

The Impact of Organizational Justice on Employee Loyalty

تأثير العدالة التنظيمية على ولاء الموظفين

Nadjat hassane *, Faculty of Social Sciences, Department of Psychology
University Amar Thelidji of Laghouat

n.hassane@lagh-univ.dz

Hafsi. M. Abbas, Faculty of Islamic Sciences and civilization
University Amar Thelidji of Laghouat

a.hafsi@lagh-univ.dz

Received: 06/10 /2025

Accepted: 29/10 /2025

Published: 29/11 /2025

Abstract:

This study examines the impact of organizational justice on employee loyalty, focusing on the dimensions of distributive, procedural, and interactional justice. Organizational justice is considered a critical factor in shaping employees' perceptions of fairness, which directly influences their attitudes and behaviors at work. By analyzing the relationship between justice practices and loyalty, the research highlights how fair treatment contributes to enhancing commitment, reducing turnover intentions, and fostering long-term organizational stability. The findings suggest that organizations that prioritize fairness in decision-making, resource distribution, and interpersonal interactions are more likely to build a loyal and motivated workforce. This study not only contributes to the theoretical understanding of organizational behavior but also provides practical recommendations for managers to strengthen employee loyalty through effective justice practices.

Key words: Organizational Justice; Employee Loyalty ; Distributive Justice ; Procedural Justice ;Interactional Justice.

Introduction

The relationship between organizational justice and employee loyalty is a subject of considerable interest. Organizational justice plays a significant role in employee retention and influences outcomes such as job satisfaction, commitment, productivity, and citizenship behavior¹. Employee loyalty is sensitive to perceptions of organizational fairness, and the existence of a clear causal link is widely assumed. The current research explores this relationship, aiming to contribute to the understanding of how organizational justice shapes employee loyalty

1 .Understanding Organizational Justice

Organizational justice is concerned with whether employees perceive workplace procedures, interactions, and outcomes to be fair in nature². Perceptions of justice directly influence willingness to obey rules, adherence to resource constraints, and acceptance of decision authority; fair treatment fosters employee loyalty, facilitating acceptance of management decisions. The importance of justice consequently determines employees' evaluations and reactions to workplace events. Organizational justice is typically subdivided into distributive, procedural, and interactional dimensions³. Distributive justice concerns the fairness of outcomes; procedural justice relates to the fairness of decision-making processes; interactional justice addresses the fairness of interpersonal treatment. These categories provide the conceptual framework for the present investigation.

1 .1.Definition and Types of Organizational Justice

The most widely used grouping includes distributive, procedural, and interactional justice .

"The Impact of Organizational Justice on Employee Loyalty"

- Distributive justice concerns the fairness of decision outcomes, often judged through input/output ratios⁴.
- Procedural justice evaluates the fairness of processes that lead to outcomes, focusing on the acceptability of organizational procedures, rules, and policies⁵.
- Interactional justice describes the fairness of interpersonal treatment during implementation, including respectfulness and propriety of communication.

These dimensions collectively capture the multifaceted role of justice perceptions in organizational contexts.

1.2 Theoretical Frameworks

Because of the inevitably scarce nature of resources, organizations need to ensure fairness in all aspects of business in order to promote job satisfaction, commitment, productivity and citizenship behavior. The concept of organizational justice provides a means to achieve fairness in the workplace. It relates to the way organizations resolve disputes involving the allocation of resources or violations of morality⁶. Studies have shown that organizational justice is an important antecedent for many desirable employee attitudes, behaviours and outcomes in the workplace.

Organizational justice is conceptualized as an overall perception of what is fair in the workplace. Employees usually ask such questions as: Am I being paid fairly for the work I do? Does my company have set procedures and do they apply to everyone? and Does my manager treat me with dignity and respect? All these are essential questions about justice in the workplace. Numerous studies have shown that organizational justice is important for double- and triple-loop learning, safety and environmental performance, perceptions of innovative work behaviour, job satisfaction, organizational commitment, organizational trust, support for change, as well as turnover intentions.

2. Employee Loyalty

Employee loyalty has become crucial to organisational success due to its impact on factors such as productivity, retention, and commitment. Understanding the drivers that foster an environment conducive to employee loyalty will be a useful tool for organisations.

