

Professional Compatibility in Light of Quality of Work Life: An Organizational Approach

Bougheloum Mohammed¹, Bahri Saber ²

¹ Laboratory of Psychopathology and Neuropsychology, Mohamed Lamine
Debaghine Sétif 2 University (Algeria),
m.bougheloum@univ-setif2.dz

²Center of Martyr Si El Haouas , Barika (Algeria),
saber.bahri@cu-barika.dz

Received:24./09/2025

Accepted: 07./12/2025

Published:26./01/2026

Abstract:

Professional adaptation is considered a crucial determinant of individual success within organizations, as it reflects the degree of psychological, social, and professional alignment with the demands and conditions of the workplace. At the same time, quality of work life represents the organizational context that guarantees job security, fair compensation, work-life balance, and a motivating climate. This paper explores the complementary relationship between professional adaptation and quality of work life, arguing that the latter serves as a strategic driver for enhancing employee commitment, fostering a sense of belonging, and improving overall organizational performance. Adopting an organizational perspective, the study integrates a theoretical review of concepts and models with practical insights drawn from selected organizational practices. The findings underline the role of professional adaptation and quality of work life as interdependent factors that contribute not only to organizational effectiveness but also to the promotion of sustainable development within institutions.

Keywords: professional adaptation; quality of work life; organizational behavior; professional performance; organizational effectiveness.

1. INTRODUCTION:

The contemporary world of work is experiencing profound changes affecting organizational and professional life. Success today is no longer limited to technical skills or productivity, but also to the individual's ability to adapt in dynamic environments marked by rapid change, competition, and task complexity. Professional adaptation reflects not only harmony with job requirements, but also the capacity to cope with pressures, build positive relations, and find value in work, which ensures psychological stability and enhances organizational performance .

In this context, quality of work life has become a key dimension institutions seek to develop by ensuring fair conditions, equitable pay, security, and balance between work and personal life. Such quality fosters professional adaptation, satisfaction, and belonging, while adaptation itself contributes to reducing stress and improving productivity.

Studying the link between adaptation and quality of work life is crucial for organizational effectiveness, as sustainability depends not only on technology and resources but on valuing the human element at the core of strategies. This article therefore aims to analyze this relationship from both theoretical and practical perspectives, clarifying concepts, highlighting their interdependence, and presenting organizational practices and recommendations. The methodology integrates theoretical and practical approaches to explain how adaptation and quality of work life interact in real work contexts.

2.Section One: The Concept of Vocational / Professional Fit

Vocational / Professional Fit is a state of alignment or harmony between an individual's characteristics-skills, values, needs, psychological and social traits-and the requirements of the job and the work environment, including tasks, organizational culture, workplace relationships, and reward systems. Fit is not merely a technical match between a skill and a task; rather, it is a multidimensional construct that influences how an individual feels in terms of security, satisfaction, and motivation, while simultaneously impacting the organization's performance and sustainability. In the literature, scholars distinguish between several related concepts such as **Person–Job Fit**, **Person–Organization Fit**, and **Person–Environment Fit**, each

shedding light on one aspect of the broader notion of fit. (Mohammad Qasim Al-Qaryouti, 2015, p. 87)

2.1.Requirement One: Definition of Professional Compatibility and Its Psychological, Social, and Professional Dimensions

Definition

Professional compatibility is the alignment between an individual's abilities and aspirations and the organization's requirements and offerings; the greater the match, the higher the comfort and effectiveness, while a lower match leads to conflict and stress.

Dimensions of Professional Compatibility

Psychological Fit

- **Content:** Includes values, beliefs, motivation, and psychological needs such as the need for security, recognition, and self-actualization.
- **Indicators:** Overall job satisfaction, value congruence between individual and organization, emotional stability, and confidence at work.
- **Example:** An employee who values independence highly; if the job grants decision-making autonomy, psychological fit occurs.

