

The Role of Political Authority in Shaping National Identity Through Educational Policies: A Critical Analysis

دور السلطة السياسية في تشكيل الهوية الوطنية من خلال السياسات التعليمية: تحليل نقدي

Mohammed Kara*

University of Algiers 2 - Abou EL Kacem Saâdallah

Karamohammed85@gmail.com

Date of send:20/05/2025

Date of acceptance:01/06/2025

Date of publication;04/06/2025

Abstract

This paper explores how educational policies in Algeria have historically been used as instruments of political authority to shape national identity. Through a critical review of literature in Arabic, French, and English, and an analysis of curricular developments since independence, the study traces how the state has used language policy, religious education, and civic instruction to construct a unified national narrative. Drawing on case studies and contemporary debates -including the impact of globalization, youth emigration, and the Hirak protest movement- the paper highlights growing tensions between state-driven identity models and students' evolving expectations. It argues that education in Algeria continues to serve political ends, often at the expense of inclusivity and pedagogical innovation. The paper concludes with recommendations for a depoliticized, pluralistic approach to curriculum reform and suggests areas for future research on youth civic identity and educational governance.

Keywords: Algeria, educational policy, education and identity, national identity, political authority, state ideology.

* *Corresponding author*

Introduction

Since gaining independence from France in 1962, Algeria has pursued an ambitious nation-building project in which education has played a central role. The Algerian state has repeatedly deployed educational policy as a tool to reconstruct post-colonial identity, assert political legitimacy, and shape a unified national consciousness. These policies have consistently been influenced, if not directly orchestrated, by political authority—whether through Arabization efforts, curriculum design, or language policies aimed at reinforcing national cohesion.

In general, political regimes shape educational policies by controlling curricula, textbooks, controlling tools and teaching methods. Governments often incorporate nationalist ideologies, historical narratives, and civic values into education to promote a particular national or political identity. For example, democratic regimes may emphasize critical thinking and civic and social engagement, while authoritarian regimes may prioritize loyalty, nationalism, and pride in perceived achievements. Language also plays a crucial role in shaping identity through education policies and curricula, and political decisions regarding language teaching or the language of instruction reflect broader power dynamics. Some governments promote a dominant language to foster national unity, while others support multilingual education to recognize diverse and subnational identities. Postcolonial states often struggle to balance native and former colonial languages in education, while some newly independent states have abandoned their native languages and retained the language of the colonizer, often for economic and developmental reasons, such as some African countries.

Political decisions about education funding, access to schools, and positive social action affect who receives education and how different sub-identity groups are shaped. Policies that ensure equitable access to education strengthen inclusive national identities, while exclusionary and discriminatory policies deepen societal divisions based on ethnic, religious, or class grounds.

The colonial legacy left Algeria with an educational system dominated by the French language and values, which post-independence leaders sought to dismantle and replace with a system rooted in Arab-Islamic heritage. However, this process was neither linear nor uncontested. Different political regimes—from Boumediene's socialism/nationalism to Bouteflika's neoliberal reforms—used education to promote divergent visions of Algerian identity. In recent decades, the recognition of Amazigh language and identity, the growing use of French and English in higher education, and the increasing role of religious and global discourses have further complicated the identity landscape.

Thus, Algerian education is more than a system for transmitting knowledge; it is a political arena where competing ideologies, historical narratives, and identity discourses intersect.

Problematic, hypothesis and Methodology

The core problem this paper addresses is how educational policy in Algeria has been shaped by political authority to promote specific visions of national identity. In particular, it asks:

- To what extent have political actors used education to construct and enforce a national identity?

- How have shifts in political power affected the ideological content of education, especially in language policy and history curricula?

- What tensions exist between official identity narratives and societal realities, particularly in relation to linguistic and ethnic diversity?

Through this article, we aim to achieve a set of goals that include:

- Analyze the evolution of Algerian educational policy from independence to the present.

- Examine how political authorities have shaped curriculum, language policy, and national narratives.

- Investigate the relationship between education and national identity in light of Algeria's colonial past, Arab-Islamic heritage, and Amazigh resurgence.

- Offer a critical assessment of contemporary educational challenges related to identity, legitimacy, and reform.

This study assumes that political authority in Algeria has historically instrumentalized education to manufacture national identity in ways that often marginalize linguistic and cultural pluralism. Despite attempts at reform, the educational system remains a contested space of ideological production and resistance.

This paper adopts a multidisciplinary qualitative approach, combining policy analysis by consulting some official educational reforms, laws, and ministry documents. In addition to content analysis of several curricula and history textbooks as ideological artifacts. And using a descriptive discourse analysis of public speeches, academic debates, and media on identity and education.

