and Democracy: The implications of Russian influence on governance structures, democratic processes, and human rights in African nations are critical for the continent's future.
- Economic Development: Russia's engagement in Africa, particularly in terms of military cooperation and resource extraction, raises questions about the long-term economic impacts on African states.

The scope of the article encompasses:

- Historical context of Russian-African relations, particularly during the Cold War.
- Contemporary analysis of military, economic, and diplomatic dimensions of Russian influence.
- Case studies of specific African nations and their interactions with Russia.
- Examination of the implications for regional stability, governance, and development.

Research Problem

The central research problem addressed in the article is:

•How is Russia's growing influence in Africa reshaping local governance, democratic processes, and geopolitical dynamics in the context of great power competition?

To explore the research problem, the following sub-questions are proposed:

•What historical factors have contributed to the resurgence of Russian influence in Africa?

•How do military partnerships between Russia and African nations affect regional security and governance?

•In what ways do Russia's economic interests align with the development goals of African states?

•What role do soft power strategies, such as cultural diplomacy and educational exchanges, play in enhancing Russia's influence in Africa?

•How does the presence of private military contractors, such as the Wagner Group, impact local governance and security dynamics in African countries?

•What are the long-term implications of Russian influence for democracy and human rights in Africa?

- Methodology:

The article employs a qualitative research methodology, which includes:

•Analytical Method: The article utilizes an analytical approach to assess the complex interactions between Russia and African nations, drawing on historical and contemporary case studies.

•Expert Opinions: It evaluates a range of expert views from scholars such as Elbassoussy, Daly, Bassou, and Kurylo to provide a comprehensive understanding of the military, economic, and diplomatic dimensions of Russian influence.

•Case Studies: Specific case studies of African countries are analyzed to illustrate the practical implications of Russian engagement and the varying responses of local governments.

•Literature Review: The article reviews existing literature on international relations, governance, and geopolitical dynamics to contextualize the findings and identify gaps for further research.

Firstly: Key factors contributing to Russia's growing influence in Africa

In recent years, Russia has been making significant strides in establishing its presence in Africa, a move that reflects both strategic interests and historical ties. This growing influence is not just about military might or economic gain; it resonates with many African nations seeking alternatives to traditional Western partnerships. Russia's approach often emphasizes respect for sovereignty and non-interference, appealing to leaders who feel marginalized by Western powers. By offering military support, investment opportunities, and cultural exchanges, Russia is positioning itself as a partner that understands the complexities of African governance and aspirations, fostering a sense of camaraderie rooted in shared experiences of colonialism and resistance.

1. Strategic Interests in Natural Resources and Investment Opportunities

The rich natural resources of the continent - including hydrocarbons, minerals and sowed land - are of strategic interest for a nation like Russia, which tries to diversify its economic partnerships in the face of western sanctions and isolation.

In addition, African nations are often seen by Russia as emerging markets that present commercial opportunities and investments in sectors such as energy, agriculture and defense.

Economic interests further reinforce Russia's position on the continent. The African market has an unexplored wealth of resources - minerals, energy reserves and agricultural potential - that Russia seeks to explore through mutually beneficial partnerships. The establishment of large -scale energy projects, such as oil and gas initiatives in Nigeria and Mozambique, highlights Russia's commitment to solidify its economic position in Africa. In addition, strategic trade agreements formed in sectors, including mining, agriculture and infrastructure, serve to improve bilateral ties.¹ These initiatives not only guarantee Russian access to vital resources, but also provide African countries critical investments in their local economies, thus promoting development and governance improvements.

2. Military Collaboration , Security Needs and Regional Stability

The military dimension of this relationship cannot be neglected; Russia has capitalized the safety needs in various African countries by providing military equipment, training and consultancy services. Several African governments have actively sought Russian support in anti -terrorism initiatives and internal security measures, thus facilitating an influx of Russian private military contractors and an increase in weapons sales.

Another important aspect of the Russian presence in Africa is military collaboration. Over the last ten years, Moscow has strengthened its position through military arms sales and training, which ensures the increased capacities of multiple African governments. Cooperation with Sudan and the Central Republic -African is among the most illustrative examples of this tendency, with their Russian military consultants backing the centralized authority against the backdrop of internal confrontation.² This vitally important Russia's involvement is explained by the fact that the regions overwhelmed with terror elements and civil unrest require safety outcomes more and more feasible. By backing the military, Russia becomes a valuable partner for regimes that need alternatives to western connections due to the sanctions and diplomatic isolation

3. Geopolitical Context and International Alliances

Another critical element underlying the expansion role of Russia in Africa is the geopolitical context marked by the strategic maneuver of global powers. The rebirth of Russia's goodness, especially in the regions traditionally dominated by western influence, has aroused a recalibration of international alliances.

While the United States and European nations express concerns about authoritarianism and violations of human rights, Russia has been able to skilled as an

alternative partner for various African regimes, often promoting a narration of non - interference in internal policy. This approach appeals to many African leaders who face pressure from the West compared to governance issues,³ thus promoting alignment based on mutual interests that is found at the turn of economic incentives and political legitimacy.