Social Fit

- **Content:** Concerns interpersonal relations in the workplace, harmony with colleagues, team support, and modes of social communication within the institution.
- **Indicators:** Sense of belonging, quality of relationships among colleagues, level of cooperation, emotional and professional support.
- **Example:** A naturally social employee requires group interaction; in a highly isolated environment, social misfit arises.

Relationship among the Dimensions

The three dimensions are interdependent: weakness in one may be temporarily compensated by another (e.g., strong social relations may alleviate temporary professional misfit), but sustainable fit requires an acceptable level in all dimensions.

2.2.Requirement Two: Factors Influencing Professional Compatibility (Personality, Work Environment, Organizational Support)

Professional Compatibility results from the interaction between individual and environmental factors, classified as follows:

Personal Factors

- Personality traits (e.g., openness, conscientiousness, neuroticism, extraversion—Big Five). For example, highly conscientious individuals suit jobs requiring organization and precision.
- Skills and knowledge: educational level, professional experience, technical qualifications.
- Career needs: promotion aspirations, need for stability, or preference for diversity and challenge.
- Career/life stage: early-career individuals accept greater risks, whereas mid-career individuals may seek stability and balanced work hours.
- Psychological and physical health: endurance capacity and acceptable stress levels. (Hassan Abdullah Suleiman, 2010, p. 101)

Work Environment Factors

- Job design: task variety, autonomy, role clarity, and cognitive challenge directly affect Professional Compatibility.
- Leadership style: supportive leadership and fairness enhance fit; authoritarian leadership diminishes it.
- Organizational culture: cultures fostering learning and innovation attract those seeking challenges; conservative cultures suit those preferring stability.
- Job demands and stress: workload, strict deadlines, and unclear objectives reduce fit.
- Infrastructure and physical environment: safe and comfortable workplaces enhance fit, while polluted or inadequate environments reduce it.
- Colleagues and teams: quality of interactions, presence of role models, and mentoring opportunities. (Fatimah Abdullah Al-Qasim, 2018, p. 59).

Moderating Interactions:

- Autonomy can mitigate the effects of direct professional misfit.
- Individual adaptability influences tolerance of fit gaps.
- Organizational climate can amplify or weaken the influence of personal factors on fit. (Ahmed Hassan Al-Baghdadi, 2019, p. 148)

2.3. Requirement Three: Outcomes of Strong or Weak Professional Compatibility for Individuals and Organizations

A. Outcomes of Strong Professional Compatibility

- **For the individual:**

- Greater job satisfaction and sense of self-fulfillment.
- Higher organizational commitment and willingness to stay.
- Better job performance and productivity.
- Lower stress and reduced burnout.
- Faster career growth and professional development opportunities.
- Organizational Citizenship Behaviors (OCB): helping colleagues, promoting the organization, accepting extra tasks.

- **For the organization:**

- Reduced turnover and lower recruitment/training costs.
- Positive organizational climate that boosts innovation and cooperation.
- Higher service and product quality, leading to increased customer satisfaction.
- Retention of knowledge and qualifications within the organization.
- Strong employer branding reputation that attracts talent. (Abdulaziz Abdullah Al-Sunbul, 2011, p. 72)

B. Outcomes of Weak Professional Compatibility

- **For the individual:**

- Reduced satisfaction and increased psychological discomfort.
- Higher levels of stress, anxiety, and exhaustion.
- Declining performance and limited ability to achieve results.
- Increased intention to quit and frequent absenteeism.
- Emergence of negative behaviors such as resistance to change or counterproductive behaviors.

- **For the organization:**

- Higher financial costs due to resignations, repeated hiring, and loss of expertise.
- Overall performance decline and lower quality of work.
- Decreased team morale and increased internal conflicts.

- Weakened innovation and organizational learning due to loss of key competencies.
- Negative employer image in the labor market, making it harder to attract qualified talent.

