E.ISSN: 2661-7404 Academic Journal of Legal and Political Researchs P.ISSN:2571-9971
Year: 2026 Vol : 10 No : 02 Page : 1342 - 1352

Intellectual Property and Artificial Intelligence: Legal and Ethical Challenges and Regulatory Perspectives

ZEHZAH MOHAMED*

University Center of Aflou
m.zahzah@cu-aflou.edu.dz

Received: 04- 05- 2026 **Accepted: 08- 08- 2026** **Published: 22- 09- 2026**

Abstract:

This topic explores the growing relationship between intellectual property and artificial intelligence, highlighting the legal and ethical challenges posed by modern technologies. AI raises complex issues regarding the ownership of machine-generated works, the limits of copyright protection, and whether creations produced by algorithms can be granted legal recognition. It also examines ethical concerns related to the use of protected data in training AI systems and the need to respect the rights of original creators. From a regulatory perspective, the topic emphasizes the importance of developing flexible legal frameworks that keep pace with rapid technological advancements, while balancing innovation with the protection of intellectual property rights, transparency, and accountability in AI use.

Keywords: Intellectual Property, Artificial Intelligence, Copyright, Ethics, Regulation, Ownership

* **Corresponding author**

E.ISSN: 2661-7404 Academic Journal of Legal and Political Researchs P.ISSN:2571-9971
Year: 2026 Vol : 10 No : 02 Page : 1342 - 1352

Introduction :

Intellectual property has become one of the most sensitive and complex legal issues in the modern era, given the radical transformations brought about by modern technology, particularly the rapid development in the field of artificial intelligence. Creativity has moved from being a purely human act dependent on individual mental effort to a participatory or even automated process in which algorithms intervene in content production, data analysis, and proposing solutions, which has led to a reconsideration of the traditional concepts upon which the intellectual property system is based.

In light of this transformation, subtle legal issues have emerged concerning the applicability of intellectual property rules to the outputs of intelligent systems, especially those produced without direct human intervention, or those that rely on massive databases that may be legally protected or subject to the rights of multiple parties.2 These problems are not limited to the legal aspect only, but extend to ethical, economic and social dimensions, mainly related to protecting privacy, ensuring transparency, preventing algorithmic discrimination, in addition to regulating the use of data in training intelligent models.

On the other hand, the expansion in the use of artificial intelligence has led to a renewed discussion of legal responsibility for harmful actions or outputs that may result from these systems, which poses a real challenge to current legislation regarding its ability to accommodate this new type of non-human actor.

Accordingly, this study aims to analyze the legal framework of intellectual property in the context of artificial intelligence, by highlighting the interactions between them, exploring the adequacy of traditional rules in the face of this

development, and addressing the associated legal, ethical, and economic .challenges.

Study problem

problem addressed by this study revolves around the extent to which The central traditional intellectual property rules can adapt to the nature of creativity in the age of artificial intelligence, and whether these rules are capable of providing effective legal protection in light of the automated production of content and and balanced .knowledge.A number of questions branch out from the main problem, the most prominent of which are:

- Who is the person or entity that can be considered the legal owner of the produced by intelligent systems outputs
- How can the databases and models that artificial intelligence technologies rely on for learning and development be protected
- How much does artificial intelligence affect the traditional concept of human creativity
- balance be struck between protecting intellectual property rights How can a and ensuring the public interest in an open digital environment

First topic : The conceptual framework of intellectual property in light of technological transformation

ty is one of the most important branches of private law, aiming Intellectual proper to protect human intellectual production in its various forms, whether it concerns literary works, technological innovations, or trademarks of commercial .activityThis concept has historically evolved to keep pace with economic and social transformations, but modern digital development has imposed

unprecedented challenges on it, especially with the emergence of artificial intelligence.

a tool for protecting In this context, intellectual property is no longer merely individual creativity, but has become a complex legal system aimed at regulating the relationship between innovation, technology, and the market, and ensuring a ecting delicate balance between encouraging creativity on the one hand, and prot .the rights of creators on the other

The importance of intellectual property is also evident today in its role as a cornerstone of the knowledge economy, as it is directly linked to investment in dustries, and enhancing the research and development, the building of creative in .competitiveness of countries and institutions.

First requirement : The evolution of the concept of intellectual property and its classifications

The concept of intellectual property is no longer limited to the traditional tection of literary works or industrial inventions, but now includes broader pro .fields related to digitization and technological innovationHere are some of her most prominent photos:

Firstly Copyright:

branches of intellectual Copyright is considered one of the most important property, as it aims to protect literary, artistic, and scientific creations, including .books, musical works, films, and softwareThese rights grant their owner the

E.ISSN: 2661-7404 Academic Journal of Legal and Political Researchs P.ISSN:2571-9971
Year: 2026 Vol : 10 No : 02 Page : 1342 - 1352

power to control the economic exploitation of their work, whether through publication, reproduction, distribution, or modification[1]

secondly Patents:

A patent provides legal protection for new technological inventions that are innovative and industrially applicable, whereby the inventor obtains a temporary right in exchange for full disclosure of the details of his invention, thus exclusive .allowing for the development of technical knowledge.

Third Brands:

Trademarks are concerned with protecting the symbols, names, and logos that the market, which contributes to enhancing distinguish goods and services in .consumer trust and preventing deception or counterfeiting[2]2.

