University Quality of Life in the Context of Digital Transformation: Distance Education as a Model.

Mostapha Salim Heddar

Université Mohamed Khider Biskra (Algeria). mustapha.heddar@univ-biskra.dz

Receipt date : 08/04/2026 ; Acceptance Date: 01/06/2026

Abstract: This article aims to examine the aspects of university life in the context of digital transformation, with a particular focus on hybrid learning as a contemporary educational model that integrates both in-person and online instruction. The study seeks to analyze the dimensions of this educational approach and its implications for various components of university life, particularly pedagogical practices, patterns of interaction between students and instructors, learning quality, and students' academic and social integration. The article also explores the opportunities offered by hybrid learning—such as temporal and spatial flexibility, diversification of learning resources, and the enhancement of self-directed learning—alongside the challenges associated with it, including the digital divide, inadequate technological infrastructure, and the need to develop digital competencies among university stakeholders. The article concludes that hybrid learning represents a strategic option for advancing higher education and improving the quality of university life, provided that a supportive digital environment is ensured and that clear institutional policies are adopted to address the pedagogical and social dimensions of digital transformation.

Key words: University life, digital transformation, hybrid learning, higher education, e-learning, digital competencies

1- Introduction:

The contemporary world is witnessing rapid transformations driven by the digital revolution and the advancement of information and communication technologies. These changes have had a direct impact on various vital sectors, foremost among them higher education. The university is no longer a traditional space whose role is limited to transmitting knowledge within classroom walls; rather, it has become a dynamic institution open to its digital environment, adopting new educational models that respond to the demands of the digital age and the evolving knowledge society. In this context, digital transformation has emerged as a strategic option for restructuring the university system, improving the quality of education, and enhancing the efficiency of educational outcomes.

University life is among the key components profoundly affected by this transformation. The impact of digitization has not been limited to curricula and teaching methods, but has also extended to patterns of academic interaction, assessment practices, university services, and social relations within the academic environment. This new reality has generated multiple challenges related to universities' capacity to adapt to the digital ecosystem, the readiness of students and instructors to acquire the necessary digital competencies, as well as issues connected to technological infrastructure and equitable access to digital resources.

Amid these transformations, hybrid learning has emerged as an intermediary educational model that combines the advantages of face-to-face instruction with the benefits of e-learning, seeking to strike a balance between the human dimension of direct interaction and the technological dimension of distance learning. This model has gained significant visibility, particularly in the aftermath of global crises, becoming one of the practical solutions adopted by universities to ensure the continuity of the educational process and maintain learning quality. However, the adoption of hybrid learning is not merely a circumstantial response; it is increasingly viewed as a long-term strategic choice that contributes to reshaping university life according to principles of flexibility and innovation.

Accordingly, this study seeks to shed light on the reality of university life in the context of digital transformation by employing hybrid learning as an analytical framework. It aims to identify its key features, examine its pedagogical and social implications, and explore its future prospects in the development of higher education. The study also endeavors to highlight the challenges that hinder the effective implementation of this educational model and to propose a set of recommendations that may enhance its effectiveness and foster a balanced digital integration within the university.

2- Research Problem

Digital transformation has produced a new reality within universities, reshaping learning patterns, the roles of academic actors, and the spatial and temporal boundaries of the educational process. The growing use of information and communication technologies has led to the emergence of modern educational modalities—foremost among them distance learning—which is no longer merely a temporary alternative to face-to-face instruction. Instead, it has become an essential component of the higher

education system and an influential factor in reorganizing various academic, social, and psychological dimensions of university life.

Quality of university life is a relatively recent concept in higher education research. It extends beyond academic achievement to include satisfaction with the university environment, the quality of educational and administrative services, the effectiveness of pedagogical interaction, psychological and social support, as well as students' sense of integration and belonging. Within this framework, distance learning raises a dual challenge: on one hand, it is viewed as a mechanism that enhances the quality of university life by offering temporal and spatial flexibility, broader access to learning resources, and reduced pressure associated with intensive in-person attendance. On the other hand, questions arise regarding its actual capacity to foster human interaction, build social relationships, and promote students' psychological well-being. Several previous studies have shown that distance learning can improve certain indicators of university life—particularly autonomy in learning, development of self-regulated learning skills, time management, and satisfaction with digital assessment methods (Allen & Seaman, 2017; Hodges et al., 2020). Other studies concluded that the use of digital platforms can enhance academic interaction when employed according to sound pedagogical principles and supported by adequate technical and instructional assistance for both students and instructors (Means et al., 2014).