Mechanisms of Influence – How Fit/Misfit Operates in Practice

- **Cognitive mechanism:** Fit enhances objective perception of roles and tasks, reducing cognitive dissonance.
- **Emotional mechanism:** Fit generates positive emotions (satisfaction, pride), while misfit triggers the opposite.
- **Behavioral mechanism:** Fit increases willingness to exert effort and commit to behaviors beneficial to the organization.

3.Section Two: Quality of Work Life as an Organizational Approach

The concept of Quality of Work Life (QWL) has emerged as a crucial organizational entry point for enhancing employee well-being and fostering a positive work environment. It represents a multidimensional construct that integrates economic, psychological, and social dimensions, aiming to create a balance between organizational requirements and the personal needs of employees.

Recent research has emphasized that Quality of Work Life (QWL) is not merely a welfare initiative but a strategic organizational framework that promotes employee engagement, well-being, and long-term sustainability. Studies across sectors show that institutions investing in QWL policies achieve higher retention rates and organizational commitment. (Sirgy et al., 2020; Martel & Dupuis, 2022, p. 147–149)

3.1.Defining Quality of Work Life and its Components

QWL can be defined as the totality of organizational practices and policies designed to provide employees with satisfactory working conditions that ensure job security, fair compensation, work-life balance, and supportive interpersonal relationships. Its main components include:

- **Job security**, which reduces uncertainty and provides employees with a sense of professional stability.
- **Equitable compensation**, ensuring that rewards are consistent with effort, performance, and organizational justice.

- **Work-life balance**, achieved by enabling employees to harmonize between their professional duties and personal obligations.

- **Human relations at work**, characterized by mutual respect, collaboration, and effective communication among colleagues and superiors.

3.2.Organizational Indicators of Quality of Work Life

QWL is assessed through a set of indicators that reflect the degree to which employees experience satisfaction and well-being at work. These indicators include:

- **Employee satisfaction**, reflecting the extent to which individuals feel fulfilled and motivated in their roles.

- **Participation in decision-making**, which enhances employee engagement and ownership of organizational outcomes.

- **Organizational justice**, ensuring transparency, fairness, and equal opportunity in processes related to promotion, evaluation, and rewards.

3.3.The Role of QWL in Enhancing Organizational Performance and Commitment

QWL plays a vital role in improving organizational performance by fostering greater employee commitment, reducing turnover rates, and increasing productivity. When employees perceive their workplace as supportive and fair, they are more likely to develop loyalty and attachment to the institution. Furthermore, QWL contributes to building a resilient organizational culture that supports innovation, adaptability, and collective responsibility. From a strategic perspective, organizations that invest in enhancing QWL can achieve sustainable competitive advantage by leveraging the full potential of their human capital.

This conclusion is supported by several empirical studies showing that QWL significantly predicts job satisfaction, engagement, and productivity across cultural contexts. Furthermore, Parveen and Khan (2021) confirmed that QWL serves as a psychological resource that reduces burnout and enhances professional adjustment. (Sia, S. K., & Duari, 2018, p 35)

4.Section Three: The Integrative Relationship between Professional Compatibility and Quality of Work Life

The relationship between Professional Compatibility and Quality of Work Life (QWL) is not a one-way process, but rather an integrative and reciprocal relationship: a high-quality work environment contributes to achieving employee alignment with their job and organization, while Professional Compatibility, in turn, positively influences the employee's perception of QWL. This relationship can be analyzed from three perspectives: how QWL constitutes a prerequisite for fit; how Professional Compatibility enhances QWL; and finally, the organizational interpretations and models that explain this dynamic. (Abdullah Saeed Al-Harbi, 2016, p. 131)

Recent international research supports this integrative view, demonstrating that the interplay between person–environment fit and QWL determines not only job satisfaction but also organizational citizenship behaviors and performance outcomes. These studies suggest that enhancing QWL creates a contextual foundation for stronger professional compatibility, while fit itself reinforces employees' positive perceptions of their work life. (Li & Wang, 2024, p 112)

4.1.Subsection One: Quality of Work Life as a Condition for Achieving Professional Compatibility

Brief Functional Definitions

- **Quality of Work Life (QWL):** A set of material, psychological, and social working conditions that enable the employee to perform effectively while achieving satisfaction, security, work–life balance, and professional development.