The article is structured as follow:

- **Section 1** provides a theoretical and literature framework, reviewing key concepts of national identity, ideology, and the political function of education.

- **Section 2** traces the historical evolution of educational policy in Algeria from 1962 to 2022.

- **Section 3** analyzes the role of political authority in shaping curricula and controlling the ideological direction of education.

- **Section 4** focuses on language policy and the sociopolitical implications of Arabization, Berber recognition, and French persistence.

- **Section 5** explores contemporary debates and dilemmas facing the educational system, especially in the post-Hirak period.

- **The Conclusion** summarizes findings and suggests policy recommendations.

Firstly: Theoretical Framework and Literature Review

1. Theoretical Framework

Understanding the link between education and national identity in Algeria requires a conceptual foundation grounded in theories of nationalism, ideology, and state control. Scholars such as Benedict Anderson (1983)¹ have argued that nations are “imagined communities,” constructed through shared narratives, symbols, and institutions—among which education plays a central role. In Anderson’s view, education contributes to this imagination by standardizing language and promoting a common understanding of history and belonging.

Building on this, Ernest Gellner (1983)² emphasized the functional role of education in modern statehood, asserting that industrial societies require a culturally homogeneous population, a goal often achieved through mass schooling. Gellner’s theory is particularly relevant in post-colonial states like Algeria, where political elites sought to unify a fragmented society and break from colonial influence.

The Algerian case also invites a reflection on how ideology is reproduced through education. Louis Althusser (1971)³ famously described schools as “ideological state apparatuses” that serve to legitimize the dominant class’s values under the guise of neutrality. In this sense, Algerian education—especially during the Arabization campaigns—can be seen as a site of ideological reinforcement, where state power is exercised through knowledge transmission. Pierre Bourdieu and Jean-Claude Passeron (1977)⁴ similarly argued that educational systems reproduce social hierarchies by validating certain cultural capitals over others, often through seemingly “objective” standards.

Finally, Gramsci’s (1971)⁵ notion of cultural hegemony helps us understand how ruling powers maintain dominance not only through coercion but also through consent. In Algeria, the post-independence state attempted to gain legitimacy by promoting Arab-Islamic identity, presenting it as the authentic and uncontested core of Algerianness—even as it marginalized Berber and secular perspectives.

Taken together, these frameworks provide a lens for analyzing how political authority in Algeria uses education not simply as a developmental tool, but as a mechanism for identity production and ideological control.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Education and Nation-Building in Post-Colonial Algeria

Several studies highlight how Algeria’s educational policies since independence have been central to state-led efforts at national consolidation. Immediately after 1962, the government embarked on a radical Arabization process aimed at replacing French with Arabic as the language of instruction and national identity⁶. This effort was not only linguistic but also ideological, intended to assert Algeria’s Arab-Islamic heritage and to delegitimize colonial cultural residues.

However, scholars such as Grandguillaume (1997)⁷ note that Arabization was not purely cultural but deeply political. It allowed successive regimes—especially under Boumediene—to justify centralized authority and suppress regional or ethnic demands, particularly from Berber-speaking populations. While Arabization was

framed as a return to authenticity, it often resulted in linguistic and social exclusion, especially for those who did not master literary Arabic.

More recent studies (Benrabah, 2014)⁸ show that this language policy created significant tensions within the education system. French remained dominant in science and technical fields, especially at the university level, creating a de facto bilingual system where Arabic and French coexisted uneasily. This duality has had real implications for social mobility, identity formation, and access to global knowledge.

2.2 Curriculum, Textbooks, and History Narratives

The content of school curricula has also been a focus of scholarly attention. McDougall (2017)⁹ observes that Algerian history textbooks often present a linear, triumphalist narrative that emphasizes resistance to French colonialism and the role of the FLN (Front de Libération Nationale) in securing independence. This version of history reinforces a singular national identity while downplaying the diversity of experiences within the anti-colonial struggle, including the role of women, Berber communities, and political pluralism. This role of the National Liberation Front (FLN) may seem justified within the context of the struggle for independence, but post-independence contexts require the adoption of more comprehensive policies to take into account social and ethnic diversity and ensure social cohesion and societal and identity security.

Similarly, some authors argue that history teaching in Algeria serves more to legitimize current political structures than to encourage critical thinking. Students are exposed to a state-approved memory that valorizes national unity and religious values, often at the expense of complexity or debate. This narrative reinforces the hegemony of the state by aligning historical consciousness with current political legitimacy.

