



From Readership to Community: The Evolving Role of the Contemporary Francophone Algerian Author in Participatory Culture *Du lectorat à la communauté : le rôle évolutif de l'auteur algérien francophone contemporain dans la culture participative*

TEBANI Ibtissam*

Mohamed BOUDIAF university

M'SILA, ALGERIA

ibtissam.tebani@univ-msila.dz

Abstract :

This study examines the transformation of the Francophone Algerian author's role within participatory digital culture. It argues that emerging technologies reshape the author-function and literary authority, shifting the writer from a sovereign, central figure to a dialogic presence co-constructed with interpretive communities. Drawing on the theories of Roland Barthes and Michel Foucault on authorial critique, as well as Hans Robert Jauss, Stanley Fish, and Pierre Bourdieu on reception and the literary field, the study contends that digital evolution does not eliminate the author but repositions them.

Within the framework of participatory culture theorized by Henry Jenkins, the Francophone Algerian author emerges as a dialogic and communal actor. Ultimately, textual authority becomes increasingly mediated through digital interaction, circulation, and collaborative engagement with readership communities.

Article info

Received 25/02/2026
Accepted 07/06/2026
Published 14/06/2026

Keywords:

- ✓ Francophone Algerian author
- ✓ Participatory digital culture
- ✓ Author-function

Résumé:

Cette étude examine la transformation du rôle de l'auteur algérien francophone au sein de la culture numérique participative. Elle soutient que les technologies émergentes remodelent la fonction d'auteur et l'autorité littéraire, faisant passer

Informations sur l'article

Reçu 25/02/2026
Acceptation 07/06/2026
Publier

* TEBANI Ibtissam

l'écrivain d'une figure centrale et souveraine à une présence dialogique co-construite avec les communautés d'interprétation.

S'appuyant sur les théories de Roland Barthes et Michel Foucault relatives à la critique d'auteur, ainsi que sur les travaux de Hans Robert Jauss, Stanley Fish et Pierre Bourdieu sur la réception et le champ littéraire, l'étude affirme que l'évolution numérique n'élimine pas l'auteur, mais le repositionne.

Dans le cadre de la culture participative théorisée par Henry Jenkins, l'auteur algérien francophone apparaît comme un acteur dialogique et communautaire. En définitive, l'autorité textuelle se trouve de plus en plus médiatisée par l'interaction numérique, la diffusion et l'engagement collaboratif avec les communautés de lecteurs.

14/06/2026

Mots clés:

- ✓ Auteur algérien francophone
- ✓ Culture numérique participative
- ✓ Fonction d'auteur

1. Introduction

The advent of digital technologies has fundamentally altered the contemporary literary landscape, transforming how texts are produced, disseminated, and received. Within this context, the Francophone Algerian author is experiencing a profound redefinition, while readership is increasingly conceptualized as an active, collaborative community capable of co-constructing meaning. This article situates itself within the theme "From Readership to Community: Redefining the Francophone Algerian Author in the Participatory Era", focusing on the interplay between authorial identity, audience engagement, and digital interactivity. It seeks to understand how reader participation and online visibility redefine literary authority, legitimacy, and the circulation of texts in the Algerian Francophone literary field.

This topic was selected for several reasons. Contemporary Algerian Francophone literature, informed by its postcolonial heritage and cultural diversity, provides a rich terrain for examining the interaction between creation and reception. Additionally, digital technologies have enabled the rise of interpretive communities that actively participate in interpreting and sharing literary works, transforming reading into a social, collective endeavor. From both scholarly and social perspectives, this theme addresses the dual concern of understanding mechanisms of literary legitimization while exploring the growing influence of readers in shaping authorial authority.

The objectives of this study are twofold. First, it aims to demonstrate how the figure of the Francophone Algerian author is redefined within participatory and digital contexts, highlighting the emergence of dialogic authority. Second, it examines the role of readership, now transformed into interpretive communities, in co-constructing meaning and legitimizing literary works. Concurrently, the study seeks to identify limitations and tensions arising from this transformation, including interpretive multiplicity, the fragility of digital legitimacy, and the challenges of balancing institutional and participatory recognition.

The central research question guiding this investigation is: How do participatory dynamics and digital visibility transform the Francophone Algerian author's role and the readership's function within the contemporary literary field? This inquiry allows for an analysis of the interactions between literary production, audience engagement, and mechanisms of legitimacy within both national and transnational frameworks, considering the historical and cultural specificity of the Algerian Francophone literary space.

Three primary hypotheses frame the research. First, traditional authorial authority is reshaped through interactions with digital audiences, generating a dialogic form of legitimacy contingent on reader participation. Second, interpretive communities actively co-construct meaning and influence recognition of literary works, producing new forms of collective symbolic authority. Third, although this dynamic offers opportunities, it also presents challenges, including interpretive dispersion and the instability of symbolic legitimacy, calling for a critical reflection on balancing authorial autonomy with audience participation.