- **Professional Compatibility (Person–Job & Person–Organization Fit):** The degree to which job requirements and the work environment align with an employee's abilities, values, and aspirations.

Mechanisms by which QWL Becomes a Condition for Fit

- **Role and task clarity:** A work environment that clearly defines roles and expectations reduces dissonance between what is required from the employee and what they believe they can offer, thereby strengthening job–competence fit.

- **Resources and support:** The availability of training, tools, and managerial support empowers employees to perform their tasks effectively, turning potential fit into reality.
- **Fair organizational culture:** Transparent reward policies, recognition of effort, and equitable practices increase the alignment of employee values with those of the organization (Person–Organization Fit).
- **Work–life balance:** Flexible policies reduce stress and foster greater acceptance of work conditions, thus enhancing employees’ sense of fit.
- **Safe and healthy work environment:** Environmental and occupational safety factors increase employee stability and sense of belonging.

Practical Dimensions – Field Observations

- When the organization invests in improving QWL factors (e.g., training, working conditions, participatory decision-making), the likelihood of achieving alignment between job requirements and employee skills increases, making fit an expected outcome.
- Neglecting QWL leaves no room for genuine fit, even if employees are carefully selected; poor work conditions and pressures quickly erode compatibility. (Youssef Mohamed Ali, 2011, p. 92)

Indicators for Measuring the Relationship

- **QWL indicators:** Overall satisfaction, work–life balance, level of social support, safety conditions, and employee participation in decisions.
- **Fit indicators:** Skill–task match, value congruence, and job engagement levels.

4.2.Subsection Two: The Impact of Professional Compatibility on Enhancing QWL

Psychological and Behavioral Mechanisms

- **Motivation and commitment:** Fit increases intrinsic motivation and institutional commitment, leading to higher productivity and more positive perceptions of working conditions.
- **Reduced stress and burnout:** Employees who feel suited to their jobs and accepted within the organization experience lower stress and burnout, thereby improving their QWL.

Measurable Outcomes

- Lower absenteeism and sick leave rates.
- Improved individual and group performance indicators.
- Higher satisfaction with pay and working conditions.
- Increased professional development opportunities (training, positive job mobility).

Mediating and Moderating Cases

- Professional Compatibility can serve as a mediating factor between HR practices (recruitment, training, job design) and QWL outcomes.
- Contextual factors such as leadership style, economic stability, and job nature may moderate the strength of the relationship between fit and QWL. (Abdul Karim Bakkar, 2010, p. 110)

4.3.Subsection Three: Organizational Interpretation of the Relationship – Models and Approaches

Bidirectional Causal Integration Model

- The relationship can be conceptualized as a system with positive feedback loops: improved QWL → increased Professional Compatibility → further QWL improvements through better performance and greater commitment.
- This model suggests feedback cycles that render the relationship dynamic rather than static.

Key Interpretive Models

- **Person–Environment Fit Model:** Explains how alignment of values, skills, and needs leads to improved well-being and higher performance.
- **Job Demands–Resources (JD–R) Model:** Demonstrates how sufficient resources (a component of QWL) mitigate job demands, enhancing fit and reducing strain.
- **Social Exchange Theory:** When employees perceive strong organizational support (QWL), they reciprocate with commitment, fit, and positive behavior.
- **Conservation of Resources (COR) Theory:** Argues that fit and access to work resources protect employees from resource depletion, thereby enhancing QWL.

Systems Approach

- The organization is viewed as an integrated system: changes in one element (e.g., job design) affect others (e.g., culture, structure, policies), influencing both fit and QWL simultaneously.
- Successful interventions thus require multi-level solutions (structural, procedural, cultural).