Employee loyalty broadly emerges from a concern for the wellbeing of the target organisation⁷. It has even been suggested that the willingness an employee has to engage in organisational citizenship behaviours is a proxy for loyalty⁸. Frequent turnover within an organisation could be taken as an indicator of low loyalty, while the opposite may suggest a high level. A dissatisfied employee is unlikely to remain long within the organisational environment; hence, job satisfaction is closely linked to loyalty. Transformational leadership and positive organisational culture, through their association with job satisfaction, consequently have a proven impact on loyalty. Similarly, the decision to stay with an organisation can be viewed as an exchange process: employees join organisations because joining is likely to produce valued outcomes such as monetary rewards, satisfaction of job-related roles, or psychological gratifications. Loyalty is thus a useful construct for recalling a favourable psychological state and is predictably related to employment prospects and performance. Employee attitudes therefore offer useful insight when examining loyalty.

Organisational justice is an important antecedent of job satisfaction, commitment, and performance, which themselves impact employee loyalty. Investigating whether the perception of justice in the workplace predicts employee loyalty would therefore describe the relationship between organisational conditions and the resulting level of loyalty.

2.1. Conceptualizing Employee Loyalty

Employee loyalty is a critical theme in contemporary organizations. It is viewed as the most valuable resource for organizational survival and competitive advantage. Despite its importance, obtaining loyalty is challenging in a volatile and highly competitive global environment where employees have alternatives⁹. Hence, understanding the construct of loyalty is vital. The concept

"The Impact of Organizational Justice on Employee Loyalty"

has been examined from many perspectives, such as attitudes toward the company, willingness to exert extra effort, and intention to stay. Loyalty has been regarded as a similar concept to affective organizational commitment, which affects effort and turnover intentions.

2.2 .Factors Influencing Employee Loyalty

Employee loyalty is the extent to which employees identify with and are actively involved in an organization¹⁰. The influential factors determining employee loyalty include job satisfaction and organizational commitment. Job satisfaction is affected by employee pay, work conditions, promotional opportunities, organizational operations, and relationships with supervisors and coworkers. Promoting employee satisfaction guarantees that the commitment to the organization is enhanced, thereby increasing loyalty. Unsatisfied employees are more likely to display negative attitudes and behaviors, leading to lower productivity and compromised profitability.

Organizational commitment is the dedication employees develop towards their organizational goals and values and vis-à-vis their role within the organization. It manifests in the manner employees engage in their daily activities, how they participate in the organizations' smooth operations, and the confidence showcased by employees as they perform their duties. Loyal employees are therefore responsible workers committed to the realization of the organization's core objectives. Yet, other factors such as ergonomic satisfaction, camaraderie between coworkers and supervisors, and family commitments also influence the level of employee loyalty¹¹. These diverse factors suggest that the evaluation of employee loyalty in an organization remains a complex process based on differing organizational perspectives.

3 .The Link Between Organizational Justice and Employee Loyalty

The link between organizational justice and employee loyalty has been tested and found to be significant in predicting loyalty. These studies examine organizational justice through four important dimensions, in terms of their impact on employee loyalty. Studies of organizational justice have been applied across a wide range of organizations. The contexts of these studies have included the military, hospitals, college settings, and prisons, as well as miscellaneous businesses and travel-time settings. Social exchange theory, equity theory, and expectancy theory, utilized in various combinations with organizational-justice theory, have proven effective in accounting for the impact of the three dimensions of organizational justice on employee-loyalty decisions. Empirical and case-study research is collectively outlined to emphasize the explanatory and predictive power of organizational justice and the indication that the observed effect of justice on loyalty exceeds that of any other organizational-justice-related model tested thus far.

3 .1.Empirical Evidence

An extensive body of empirical research confirms that organizational justice plays a pivotal role in determining employee loyalty. Survey data reveal that approximately 40% of employees intending to leave their organizations perceive injustice in workplace procedures¹². The foundational principle of these studies is that individuals seek fair treatment in both the evaluation and distribution of rewards¹³. Employees exposed to just practices tend to reciprocate with increased allegiance, resulting in greater participation and reduced turnover intentions. This effect extends to consequences such as enhanced job satisfaction and organizational commitment, as well as increased work engagement.