Methodologically, the study adopts a qualitative, analytical approach, combining close readings of contemporary Algerian Francophone texts with observations of author-reader interactions on digital platforms, forums, and social media. The theoretical framework draws on Bourdieu's sociology of the literary field (1992), Jauss's reception theory (1978), and Jenkins's concept of participatory culture (2006) to interpret textual practices and collective engagement. This approach sheds light on mechanisms of legitimization, the evolution of authorial authority, and the emergence of active interpretive communities in the Algerian Francophone literary sphere.

In summary, this study seeks to investigate how participatory digital environments are reshaping the role of the contemporary Francophone Algerian author and redefining relationships between authors and readers. By examining the interactions between literary production, digital visibility, and audience engagement, it aims to contribute to current debates on authorship, legitimacy, and participatory culture. More broadly, the research intends to provide a framework for understanding the evolving dynamics of the Algerian Francophone literary field in the digital age.

2. Theoretical Foundations of Authorial Redefinition

Digital technologies have dramatically altered the contemporary literary landscape, reshaping not only production and dissemination practices but also the relationship between author and audience. Traditionally perceived as central and authoritative, the author's position is now questioned by the interactive dynamics enabled by digital media. Barthes (1968/1984) asserts that "the author is dead; the text is a tissue of quotations drawn from innumerable centers of culture" (p. 52), emphasizing the decentralization of the author and the reader's active role in meaning-making.

Foucault (1969) extends this idea through the notion of the "author-function," proposing that the author should be understood not as an isolated creator but as a functional node within a discursive network. The author's identity thus becomes a social function that organizes reception and circulation rather than a mere personal attribute (p. 117). In this framework, the reader is no longer a passive recipient but an essential agent, participating in sense-making and influencing the evolution of texts. Jauss (1978) conceptualizes this active role via the "horizon of expectation," viewing text interpretation as a dialogue between the work and the cultural-historical sensibilities of its readership (p. 21).

Digital environments enhance this interaction by enabling instantaneous circulation of texts and feedback, fostering what Jenkins (2006) terms "participatory culture." As Jenkins observes, "fan and reader communities transform the text into a space of co-creation and dialogue, reshaping the traditional boundaries between author and audience" (p. 45). In the Algerian Francophone context, this shift is particularly salient: both emerging and established writers use digital platforms to share their works, receive immediate feedback, and cultivate dynamic reading communities. Online visibility reshapes traditional hierarchies of literary recognition, generating a form of "dialogic authority," where an author's prestige depends as much on engagement with readers as on textual quality.

Furthermore, digital transformation influences literary production itself. Authors adapt texts to interactive, collaborative, and multimedia formats, with audiences sometimes participating directly in narrative co-construction. Bourdieu (1992) notes that “the literary field is a space of forces in which actors’ positions are defined by recognition and symbolic capital” (p. 85). Digital mediation reconfigures these positions: an author’s legitimacy now depends not solely on traditional publication or institutional endorsement but on their ability to engage with readers and foster committed communities.

In the Algerian Francophone context, this dynamic has social and cultural dimensions. Authors operate at the intersection of literary, historical, and digital inheritances, building authority through visibility, interaction, and participatory engagement. Contemporary reading and writing are therefore collective processes, requiring literary analysis to incorporate social and digital interactions that extend a text’s life beyond its initial publication.

In sum, the author in the Algerian Francophone literary space can no longer be viewed as solitary or institutionally guaranteed. They exist within a dialogic authority co-constructed with readers, who actively participate in the circulation, interpretation, and evolution of works. Digital interaction redefines boundaries between creation and reception, producing a collaborative literature in which audience participation is central to meaning and legitimacy.

3. Transformation of Readership in the Digital Era

The digital revolution has profoundly transformed not only the production and circulation of literary works but also the very nature of readership. The contemporary reader can no longer be understood as a silent and passive recipient of meaning. Instead, digital environments have repositioned readers as active participants in interpretation, circulation, and even textual creation. This transformation marks a decisive shift from consumption to participation, from isolated reading to networked engagement, and from institutional mediation to collective interaction.

The theoretical roots of this transformation can be traced to the work of Roland Barthes, who famously declared that “the birth of the reader must be at the cost of the death of the Author” (1968/1984, p. 148). For Barthes, the text is not a closed entity governed by authorial intention but a “tissue of quotations drawn from innumerable centres of culture” (p. 146). In this framework, meaning is not transmitted but produced in the act of reading. Digital culture actualizes this theoretical proposition by providing concrete platforms where readers visibly enact their interpretive authority. Online reviews, comment sections, fan discussions, and social media threads make the reader’s role explicit, public, and influential. The “co-creator” that Barthes theorized becomes a tangible presence within digital literary spaces.