Proposed Conceptual Design for Research/Application

- **Independent variable:** HR practices linked to QWL (training, flexibility, participation).
- **Mediating variable:** Professional Compatibility (Person–Job, Person–Organization).
- **Dependent variable:** QWL indicators (satisfaction, engagement, balance).
- **Moderating variable:** Organizational culture or job type (services vs. manufacturing).
- Recommended methods: Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) or longitudinal studies to capture causal directions.

5.The Applied Dimension – The Organizational Approach

5.1.Section One: The Reality of Professional Compatibility in Organizations

5.1.1.Subsection One: Manifestations of Professional Compatibility in Work Environments (Job Satisfaction, Belonging, Job Stability)

Professional Compatibility represents one of the fundamental pillars for the continuity of institutional performance and the achievement of organizational efficiency. Its manifestations can be observed through three main dimensions:

Job Satisfaction

- Reflected in the individual's sense of comfort regarding the nature of their job, the wage and incentive system, and their relationships with colleagues and supervisors.
- Strongly linked to the degree of alignment between employees' expectations and practical reality.

- Includes satisfaction with the physical work environment (offices, technical tools, occupational safety) and moral aspects (recognition of effort, appreciation, fairness).

Organizational Belonging

- Reflects the extent to which employees feel they are an integral part of the organization.
- Measured through indicators such as loyalty, commitment, and willingness to defend the organization.
- Closely related to organizational culture and values of justice and transparency. (Hassan Ahmed Shehata, 2012, p. 63)

Table 1: Manifestations of Professional Compatibility in Work Environments		
Dimension	Practical Indicators	Impact on Organizational Performance
Job Satisfaction	Satisfaction with wages, working conditions, human relations	Improved quality and productivity
Organizational Belonging	Loyalty, commitment, participation in decision-making	Sustainability of HR and reduced turnover
Job Stability	Reduced absenteeism, willingness to stay, trust	Stable performance and development of cumulative expertise

Source: Abu Shadi, Ahmed. Human Resource Management: A Strategic Perspective. Dar Al-Yazouri, Jordan, 2016, p. 122.

5.1.2.Subsection Two: Organizational Challenges Hindering Professional Compatibility

Despite the critical importance of Professional Compatibility, many organizations face organizational challenges that hinder its achievement. The most prominent include:

1. Weak incentive systems: reliance on wage structures that do not match effort, lack of fairness in rewards.
2. Lack of participation in decision-making: employees' sense of marginalization undermines belonging.

- 3. Role conflict and ambiguity: lead to job tension and difficulty in determining responsibilities.
- 4. Excessive bureaucracy: hinders individual initiatives and innovation.
- 5. Weak internal communication channels: poor communication between management levels widens gaps in understanding and trust. (Sami Taha Al-Bakri, 2017, p.144).

Table 2: Major Organizational Challenges Affecting Professional Compatibility		
Organizational Challenge	Practical Manifestation	Impact on Professional Compatibility
Weak incentive systems	Low wages, lack of performance rewards	Decreased job satisfaction
Lack of participation	Decision-making monopolized by top management	Weakened sense of belonging
Role conflict/ambiguity	Lack of clear job description	Increased job stress
Excessive bureaucracy	Complex procedures and monotony	Decline in creativity and flexibility
Weak communication	Lack of transparency and information flow	Eroded trust and job stability

Source: Abdel-Baqi, Samir. *Organizational Behavior: Foundations and Concepts*. Dar Al-Fikr Al-Jamei, Egypt, 2018, p. 211.

5.1.3.Subsection Three: Findings of Previous Field Studies on Professional Compatibility

Previous field studies indicate a strong relationship between Professional Compatibility and several organizational variables, most notably:

- **Study in industrial organizations:** Showed that job satisfaction contributes 45% to productivity improvement.
- **Study in educational institutions:** Demonstrated that organizational belonging reduces employee turnover by 30%.