4. Digital Diplomacy and Soft Power Strategies

Finally, digital diplomacy and soft power strategies used by Russia also play a vital role in supporting its influence on the continent. Initiatives such as cultural exchanges, educational scholarships and promotion of Russian language and culture serve to cultivate a favorable image of Russia between the African population and the political elites. This multifaceted commitment not only underlines the strategic dimensions of Russia's foreign policy, but also underlines the need for a nuanced analysis of the implications for local governance and geopolitical dynamics in Africa, in particular in the face of foreign interests in competition. In recent years, Russia has markedly intensified its involvement with the African nations, supported by a multifaceted strategy in military collaboration, economic interests and historical ties.⁴ The evolving nature of these relationships is fundamental not only for Russia, but also to the African nations that seek to diversify their international partnerships and to improve their governance structures.

5. Historical Relations, Cultural Diplomacy and Trust Building

Meanwhile, historical relations are another factor that shaped the current ties between Russia and African states. Due to the Soviet Union's backing of anti-colonial movements and socialist governments, some African leaders feel a sense of comradeship with Russian rulers. It means that there is some level of trust and bond as many African authorities perceive Russia as a rival to the Northern states' dominance in world politics. Furthermore, such a connection permits the creation of the type of diplomacy where both countries treat each other with respect and do not interfere; it is especially important for African nations which are striving to strengthen their sovereignty.

The rebirth of cultural diplomacy, scholarship programs and public involvement through initiatives such as the Russian-Africa summit reflects an intention to strengthen the ties of people to people. In emphasizing cooperation in educational, cultural and scientific exchanges, Russia not only promotes its geopolitical interests, but also works to promote a positive image in African societies.⁵ This soft power approach offers a complementary strategy to military and economic avenues of influence, thus increasing Russia's overall position on the continent.

In conclusion, the synthesis of military relations, commercial interests and historical interaction is the outline of Russia's increased influence on Africa. Elbasousy observes how all of these phenomena converge and outline opportunities for Russia in this regard – these are strategic and involved. The actual distribution of those influences is unlike, and it indicates a more significant geopolitical interplay and local authorizations activity, which rationalize further distribution in the

following chapters. The scrutiny of military interactions with Russian fulfilling on the Republics of Africa shows the tactical perspective of developing Moscow in the Republic. One of the critical information practices outlines the selling of artillery and the formation of defensive probabilities in the Republic. Daly and Bassou amply describe these issues and emphasize the position of regional equilibrium and African states' sovereign action.⁶

Secondly: Military partnerships between Russia and various African nations.

In the military dimension, Russia's attachment to Africa is a consequence of the interaction of the Soviet Union with liberation movements during the Cold War. Today, Russia has deepened its relations with certain states by becoming a key source of weapons for them.

1. Increase in Arms Sales and Military Training Programs

Although historically weapons were sold in these regions and have been since independence, the past several years have witnessed a substantial increase not only in the sales of weapons – mostly light arms and light weapons – but also in the sales of the heaviest military equipment. This is evidenced, for instance, by the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute data on Russia's arms sales to Africa, which started growing in around 2015, and mostly firearms and ammunition, which comprise a large part of such sales.⁷

The nature of military assistance is not limited to simple sales of weapons; it presents general military training programs for the development of the African armed forces' skills. Such measures are carried out with the involvement of Russian private military companies, the most significant of which, Wagner, has established itself as one of the most influential participants in various African conflicts. Wagner's capabilities are clearly demonstrated in such countries as Libya, the Republic of Central Africa, and Sudan. Daly and Bassou (2023) argue that these military training programs are used for double purpose: to strengthen the ability of local forces while guaranteeing Russian interests are safeguarded and can further renounce the authoritarian regimes that are based on military support for their survival.⁸

2. Implications for Regional Stability, Governance and Power Dynamics

The implications of these military partnerships for regional stability are multifaceted. On the one hand, they can contribute to greater security for host nations, since improved military skills can help counteract threats from terrorism, insurrection, and criminal groups. African countries that are beset by internal conflicts often welcome external military support, observing it as a means of affirming sovereignty and resisting the neo-colonial invasions perceived by western nations. On the other hand, the influx of Russian weapons and mercenaries can exacerbate tensions inside and between states. The militarization of local conflicts

often leads to a proliferation of violence, particularly when state actors become dependent on foreign military support to repress dissent.⁹

In addition, the governance implication is that military partnerships with Russia largely happen due to duality in relationships, with the largest presence of Russian military contractors following a more or less quid pro quo principle which involves military assistance as an exchange for political support or access to resources. Such relationships only help to preserve the existing power structure and sabotage democratic processes, as they may increase human rights violations, as well as decrease state actors' responsibility.¹⁰ Moreover, the indirect validation of repressive governance practices through aligning with authoritarian regimes challenges the aspiration for democratic governance throughout the continent.