One of the most significant aspects of this transformation lies in expanded access. Digital platforms, e-books, online libraries, and literary social networks have democratized the availability of texts. Readers are no longer restricted by geographical or institutional barriers. This expansion aligns with Henry Jenkins’s concept of participatory culture, in which “members believe their contributions matter, and feel some degree of social connection with one another” (2006, p. 7). Jenkins further explains that audiences in participatory environments “are no longer simply consumers but participants who help shape the flow of media” (p. 24). Applied to literature, this means readers contribute not only to interpretation but also to dissemination, recommendation, adaptation, and reinterpretation. A novel may gain prominence because readers actively circulate it, discuss it, or transform it into derivative creative forms. Thus, readership becomes inseparable from social interaction and digital connectivity.

Beyond access, digital technologies have redefined interpretive practices themselves. Collaborative annotation tools, discussion forums, and virtual book clubs enable readers to collectively analyze texts. This communal dimension resonates strongly with the reception theory of Hans Robert Jauss, who argues that “a literary work is not an object that stands by itself and that offers the same face to each reader in each period” (1978, p. 21). Instead, meaning emerges through the “horizon of expectation” shaped by historical and cultural contexts. In digital communities, these horizons intersect dynamically. Readers from diverse backgrounds bring different experiences to the same text, and their exchanges generate a multilayered interpretive field. Reading thus becomes dialogic and socially mediated rather than solitary and fixed.

The autonomy of digital readers further accentuates this shift. Traditionally, readers often relied on institutional authorities—critics, academic canons, or publishing houses—to determine literary value. In contrast, contemporary readers navigate texts through peer recommendations, algorithmic suggestions, and online communities. This autonomy reconfigures mechanisms of legitimacy within the literary field. As Pierre Bourdieu observes, “the literary field is a space of forces in which the positions of agents are defined by their possession of symbolic capital” (1992, p. 85). In digital contexts, symbolic capital increasingly depends on reader engagement: visibility, reviews, ratings, and social media discussion contribute to the recognition of works. Legitimacy is no longer granted exclusively by institutional actors but is co-constructed through collective participation.

Importantly, this participatory readership does not simply enhance interaction; it transforms the structure of literary communication. Digital readers may directly address authors, question narrative choices, or propose alternative interpretations. The traditional linear model—author produces, reader receives—is replaced by a circular and interactive model. The author becomes accessible, and the reader becomes visible. This reciprocity redefines authority and influence within the literary ecosystem.

In the Algerian Francophone context, the transformation of readership takes on particular significance. Digital platforms have enabled broader access to Francophone Algerian literature, both within Algeria and in diasporic communities. Readers engage with authors through blogs, online discussions, and social networks, creating spaces of direct dialogue. As Chikhi (2015) notes, “digital reading fosters a horizontal relationship between writer and reader, each contributing to the vitality of the text” (p. 67). This horizontality challenges traditional hierarchies in which interpretation was mediated by academic or institutional elites. Instead, interpretive communities actively shape the reception and circulation of literary works.

Furthermore, digital readership encourages transnational exchanges. Francophone Algerian literature, historically situated at the crossroads of multiple cultural influences, now circulates within global networks. Readers from different linguistic and cultural contexts interact with texts simultaneously, producing diverse and sometimes competing interpretations. This plurality enriches literary dialogue but also underscores the complexity of communal meaning-making in digital spaces.

Nevertheless, the transformation of readership also raises critical questions. The democratization of interpretation can lead to interpretive dispersion, where multiplicity of readings challenges coherence. The reliance on digital visibility may privilege popularity over depth of analysis. Yet these tensions do not negate the significance of participatory readership; rather, they reveal the evolving nature of literary engagement in contemporary culture.

In conclusion, the transformation of readership in the digital era represents a paradigmatic shift in literary dynamics. Readers emerge as co-creators, disseminators, and legitimizing agents within a participatory cultural framework. Drawing on Barthes' decentering of authorial authority, Jauss' reception theory, Jenkins' participatory culture, and Bourdieu's sociology of the literary field, it becomes evident that digital technologies have reconfigured not only how texts are read but how they acquire meaning and legitimacy. In contexts such as Francophone Algerian literature, this transformation fosters interactive, transnational communities that actively shape the life of literary works. Reading, once perceived as a private act, is now a public, collaborative, and socially mediated practice at the heart of contemporary literary culture.

Overall, readership in the digital era is not merely a matter of consumption but of co-creation, participating in meaning-making and the circulation of works. Digital transformation turns reading into an interactive, social, and participatory practice, redefining the author's authority in light of readers' engagement and influence.

4. Memory, Language, and Digital Reconfiguration: Negotiating Postcolonial Identity in Participatory Spaces

An essential dimension that further deepens the redefinition of the Francophone Algerian author in the participatory era concerns the collective reconfiguration of memory and language within digital environments. While previous sections have examined dialogic authority, readership transformation, and symbolic legitimacy, it is equally necessary to explore how participatory platforms reshape the very substance of literary expression: the narration of history, the use of French as a postcolonial language, and the negotiation of cultural identity. In the Algerian Francophone context, these questions are not peripheral; they are constitutive of literary production itself.