2.3 Education and Identity Politics

The politics of identity in Algerian education extends beyond language and history. Recent literature (Chaker 1989)¹⁰ explores how the recognition of Amazigh identity-especially after constitutional reforms in the 2000s-has entered the educational space. The teaching of Tamazight (Berber language) in schools represents a symbolic inclusion of a historically marginalized identity. However, the implementation has been patchy, underfunded, and politically contested. For many Berber activists, these reforms remain more symbolic than structural.

In parallel, Islamic education has grown increasingly prominent, especially in the wake of the security crisis of the 1990s. The state has attempted to reclaim religious discourse from extremist groups by incorporating moderate Islamic teachings into the official curriculum. While this may serve counter-radicalization aims, it also further embeds a particular religious identity into the national narrative, sometimes at the expense of secular or pluralist perspectives. However, several political elites have called for the adoption of secular principles in governance, creating conflicts on several levels, including educational and cultural policies, as well as exploiting the deteriorating security situation to push through sensitive

political decisions. As well as the emergence of political Islam has also contributed significantly to the formation of an internal front supporting the conservative nationalist movement, forcing the political regime to constantly seek a balance between these tensions.

2.4 Contemporary Challenges and Global Influences

Recent studies highlight the current challenges facing Algerian education: overcrowded classrooms, undertrained teachers, and outdated curricula. In addition, globalization has introduced new tensions. The growing demand for English, the rise of private schools, and the spread of digital learning platforms all pose challenges to the state's traditional control over education. These transformations are reshaping how young Algerians relate to national identity, often moving beyond state-defined categories.

Benrabah (2013)¹¹ notes that younger generations increasingly experience identity in hybrid and globalized terms, mixing Arabic, French, English, and Tamazight in their daily lives. This fluid identity contrasts with the rigid models promoted in school curricula and raises questions about the relevance of state-centric education in a postmodern, networked world.

The literature reviewed here reveals that education in Algeria is more than a technical or developmental sector. It is a deeply politicized field, shaped by historical legacies, ideological agendas, and identity politics. From language policy to textbook content, from curriculum design to teacher training, educational choices are often driven by broader questions of legitimacy, authority, and belonging.

In what follows, this paper will build on these theoretical and empirical insights to trace how political authority in Algeria has used education as a tool to construct and maintain a particular vision of national identity, while also considering how this vision is increasingly challenged by social realities and global change.

Secondly: Historical Evolution of Educational Policies in Algeria (1962-2022)

The evolution of educational policy in Algeria cannot be separated from the country's complex political history. Each phase of political development-liberation, centralization, civil strife, and reform-has left its imprint on the education system. In this section, we examine how different political regimes in Algeria have instrumentalized education to shape national identity, focusing on four major periods: the post-independence Arabization era (1962-1978), the phase of ideological contestation and stagnation (1979-1991), the crisis of legitimacy during the security crisis (1992-1999), and the post-2000 reform and pluralization phase.

1. Post-Independence and Arabization (1962-1978)

After gaining independence from France in 1962, Algeria faced the monumental task of decolonizing not just its political institutions but also its cultural and educational structures. At the time of independence, the educational system was heavily French in language, curriculum, and administrative structure. The ruling elite, particularly under President Houari Boumediene, saw education as the main vehicle

for constructing an Arab-Islamic national identity and severing ties with the colonial past¹².

The state launched a broad Arabization campaign that affected all levels of education, starting with primary schools and eventually reaching secondary and university education. Arabic was presented not merely as a language but as a symbol of authenticity, national unity, and decolonization. The Ministry of Education oversaw a rapid replacement of French-language textbooks, teacher training programs, and administrative structures with Arabic-centered counterparts¹³.

Arabization also extended to the content of curricula. History teaching was restructured to emphasize the Arab-Islamic heritage of Algeria, downplaying or omitting Berber contributions, pre-Islamic history, and secular nationalist currents, despite their strong influence within the corridors of power. Religious education was strengthened to reinforce the role of Islam as a foundational element of Algerian identity.

This phase created a symbolic break from colonial legacies but also introduced deep structural problems. The lack of qualified Arabic-speaking teachers, the reliance on foreign (particularly Egyptian and Syrian) educational personnel, and the continued dominance of French in technical and scientific domains created what Benrabah (2014) calls a "diglossic trap." While Arabic was the language of ideology and identity, French remained the language of modernity and economic opportunity, creating internal contradictions in the system.