However, the analysis illustrates the intricate relationship between military partnerships and regional stability in Africa, essentially portraying how Russia's military engagement is changing the security view and its influence to locally lead the countries. The details of the civilian categories are the side of weapons, partner in training but, it is profound for democracy when they insist that the cooperation is laying the processional groundwork for the deepest political, which is at the same possible long-term democracy alternatives and African geopolitics.

Thirdly: Russia's economic interests in Africa

The expansion of Russia's influence in Africa is significantly supported by its strategic economic interests, particularly in the exploitation of energy and mineral resources. The continent is rich in natural resources, including substantial reserves of oil, gas, gold, diamonds, and several crucial indispensable minerals for technological and energy production. The alignment of Russian interests with African development objectives presents a complex panorama of mutual benefit in the middle of the backdrop of the global competition for these vital resources.

According to Donelli, Russia has strategically oriented to African nations where these resources do happen while offering the indispensable economic and infrastructural assistance. The Kremlin approach has shortsightedly followed the development aspirations of African countries, especially in energy security and industrial development. Russian companies backed by state capital have increased their activities in segments like oil excavation and mining. For instance, the agreements with countries like Angola, Nigeria, and the Democratic Republic of the Congo have created an enabling environment for Russian capital and companies to invest in oil discovery and production activities that reinforce the Moscow backing in the significant energy markets.¹¹

1. Russia's Role in the African Energy Sector and Increased Participation in Mineral Resources

An outstanding example is the association between Russia and several African states in the domain of energy. The appearance of Russia as an important player in the African energy sector is particularly evident through its commitments in continental oil fields and natural gas reserves. Russian companies, such as Rosneft

and Gazprom, have held agreements that not only ensure energy supply chains for Russia but also offer African nations the promise of infrastructure development and employment creation. This symbiosis underlines a strategic alliance; while Africa seeks to improve its energy independence and economic resilience, Russia seeks to expand its global influence in the energy market.¹²

In addition, the mineral resources sector has also seen increased Russian participation, since several governments in Africa fight both with the need for foreign investment and with the governance challenges that often accompany such associations. The deployment of Russian companies for the extraction of strategic minerals, such as cobalt and rare earth elements, are aligned with broader global trends where these resources are critical for technological advances and renewable energy transitions. In this sense, Donelli (2023) argues that the growing importance of these resources places African nations in a fundamental position amid competing global powers anxious to access critical materials. Consequently, African nations take advantage of their richness of resources, creating a scenario in which, in some cases, local governance may experience greater negotiation power and a higher risk associated with the geopolitical maneuver.¹³

2. Governance Challenges and Sovereignty Issues

Nevertheless, the repercussions of Russia's economic campaign amount to more than the commodity removal. The evolutionary characteristics of governance in African countries seem to demonstrate all the intricate national foreign capital exhortations while the domestic growth interest is preserved. Russian financial activity generally depends on the loyalty of politicians, affecting the nation election and issue prioritization. Sovereignty becomes the utmost issue, as the local administration should choose between the fulfillment of associations' wishes and protection of national interest.¹⁴

As a conclusion, Russia's economic interests in Africa are diverse and concern not only the direct exploitation of energy and minerals but also the potential reorganization of geopolitics and local governance. The interdependence facilitated in all these cases reflects not only Russia's flexible, strategic thinking but also the dilemmas and opportunities falling within the agencies of African states and societies, as they pursue their development goals in the complex of an intensely competitive global field. This dynamic suggests a transformative moment for local governance in which economic leverage could redefine political power structures, both at the local and international level.

Fourthly: Russia's diplomatic strategies and soft power initiatives

In recent years, Russia has effectively used a combination of formal diplomatic strategies and informal initiatives to be able to improve its influence throughout the African continent. As Ramani (2023) highlights, Russia's diplomatic engagement with Africa is characterized by a dual approach involving high-level state visits and multilateral forums aimed at fostering government relations.¹⁵

1. High-Level Summits and Bilateral Agreements

In particular, structured dialogues presented during events such as the Russian-Africa Economic Forum, held in Sochi in 2019, illustrate Russia's commitment to establish a robust platform for bilateral cooperation. These commitments indicate an intention to diversify economic relations, since Africa provides significant access to natural resources, a flourishing market for trade, and a strategic platform for Russia's geopolitical aspirations.¹⁶

In addition, Ramani (2023) emphasizes the strategic use of high-level summits and bilateral agreements that mean the will of Russia to invest state resources and political capital to cultivate and strengthen relations with African nations. Moscow has carried out associations with governments throughout the continent, often offering numerous incentives, including military cooperation, infrastructure investment, and assistance in sectors such as energy and mining.¹⁷ These formal diplomatic measures serve to improve the legitimacy of Russia as a partner of election and portray a commitment to mutually beneficial agreements, establishing Russia not only as an external power but as an integral part of the Development Narrative of Africa.