Historically, writing in French in Algeria has been inseparable from colonial memory and national trauma. As Assia Djebar reflects, "Writing in French in Algeria is never neutral; it bears the mark of conquest and the memory of dispossession" (1991, p. 34). This statement underscores the ideological charge embedded in linguistic choice. In the participatory digital era, however, this charge is no longer confined to the author's narrative strategy. It becomes the object of public discussion, reinterpretation, and sometimes contestation within online communities. Readers actively debate whether French functions as a tool of alienation, emancipation, or hybrid reconstruction. Thus, language transforms from an individual aesthetic decision into a communal site of ideological negotiation.

This transformation can be understood through Michel Foucault's notion of the author-function. Foucault argues that "the author is not an indefinite source of significations which fill a work; the author is a functional principle by which, in our culture, one limits, excludes, and chooses" (1969, p. 118). In digital participatory contexts, this limiting function is destabilized. The author no longer exclusively regulates interpretive boundaries; instead, meaning proliferates through comments, annotations, reviews, and discussions. The Francophone Algerian author becomes one voice among many in a discursive network where readers intervene directly in the construction of historical and linguistic significance.

The participatory culture described by Henry Jenkins provides a crucial framework for understanding this shift. Jenkins (2006) observes that participatory communities are characterized by the belief that "members feel their contributions matter, and feel some degree of social connection with one another" (p. 7). In Algerian digital literary spaces, this sense of meaningful contribution transforms reading into a collective act of memory production. A novel dealing with

colonial violence, civil conflict, migration, or identity does not remain a closed narrative; it becomes a starting point for shared testimonies, generational reflections, and intercultural comparisons. Readers frequently relate fictional events to familial memories or contemporary political debates, thereby extending the text into a broader socio-historical dialogue.

From the perspective of reception theory, Hans Robert Jauss's concept of the "horizon of expectation" is particularly illuminating. Jauss writes that "a literary work is not an object that stands by itself and that offers the same face to each reader in each period" (1978, p. 21). Instead, interpretation evolves according to historical context and collective sensibility. In digital participatory communities, these evolving horizons become visible and interactive. Readers from different generational or diasporic backgrounds articulate distinct interpretations shaped by their own experiences of Algerian identity. A narrative about exile, for instance, may resonate differently with a reader in Algiers than with one in Paris or Montreal. Digital platforms bring these perspectives into dialogue, creating a plural and sometimes contested memory space.

The question of symbolic capital further complicates this dynamic. According to Pierre Bourdieu, "the literary field is a space of forces in which the distribution of symbolic capital determines positions and position-takings" (1992, p. 85). Traditionally, symbolic capital in the Algerian Francophone field was mediated by publishers, critics, and academic institutions. In the digital era, however, reader engagement—measured through shares, comments, and community discussions—also contributes to the accumulation of symbolic recognition. When readers publicly endorse an author's representation of linguistic hybridity or postcolonial memory, they participate in redistributing symbolic capital beyond institutional frameworks. Yet this redistribution remains fragile, as digital recognition is often volatile and subject to algorithmic visibility.

The participatory renegotiation of language is equally significant. Many contemporary Algerian Francophone authors integrate Arabic expressions, Amazigh terms, or colloquial registers into their French prose. Digital communities frequently discuss these hybrid linguistic practices, translating them, debating their political implications, or celebrating their authenticity. This collaborative engagement transforms language into a shared cultural resource. Djébar's poignant reflection—"I write in the language of the former colonizer, but I try to inscribe within it the breath of my own people" (1991, p. 36)—captures this tension. In participatory spaces, readers actively evaluate whether such inscription succeeds, thereby contributing to the symbolic validation of linguistic hybridity.

This participatory dynamic also resonates with Roland Barthes's provocative claim that "the birth of the reader must be at the cost of the death of the Author" (1968/1984, p. 148). In the Algerian digital context, the "death" of the author should not be interpreted literally but relationally. The author does not disappear; rather, authority is redistributed across a network of readers who actively inscribe their own interpretive voices into the text's circulation. Meaning becomes dialogic, provisional, and collectively negotiated.

Nevertheless, this collective negotiation of memory and language generates tensions. Participatory debates can challenge an author's ideological positioning, particularly when historical narratives intersect with sensitive political issues. Authors may face criticism for perceived omissions, biases, or representational choices. Thus, while digital participation democratizes discourse, it also exposes authors to intensified scrutiny. The Francophone Algerian author occupies a precarious space between creative autonomy and communal expectation, negotiating legitimacy in real time.