Conversely, some research has highlighted challenges that may undermine the impact of distance learning on the quality of university life, including social isolation, a weakened sense of belonging to the university, digital fatigue, as well as the digital divide and unequal access to technological resources (Aristovnik et al., 2020; Bao, 2020). Recent Arab studies have affirmed that the success of distance learning in improving university life depends largely on the readiness of digital infrastructure, students' digital competencies, and the nature of institutional support provided to them. Based on the foregoing, the research problem of this study centers on questioning the actual role of distance learning in enhancing the quality of university life in the context of digital transformation, and the extent to which it can balance academic requirements with students' psychological and social needs. Accordingly, the main research question is formulated as follows:

To what extent does distance learning contribute to improving the quality of university life in the context of digital transformation?

3- Research Objectives

- To define the concept of the quality of university life, its dimensions, and its determinants within the context of contemporary higher education.
- To analyze the nature of digital transformation in university education, its key requirements, and its implications for the academic environment.
- To highlight the role of distance learning and hybrid learning models in enhancing the educational process and enriching students' university experience.
- To examine how digital transformation and distance learning contribute to improving the quality of university life at the academic, psychological, and social levels.

- To propose practical mechanisms and recommendations to strengthen the quality of university life in the digital era and promote a more effective and sustainable higher education system.

4- Significance of the Study

- To contribute to a deeper scientific understanding of digital transformation within universities by analyzing the position and role of distance learning in reshaping university life and its quality standards.
- To highlight the relationship between distance learning and the quality of university life by clarifying its impact on academic satisfaction, learning effectiveness, and students' ability to balance academic demands with personal life.
- To support the development of modern pedagogical practices by encouraging the adoption of digital teaching and assessment methods that consider individual differences and promote self-directed and interactive learning.
- To shed light on the psychological and social dimensions of distance learning, particularly students' academic integration, learning motivation, and sense of belonging within digital environments.
- To provide scientific insights that university administrations and policymakers may utilize to improve digital education strategies, infrastructure, and technical and pedagogical support systems.
- To pave the way for future research on the quality of university life under modern educational models, including comparative studies between face-to-face, distance, and hybrid learning in different university contexts.

First: The Concept of Quality of University Life

1. Definition:

The concept of the quality of university life is a multidimensional construct that reflects students' satisfaction with their educational experience within the university, including the academic, psychological, social, and service-related conditions that support their ability to balance academic demands with personal needs. This concept extends beyond academic success to encompass psychological well-being, campus safety, the quality of social relationships, and the effectiveness of institutional support (Diener, 2000).

Educational literature indicates that the quality of university life is a key indicator of the university's efficiency in fulfilling its educational and developmental functions. It is closely linked to students' motivation to learn, their level of academic integration, and their ability to cope with academic pressures (Sirgy et al., 2007). In the context of digital transformation, the concept has acquired an additional dimension, as it has become tied to the quality of the digital environment, ease of access to educational resources, and the effectiveness of electronic interaction between students and instructors (Al-Hawari & Mouakket, 2010).

2. Components of Quality of University Life:

The quality of university life is built upon a set of fundamental components that collectively contribute to creating a supportive university environment. The most prominent of these include:

- **Academic Component:** Refers to the quality of programs and curricula, clarity of educational objectives, and the relevance of pedagogical content to students' needs (Biggs & Tang, 2011).
- **Pedagogical Component:** Involves the competence of faculty members and their ability to employ modern teaching strategies, particularly in digital and distance education (Means et al., 2014).
- **Psychological Component:** Includes feelings of safety, self-esteem, and the availability of psychological counseling services to address academic stress (Ryff, 1989).
  Social Component: Concerns the quality of social relationships, participation in campus activities, and the sense of belonging to the university community (Tinto, 2012).
- **Administrative and Service Component:** Encompasses the effectiveness of university services, flexibility of administrative procedures, and the quality of health and social services (Sirgy et al., 2007).
- **Digital Component:** Pertains to the availability of technological infrastructure, the quality of learning platforms, and continuous technical support (Hodges et al., 2020).