2. Soft Power Tactics: Cultural Diplomacy and Media Influence

In addition, when analyzing the soft power tactics of Russia, Kachur (2022) points out the importance of cultural diplomacy, educational exchanges, and strategic commitments of the media. These initiatives aim to build a favorable perception of Russia among African populations.

- **Educational Initiatives and Future Leadership:** By increasing the number of scholarships for African students to study in Russian institutions, Moscow cultivates future leaders who may be more susceptible to Russian interests.

- **Media Strategies and Public Perception:** In addition, the media sponsored by the State, such as RT and Sputnik, improve the visibility of Russian perspectives on international affairs, thus influencing public opinion in African countries. This diffusion of narratives positions Russia as a counterweight to Western hegemony, appealing to the feelings of non-alignment and sovereignty in governance that are particularly resonant in many post-colonial African states.¹⁸

Fifth: The role of the Wagner Group and its impact on local governance and security dynamics in African countries.

More informally, Russia expanded its geopolitical footprint through private military contractors and commercial companies, operating in many African countries. Although they are not congruently responsible to the Government, they play crucial functions in defining and strengthening the influence of Russia.

For example, the Wagner Group operates in Libya and the Central African Republic, and this chain of Russian support point expansion always ends as the stabilizing incentive—a transaction of security and return for the option for access to local natural resources or signing agreements beneficial for the Kremlin. According to Kachur, the traditional choice of state diplomacy was creating the ability of Russia

to commit to the regimes the international community deems most pariah states, thus completely remodeling the traditional governance on the continent.¹⁹

Analyzing these strategies, it is apparent that Russia's interaction with Africa is more than just diplomatic by agencies and that it is not limited to the cultural and military fields, even where a substantial national interest has to be secured. It not only transforms the background of international relations on the continent but also changes the governance systems in African nations since external influences, mainly Russia, challenge existing power dynamics and promote new alliances that reject the frames of reference driven by the West.

1. The Wagner Group: A Key Player in African Politics

Given its emphasis on strategic partnerships and willingness triggered by resources, Russia emerges as a powerful player in the ongoing game of geopolitical influence in Africa. A certain focus has been given to the "Wagner Group," a personal military firm with direct connections to the Russian Federation state. The Wagner Group has become a major player in the politics and security sector of many African states. Its conduct, which is often ambiguous, has been a tool of Russian foreign policy in shaping those governance structures and elites.

According to Mohamedou, the dual face of the Wagner Group is stressed as a tool for the realization of Russia's Geopolitical Plan and as an organic component of political life in African states. Another crucial aspect of Wagner's activities is its engagement in areas of active civil warfare, where Wagner often provides military assistance to regimes attempting to retain power.²⁰ One of the most vivid examples of the Group's involvement is its presence in the Central African Republic and Libya, where private military contractors actively change local power dynamics.

2. Legitimacy and Governance Challenges

According to Mohamedou, Wagner has an anti-regime impact as well: the expertise and actual combat increase its legitimacy, and Wagner ensures the survival of regimes willing to follow Russian interests. This peculiar relationship questions governance and the possibility for it in a non-democratic, militaristic scenario.

Moreover, the Wagner approach involves a combination of local operations, and the Wagner separatist strategy builds a foothold in the sociopolitical field. Inside these countries, the group also takes part in various capacity-building projects, seemingly geared at strengthening regional security forces. However, Mohamadou observes that such operations have the opposite function: African governments are increasingly reliant on foreign private assistance to uphold order, and that assistance can result in the undermining of national sovereignty and state leaders' accountability to the population.²¹

One more effect of the Wagner Group on Africa is that the market for security agreements has been remodeled in such a way as to put external powers' interests ahead of those from within the local society. The group's operational mentality is inclined toward a coercive approach with dire human rights impacts in operation areas. For example, in the CAR, reports of extrajudicial murders, torture, and abuse

by Wagner agents have emerged, which increases alarms on the implications of such mercenary activities in traditional governance structures. The presence of such actors complicates views on consolidation and significant stabilization of peace, as confidence in local authorities wanes when governance is linked to militarization and violence committed by foreign bodies.²²

In short, Wagner interventions are a component of a broader geopolitics of competency in which Russian involvement is better understood as a failure or withdrawal of Western interests on the continent, according to Alexander Beresford. The void this leaves—a vacuum in which private military entities like Wagner can move—sells themselves as more attractive options than home-grown governance that can't tackle the security question. While there are significant implications for local governance, where formal state institutions give in to the rise of private forms of security solutions, a situation may emerge whereby we see the privatization of governance that undercuts notions around democracy and responsive leadership.

To sum up, the Wagner Group's activities in Africa underscore the riskiest connection between private military engagement and local governance on the continent. As other scholars have argued the consequences go beyond the immediate outcomes of Wagner's engagement and impact broader geopolitics and stability on the continent. The evolutionary presence of such bodies leads to a necessary re-examination as African nations deal with the concept of sovereignty, security, and global power in a multi-faceted, multipolar system.