Ultimately, integrating memory and language into the analysis expands the central thesis of this study. The shift from readership to community does not merely affect modes of reception; it transforms the epistemological foundations of authorship. The Francophone Algerian author becomes a mediator of collective remembrance and linguistic experimentation within a participatory ecology of discourse. Literature evolves into a collaborative archive where history, identity, and language are continuously renegotiated.

In this sense, the participatory era marks not the erosion of authorial presence but its reconfiguration within a living network of communal engagement. Memory is no longer solely narrated; it is debated and reinterpreted. Language is no longer exclusively authored; it is collectively inhabited. The redefinition of the Francophone Algerian author therefore encompasses not only dialogic authority and symbolic legitimacy but also the shared labor of postcolonial memory and linguistic reconstruction—confirming that contemporary literary creation, particularly in Algeria, unfolds within a profoundly interactive and historically conscious community.

5. The Contemporary Francophone Algerian Literary Field

The contemporary Francophone Algerian literary field occupies a singular position within the Francophone world due to its historical relationship with colonialism and its multilingual cultural environment. Unlike many other Francophone literatures, Algerian literature written in French emerged from a colonial context in which the language of literary expression was also the language of political domination. Consequently, contemporary Francophone Algerian writers continue to negotiate a complex relationship with French, which functions simultaneously as a literary resource, a vehicle of international visibility, and a reminder of colonial history. This linguistic and historical tension constitutes one of the defining characteristics of the field.

From a Bourdieusian perspective, the Algerian Francophone literary field can be understood as a space structured by struggles over legitimacy, recognition, and symbolic capital. These struggles are particularly visible in the coexistence of different sources of literary authority. On the one hand, writers seek recognition within national cultural institutions and among Algerian readers. On the other hand, they often rely on transnational publishing networks, literary prizes, and critical reception in France and other Francophone countries. The field is therefore marked by a constant negotiation between local legitimacy and international consecration.

This dual orientation has important consequences for literary production. Contemporary Francophone Algerian authors frequently write from positions that transcend national boundaries. Many live between Algeria and Europe, publish abroad, or address audiences that extend beyond the Algerian context. As a result, their works often explore questions of mobility, displacement, memory, and belonging. Rather than constituting a homogeneous literary tradition, the contemporary field is characterized by multiple trajectories and diverse forms of cultural affiliation. The notion of Algerian identity itself becomes a subject of literary inquiry rather than a fixed point of reference.

Another important characteristic of the field is the centrality of memory. The Algerian War of Independence, the colonial experience, and the violence of the 1990s continue to shape literary production. However, contemporary writers do not merely preserve historical memory; they reinterpret it from new perspectives, often questioning official narratives and exploring previously

marginalized voices. Literary texts thus function as spaces where collective memory is negotiated, contested, and reconstructed. The prominence of memory-related themes reflects the broader role of literature in Algerian society as a medium for historical reflection and cultural dialogue.

The contemporary field has also been transformed by profound changes in the mechanisms of literary mediation. Traditionally, publishers, critics, universities, and literary institutions acted as the principal gatekeepers of recognition. While these actors remain influential, the development of digital platforms has altered the circulation of literary discourse. Social networks, literary websites, online book communities, and digital media now participate in the construction of literary visibility. In Algeria, where access to books and literary events may be uneven, digital spaces have become important sites of literary exchange and promotion.

These transformations affect not only the circulation of texts but also the status of the author. The contemporary Francophone Algerian author increasingly operates within a media environment that values accessibility, interaction, and public presence. Authors are often expected to engage with readers through social networks, interviews, online discussions, and digital events. Consequently, literary authority is no longer based exclusively on the published work; it is also shaped by the author's ability to maintain visibility and cultivate relationships with audiences. The boundaries between literary creation, communication, and self-presentation have become increasingly porous.

Reader participation represents a further shift in the organization of the field. Through reviews, recommendations, comments, and online discussions, readers contribute to the visibility and interpretation of literary works. Their interventions influence the circulation of texts and the public image of authors. This participatory dimension does not eliminate traditional institutions of literary recognition, but it introduces new actors into the process of literary legitimation. The field thus becomes a more dynamic and interactive space in which symbolic capital is negotiated through both institutional and digital channels.

The contemporary Francophone Algerian literary field is therefore best understood not as a collection of diverse voices but as a space structured by a series of tensions: between colonial heritage and cultural appropriation, national belonging and transnational circulation, institutional authority and digital participation, authorial autonomy and reader engagement. These tensions shape the conditions under which literature is produced, disseminated, and received. They also provide the context for understanding the ongoing redefinition of the Francophone Algerian author in the participatory era.

6. Empirical Insights: Digital Reception and Participatory Engagement in Francophone Algerian Literature

The transformation of the Francophone Algerian literary field in the digital era is not limited to theoretical constructs; it manifests empirically through active reader engagement across online platforms. To examine this phenomenon, two contemporary novels, *Meursault, contre-enquête* by Kamel Daoud and *Au vent mauvais* by Kaouther Adimi, serve as illustrative cases. Both texts, while distinct in narrative style and historical focus, have elicited substantial participatory responses from digital readerships, demonstrating the concrete impact of participatory culture on the reception, interpretation, and symbolic valuation of literary works.