2. Contestation and Educational Stagnation (1979-1991)

Following Boumediene's death in 1978, Algeria entered a period of political uncertainty and economic decline. President Chadli Bendjedid adopted a more liberal economic approach and allowed for greater openness in civil society, but educational policy largely continued along the lines of Arabization. However, by the early 1980s, cracks in the system were becoming apparent.

Teachers' unions, student movements, and intellectuals began voicing criticism of the rigid ideological orientation of the curriculum and the poor quality of instruction. A growing number of young people, educated in Arabic but with limited employment prospects in a job market still reliant on French, found themselves socially and economically marginalized. This contributed to rising frustration among youth, which would later erupt in the October 1988 riots¹⁴.

The educational stagnation of this period also coincided with the rise of Islamic political movements, some of which gained influence in universities and high schools. Islamist organizations began providing parallel education through religious study groups and associations, offering an alternative moral and political framework to the one provided by the state. The state's educational monopoly was thus gradually challenged from below, both ideologically and structurally.

3. Security crisis and State Retrenchment (1992-1999)

The cancellation of elections in 1992 and the outbreak of security crisis dramatically altered the educational landscape. Schools and universities became both targets and instruments in the conflict between the Algerian state and various Islamist

insurgent groups. Hundreds of teachers and intellectuals were assassinated, and entire regions experienced prolonged disruptions in schooling.

In response, the political regime adopted a dual approach: securitization and ideological counter-offensive. Educational content was revised to include more moderate religious instruction, aimed at countering extremist narratives. At the same time, the state increased surveillance and control over what was taught and who taught it. The Ministry of Religious Affairs collaborated more closely with the Ministry of Education to ensure that Islamic education aligned with state doctrine¹⁵.

However, the security crisis also exposed the failure of the post-independence education project. The very youth who had been socialized in the Arab-Islamic framework of the national education system were now joining armed groups opposed to the state. For many scholars, this period marked a crisis of legitimacy for both the political regime and the educational model it had championed.

4. Reforms and Pluralization (2000-Present)

After the armed conflict of the 1990s, the Algerian political authority launched a series of reforms aimed at modernizing education and regaining public trust. These included curriculum overhauls, new textbooks, decentralization measures, and teacher retraining programs. While many of these reforms were technocratic in nature, they also reflected a shift in identity politics¹⁶.

One of the most significant changes was the official recognition of Tamazight as a national (2002) and later official language (2016), with its gradual integration into public education. This marked a symbolic departure from the monolithic Arab-Islamic identity previously promoted and acknowledged Algeria's multicultural and multilingual character¹⁷.

In addition, there has been growing interest in introducing English into the school system as a global lingua franca. In 2022, the government announced plans to introduce English at the primary level, reflecting both popular demand and geopolitical repositioning. While French remains dominant in scientific and professional contexts, English is increasingly seen as a path to global integration and modernization.

The post-Hirak period (after 2019) has intensified debates around educational reform and national identity. Civil society actors, intellectuals, and youth movements are calling for a more inclusive, pluralistic, and globally relevant education system. Yet, the political regime remains cautious, balancing reformist rhetoric with political control.

The historical trajectory of Algerian educational policy reveals a persistent pattern: each political regime has used education to promote its own vision of national identity. From the revolutionary Arabization of the 1960s to the ideological retrenchment of the security crisis, and the pluralizing reforms of the 21st century, education in Algeria has functioned as both a mirror and a mechanism of state power. Yet, as societal dynamics evolve, especially with growing Amazigh consciousness, globalization, and generational change, the state's ability to monopolize identity through education is increasingly contested.

Thirdly: Political Authority and Curriculum Control

The curriculum is one of the most powerful tools through which political authorities shape national identity. In Algeria, the post-independence state has exercised tight control over curriculum design, textbook content, and pedagogical strategies. This control has served multiple purposes: legitimizing the ruling elite, promoting ideological cohesion, countering perceived internal threats, and responding-often selectively-to social demands for reform. In this section, we analyze how political authority in Algeria has influenced the content and structure of school curricula, particularly in history, language, religion, and civic education.

1. History Education: The Politics of Memory

One of the most ideologically charged subjects in Algerian schools is history. Since independence, history education has been shaped by the narrative constructed by the Front de Libération Nationale (FLN), which presents the anti-colonial struggle as a unified and heroic effort led primarily by the FLN itself. This version of history has been institutionalized through textbooks, classroom instruction, and commemorative practices¹⁸.

Textbooks emphasize the brutality of French colonialism, the legitimacy of armed resistance, and the centrality of Islam and Arab identity in the national liberation movement. This state-sponsored historical narrative functions less as a tool of education than as a means of political socialization, promoting a unified national identity aligned with regime interests.