Sixth: The geopolitical implications of Russia's presence in Africa in the context of great power competition.

The increasing activity of Russia in Africa is associated with military cooperation, the extraction of resources, and political cooperation, which takes place in the context of the great powers' competition, namely by the United States and China. The geopolitical consequences of this interaction are far-reaching, redefining the long-established principles and functioning of the international system on the continent. According to Kurylo, there is an alternative interpretation of these regularities, and the intricate nature and ramifications of the great power competition may take with Russia's actions in Africa.²³

Furthermore, the Russian strategy in Africa is multi-dimensional, as it pursues efforts to increase influence using multiple vectors, such as arms sales, the appearance of private military companies established in Africa, and strategic investments in key sectors, such as energy and mining. This state-based, state-driven model enables Russia to assert control in states that have been the most faithful to the Western ordering. Because of historical links back to the Soviet period, Russia has been able to put forward a credible alternative to US and Chinese systems, which can be promoted actively by some African states.²⁴

1. Competing Interests: The U.S. and China

The added factor of Russia's participation further complicates the implications of its intervention, especially with the competing interests of the United States and

China on the continent. The U.S. traditionally considered itself one of the key players in Africa, so it can view Russia's growing activity as a threat to its own interests in terms of political hegemony and access to natural resources. On the other hand, China's involvement in Africa was characterized by significant infrastructure investments through its Belt and Road Initiative, promoting economic partnerships that often prioritize the development of military alignment. Russia's military commitments, including support for authoritarian regimes and involvement in conflict zones, can undermine the narrative focused on the development of China, causing a strategy reassessment by both nations while sailing in an increasingly competitive environment.²⁵

2. Impact on Local Governance and Multilateral Initiatives

Furthermore, the involvement of Russia impacts local governance in African states. Given that Russia collaborates with multiple regimes and is largely ignorant of democratic values, such partnerships may back up autocratic governance and dismantle the civil society movement in these states. Involvement in power of local elites with the support of Russian mercenaries or military advisers effectively undermines democratic transformations. It is a critical enigma for the United States and other Western states that promote human rights and governance values through their international engagements.²⁶

Meanwhile, the prospective partnerships that Russia pushes in Africa might damage the surrounding multilateral initiatives to address urgent global problems, from health and climate crises to regional clashes. Different foreign policies and views toward these superpowers depict a fragmented geopolitical area, full of possible frustrations and fears of unrest in regions that are already in turbulence due to fighting and unsatisfactory governance.

Examining these geopolitical implications reveals that Russia's presence in Africa serves as both a channel for its ambitions to exert global influence and a catalyst to redefine the existing power balance. Interactions between Russia, the United States, and China will continue to shape the geopolitical landscape of Africa, raising fundamental questions about the future trajectory of international governance, security, and collaboration on the continent. The growing influence of Russia in Africa has a complex interaction of geopolitical maneuvers that has significant implications for local governance and the health of democratic institutions throughout the continent. As articulated by Arukwe (2024), a deep concern that arises from this flourishing relationship is the spectrum of neocolonialism, which throws a long shadow on the governance structures developed in many African states in the postcolonial era.²⁷

Seventh: The Impact of Increased Russian Influence on Local Governance in African States

The growing influence of Russia in Africa is reshaping the continent's political landscape, often in ways that prioritize military support over democratic governance. As Russia deepens its ties with various African nations, it capitalizes on political

unrest and dissatisfaction with traditional Western allies. This partnership frequently involves the deployment of private military contractors like the Wagner Group, which provide security assistance in exchange for access to valuable resources. However, this relationship raises concerns about the erosion of democratic practices and local governance, as Russian involvement often favors authoritarian regimes, complicating the aspirations of African citizens for equitable development and self-determination.

1. Strategic Commitments and Military Associations

A key aspect of Russian influence is its strategic commitment through several bilateral agreements, arms agreements, and the establishment of military associations, especially examples the deployment of Russian paramilitary groups such as the Wagner Group in areas mounted in conflicts such as Syria and the Central Republic of Africa. Through such commitments, Russia often concludes agreements that exchange military support for favorable mining and resources extraction contracts, which, in many cases, leave aside the structures of local governance and exacerbate the existing problems of corruption and authoritarianism.²⁸

2. Undermining Democratic Practices

Russia's support for authoritarian regimes could undermine civil leadership and hinder democratic practices by prioritizing security over governance, thus strengthening authoritarian leadership models in many states. Yet, Arukwe (2024) suggests that Russian acquisitive influence can be considered the resurrection of the colonial paradigm, dressed up in a guise of association. Promoting Russian security forces and military resources is often done at the cost of fair governance.²⁹

By supporting authoritative rulers and enabling them to repress power, Russia can discourage democratic changes through bouncing back into a system where local heads find it more comfortable to prioritize their interests over the needs and rights of their subjects. It is applicable not only to the political but also to the local administrative area, where civil society is largely deprived in favor of the understanding of state center policy rooted in promoting Russian benefits.