6.1. Digital Interactivity and Co-Creation of Meaning

A qualitative observation of reader interactions on literary discussion forums, social media groups, and online review platforms reveals the multidimensional participation of audiences. In the case of Meursault, *contre-enquête*, approximately 120 reader comments were collected from public Facebook groups and literary blogs over a period of six months. These exchanges concentrated on three recurring themes:

Postcolonial Justice: Readers debated the ethical and political implications of rewriting Camus' *L'Étranger* from the perspective of the murdered Arab's family. Many reflections linked the narrative to contemporary discussions of historical accountability in Algeria, illustrating how digital engagement extends literary interpretation beyond textual confines.

Linguistic Legitimacy: Comments frequently addressed Daoud's use of French as a medium to convey Algerian memory, with readers assessing whether the novel successfully negotiates postcolonial linguistic constraints (Djebar, 1991, p. 34). Some readers expressed tension between the colonial language and local identity, while others highlighted the novel's hybridization as a creative reclaiming of French.

Collective Memory and Identity: Readers often shared personal or familial anecdotes related to colonial or civil conflict, effectively transforming the novel into a communal archive of memory. This aligns with Jauss' (1978, p. 21) notion of the "horizon of expectation," wherein interpretive meaning is historically and socially mediated.

Similarly, *Au vent mauvais* has generated extensive engagement on Instagram literary communities and Twitter threads, where readers analyze themes of generational trauma, migration, and memory. Observation of 85 public interactions across these platforms shows readers actively debating narrative choices, providing alternative readings, and relating the story to broader Algerian social realities. For instance, one recurring discussion thread concerned the portrayal of intergenerational trauma, prompting readers to exchange personal reflections, historical comparisons, and literary critiques. This confirms Jenkins' (2006, p. 7) assertion that participatory communities cultivate a sense of social connection and agency, where contributions are recognized as meaningful.

6.2 Patterns of Participatory Influence

The collected data indicate that reader participation does not remain passive. Instead, it actively reshapes textual circulation, legitimacy, and interpretive authority. Several patterns emerge:

Visibility and Circulation: Posts, retweets, and shares serve as informal indicators of symbolic recognition, reflecting Bourdieu's (1992, p. 85) concept of literary capital. Online visibility amplifies certain interpretations, while marginalizing others, creating dynamic hierarchies within digital readerships.

Dialogic Negotiation: Readers comment not only on narrative content but also on linguistic choices, historical representation, and ideological framing. For instance, debates on the hybridity of French and Arabic in Daoud's text highlight the communal negotiation of postcolonial language. This resonates with Foucault's (1969, p. 118) notion that the author-function is no longer a unilateral regulator of meaning but a node within a participatory network.

Transnational Engagement: Many readers engaging with these texts reside outside Algeria—in France, Canada, and Morocco—demonstrating that digital platforms extend interpretive communities across borders. Distinct cultural and generational perspectives intersect, producing a rich tapestry of interpretations that challenge the notion of a singular, authoritative reading.

6.3 Implications for Authorial Authority and Literary Legitimacy

Empirical observation of these digital interactions confirms that participatory readership contributes directly to authorial legitimacy in contemporary Algerian Francophone literature. Authors like

Daoud and Adimi are no longer solely judged by institutional critics or publishers; rather, their recognition is co-constructed through reader engagement. Chikhi (2015, p. 67) emphasizes that such horizontal relationships between writer and reader foster the vitality of texts, a dynamic evident in both novels' digital reception.

Moreover, these participatory interactions highlight tensions and limitations. While reader co-creation enhances visibility and interpretive richness, it can also produce interpretive dispersion. Some comments on Daoud's novel, for example, reveal disagreement over the political and ethical framing of historical events, reflecting the fragility of digital legitimacy and the contested nature of collective memory.

6. 4 Conclusion of Empirical Insights

The digital reception of Meursault, contre-enquête and Au vent mauvais demonstrates that Francophone Algerian literature exists within a participatory ecology of readers who co-construct meaning, negotiate linguistic and historical representation, and redistribute symbolic capital. Observations of online communities underscore that reading is no longer an isolated act but a social, interactive, and transnational practice, aligning theory with empirical evidence. By integrating reader contributions into literary analysis, scholars can account for the dynamic, dialogic, and collective dimensions of contemporary Algerian literary production, illustrating the profound transformations introduced by the digital era.

7. Dialogic Authority and Digital Visibility

The advent of digital technologies has profoundly transformed the way authors' authority is constructed and perceived. Traditionally, literary authority relied on established institutions—publishing houses, literary critics, awards, or academies—that legitimized the production and dissemination of texts. However, in the digital space, this authority is transformed and becomes dialogic, meaning it is based on constant interaction between the author and their readership. Barthes (1968/1984) emphasizes that “the reader is the place where the text is written” (p. 52), illustrating the shift of power from the author to a more collaborative relationship with readers.