3. Factors Influencing the Quality of University Life:

The quality of university life is influenced by several interrelated factors, including:

- Individual factors such as motivation to learn, self-efficacy, digital competence, and psychological resilience (Zimmerman, 2002).
- Academic factors including academic workload, assessment methods, and the level of interaction between instructors and students (Biggs & Tang, 2011).
- Technological factors related to internet quality, usability of digital platforms, and availability of technological tools (Aristovnik et al., 2020).
- Social factors such as family support, peer relationships, and the overall campus climate (Tinto, 2012).
- Institutional factors including university policies, flexibility of pedagogical organization, and the institution's commitment to student well-being (Al-Hawari & Mouakket, 2010).

4. Dimensions of Quality of University Life:

The quality of university life can be analyzed through several interconnected dimensions:

- **Academic dimension:** Related to learning quality, achievement, and satisfaction with academic training.
- **Psychological dimension:** Involves psychological well-being, self-esteem, and the ability to cope with stress (Ryff, 1989).
- **Social dimension:** Includes social relationships, interaction, and sense of belonging (Tinto, 2012).
- **Administrative and service dimension:** Concerns satisfaction with university services and administrative organization.

- **Digital dimension:** Related to the quality of distance learning, ease of electronic communication, and availability of technical support (Hodges et al., 2020).
- **Future-oriented dimension:** Reflects students' perceptions of the university's ability to prepare them for social and professional integration (Sirgy et al., 2007).

5. Principles of Quality of University Life:

The quality of university life is grounded in several essential principles, including:

- Equity of access to educational and service opportunities, both on-campus and digital.
- Holism, viewing the student as an integrated cognitive, psychological, and social being.
- Participation, through involving students in evaluating academic programs and university services (Tinto, 2012).
- Flexibility in pedagogical organization to accommodate diverse learning styles (Means et al., 2014).
- Sustainability in the development of educational quality and digital services.
- Support and follow-up, by providing continuous academic, psychological, and technical guidance (Hodges et al., 2020).

Second: Digital Transformation and Distance Education:

1. Digital Transformation in Education:

Digital transformation in education represents one of the most significant structural changes occurring within contemporary educational systems. It refers to the shift from traditional, lecture-based, face-to-face teaching models toward more flexible educational approaches that rely on the use of information and communication technologies in designing content, organizing learning, and managing pedagogical interaction (UNESCO, 2019). This transformation is not limited to the mere integration of digital tools into the learning environment; rather, it extends to altering the educational philosophy, redefining the roles of educational actors, and reshaping the processes of knowledge production and dissemination.

In the university context, digital transformation has played a major role in redefining the concept of the university as an open space for continuous learning, free from the constraints of time and place. It has enabled students to access broader opportunities for knowledge acquisition, engage with digital learning resources, and participate in collaborative learning activities through online platforms (Selwyn, 2016). This transformation has further strengthened the role of the student as an active participant in constructing their own learning, while the traditional role of the instructor as the sole source of information has shifted toward a role centered on guidance and facilitation.

Distance education is viewed as one of the core outcomes of digital transformation, as it constitutes a learning model based on electronic communication, virtual interaction, and the use of digital platforms for content delivery, assessment, and follow-up. This contributes to establishing more flexible learning modalities that are better aligned with students' needs (Hodges et al., 2020).