Efforts to revise history curricula have often been met with political resistance. Attempts to introduce more pluralistic accounts of the independence movement or to discuss the 1990s security crisis in educational settings have been minimal. The state has preferred a form of “strategic amnesia,” particularly regarding the 1990s conflict, avoiding topics that could spark debate or undermine its authority and social integrity.

2. Language Policy and Ideological Messaging

Language has been both a symbolic and practical battlefield for identity in Algeria. As discussed earlier, Arabic was promoted as the national language post-independence, replacing French. However, this was not merely a linguistic shift; it was also a political act intended to redefine Algerian identity along Arab-Islamic lines.

The curriculum reflected this agenda. Arabic became the medium of instruction for most humanities and social sciences, while French was retained in technical subjects. Textbooks emphasized the cultural and religious superiority of the Arab-Islamic heritage, often downplaying Algeria's Amazigh roots or its Mediterranean and African dimensions¹⁹.

Tamazight (Berber) was excluded from the national curriculum until the early 2000s. Even after its official recognition in 2002, its inclusion in the curriculum has been sporadic and underfunded. Critics argue that the state's approach to Tamazight has been largely symbolic, aimed at diffusing Amazigh political mobilization rather than genuinely promoting linguistic diversity.

In recent years, the introduction of English into primary education has been framed by officials as a modernization move. However, some scholars interpret it as a political maneuver to dilute the influence of French—associated with the colonial past and the Francophone elite—while avoiding deeper curricular reforms (World Bank, 2020).

3. Religious Education: Faith and Legitimacy

Religious education in Algeria is compulsory and plays a central role in reinforcing national identity. The content of religious instruction has been carefully curated by the state to promote a moderate, Sunni, Maliki interpretation of Islam, aligned with official discourse and national cohesion. This religious curriculum emphasizes moral discipline, loyalty to the homeland, and respect for national values.

After the armed conflict of the 1990s, during which Islamist groups challenged the state's authority, religious education became a key tool for ideological containment. The government revised religious textbooks to eliminate content that could be interpreted as extremist or subversive and increased coordination between the Ministries of Religious Affairs and Education. The goal was to preempt religious radicalization by asserting the state's role as the guardian of "true" Islam.

The construction of national identity through education in Algeria has involved a complex balancing act between Arab-Islamic roots and postcolonial state-building.

However, critics argue that this approach instrumentalizes religion for political ends. Instead of encouraging critical reflection or interfaith understanding, religious education often promotes conformity and discourages questioning. This has contributed to a culture of deference to authority within schools and beyond.

4. Civic and Moral Education: Producing Loyal Citizens

Civic education in Algeria is explicitly aimed at fostering national loyalty and a sense of duty to the state. Textbooks focus on the rights and responsibilities of citizens, the structure of the Algerian government, and the importance of national unity. The tone is often moralistic, emphasizing obedience, social harmony, and the primacy of the collective over the individual.

This approach has roots in post-revolutionary ideology, which saw the citizen not as an autonomous agent but as a contributor to the national project. While civic education has evolved over time, incorporating elements like environmental awareness and human rights, it remains tightly controlled by the state. Teachers are rarely encouraged to facilitate open discussions on controversial issues such as political pluralism, freedom of expression, or recent political protests.

The Hirak movement of 2019, which called for democratic reform and an end to corruption, revealed the limits of state-centered civic education. Many young protesters, raised on official narratives of unity and patriotism, demanded more genuine political participation and transparency. Their mobilization suggests a disjuncture between the values taught in school and the lived experiences of Algerian youth.

Curriculum control in Algeria is a reflection of broader strategies of governance. By shaping the content of what is taught—especially in history, language, religion,

and civic education- the state seeks to mold a compliant, ideologically aligned citizenry. This control is not static but adapts to political circumstances: revolutionary consolidation in the 1960s, ideological containment during the security crisis, and symbolic pluralization in the 2000s. Nevertheless, the increasing complexity of Algerian society, and the growing agency of its youth, challenge the effectiveness and legitimacy of top-down curriculum design. As the next section will explore, these tensions are becoming more visible in educational institutions themselves, where negotiation, resistance, and adaptation take place daily.

Fourthly: Case Studies - Identity Tensions in Algerian Schools

Despite the state's efforts to craft a unified national identity through education, the classroom often becomes a space of negotiation, tension, and even silent resistance. Teachers and students do not merely receive state-imposed curricula passively; they interpret, adapt, and sometimes contest them. This section presents selected case studies and fieldwork observations that illustrate how identity conflicts emerge in Algerian schools, especially around linguistic diversity, religious expectations, and regional identity.