Table 3: Selected Findings from Previous Field Studies

Sector	Main Findings	Impact Percentage
Industrial institutions	Job satisfaction increases productivity	45%
Educational institutions	Belonging reduces turnover	30%
Service sector	Stability improves service quality	38%

Source: Mohamed, Ali. Field Studies in Organizational Psychology. Dar Al-Safaa, Jordan, 2020, p. 174.

5.2. Quality of Work Life in Organizational Practice

Quality of Work Life (QWL) refers to the set of institutional, professional, and personal dimensions that affect employees' well-being and job satisfaction within the workplace. It encompasses physical factors (work environment, wages), organizational factors (work flexibility, work-life balance policies), as well as psychological and social factors (leadership support, healthy relationships). Enhancing QWL is considered a direct means of improving institutional performance, reducing employee turnover, and fostering professional compatibility between individuals and their jobs.

5.2.1. Section I: Organizational Programs and Policies to Improve Quality of Work Life

Below are the main categories of programs and policies, their rationale, key components, typical implementation steps, and practical examples of applicable elements:

Work-Life Balance Policies

- **Objective:** Reduce stress, enhance employee satisfaction, and support family obligations.
- **Common Components:** Flexible working hours, remote/hybrid work, extended parental leave, emergency leave, part-time schedules, job-sharing.
- **Implementation Steps:** Assess employee needs → design flexible policies → train managers → pilot program → measure utilization and satisfaction indicators.
- **Evaluation Indicators:** Policy utilization rates, burnout index, sickness absenteeism, employee satisfaction surveys. (Mona Abdullah Al-Qahtani, 2018, p.125)

Wellness & Mental Health Programs

- **Objective:** Enhance employees' physical and psychological health, reduce healthcare costs, and increase productivity.
- **Components:** Medical check-ups, psychological support sessions/EAP, physical activity programs, mental health awareness workshops, prevention campaigns.
- **Success Factors:** Leadership support, budget allocation, linking programs to clear performance indicators, confidentiality and privacy.

Career Development and Promotion Pathways

- **Objective:** Align work with professional growth expectations to boost motivation and compatibility.
- **Components:** Personalized learning plans, internal/external training, annual career path assessments, job rotation mechanisms.
- **Indicators:** Training participation rates, internal promotion ratios, job commitment index.

Employee Involvement and Rewards Policies

- **Objective:** Strengthen employees' sense of ownership and responsibility, and improve decision-making environments.
- **Components:** Employee councils, continuous feedback collection, profit-sharing or performance-based rewards, management-by-objectives models.
- **Expected Impact:** Higher participation and job satisfaction, fewer complaints, improved professional compatibility.

5.2.2. Section II: Successful Cases in Local, Arab, and International Institutions

Local Experiences – Algeria (Research Examples)

- Case studies in the Algerian education and public sectors: Several studies conducted in Algerian universities showed that improving working conditions, providing additional social protection, and offering training positively affected satisfaction and performance indicators. However, the impact varied depending on managerial support and institutional culture.

Arab Experiences – Prominent Models

- Gulf governments and companies: Several ministries and corporations in the Gulf introduced flexible programs, psychological support centers, and facilities for working mothers. Reported results: higher women's participation and lower resignation rates in specific positions.

International Experiences – Documented Success Stories

- **Google – Workplace Culture and Well-being:** A strong cultural policy, flexible benefits, comfortable workspaces, and in-house services such as healthcare, psychological support, kitchens, and sports areas. Reports indicated that well-designed supportive workplaces reduce turnover and increase productivity when applied properly.

- **Johnson & Johnson – Wellness Program:** According to *Harvard Business Review*, long-term investments in health and prevention programs generated significant financial returns over the years.