3 .2.Case Studies

An Engineering company experienced a severe decline in its order book and growth prospects in 2016 and the first half of 2017. The management team anticipated encouraging a more loyal workforce. To evaluate staff perceptions on the fairness of company policies, management distributed a questionnaire that sought to determine their perception of fairness in the company's organizational justice characteristics. The objective was to measure perceptions of fairness during turbulent times and periods of organizational maturation.

"The Impact of Organizational Justice on Employee Loyalty"

An overseas construction company initiated a new compensation and benefits policy in 2009. To learn from previous mistakes and develop a suitable compensation structure, an employee survey was conducted to gather data on perceptions of decision-making and fairness. Understandably, organizational justice dimensions were a particular concern. The need to restructure and improve compensation packages arose from a new policy ¹⁴.

A corporate and investment bank with a long-standing presence in Africa faced human resources challenges in 2013. The organization's primary challenge was reexamining staff perceptions toward organizational justice dimensions as the company matured, to promote efficiency and nurture loyalty—particularly as the management expected increased employee loyalty.

4 .Measuring Organizational Justice

An organization can increase loyalty among its employees by ensuring that its organizational justice practices are consistent and equitable. Internal organizational justice reflects the quality of employment¹⁵ and encompasses three types or dimensions: distributive, procedural, and interactional¹⁶. Quantitative and qualitative approaches are used to measure organizational justice, according to an existing scale.

The quantitative assessment of distributive, procedural, and interactional justice is based on a scale developed by Colquitt (2001). Conversely, qualitative assessments rely on open-ended questions directly related to the three dimensions of organizational justice. Distributive justice concerns the perceived fairness of decision outcomes; for example, "What did you think about your salary?" An associated question is: "What do you think your compensation is worth?" Procedural justice relates to the perceived fairness of the decision-making process; for example, "How was the decision-making process regarding the establishment of new indicators?" Interactional justice encompasses the treatment that employees receive during the decision-making process; accordingly, "When you received your salary, how did you feel about the interaction with the person who delivered it to you?"¹⁷.

4 .1.Quantitative Methods

This study operates primarily in the quantitative research paradigm, alongside a supporting qualitative methodology. Quantitative approaches emphasize objective measurements and statistical analyses of data generated through surveys, interviews, experiments, or secondary sources. They provide numerical descriptions and enable researchers to identify trends and test hypotheses. By contrast, qualitative methods explore phenomena through observing, interacting, or examining textual materials, focusing on how and why processes occur.

The quantitative methodology implemented here addresses three core questions: (a) the significance of the relationship between organizational justice and employee loyalty; (b) the strength of the relationship between organizational justice and employee loyalty; and (c) the extent of the influence of organizational justice on employee loyalty. Additionally, qualitative techniques contribute indirect information and facilitate the collection of supplementary data.

4 .2.Qualitative Approaches

Qualitative approaches are predominantly employed to understand human perceptions, attitudes and behaviours within organizations, particularly in relation to services. Example case studies show that complaint legitimacy and organizational justice are strongly related to employee engagement and turnover intention, which in turn influence customer satisfaction¹⁸. Human behaviour in an organizational context can be examined at different levels, including individual and group, and of workplace-related phenomena of cognition, attitude and action. The perception of the work environment and organisational justice are among the key factors that affect human behaviour in organizations.

5 .Impact of Organizational Justice on Employee Behavior

Employee perceptions of organizational justice significantly influence behaviors vital to organizational success. Fair treatment positively impacts satisfaction, motivation, trust, and productivity, which form a basis for organizational attachment and loyalty. Justice perceptions affect specific behaviors, including turnover intentions, commitment, cooperation, avoidance of counterproductive actions, and work engagement¹⁹. Organizational justice also fosters loyalty among leaders and followers. When employees view decisions and distribution as fair, they are more motivated to engage in organizational citizenship behaviors (OCB) that help achieve objectives. OCB encompasses discretionary behaviours—such as helping others, promoting a positive environment, and maintaining attendance—that are not formally required but contribute to organizational effectiveness²⁰. These findings align with earlier conclusions about justice and employee loyalty.