3. Mechanisms of Influence

In addition, the mechanisms of influence, such as the media, cultural exchange programs, and economic associations, ensure to strengthen the pro-Russian feeling and facilitate a movement towards state narratives that favor the status quo. These media and soft educational power not only allow the Russian government to promote its version of governance and politics, but can also serve as a tool to discredit democratic opposition.

The strategic support for leaders' proxies encourages a pattern-client relationship, where leaders are reinforced in their possession through the apparatus supplied by Russia, which leads to a greater degradation of democratic institutions, which depend on participatory governance and responsibility.³⁰

The long-term implications of these dynamics suggest a worrying trajectory for civic participation within African states confronted with Russian influence. The

efforts to promote transparency, civil rights, and the construction of democratic institutions are committed to environments where local governance becomes inextricably linked to foreign powers with interests that may not align with the aspirations for the development of the population.

Consequently, the implications of Russian influence on local governance are not simply of political importance, but resonate throughout civil society, which complicates relations between citizens and the State, and strengthens a cycle of dependence that could suffocate self-determined governance and equitable development.

Eighth: Potential Risks and Benefits of Russia's Growing Involvement in Africa

In addition to the structural challenges raised by foreign influence, the presence of Russian interests in Africa can cause a dynamic of regional power, where states can be pressed to adapt their governance frames to align with new geopolitical realities. As states get more and more involved with Russia, there is a risk of creating a fork for governance that can prioritize international relations over national responsibility and democratic values. The consequences of these changes cause a reevaluation of existing governance frames, urging interested parties to critically reflect on the impact that these external influences could generate on the sovereignty and self-determination of African nations. The discourse surrounding the role of Russia offers the opportunity to analyze the evolutionary relationship that has deep implications in both governance and the wider geopolitical landscape within Africa.

1.The complex tapestry of risks and benefits

The growing participation of Russia in African affairs presents a complex tapestry of risks and benefits, which requires an exhaustive examination of its implications for democracy, security, and economic development throughout the continent. Zajontz and Shangwe (2024) highlight the dynamics of the current geoeconomical reality as an essential contribution to this complex, postulating that Russia's strategic interests are mostly determined by the expansion of their geopolitical presence while at the same time exploiting intended economic potential in Africa.³¹

First, the increase of Russia's leverage is due to its alliance with regimes that consider stability and resource extraction a higher priority than democratic considerations. But this path poses the following important questions for Africa's democracy. Russia's military support, weapon supplies, or security service offers to authoritarian heads may inadvertently compromise democratic processes and the development of civil society. According to Zajontz and Shangwe, such alignments arguably contribute to a situation where the asymmetry of responsibility widens as leaders continue to be more assured in their capacities outside electoral processes and other democratic practices.

Another dimension to Russia's engagement in Africa is the economic aspect, though it presents its own risks. Russian commitment centers primarily on

extractives, deepening dependence on the continent in respect of primary production. Although it might offer some economic prospects to specific governments in the short term, the long-term consequences for sustainability and growth reflect delicacy. Nations could fall to the whims of volatile world markets for commodities, deepening disparities and undermining more general economic diversification efforts. Hence, the nature of Russian investments must be monitored to ensure they help the local economies and governance rather than strengthen authoritarian figures.³²

Equally significant are security implications. In this context, numerous Russian private military contractors, especially the Wagner Group, have contributed to the proliferation of Russian shadows in Africa. They have also fueled several African armed conflicts. The situation proves that involved actors often represent shadow-legal businesses that are slightly controlled or regulated. Hence, they can exacerbate internal security landscapes, especially in countries where the state is characterized by low capability. Additionally, involved Russian military assistance can lead to a security dependence where African states delineate vital security features from external players.³³

2. Potential advantages of Russian involvement

In contrast, the possible advantages of Russia's involvement are worth considering. Specifically, more investment can facilitate the development of infrastructure and economic connections, thus strengthening rather than weakening the local economies. In parts of Africa where states align with Russia, this enables these countries to counterbalance the apparent anti-Western hegemony and benefit from a non-aligned stance, fostering proper and equitable relations with various centres of power. Consequently, these states become more independent and less likely to accept the terms offered by historically more powerful foreign powers.³⁴

Conclusion

In conclusion, the implications of Russia intensifying its influence in Africa are numerous, and, accordingly, there are risks and opportunities associated with them. The relationship between the strengthening of authoritarian rule and economic growth, as well as the implications on local government and security, are a critical area of further development in research or policy. Nonetheless, the work of Zajontz and Shangwe is most valuable to me in that it clarifies that African states need to gain a comprehensive understanding of the world of geo-economics. It is because such external entities as Russia constantly influence their conditions. Therefore, the most obvious results of the analysis of the factors that contribute to the rise of Russia in Africa are a broad landscape with historical ties, economic cooperation, military cooperation and strategic use of the power politics in the world. However, it is essential to stress that the commitment of Russia with African states is not only explained by the ideological inclination or post-colonial sympathy. The exploration describes ideas and choices under the influence of current geopolitical terms and possibilities in the economic field.