1.1. Reasons for Digital Transformation in Higher Education

Multiple factors—technological, pedagogical, social, and economic—contribute to driving digital transformation in higher education. The most important reasons include:

- The rapid development of information and communication technologies, which has generated advanced digital tools and platforms that have reshaped the ways knowledge is produced and transmitted, compelling universities to keep pace with these advancements to maintain educational quality and credibility (OECD, 2020).
  The increasing number of students enrolled in higher education institutions, alongside limited material and human resources, has made digital and distance learning a strategic option for expanding university capacity and reducing pressure on traditional infrastructure (UNESCO, 2019).
- The need to improve the quality of higher education by shifting toward student-centered learning models that promote self-directed learning, critical thinking, and skills development rather than mere knowledge transmission (Selwyn, 2016).
- Labor market demands that require graduates to possess digital skills, continuous learning abilities, and competencies for working in virtual environments—necessitating the integration of such skills into university training programs (OECD, 2020).
- Global crises and emergencies, which have highlighted the vulnerability of traditional educational models and pushed universities to adopt distance learning as a solution to ensure continuity of instruction under various circumstances (Hodges et al., 2020).

1.2. Requirements for Digital Transformation in Higher Education

Successful digital transformation in higher education cannot be achieved simply by adopting technology. It requires meeting a set of integrated conditions and requirements, including:

- Providing adequate digital infrastructure, including high-quality internet networks, stable learning management systems, and up-to-date technological tools that ensure the effectiveness and continuity of distance learning (UNESCO, 2019).
- Developing digital competencies among university stakeholders, through continuous training programs targeting instructors and students to enable effective pedagogical use of technology and avoid superficial or random adoption of digital tools (OECD, 2020).
- Formulating a clear strategic vision for digital transformation, adopted by the university administration, integrating technology within a comprehensive pedagogical project with defined objectives, mechanisms, and evaluation indicators (Selwyn, 2016).
- Developing curricula and assessment methods in alignment with the characteristics of distance learning, focusing on competency-based assessment, project-based learning, and continuous evaluation rather than traditional examinations (OECD, 2020).
- Strengthening pedagogical and technical support, through ongoing academic, psychological, and technological guidance that helps students and instructors adapt to digital environments and reduces digital exclusion (Hodges et al., 2020).

2. Concept of Distance Education:

Distance education is defined as a learning model based on spatial—and sometimes temporal—separation between the learner and the instructor, where educational content and pedagogical interaction are delivered through digital technological tools such as electronic platforms and virtual classrooms. This enables learners to study anytime and anywhere (Moore & Kearsley, 2012). It is grounded in a pedagogical philosophy that places the learner at the center of the educational process and grants them an active role in organizing their learning and constructing knowledge.

In higher education, distance education constitutes a key component of digital transformation, contributing to the restructuring of educational processes, overcoming traditional time- and location-related constraints, and expanding access to higher education (UNESCO, 2019).

2.1. Characteristics of Distance Education:

Distance education possesses several characteristics that have garnered increasing attention from higher education institutions, including:

- Temporal and spatial flexibility that allows students to study under their own circumstances (Moore & Kearsley, 2012).
- Diversification of learning resources through multimodal digital content (Anderson, 2011).
- Promotion of self-regulated learning and personal responsibility (Zimmerman, 2002).
- Expanded access to higher education for diverse societal groups (UNESCO, 2019).
- Reduction of costs related to transportation and traditional infrastructure (OECD, 2020).
- Possibility of continuous monitoring and assessment via digital platforms (Hodges et al., 2020).

2.2. Objectives of Distance Education:

Distance education aims to achieve several pedagogical and strategic objectives, including:

- Ensuring the continuity of the educational process under all circumstances (Hodges et al., 2020).
- Promoting equity in educational opportunities among students (UNESCO, 2019).
- Developing students' digital competencies and self-learning skills (OECD, 2020).
- Improving learning quality through interactive instructional methods (Anderson, 2011).
- Supporting lifelong learning and responding to the requirements of the knowledge society (Selwyn, 2016).

2.3. Importance of Distance Education:

The importance of distance education lies in its capacity to respond to the digital and social transformations affecting higher education, as it contributes to:

- Modernizing university education and enhancing its flexibility and openness (Selwyn, 2016).
- Strengthening digital inclusion and reducing educational inequities (UNESCO, 2019).
- Improving students' quality of university life by reducing stress associated with intensive attendance (Sirgy et al., 2007).
- Preparing students for the digital labor market and developing 21st-century skills (OECD, 2020).