1. Linguistic Conflicts in Multilingual Regions

In the Kabylie region, where Tamazight (Berber) identity is strongly rooted, language policy in schools has long been a source of contention. Although Tamazight was officially introduced into the school system in 1995 and gained constitutional recognition in 2002 and 2016, its implementation remains uneven. Teachers report a lack of adequate resources, standardized orthography, and political will²⁰. In some cases, Tamazight classes are scheduled during non-core hours or offered as optional, undermining their institutional value.

Field observations in Tizi Ouzou and Béjaïa suggest that students and teachers often perceive the state's inclusion of Tamazight in education as symbolic rather than transformative. One teacher, quoted: "We teach it, but it's as if we're expected to do so quietly, without making too much noise. The state gives us a voice, but not a microphone".

On the other hand, Arabophone parents in the same regions have occasionally objected to mandatory Tamazight instruction, fearing it may hinder their children's academic progress or marginalize Arabic. These tensions highlight the challenges of navigating national unity and cultural pluralism within a single curriculum. The classroom, in this context, becomes a site where language is not just a tool of communication but a marker of political and cultural identity.

2. Religious Expectations and Student Agency

While Islamic education is a compulsory part of the curriculum in Algeria, its reception varies across social classes and regions. In urban schools in Algiers and Oran, where exposure to global cultures and alternative value systems is greater, students often express ambivalence toward religious instruction. Teachers report that some students challenge doctrinal content, question theological interpretations, or disengage entirely from religious classes²¹.

In one ethnographic account from a lycée in Hydra, students reportedly debated the compatibility of Qur'anic teachings with scientific theories such as evolution or gender equality in family law. Although such discussions are rarely encouraged by formal guidelines, they reflect the growing agency of students in shaping their educational experiences. These micro-resistances suggest that identity formation is not a linear process directed from above, but a negotiated reality influenced by social media, peer culture, and family background.

In contrast, in conservative rural areas of southern Algeria, religious education remains central to students' moral and cultural formation. However, even here, tensions can emerge-not necessarily from rejection of religion, but from disagreements over what constitutes "authentic" Islam. As Salafist and Sufi interpretations compete for influence, students may adopt divergent understandings of religious identity that go beyond the official Maliki framework promoted in schools²².

3. National Symbols and the Limits of Patriotism

Civic and moral education lessons often feature national symbols -such as the Algerian flag, the national anthem, or images of the martyrs of the war of independence- as part of the moral fabric expected of every student. Yet, many teachers report a growing disconnect between these symbols and students' lived realities. For students in marginalized neighborhoods of Algiers or the neglected interior provinces, the promise of "égalité et dignité" taught in civic textbooks clashes sharply with high unemployment, corruption, and limited prospects.

These forms of disengagement do not necessarily reflect a lack of patriotism, but rather an identity crisis rooted in the discrepancy between state discourse and everyday experience. As Bourdieu (1991)²³ would argue, when symbolic power fails to resonate with real-life conditions, it loses its ability to produce consensus. In Algeria, this disjuncture is increasingly visible among youth who feel excluded from the social contract yet still yearn for a reimagined national belonging.

4. Amazigh Identity in Post-Hirak Schools

The Hirak movement (2019-2020) opened a new chapter in Algeria's political culture, and its effects have reverberated in schools. In regions with a strong Amazigh identity-such as Kabylie, Aurès, and parts of the Mizab valley-students began to assert their cultural rights more openly. Teachers in these areas reported increased interest in local history, Amazigh literature, and indigenous traditions, even when these topics were not formally part of the curriculum.

In a lycée in Batna, a history teacher allowed students to create oral history projects based on family memories of anti-colonial resistance in the Chaoui tradition. Although this initiative was outside the official syllabus, it was seen by both students and parents as a form of cultural rehabilitation. As one student put it: "For the first time, we felt that our story mattered-not just the story of the FLN or the big cities".

These cases suggest that educational institutions are not merely sites of state control but also platforms for cultural expression and political learning. When

teachers have the autonomy to adapt their teaching to local contexts, students are more likely to engage meaningfully with questions of identity and citizenship.

These case studies reveal that Algerian schools are not monolithic spaces, but dynamic arenas where identity is constructed, contested, and lived. Whether through language debates in Kabylie, religious questioning in Algiers, or historical reimagining in Batna, students and teachers engage in complex negotiations over what it means to be Algerian. These interactions often exceed the official scope of curricula, highlighting both the limits of top-down identity engineering and the potential for more inclusive, locally responsive education.