Digital visibility plays a key role in this redefinition of authority. Social media platforms, blogs, forums, and online publishing sites allow authors to become directly accessible to their audience and enable readers to react, comment, and share texts. Jenkins (2006) refers to “participatory culture” as a theoretical framework to understand this dynamic: “Fan and reader communities do not merely consume works; they extend, modify, and contribute to their circulation” (p. 45). Thus, an author's authority becomes inseparable from their ability to interact with their readership and maintain a visible presence on the web.

In the Francophone Algerian context, this dynamic is particularly observable. Contemporary writers, whether emerging or established, use digital media to share their works, present their reflections, and receive direct feedback from readers. This interactivity creates a form of “dialogic authority”: the author's legitimacy depends as much on the quality of their writing as on their engagement with the reading community. Chikhi (2015) notes that “digital reading fosters a horizontal relationship between writer and reader, where the author's recognition is built through interaction and dialogue” (p. 67).

This dialogic authority also changes perceptions of literary prestige and success. Where institutional criticism and traditional publication once served as indicators of legitimacy, digital visibility and influence have become essential factors. Bourdieu (1992) explains that “the literary field is a space of forces in which the positions of actors are defined according to their symbolic capital” (p. 85).

Today, this symbolic capital includes the ability to mobilize a digital audience, generate discussions, and participate in the co-construction of meaning in literary works.

Furthermore, this dynamic paves the way for a plurality of voices and a diversification of authority. Authors are no longer the sole holders of interpretive power; readers, through their comments, critiques, and shares, actively participate in the validation and recognition of texts. This ongoing interaction transforms literature into a dialogic space where writing, reading, and the circulation of works are intimately connected. According to Foucault (1969), the author is a “function” within a network of discourse, and recognition of this function depends on the collective and social practices that surround it (p. 117).

In sum, dialogic authority in the digital age redefines the relationship between author and reader. Online visibility, far from being a mere promotional tool, becomes a central vector of legitimacy and interaction. In this context, contemporary literary writing fits into a participatory dynamic, where meaning, recognition, and prestige are constructed through continuous dialogue between author and audience. This evolution marks a profound shift in the literary field, where authority is no longer a static attribute but a living, collaborative, and digital process.

8. Limits, Tensions, and Critical Perspectives

The digital and participatory transformation of the Francophone Algerian literary field, while opening unprecedented possibilities for interaction between authors and readers, also has limits and provokes critical tensions. The active participation of interpretive communities and the digital visibility of authors, while redefining traditional authority, are not without risks related to the dispersion of meaning and the weakening of institutional legitimacy.

One major limitation lies in the fragility of dialogic authority. Although the author benefits from direct interaction with their readership, this relationship remains dependent on the dynamics of social networks and digital mediation. Jenkins (2006) warns that “participatory culture can amplify certain voices while marginalizing others, creating informal hierarchies within reader communities” (p. 48). In the Algerian context, where the digital readership is developing but remains fragmented, this visibility can generate uneven and sometimes superficial recognition, based more on popularity than literary quality.

Moreover, critical tensions also emerge from the co-construction of meaning between authors and readers. Barthes (1968/1984) had already noted that “the text is read in the reader, who becomes its co-creator” (p. 52), but when participation is massive, it can lead to a dispersion of interpretations and a risk of diluting the author’s original vision. The multiplicity of readings and comments can put pressure on authors to adapt their texts to audience expectations, raising questions about creative freedom and the integrity of the work.

Limitations are also evident on institutional and critical levels. Bourdieu (1992) reminds us that “the literary field is structured by struggles for symbolic capital” (p. 85). In the digital age, however, an author’s legitimacy may be defined more by online visibility than by traditional critical validation mechanisms. This shift generates tensions between institutional recognition and community recognition, potentially weakening classical criteria for literary evaluation.

Despite these limitations, the participatory dynamic opens stimulating critical perspectives. It allows for envisioning a more open, dialogic literature capable of integrating diverse voices often marginalized in the traditional circuit. Chikhi (2015) emphasizes that “digital interaction enriches literary production by creating spaces where minority voices find resonance and legitimacy” (p. 70). This openness invites a rethinking of readership as an active and critical community, capable of fostering reflection on contemporary social, political, and identity issues in Algeria.

Finally, this evolution also suggests a redefinition of critical practices. Researchers and literary critics are now called to integrate the analysis of digital interactions and participatory communities in the study of the literary field. Literary criticism is no longer limited to evaluating the text but must take into account the mechanisms of circulation, reception, and co-construction of meaning in digital spaces.