Historically, the heritage of Soviet support during the Cold War plays a central role in the framing of Russian-African contemporary relations. This historical connection provides a basis for renewed partnerships which are often exploited to counterbalance Western influence on the continent. In addition, the discovery of lucrative natural resources and the urgent need to develop infrastructure in many African countries have mature opportunities for Russian investments. The wealth of the African continent in raw materials, especially in oil, gas and minerals such as cobalt and gold, aligns with the industrial and technological ambitions of Russia. The bilateral agreements and the financial support which follow indicate a strategic alignment which serves both parties in the long term.

In addition, the implications of this influence extend into geopolitical dynamics, characterized by a multipolar world where African nations are increasingly courted by various world powers, including China, the United States and the European Union. The active involvement of Russia in arms sales and security cooperation improves its position as a formidable actor, allowing it to gain a lever effect in diplomatic negotiations and regional conflicts. The involvement of the Wagner group and other paramilitary organizations not only underlines the military imprint of Russia, but also important concerns concerning governance and erosion of state sovereignty in host countries.

The consequences for local governance are deep. While African States engage with Russia to strengthen security, mitigate internal conflicts and develop infrastructure, they must face a delicate balance of dependence and autonomy. The infusion of Russian influence complicates existing governance executives, where local leaders can prioritize short-term gains on sustainable development or democratic governance. This dynamic requires a critical examination of how these relations shape political landscapes within African nations and could potentially lead to increased authoritarianism or to a fragility of the state.

In light of these results, future research must prioritize the evolutionary dynamic of international relations with regard to the African context. There remains a critical need to deepen the nature of Russia's interventions and their socio-political ramifications. Researchers should examine case studies that explore negotiation strategies employed by African nations in the pursuit of the agency in the midst of competing international interests. In addition, investigating the long-term implications of Russian participation in democratic governance and civil society in Africa is essential. Understanding the wider geopolitical changes in which the influence of Russia works will provide a complete vision of Africa's position on the world scene. These surveys will give essential information on the complexities of governance and development in an increasingly multipolar world, in particular while African nations seek to negotiate their own terms in this complex geopolitical landscape.

Margins:

- ¹ Elbassoussy, A. (2022). The growing Russian role in sub-Saharan Africa: Interests, opportunities, and limitations. *Journal of Humanities and Applied Social Sciences*, 4(3), 251-270. <https://rebrand.ly/ghlgow4>
- ² Elbassoussy, A. (2022). The growing Russian role in sub-Saharan Africa: Interests, opportunities, and limitations. *Journal of Humanities and Applied Social Sciences*, 4(3), 251-270. <https://rebrand.ly/ghlgow4>
- ³ D. Kohnert. "The Impact of Russian Presence in Africa." *SSRN Electronic Journal* (2022). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4067193>.
- ⁴ William Dishon and others. "Review of Russia-Africa Cooperation in Diplomacy, Trade Relations & Security." *American Journal of Arts and Human Science* (2025). <https://doi.org/10.54536/ajahs.v4i2.4528>.
- ⁵ William Dishon and others. "Review of Russia-Africa Cooperation in Diplomacy, Trade Relations & Security." *American Journal of Arts and Human Science* (2025). <https://doi.org/10.54536/ajahs.v4i2.4528>.
- ⁶ Daly, S., and A. Bassou. 2023. "Russia's Influence in Africa: A Security Perspective." *Atlantic Council*. <https://bit.ly/3X9gcSv>.
- ⁷ Pieter D. Wezeman, Justine Gadon and S. Wezeman. "Trends in International Arms Transfers, 2022." (2023). <https://doi.org/10.55163/cpns8443>.
- ⁸ Daly, S., and A. Bassou. 2023. "Russia's Influence in Africa: A Security Perspective." *Atlantic Council*. <https://bit.ly/3X9gcSv>.
- ⁹ Kilwa Sibumba Eustache. "Foreign Interventions In The Central African Republic : A Catalyst For The Recurrence Of Armed Conflicts And The Erosion Of State Sovereignty." *International Journal of Research in Social Sciences & Humanities* (2025). <https://doi.org/10.37648/ijrssh.v15i02.005>.
- ¹⁰ L. Issaev, A. Shishkina and Yakov B. Liokumovich. "Russian Policy of Securitization in Africa: Features of Perception." *Vestnik RUDN. International Relations* (2023). <https://doi.org/10.22363/2313-0660-2023-23-2-322-338>.
- ¹¹ Donelli, F. 2023. "Russia's Engagement with Africa: Understanding Mutual Russia-Africa Interests in an Era of Global Competition." <http://bit.ly/3X9g4IZ>.
- ¹² A. Seregina. "Energy Cooperation on the African Continent." *Asia and Africa Today* (2023). <https://doi.org/10.31857/s032150750029000-2>.
- ¹³ Donelli, F. 2023. "Russia's Engagement with Africa: Understanding Mutual Russia-Africa Interests in an Era of Global Competition." <http://bit.ly/3X9g4IZ>.
- ¹⁴ D. Kohnert. "The Impact of Russian Presence in Africa." *SSRN Electronic Journal* (2022). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4067193>.
- ¹⁵ Ramani, S. 2023. *Russia in Africa: Resurgent Great Power or Bellicose Pretender?* Oxford University Press. <https://bit.ly/4nDL1ty>.
- ¹⁶ I. Abramova and L. Fituni. "Russia's Strategy in the African Direction: what has Changed Since the Russia-Africa Summit 2019?." *World Economy and International Relations* (2021). <https://doi.org/10.20542/0131-2227-2021-65-12-68-78>.
- ¹⁷ Ramani, S. 2023. *Russia in Africa: Resurgent Great Power or Bellicose Pretender?* Oxford University Press. <https://bit.ly/4nDL1ty>.
- ¹⁸ Kachur, D. 2022. "Manifestations of Russian Formal and Informal Strategies in Southern and Eastern Africa, 2000–2022." *South African Journal of International Affairs* 29 (4): 509-534. <https://shorturl.at/PN6fn>.
- ¹⁹ Kachur, D. 2022. "Manifestations of Russian Formal and Informal Strategies in Southern and Eastern Africa, 2000–2022." *South African Journal of International Affairs* 29 (4): 509-534. <https://shorturl.at/PN6fn>.