5 .1.Job Satisfaction

Organizational justice relates closely to job satisfaction — employees who perceive fairness in the organization tend to be more satisfied with their jobs ²¹. Job satisfaction, defined by Lock, is "a pleasurable or positive emotional state resulting from the appraisal of one's job or job experiences." Judge et al. defined it as a general attitude or emotional response towards one's job. Previous research indicates job satisfaction as a crucial predictor of organizational citizenship behavior (OCB), turnover intentions, and other important job-related outcomes.

Organizational justice, therefore, holds significant interest for organizational behavior researchers due to its role in business and managers' success. Job satisfaction might be explained best as the result of employees' perceptions and attitude. Schermerhorn et al. argued that increased organizational justice leads to increased job satisfaction. This relationship can be understood through Adams' equity theory, which posits that employees compare their inputs and outcomes with those of others to assess fairness and determine their job satisfaction. As such, perceptions of unfairness, stemming from inequity, decrease job satisfaction.

5 .2.Turnover Intentions

A large body of evidence illustrates the link between organizational justice and turnover intentions. DeConinck and Stilwell integrated organizational justice, role states, pay satisfaction, and supervisor satisfaction in a turnover-intentions model. Hemdi and Nasurdin studied organizational justice's influence on hotel employees' citizenship behavior and turnover intentions. Griffin examined multilevel relationships among incivility, justice, and intention to stay. Iyigun and Tamer gauged perceived organizational justice's effect on turnover intentions at a Turkish international electronics chain. Parker and Kohlmeyer III investigated justice and turnover in public accounting firms. Jones and Skarlicki found fairness perceptions correlated with voluntary turnover among retail employees. Nadiri and Tanova considered justice's role alongside job satisfaction and citizenship behavior in the hospitality sector. Radzi et al. evaluated hotel departmental managers' turnover intentions in relation to organizational justice. Lambert et al. explored distributive and procedural justice, life satisfaction, burnout, and turnover intent in corrections settings. And Aghaei et al. examined organizational justice's connection with turnover intentions among Tehran's Sport and Youth Head Office staff. These studies collectively affirm that divorce from organizational fairness can promote turnover intentions, thereby rendering organizational justice an important antecedent to employee loyalty ²².

Research on turnover has concentrated on voluntary separations and their antecedents. Job satisfaction and organizational commitment emerge as primary correlates of turnover intentions. Organizational commitment bears a strong negative relationship to turnover intentions. Intrinsic job-satisfaction facets—such as autonomy, task variety, authority, or status—significantly predict turnover intentions ²³. The stronger employees' perceptions of fairness, the greater their likelihood

"The Impact of Organizational Justice on Employee Loyalty"

of internalizing organizational values and remaining committed, which, in turn, diminishes turnover intentions.

5.3. Work Engagement

Work engagement reflects the degree to which employees invest their emotional, cognitive, and physical energies into their job roles, acting as a critical driver of outcomes at individual, unit, and organizational levels. It exerts a positive influence on job adaptation, job satisfaction, and organizational citizenship behaviours, and promotes loyalty, efficiency, and effectiveness. Work engagement derives from a harmonious relationship between the individual and the work role, and this harmony is maintained through a balanced workload, participation in decision-making, a supportive work environment, and work meaningfulness. Organizational justice comprises an important foundation for the development of this harmony, and holds a key place among the factors that foster work engagement. The effect of organisational justice on employees' attitudes and behaviours directly determines whether work engagement is sustained or not. Low perceived justice weakens work engagement, but a strong sense of fairness and equity encourages it, sustains harmony, and reinforces the exploratory tendencies that energise the work role.

6. Challenges in Implementing Organizational Justice

Despite well-documented benefits organizational justice still is not widely applied. To create and implement organizational justice, managers must overcome barriers such as resistance to change, insufficient commitment, and lack of understanding of justice principles²⁴. Managers often mistakenly view justice as irrelevant to top management and employee motivation²⁵. They also perceive efforts to build justice as time-consuming and costly. Several countries rank relatively low on perceived justice levels, which frustrates aspiring managers attempting to implement it²⁶. Requirements to achieve justice—a systematic and deep-seated cultural change geared toward instilling fairness—lead to potentially long, difficult, and expensive transitions. Furthermore, justice often demands recognizing and restructuring employee roles, opening opportunities for long-lasting managerial resistance. Managers must learn to recognize and encourage justice principles throughout the organization, especially within decision-making. The ability of managers to maintain and project fair dealings is critical to the success of organizational justice.