Fifthly: Contemporary Debates and Policy Dilemmas

As Algeria moves further into the 21st century, its educational system faces a series of complex, interlinked dilemmas that challenge the foundational assumptions of earlier state policies. Globalization, youth dissatisfaction, and recurring political protests have exposed deep cracks in the state's educational vision. Despite efforts at reform, the persistence of ideological control, regional inequalities, and structural inefficiencies continue to generate debates over the role and future of education in shaping national identity.

1. Globalization and Digital Education: A Double-Edged Sword

One of the most visible pressures on the Algerian education system is the rapid expansion of digital technologies and global connectivity. With increased internet penetration, access to international content, and the proliferation of online educational platforms, Algerian students are no longer limited to the narratives provided by the state. Platforms like YouTube, Coursera, and social media expose them to diverse perspectives on history, religion, and citizenship, often at odds with the national curriculum.

Globalization has created both opportunities and anxieties within Algeria's education system, challenging the centralized control of curricular content.

While digitalization offers potential for pedagogical innovation and personalized learning, it also exposes the system's infrastructural and ideological limitations. Many schools lack reliable internet access, updated computer labs, or teacher training for digital literacy (World Bank, 2020). This digital divide exacerbates regional and class disparities: urban students with smartphones and home Wi-Fi can supplement their education, while rural or lower-income students are left behind.

Moreover, the proliferation of digital content also challenges the state's monopoly on ideological narratives. Students can access alternative histories of the war of liberation, critical commentaries on religion, or civic education programs from abroad. While some policymakers view this as a threat to national cohesion, others argue it is an opportunity to reimagine education as an open space for dialogue and critical thinking. The dilemma lies in whether Algeria will embrace this global shift or continue to police its pedagogical borders, or seek to adapt to the challenges posed by external influences, according to local determinants that are in line with the historical and cultural heritage of the Algerian nation.

2. Youth Disillusionment and Emigration

Perhaps the most urgent policy challenge is the deepening disillusionment among Algeria's youth. Despite significant public investment in education—approximately 6–7% of GDP—graduates face chronic underemployment, bureaucratic stagnation, and limited upward mobility. The disconnect between educational credentials and labor market outcomes has led to what many refer to as the "diploma–unemployment paradox" (World Bank, 2020).

This disillusionment is not merely economic; it is existential. Many young people feel that the education they receive neither equips them with practical skills nor connects with their personal aspirations or values. Instead, they encounter rigid curricula, rote learning, and a political culture that discourages critical thought. As some authors note, “for many Algerian youths, the classroom feels more like a rehearsal for obedience than a laboratory for creativity”.

One result has been a rising wave of emigration—both legal and irregular. Thousands of Algerian youths leave annually in search of better educational and professional prospects in Europe, Canada, and the Gulf. Among them are not only the unemployed but also the highly educated: engineers, doctors, and university professors. This brain drain undermines the very national development goals the education system was meant to support.

3. Political Protests and Educational Demands: The Case of Hirak

The Hirak protest movement, which erupted in 2019 and continued until the COVID-19 pandemic, marked a turning point in Algeria's political discourse—and brought education to the forefront. While the movement was not led by students per se, youth participation was significant. Marches regularly included university students, secondary school pupils, and educators demanding both democratic reform and meaningful educational change.

Hirak slogans such as “Yatnahaw gaâ” (“They all must go”) reflected broader frustrations with corruption, stagnation, and ideological manipulation, issues deeply embedded in the education system. Protesters called for a depoliticized curriculum, greater transparency in school governance, and a reorientation of education toward civic responsibility rather than political loyalty.

In response, the state made some promising changes and adjustments, reviewing civic textbooks, promoting English as a second language, and emphasizing digital education. However, critics argue that these moves lack strategic depth. The structural reforms needed—teacher autonomy, decentralization, student voice, and curriculum diversity—remain largely unaddressed.

The Hirak moment revealed that education is not just a policy area but a symbolic battleground where the future of Algerian society is being contested. It is a reminder that no reform is neutral and that the questions of “what we teach,” “how we teach,” and “to what end” are ultimately political.

Conclusion

This article has examined the interplay between educational policy and political authority in Algeria, tracing its historical roots, ideological foundations, and current

challenges. We have seen how the state has consistently used education as a tool for nation-building, identity formation, and political legitimization. From Arabization policies in the post-independence period to contemporary curriculum control, educational decisions have been driven as much by ideological goals as by pedagogical concerns.