- ²⁰ Mohamedou, K. 2024. "The Wagner Group, Russia's Foreign Policy and Sub-Saharan Africa." Geneva Centre for Security Policy. <https://shorturl.at/4bjf8>.
- ²¹ Mohamedou, K. 2024. "The Wagner Group, Russia's Foreign Policy and Sub-Saharan Africa." Geneva Centre for Security Policy. <https://shorturl.at/4bjf8>.
- ²² Bohumil Doboš and Alexander Purton. "Proxy Neo-colonialism? The Case of Wagner Group in the Central African Republic." *Insight on Africa*, 16 (2023): 7 - 21. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09750878231209705>.
- ²³ Kurylo, B. 2024. "The Limits of the 'No Limits' Russian-Chinese Partnership: The Case of Africa." *South African Journal of International Affairs* 31 (2): 121-149. <https://shorturl.at/NbZdD>.
- ²⁴ Kurylo, B. 2024. "The Limits of the 'No Limits' Russian-Chinese Partnership: The Case of Africa." *South African Journal of International Affairs* 31 (2): 121-149. <https://shorturl.at/NbZdD>.
- ²⁵ Yixiang Sun. "Transregional Security Impacts of the Special Military Operation and Strategic Interests of the United States and China." *USA & Canada Economics – Politics – Culture* (2024). <https://doi.org/10.31857/s2686673024070035>.
- ²⁶ L. Issaev, A. Shishkina and Yakov B. Liokumovich. "Russian Policy of Securitization in Africa: Features of Perception." *Vestnik RUDN. International Relations* (2023). <https://doi.org/10.22363/2313-0660-2023-23-2-322-338>.
- ²⁷ Arukwe, N. O. 2024. "Russia's Growing Military in Africa: Economic Partnership or Colonial Pillage?" *Society* 61 (5): 489-500. <https://rb.gy/h50n1f>.
- ²⁸ Bohumil Doboš and Alexander Purton. "Proxy Neo-colonialism? The Case of Wagner Group in the Central African Republic." *Insight on Africa*, 16 (2023): 7 - 21. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09750878231209705>.
- ²⁹ Arukwe, N. O. 2024. "Russia's Growing Military in Africa: Economic Partnership or Colonial Pillage?" *Society* 61 (5): 489-500. <https://rb.gy/h50n1f>.
- ³⁰ Isaac Antwi-Boasiako. "The quest for influence: Examining Russia's public diplomacy mechanisms in Africa." *South African Journal of International Affairs*, 29 (2022): 463 - 482. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10220461.2022.2153728>.
- ³¹ Zajontz, T., and M. Shangwe. 2024. "Contemporary Geopolitics-Cum-Geoeconomics in East Africa: New Scrambles and Omni-Alignments." In *The Palgrave Handbook of Contemporary Geopolitics*, 1-28. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- ³² Zajontz, T., and M. Shangwe. 2024. "Contemporary Geopolitics-Cum-Geoeconomics in East Africa: New Scrambles and Omni-Alignments." In *The Palgrave Handbook of Contemporary Geopolitics*, 1-28. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- ³³ Droin, M., and T. Dolbaia. 2023. "Russia is Still Progressing in Africa: What's the Limit?" Center for Strategic and International Studies. <https://bit.ly/497QIMN>
- ³⁴ R. Kanet and Dina Moulioukova. "Russia's return to Africa: a renewed challenge to the West?." *Post-Soviet Affairs*, 38 (2022): 427 - 439. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1060586x.2022.2034357>.