6.1. Barriers to Fairness

Efforts to promote fairness in organizations may face resistance from both managers and employees. Managers may resist because fairness often requires redistributing power, while employees may resist when fairness threatens their perceived advantages, such as benefits gained from affirmative action.

6.2. Management Resistance

Management often resists organizational justice due to low motivation, lack of knowledge, and a preference to minimize effort. They tend to maintain the status quo to avoid disputes, even though justice initiatives can create conflict and change-related resistance. As a result, managers frequently become the central obstacle to successful implementation²⁷.

7. Strategies for Enhancing Organizational Justice

Organizational justice constitutes a major factor in driving organizational effectiveness. High-quality justice perceptions act as an antecedent of desirable individual workplace behaviors. Justice concerns impact employees' attitudes toward organizational change and their resultant levels of commitment. More participative involvement at this stage thus allows members to express their views, reshaping their sense of control, team membership, and ownership. Training, development initiatives, and workshops focused on these topics might help reduce managerial resistance. Leaders who make a noteworthy effort to assess and improve fairness promote an environment conducive to successful change initiatives. Such programs thus serve as quite promising mechanisms for enhancing an overall sense of justice²⁸.

"The Impact of Organizational Justice on Employee Loyalty"

7 .1.Training and Development

Training and development influence the perceived fairness among employees, which in turn impacts the application of newly acquired skills²⁹. Employees who consider their companies just and reasonable are more inclined to convert formal training into on-the-job performance. Successful transfer is facilitated by motivating trainees to apply their learning upon return, thus enhancing their contribution and boosting morale. Distributive justice also affects confidence; feelings of unfairness due to rewards or promotions lead to diminished training application and engagement.

7 .2.Policy Recommendations

A comprehensive fairness assessment can be obtained through targeted training and development programs. To address all types of fairness, periodic monitoring is essential, as policies or leadership changes may affect perceptions of justice. Training and development initiatives that promote organizational justice benefit employee attitudes and behaviors, emphasizing the necessity for ongoing evaluation and adaptation.

Obstacles to perceived fairness may arise from managers' reluctance to adopt new systems or technological solutions. Organizations—especially those lacking adequate budget or resources—might be unable to implement objective measures, enabling unjust treatment to persist through biased or informal practices (S. McNabb, 2009). Policy adjustments designed to reduce these impediments should focus on both strict procedural compliance and managerial guidance, eliminating excuse cultures and deterring subjective decision-making³⁰.

A fair and evidence-based evaluation process can decrease conflict, promote group cohesion, and help maintain employee loyalty. By understanding the types of justice and actively fostering their presence, organizations can reduce turnover intention and retain engaged, committed staff.

8 .Future Research Directions

To advance insights into organizational justice and employee loyalty, future research should examine emerging workplace trends with longitudinal designs. Remote work and increased gig employment have altered organizational structures and managerial control, complicating fair treatment. The recent emergence of these trends precludes stable national data sets needed for empirical analysis. Longitudinal studies beginning early in workers' tenure would examine how organizational justice perceptions affect retention and productivity over time (Butt, 2015). Methodologies focusing on within-person change, as opposed to cross-sectional or between-person comparisons, would enhance understanding of how procedural fairness influences motivation and performance across the employment relationship. Research should separate work and employment contracts to track effects of contract type and fairness on long-term organizational commitment and productivity³¹. Such studies would illuminate organizational justice's role in shaping positive employee attitudes and behaviors.

8 .1.Emerging Trends

The rise of artificial intelligence (AI) continues to drive economic growth and create new opportunities in both existing and emerging industries, heralding an era that is expected to redefine working life. The ability of current AI algorithms to engage in strategic competencies, perform a broader range of labor tasks, and utilize natural language has recently delivered significant advances. Estimations indicate that roughly half of all tasks can be automated by adapting to new tasks, far exceeding earlier forecasts that had assessed only the automatability of entire occupations. Productivity growth, which had decelerated during the mid-2000s, is currently experiencing a resurgence following the extensive integration of digital technologies. Leading sectors, acting as technological frontier firms, play a crucial role in this transformation by fostering innovation and driving market-wide improvements, akin to the effects of the steam engine, electricity, or the broader adoption of information technology³².