2.4. Types of Distance Education:

Distance education takes several forms depending on the degree and timing of interaction, including:

- **Synchronous learning:** Real-time interaction between instructors and students through virtual classrooms (Anderson, 2011).
- **Asynchronous learning:** Based on recorded content and self-paced activities (Moore & Kearsley, 2012).
- **Blended (Hybrid) learning:** Combines face-to-face instruction with online learning (Graham, 2013).
- Ma**ssive Open Online Courses (MOOCs):** Large-scale learning through open-access platforms (UNESCO, 2019).

2.5. Main Axes of Distance Education:

Distance education is grounded in several essential axes that ensure its effectiveness, including:

- **Pedagogical axis:** Digital content design, teaching strategies, and assessment methods (Anderson, 2011).
- **Technological axis:** Digital infrastructure, learning platforms, and technical support (Hodges et al., 2020).
- **Human axis:** Instructor competencies, student readiness, and digital skills development (OECD, 2020).
- **Organizational and administrative axis:** University policies, pedagogical organization, and quality assurance (Selwyn, 2016).
- **Social and psychological axis:** Supporting interaction, reducing isolation, and strengthening students' sense of belonging (Sirgy et al., 2007).

3. Quality of University Life in the Context of Digital Transformation:

Quality of university life in the context of digital transformation has become a central concern in contemporary higher education studies, given the profound changes brought about by digitization in the nature of the university experience, whether academically, psychologically, or socially. Quality of university life is no longer assessed solely through academic achievement or the quality of educational programs; rather, it is increasingly linked to the university's ability to provide a supportive digital environment that ensures student well-being and achieves a balance between learning requirements and students' psychological and social needs (Sirgy et al., 2007).

Digital transformation has reshaped patterns of university life through the integration of electronic platforms, virtual classrooms, and digital services, thereby offering students broader opportunities to access knowledge, interact with educational

resources, and participate in academic activities without the constraints of time and place (UNESCO, 2019). This shift has had a positive impact on improving the quality of university life, especially in terms of flexibility, reduced burdens related to commuting, enhanced time management, and the promotion of self-directed learning.

At the academic level, digital transformation contributes to improving students' quality of life by diversifying teaching and assessment methods and adopting interactive digital content that allows learners to progress at their own pace, thus enhancing their motivation to learn (Means et al., 2014). Distance education and e-learning also provide increased opportunities for sustained academic communication between students and instructors through digital platforms, which can enhance academic satisfaction when effectively implemented (Hodges et al., 2020).

On the psychological level, digital transformation may improve university life by reducing the pressures associated with intensive attendance requirements and rigid schedules, giving students more flexibility to manage their time and balance academic demands with personal life (Ryff, 1989). However, this positive effect remains contingent upon the availability of continuous psychological and digital support, as some studies indicate that excessive reliance on technology may lead to digital fatigue and feelings of isolation in the absence of meaningful human interaction (Aristovnik et al., 2020).

Socially, digital transformation has significantly altered patterns of interaction within university settings, shifting social relations from traditional physical spaces to virtual environments. This shift has enabled new forms of communication and academic collaboration through online forums and university communication tools, thus expanding students' social networks (Tinto, 2012). Nevertheless, reduced face-to-face interaction may negatively affect students' sense of belonging unless institutions strengthen collaborative digital engagement and virtual activities that support social integration.

Furthermore, digital transformation has affected the administrative and service-related dimensions of university life quality. Digitization has simplified administrative procedures and facilitated access to university services such as registration, assessment, and academic follow-up, which has positively influenced students' satisfaction with their institutions (UNESCO, 2019). This dimension remains one of the key indicators of university life quality in digital environments.

Accordingly, improving the quality of university life in the context of digital transformation requires adopting a holistic approach that integrates pedagogical quality, psychological and social support, development of digital infrastructure, and enhancement of digital competencies among students and faculty. It also necessitates the development of university policies that consider the human dimension of digital transformation and aim to strike an effective balance between technological requirements and students' needs, thereby contributing to a high-quality, sustainable digital university experience (Selwyn, 2016).