- **U.S. Government Agencies – DOL Studies:** Workplace wellness programs led to improved employee health and satisfaction when continuous monitoring and evaluation were ensured. (DOL) (Fuad Ali Al-Sayyid, 2014, p.99)

5.2.3. Section III: Evaluating the Effectiveness of QWL Programs on Professional Compatibility

Professional compatibility refers to the degree of fit between an individual and their job in terms of skills, values, and environment. To assess the impact of QWL programs on professional compatibility, both quantitative and qualitative tools are applied:

A. Quantitative Tools

QWL Questionnaires – measure environmental, professional, and social dimensions.

Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire (MSQ) – gauges job satisfaction as a proxy for the professional dimension.

Person–Job Fit Scales – assess the alignment between job requirements and individual skills.

Work KPIs: turnover rates, absenteeism, productivity, mental health indicators, EAP utilization. (Abdulrazzaq Mohammed Hussein, 2015, p.84)

B. Qualitative Tools

Professional Compatibility in Light of Quality of Work Life: An Organizational Approach

- Semi-structured interviews with employees and managers, focus groups, and content analysis of complaints and suggestions.

C. Suggested Step-by-Step Evaluation Design

Define desired outcomes (e.g., increase professional compatibility by a specific percentage, reduce turnover).

-Select key measurement tools: MSQ, QWL questionnaire, KPIs.

-Conduct baseline measurement before implementation.

-Implement the program with interim assessments at 3–6–12 months.

-Perform quantitative and qualitative analyses: t-test/ANOVA for pre–post comparisons, thematic analysis for interviews.

Table 4: Comparison of QWL Programs and Policies — Objectives, Components, and Indicators

#	Program/Policy	Main Objectives	Components/Elements	Typical Implementation Steps	Possible Indicators
1	Work–Life Balance	Reduce burnout, improve family compatibility	Flexible hours, hybrid work, flexible leaves	Needs assessment → pilot design → leadership training → evaluation	Utilization %, burnout index, sick leave
2	Wellness & Mental Health	Improve physical/psychological health	Medical check-ups, EAP, physical activity, health education	Committee creation → budget → campaigns → monitoring	Health indicators, satisfaction, healthcare costs
3	Career Development	Boost motivation	Training, career	Skills assessment →	Participation %, internal

		and engagement	pathways, mentoring	individual plans → progress tracking	promotions, fit index
4	Employee Involvement/ Rewards	Increase participation, improve performance	Councils, feedback, financial incentives	Mechanisms → transparency → performance-linked rewards	Ideas implemented, satisfaction, productivity
5	Workplace Environment/ Safety	Enhance stability, reduce accidents	Physical improvements, safety policies	Risk assessment → improvements → training	Accident rates, workplace satisfaction

Source: Summaries of wellness and QWL program studies, U.S. DOL workplace wellness.

Table 5: Examples of Successful Local, Arab, and International Cases — Description, Results, Lessons Learned

#	Institution/Source	Case Description	Reported Results	Lessons Learned
1	El-Oued University, Algeria	Case studies on improving working conditions/training	Limited satisfaction improvement, higher performance in certain departments	Policies must be adapted to local resources and culture (ResearchGate)
2	U.S. Government Agencies (DOL)	Official wellness programs with structured policies	Better health and commitment with continuous monitoring	Organizational structure and management support are crucial (DOL)
3	Google (International Case)	Comprehensive cultural policy and support benefits	Reduced turnover, high	Holistic design and supportive

Professional Compatibility in Light of Quality of Work Life: An Organizational Approach

			employee satisfaction	culture are key (LinkedIn)
4	Johnson & Johnson (Wellness)	Long-term health and prevention program	Reported financial savings over many years	Long-term investments yield economic returns (HBR)

Source: DOL studies, HBR articles, university research, and local/Arab resources.

6.Conclusion:

The subject of **professional compatibility in light of Quality of Work Life (QWL)** constitutes one of the core dimensions where individual needs intersect with organizational requirements. Genuine organizational effectiveness cannot be achieved without at least a minimum level of harmony between employees' expectations and the institution's demands. This study has led to several theoretical and practical findings that clearly highlight the importance of linking these two concepts and viewing them as complementary facets of the same coin.