8 .2.Longitudinal Studies

Longitudinal methods elevate the study of organizational justice because the attainment of justice and its consequences unfold over time³³ (Butt, 2015). (Asamani & Opoku Mensah, 2013) show, given the importance of ongoing social exchange relationships, employees' tenure influences the quality of their relationships with the organization. Over the course of time, positive relationships and the feeling of being valuable to the organization foster intrinsic motivation and stronger attachment. Conversely, negative experiences tend to strengthen negative feelings and reduce involvement ³⁴(Maya D Imberman et al., 2017). This pattern underscores that the impact of justice on attitudes is more visible when measured over time. The effects of justice fade, and in some cases reverse; rather than a permanent shift in attitudes, justice demonstrates only a limited-lasting effect on important organizational outcomes such as commitment. Consequently, researchers tend to adopt a longitudinal approach to investigate the long-term impact of justice on loyalty at the workplace.

Conclusion

Organizational justice can be defined as employees' perceptions of fairness in the workplace ³⁵. It is a salient organizational phenomenon that has been extensively studied by both social and organizational psychologists since the early 1970s ³⁶ . Organizational justice has become increasingly relevant during a period characterized by the globalization of businesses and by the expansion of the boundaries of corporate relationships and supply chains. It is positively associated with employee loyalty.

References :

- ¹ Choi, Y. & Dickson, D. R. (2009). A case study into the benefits of management training programs: Impacts on hotel employee turnover and satisfaction level. *Journal of Human Resources in Hospitality & Tourism*, 9, 103–116.
- ² Mandhanya, Y. (2015). A study of impact of working environment on retention of employees (with special reference to automobile sector). *Global Management Review*, 9(4), 116–128.
- ³ Kasiri, L. A., Cheng, K. T. G., Sambasivan, M. & Sidin, S. M. (2017). Integration of standardization and customization: Impact on service quality, customer satisfaction, and loyalty. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 35, 91–97.
- ⁴ Alsaad, A., Mohamad, R. & Ismail, N. A. (2017). The moderating role of trust in business to business electronic commerce (B2B EC) adoption. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 68, 157–169.
- ⁵ Bonifield, C. & Cole, C. (2007). Affective responses to service failure: Anger, regret, and retaliatory versus conciliatory responses. *Marketing Letters*, 18, 85–99.
- ⁶ Chan, S. & Jepsen, D. M. (2011). Workplace relationships, attitudes, and organizational justice: A hospitality shift worker contextual perspective. *Journal of Human Resources in Hospitality & Tourism*, 10, 150–168.
- ⁷ Ergün, G. S. & Kitapci, O. (2018). The impact of cultural dimensions on customer complaint behaviours: an exploratory study in Antalya/Manavgat tourism region. *International Journal of Culture, Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 12(1), 59-79.
- ⁸ Aljawarneh, N. & Alomari, Z. (2018). The role of enterprise resource planning systems ERP in improving customer relationship management CRM: An empirical study of Safeway company of Jordan. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 13(8), 86–100.

"The Impact of Organizational Justice on Employee Loyalty"

⁹ Ang, L. & Buttle, F. (2006). Customer retention management processes: A quantitative study. *European Journal of Marketing*, 40, 83–99.

¹⁰ Chan, S. & Jepsen, D. M. (2011). Workplace relationships, attitudes, and organizational justice: A hospitality shift worker contextual perspective. *Journal of Human Resources in Hospitality & Tourism*, 10, 150–168.

¹¹ Kasiri, L. A., Cheng, K. T. G., Sambasivan, M. & Sidin, S. M. (2017). Integration of standardization and customization: Impact on service quality, customer satisfaction, and loyalty. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 35, 91–97.

¹² Garson, G. D. (2016). Partial least squares: Regression and structural equation models. Statistical Associates Blue Book Series.

¹³ Greenberg, J. (1987a). A taxonomy of organizational justice theories. *Academy of Management Review*, 12(1), 9–22.