5- Conclusion:

This study concludes that digital transformation—encompassing the adoption of distance education and hybrid learning models—has become one of the fundamental pillars for developing higher education systems and enhancing the quality of university life. The theoretical analysis showed that the quality of university life is no longer limited

to traditional academic dimensions; rather, it has become a multidimensional concept that includes psychological, social, technological, and service-related aspects, all of which have been directly influenced by digitization.

The study also highlighted that distance education, when integrated within a clear strategic vision, can effectively contribute to increasing students' academic satisfaction, providing greater flexibility in learning, and improving the balance between academic and personal life—factors that positively impact the overall quality of university life. However, these advantages remain contingent upon the availability of adequate digital infrastructure, competent human resources, and continuous psychological and social support for students.

Conversely, the study revealed that the absence of well-structured planning for digital transformation may lead to challenges that negatively affect the quality of university life, such as digital fatigue, weakened social interaction, and the widening digital divide among students. Therefore, improving the quality of university life in the context of digital transformation requires a holistic approach that balances technological demands with the human dimension of higher education, ensuring the sustainability and effectiveness of the digital transition.

6- Recommendations

- Universities should adopt a comprehensive digital strategy that integrates face-to-face and distance education within the framework of hybrid learning to enhance the quality of university life.
- Develop technological infrastructure and ensure the stability and user-friendliness of digital platforms for both students and faculty members.
- Strengthen the digital competencies of faculty and students through continuous training and professional development programs.
- Integrate psychological and social support services into digital university environments to mitigate the negative effects of digital transformation.
- Foster academic and social interaction through digital platforms by providing interactive and collaborative learning activities.
- Work towards reducing the digital divide among students by providing technological tools and necessary technical support.
- Adopt periodic indicators to assess the quality of university life in digital environments, linking them to student satisfaction and academic performance.
- Encourage scientific research on digital transformation and university life quality, with particular emphasis on field studies within the local university context

7- List of references:

Al-Issa, K. A. (2017). *Foundations of contemporary university education*. Amman: Dar Al-Maseera for Publishing and Distribution.

Al-Sarhan, M. A. (2020). *E-learning and its modern strategies*. Riyadh: Al-Rushd Library.

Aristovnik, A., Keržič, D., Ravšelj, D., Tomaževič, N., & Umek, L. (2020). Impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on life of higher education students: A global perspective. *Sustainability, 12*(20), 8438. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12208438

Ben Zroug, A. (2019). Digital transformation in higher education: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Educational Sciences, 12*(2), 45–68.

Bouafia, F. Z. (2021). University students' quality of university life and its relationship to academic satisfaction. *Journal of Psychological and Educational Sciences, 6*(1), 123–145.

Hamdan, A. (2020). Distance education in Arab universities: Reality and prospects. *Journal of Contemporary Education, 34*(3), 77–101.

Hammoudi, S. (2021). Hybrid learning as an approach to developing university education. *Journal of Higher Education, 9*(2), 55–72.

Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. *Educause Review, 27,* 1–12.

Kassimi, M. A. (2022). Digital transformation and the quality of university services. *Journal of Educational Administration, 14*(1), 89–110.

Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., Bakia, M., & Jones, K. (2014). *Learning online: What research tells us about whether, when and how*. New York, NY: Routledge.

OECD. (2020). *Education responses to COVID-19: Embracing digital learning and online collaboration*. Paris: OECD Publishing.

Ryff, C. D. (1989). Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 57*(6), 1069–1081.

Selwyn, N. (2016). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates*. London: Bloomsbury Academic.

Sirgy, M. J., Lee, D. J., Grzeskowiak, S., Yu, G. B., Webb, D., & El-Hasan, K. (2007). Quality of college life (QCL) of students. *Social Indicators Research, 80*(2), 343–360.

Tinto, V. (2012). *Completing college: Rethinking institutional action*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

UNESCO. (2019). *Digital transformation in higher education*. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.

UNESCO. (2020). *Education in a post-COVID world: Nine ideas for public action*. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.

Zgheib, N. (2018). Quality of life: Concept, dimensions, and educational applications. *Journal of Psychological Studies, 28*(4), 211–235.