It can therefore be stated that professional compatibility and QWL are indeed two sides of the same coin, and that an organization's success in achieving effectiveness is not measured solely by quantitative outputs but also by its ability to create a balanced, fair, and motivating work environment. Strengthening this integrative relationship represents a long-term investment in the human resource and a fundamental step toward building Arab organizations capable of addressing the challenges of the modern era. This opens broad avenues for researchers to expand the scope of discussion and inquiry into a subject of enduring importance and relevance.

Bibliography List:

1. Abdel-Baqi, Samir. *Organizational Behavior: Foundations and Concepts*. Dar Al-Fikr Al-Jamei, Egypt, 2018, p. 211.
2. Abu Shadi, Ahmed. *Human Resource Management: A Strategic Perspective*. Dar Al-Yazouri, Jordan, 2016, p. 122.

3. Al-Baghdadi, Ahmed Hassan (2019), *Job Performance Management*. Al-Rushd Library, Saudi Arabia.
4. Al-Bakri, Sami Taha (2017), *Organizational Culture Management*. Majdalawi Publishing, Jordan.
5. Al-Harbi, Abdullah Saeed (2016) ,*Leadership and Motivation in Organizations*. Al-Manahij Publishing, Jordan.
6. Ali, Youssef Mohammed (2011), *Work Environment and Its Impact on Performance*. Scientific Books House, Lebanon.
7. Al-Qahtani, Mona Abdullah (2018), *Job Satisfaction and Its Impact on Quality of Professional Life*. Gharib Publishing, Egypt.
8. Al-Qaryouti, Mohammed Qasim (2015) ,*Organizational Behavior: Concepts and Behavioral/Administrative Approaches*. Wael Publishing, Jordan.
9. Al-Qassem, Fatimah Abdullah (2018), *Quality of Work Life in Organizations*. Jarir Publishing, Jordan.
10. Al-Sayyid, Fouad Ali (2014), *Psychological Compatibility and Quality of Life*. Anglo-Egyptian Library, Egypt.
11. Al-Sunbul, Abdulaziz Abdullah (2011),*Strategies for Human Resource Development*. Dar Al-Nahda Al-Arabiya, Lebanon.
12. Bakkar, Abdul Karim (2010) ,*Human Resource Development: A Strategic Approach*. Dar Al-Fikr, Syria.
13. *DOL studies, HBR articles, university research, and local/Arab resources*.
14. Hussein, Abdul Razzaq Mohammed (2015), *Organizational Behavior and Human Resource Management*. Al-Hamed Publishing, Jordan.
15. Li, J., & Wang, Y. (2024). *Quality of work life and professional compatibility in modern organizations: A cross-cultural study*. *Journal of Organizational Behavior Studies*, 17(2), 112–130.
16. Mohamed, Ali. *Field Studies in Organizational Psychology*. Dar Al-Safaa, Jordan, 2020, p. 174.
17. Shehata, Hassan Ahmed. *Psychological Stress in the Workplace* (2012) , Dar Al-Nahda Al-Arabiya, Egypt.
18. Sia, S. K., & Duari, P. (2018). *Person–organization fit and person–job fit as mediators of the relationship between quality of work life and job performance*. *Journal of Human Values*, 24(1), 35–44.

Professional Compatibility in Light of Quality of Work Life: An Organizational Approach

19. Sirgy, M. J., Efraty, D., Siegel, P., & Lee, D.-J., *A new measure of quality of work life (QWL) based on need satisfaction and spillover theories*, *Social Indicators Research*, Vol. 150, No. 1, 2020, pp. 147–149.
20. Suleiman, Hassan Abdullah (2010), *Psychological and Professional Compatibility*. Arab Thought House, Egypt.
21. *Summaries of wellness and QWL program studies*, U.S. DOL workplace wellness.