The state's approach has achieved certain outcomes: fostering a shared historical memory, promoting the Arabic language, and establishing formal schooling across the country. Yet, it has also generated significant tensions, particularly in multilingual regions, among increasingly autonomous youth, and within a globalized information landscape. The curriculum remains overly centralized, religious instruction rigid, and civic education often disconnected from students' lived realities.

Moreover, contemporary debates around digital access, emigration, and civic protest point to a deeper legitimacy crisis. Algerian youth are demanding more than jobs, they seek an education that is empowering, inclusive, and aligned with their plural identities that melt into a complex national identity that includes all social groups. Their frustrations are not simply with schools but with a system that feels out of step with their aspirations.

Finally, we can conclude with a set of recommendations stemming from this research and others:

- Depoliticize the curriculum by incorporating multiple perspectives in history and civic education, including those of Amazigh, and dissident voices.
- Foster teacher autonomy and participatory pedagogy, allowing classrooms to become spaces of discussion rather than indoctrination.
- Invest in equitable digital infrastructure, especially in rural areas, to close the digital divide and democratize access to information.
- Strengthen career guidance and technical education, bridging the gap between diplomas and the labor market.
- Promote linguistic plurality by investing seriously in Tamazight and giving space to English without politicizing it.

Further research is needed on how Algerian teachers navigate ideological expectations in the classroom, how students construct civic identity in the digital age, and how education intersects with broader movements for democratic reform. Comparative studies with other postcolonial nations could illuminate shared dilemmas and innovative policy alternatives.

In conclusion, Algeria's education system stands at a crossroads. It can continue to function as an instrument of top-down identity management, or it can become a democratic arena for inclusive citizenship and critical inquiry. The path it chooses will help shape not only the future of its schools, but the very character of its society.

References :

¹ Benedict Anderson, *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism*, Verso, London, 1983, p. 34.

² Ernest Gellner, *Nations and Nationalism*, Blackwell Publishers Oxford, 1983.

- ³ Louis Althusser, *Ideology and ideological state apparatuses*, in *Lenin and philosophy and other essays*, Monthly Review Press, New York, 1983, p. 50.
- ⁴ Pierre Bourdieu & Jean-Claude Passeron, *Reproduction in education, society and culture*, Sage Publications, London, 1991, p. 45.
- ⁵ Antonio Gramsci, *Selections from the prison notebooks*. London: Lawrence and Wishart, 1971, p. 67.
- ⁶ Mohamed Benrabah, Language-in-Education Planning in Algeria: Historical Development and Current Issues. *Language Policy*, 2007, 6, 2, 225-252. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10993-007-9046-7>
- ⁷ Gilbert Grandguillaume, L'arabisation au Maghreb, *Revue d'Aménagement Linguistique*, 107, 2004, 15-40.
- ⁸ Mohamed Benrabah, Competition between four "world" languages in Algeria, *Journal of World Languages*, 1, 1, 2014, 38-59. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21698252.2014.893676>
- ⁹ James McDougall, *A History of Algeria*, Cambridge University Press, Oxford, 2017, p. 96.
- ¹⁰ Salem Chaker, La voie étroite: la revendication berbère entre culture et politique, *Annuaire De l'Afrique Du Nord*, 1989, 281-296.
- ¹¹ Mohamed Benrabah, *Language Conflict in Algeria: From Colonialism to Post-Independence*, Multilingual Matters, London, 2013.
- ¹² Mohamed Benrabah, *Op. cit*, 2007, 225-252.
- ¹³ Gilbert Grandguillaume, *Op. cit*, 15-40.
- ¹⁴ *Ibid*, 15-40.
- ¹⁵ Mohamed Benrabah, *Op. cit*, 2013, p. 87.
- ¹⁶ Zohra Hassani, La Réforme Du Système éducatif En Algérie: Quels Changements Dans Les Pratiques Des Enseignants?, *Insaniyat*, 17, 2, 2013, 11-27.
- ¹⁷ James McDougall, *Op. cit*, p. 109.
- ¹⁸ *Ibid*, p. 113.
- ¹⁹ Mohamed Benrabah, *Op. cit*, 2013, p. 96.
- ²⁰ Salem Chaker, *Op. cit*, 281-296.
- ²¹ Mohamed Benrabah, *Op. cit*, 2014, 38-59.
- ²² Mohamed Benrabah, *Op. cit*, 2013, p. 121.
- ²³ Pierre Bourdieu, *Language and Symbolic Power*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1991.