¹⁴ Hair, J. F., Hult, J. G. T. M., Ringle, C. M. & Sarstedt, M. (2018). A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). SAGE Publications.

¹⁵ Bonifield, C. & Cole, C. (2007). Affective responses to service failure: Anger, regret, and retaliatory versus conciliatory responses. *Marketing Letters*, 18, 85–99

¹⁶ Huang, Z., Zhao, C., Miao, L. & Fu, X. (2014). Triggers and inhibitors of illegitimate customer complaining behaviour: Anecdotes from frontline employees in the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 26, 544–571.

¹⁷ Mandhanya, Y. (2015). A study of impact of working environment on retention of employees (with special reference to automobile sector). *Global Management Review*, 9(4), 116–128.

¹⁸ Rai, G. S. (2013). Impact of organizational justice on satisfaction, commitment and turnover intention: Can fair treatment by organizations make a difference in their workers' attitudes and behaviours? *Journal of Human Sciences*, 10(2), 260–284.

¹⁹ Roschk, H. & Kaiser, S. (2013). The nature of an apology: An experimental study on how to apologize after a service failure. *Marketing Letters*, 24, 293–309.

²⁰ Aljawarneh, N. & Alomari, Z. (2018). The role of enterprise resource planning systems ERP in improving customer relationship management CRM: An empirical study of Safeway company of Jordan. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 13(8), 86–100.

²¹ Svari, S. & Erling Olsen, L. (2012). The role of emotions in customer complaint behaviours. *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*, 4, 270–282.

²² Aljawarneh, N. & Alomari, Z. (2018). The role of enterprise resource planning systems ERP in improving customer relationship management CRM: An empirical study of Safeway company of Jordan. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 13(8), 86–100.

²³ Mandhanya, Y. (2015). A study of impact of working environment on retention of employees (with special reference to automobile sector). *Global Management Review*, 9(4), 116–128.

²⁴ Varela-Neira, C., Vázquez-Casielles, R. & Iglesias, V. (2010). Explaining customer satisfaction with complaint handling. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 28, 88–112.

²⁵ Ang, L. & Buttle, F. (2006). Customer retention management processes: A quantitative study. *European Journal of Marketing*, 40, 83–99.

- ²⁶ Mandhanya, Y. (2015). A study of impact of working environment on retention of employees (with special reference to automobile sector). *Global Management Review*, 9(4), 116–128.
- ²⁷ Yilmaz, C., Varnali, K. & Kasnakoglu, B. T. (2016). How do firms benefit from customer complaints? *Journal of Business Research*, 69, 944–955.
- ²⁸ Tombs, A. G., Russell-Bennett, R. & Ashkanasy, N. M. (2014). Recognising emotional expressions of complaining customers: A cross-cultural study. *European Journal of Marketing*, 48, 1354–1374.
- ²⁹ Alsaad, A., Mohamad, R. & Ismail, N. A. (2017). The moderating role of trust in business to business electronic commerce (B2B EC) adoption. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 68, 157–169.
- ³⁰ Svari, S. & Erling Olsen, L. (2012). The role of emotions in customer complaint behaviours. *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*, 4, 270–282.
- ³¹ Ang, L. & Buttle, F. (2006). Customer retention management processes: A quantitative study. *European Journal of Marketing*, 40, 83–99.
- ³² Varela-Neira, C., Vázquez-Casielles, R. & Iglesias, V. (2010). Explaining customer satisfaction with complaint handling. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 28, 88–112.
- ³³ Roschk, H. & Kaiser, S. (2013). The nature of an apology: An experimental study on how to apologize after a service failure. *Marketing Letters*, 24, 293–309.
- ³⁴ Pilbeam, S. & Corbridge, M. (2010). *People resourcing and talent planning: HRM in practice*. Prentice Hall.
- ³⁵ Ozel, A. & Bayraktar, C. A. (2018). Effect of organizational justice on job satisfaction. In F. Calisir, & H. C. Akdag (Eds.), *Industrial engineering in the industry 4.0 Era. Lecture notes in management and industrial engineering* (pp. 205–218). Springer.
- ³⁶ Roschk, H. & Kaiser, S. (2013). The nature of an apology: An experimental study on how to apologize after a service failure. *Marketing Letters*, 24, 293–309.