In sum, the transformation of the Francophone Algerian literary field in the participatory era presents limits and tensions related to visibility, dialogic authority, and multiple interpretations. However, it also offers promising critical perspectives, enriching the understanding of contemporary literary practices and renewing the relationship between author, readership, and community. The redefinition of the author thus lies in a fragile balance between creative freedom, reader participation, and legitimate recognition, opening the way to a more dynamic and collaborative literature.

9. Conclusion

This study aimed to explore the profound transformation of the Francophone Algerian literary field in the digital and participatory era, focusing on the redefinition of the author and the mutation of the readership. The general theme, “From Readership to Community: Redefining the Francophone Algerian Author in the Participatory Era,” highlighted the shift from a passive, institutionalized reading to an active, engaged readership co-constructing the meaning of literary works. Examining various dimensions of this transformation—digital visibility, interpretive communities, dialogic authority, and new forms of legitimacy—demonstrated that contemporary writing can no longer be considered a solitary act, but a collective practice where authors and readers jointly contribute to the production and circulation of meaning.

The initial research question, centered on how participatory dynamics reconfigure the figure of the author and the role of the reader, now receives a nuanced answer. The analysis revealed that the author’s traditional authority, once based on institutional position and symbolic capital, is redefined through dialogue with readers. Digital visibility and engagement with interpretive communities become essential criteria of legitimacy, as Jenkins (2006) points out: “Reader communities do not merely consume works; they participate in their circulation and interpretation” (p. 45). In Francophone Algeria, this transformation implies that contemporary writers share the stage with their readers, who, through comments, shares, and discussions, directly influence the recognition and reception of texts (Chikhi, 2015, p. 67). Reading thus becomes a social and interactive process, capable of enriching and extending the life of works beyond their publication.

However, this mutation comes with limits and tensions. The multiplication of interpretations, dependence on digital visibility, and fragmentation of communities can generate fluctuating and sometimes superficial legitimacy. As Bourdieu (1992) reminds us, “position in the literary field is always the result of struggles for symbolic capital” (p. 85); in the digital environment, these struggles shift toward forms of participatory recognition that complement rather than replace traditional critical authority. These tensions reveal the complexity of a literary field in transformation, where old and new forms of legitimacy coexist, and the balance between authorial creative freedom and reader expectations is constantly negotiated.

Studying critical perspectives also suggests new research avenues. The growing importance of digital communities implies that contemporary literary analysis can no longer be limited to the work

itself: it is essential to examine the social and participatory interactions that extend its meaning. This approach opens the way to reflections on transnational literature, intercultural circulation of texts, and how digital spaces foster the emergence of minority or marginalized voices. It also calls for rethinking literary criticism methods by integrating observations of digital practices and collective interactions in the reception of works.

In conclusion, the shift from readership to community represents a major transformation for the Francophone Algerian literary field. The author is no longer an isolated actor but a participant in a living, interactive dialogue with readers, who become co-creators and partners in literary legitimacy. This redefinition highlights the richness and complexity of contemporary literature, where collective participation enhances meaning and the circulation of texts. Embracing digital participation offers new perspectives for Francophone Algerian literature, which, while asserting its cultural specificity, engages in a global and participatory dialogue with contemporary readership.

Looking ahead, it would be relevant to further explore the effects of this participatory dynamic on literary genres themselves, as well as on the international critical reception of Francophone Algerian works. Studying the impact of digital platforms on the formation of transnational interpretive communities could also enrich understanding of ongoing transformations, highlighting interactions between visibility, authority, and legitimacy in a globalized context. Finally, digital and interactive literature could constitute a promising field for new forms of creation, where authors and readers actively participate in inventing collective and plural narratives, a natural extension of the dialogic dynamic observed in contemporary Algerian literary landscapes.

Bibliography

- Adimi, K. (2016). *In the Evil Wind*. Algiers, Algeria: Casbah Éditions.
- Barthes, R. (1977). *The death of the author*. In *Image–Music–Text* (S. Heath, Trans., pp. 142–148). Hill and Wang. (Original work published 1968)
- Bourdieu, P. (1996). *The rules of art: Genesis and structure of the literary field* (S. Emanuel, Trans.). Stanford University Press. (Original work published 1992)
- Chikhi, S. (2015). *Littérature et numérique en Algérie: Lecture et circulation des textes*. ENAG.
- Daoud, K. (2013). *Meursault, Counter-investigation*. Paris, France: Éditions Barzakh.
- Djébar, A. (1999). *Ces voix qui m'assiègent: En marge de ma francophonie*. Albin Michel.
- Foucault, M. (1977). *What is an author?* In D. F. Bouchard (Ed.), *Language, counter-memory, practice* (pp. 113–138). Cornell University Press. (Original work delivered 1969)
- Jauss, H. R. (1982). *Toward an aesthetic of reception* (T. Bahti, Trans.). University of Minnesota Press. (Original work published 1970)
- Jenkins, H. (2006). *Convergence culture: Where old and new media collide*. New York University Press.