FourthIndustrial property rights:

These rights include the protection of industrial designs, aesthetic models, and modern industries [3] mportant role in supportingapplied innovations, which play an i .and improving product quality3.

Second requirement :The economic and legal function of intellectual property

[1] Abdel Fattah Bayoumi Hegazi, Intellectual Property Rights in Law, Dar Al-Fikr Al-Jami'i, Alexandria, 2019, p. 45.
[2] Mohamed Hosni Abdel Hamid, Commercial Law – Industrial and Commercial Property, Dar Al Nahda Al Arabiya, Cairo, 2018, p. 112.
[3] Abd al-Razzaq al-Sanhuri, The Mediator in Explaining Civil Law – Original Real Rights, Dar Ihya al-Turath al-Arabi, Beirut, 2017, p. 203

Intellectual property serves a dual function, both legal and economic, as it provides protection for creators while simultaneously acting as an effective tool to legal .stimulate investment in research and development.

When an investor or innovator is confident that their intellectual output will be r the financial risks associated with legally protected, they are more willing to bea .innovation, which leads to increased knowledge and technological productionThis protection also contributes to creating a competitive environment based on quality and innovation, rather than unfair competition[4].4

Second topic :Legal issues of artificial intelligence

The integration of artificial intelligence in various fields has led to new legal challenges that were not within the scope of traditional intellectual property e nature of authorship, limits of liability, and regulation, especially with regard to th .ownership of digital outputs.

First requirement : The problem of determining authorship and ownership

Determining the rightful owner of works resulting from artificial intelligence is prominent legal challenges, as it is difficult to attribute these works one of the most to a specific natural person in the absence of direct human intervention, creating a .legal vacuum in determining responsibility and ownership.

[4] Ahmed Abdel Karim Salama, Intellectual Property and its Role in Supporting the Knowledge-Based Economy, Dar Al-Nahda Al-Arabiya, Cairo, 2018, p. 67
Robert P. Merges& John F. Duffy, Patent Law and Policy: Cases and Materials, Foundation Press, 2017.

in content production raises questions Furthermore, the reliance on algorithms .about whether the system can be considered intelligent"Innovative",[5] 5 or whether ownership belongs to the developer, the user or the entity that owns the system.

Second requirement:Ethical and technical problems

challenges are not limited to the legal aspect, but extend to complex ethical The discrimination, and -dimensions, most notably protecting privacy, ensuring non .reducing algorithmic biases.

of which Artificial intelligence systems rely on massive amounts of data, much includes sensitive personal information, raising concerns about how this data is .collected, stored, and processed[6]6.

Third topic : Evolution of legislation in response to artificial intelligence

sought to keep pace with Many national and international legislations have technological development by introducing amendments to intellectual property .laws, with the aim of accommodating digital outputs and regulating their useThese efforts have focused on two key issues: the first concerns the regulation of data rights, and the second concerns determining legal responsibility for actions resulting from intelligent systems, in addition to strengthening international cooperation in this field.

[5] Mohammed Sabri Al-Saadi, Legal Responsibility for Artificial Intelligence Outputs within the Scope of Intellectual Property, Journal of Law and Technology, 2022, p. 118

Ryan Abbott, "The Reasonable Robot: Artificial Intelligence and the Law", Cambridge University Press, 2020.

[6] Virginia Dignum, Responsible Artificial Intelligence: How to Develop and Use AI in a Responsible Way, Springer, 2019

E.ISSN: 2661-7404 Academic Journal of Legal and Political Researchs P.ISSN:2571-9971
Year: 2026 Vol : 10 No : 02 Page : 1342 - 1352

First requirement: Impactintellectual propertyelopmentonEcon om ic dev

Intellectual property plays a pivotal role in supporting economic growth, contributing to the creation of an attractive investment environment by providing legal protection for innovations, thus encouraging companies to develop new .high added value products withIt also contributes to the transfer of knowledge and technology between countries and institutions, leading to increased productivity and improved overall economic performance[7].7

The requirementthe second : The impact of intellectual property on innovation

Legal protection for innovation is a key factor in stimulating scientific research, as it gives innovators confidence that their efforts will not be wasted, thus motivating .them to offer new and innovative solutions in various fields

Striking a balance between protecting intellectual property rights and ensuring access to knowledge is one of the most prominent challenges in the digital age, as excessive protection can hinder innovation and restrict the flow of .knowledgeTherefore, modern legislation works to include exceptional mechanisms that allow for the fair use of protected works within the framework of scientific research, development and the public interest[8].8

reshaping The future of intellectual property is heading towards a comprehensive of its traditional concepts, in line with the rapid development of artificial intelligence, through the adoption of more flexible legal frameworks capable of

[7] Peter Drahos & John Braithwaite, Information Feudalism: Who Owns the Knowledge Economy?, Earthscan, 2002.
[8] William M. Landes & Richard A. Posner, The Economic Structure of Intellectual Property Law, Harvard University Press, 2003

.responding to technological transformationsThis field is also expected to witness enhanced international cooperation and the development of new regulatory models based on knowledge sharing, while integrating the ethical dimension into the regulation of technology use[9]

conclusion:

y is no longer just a legal In light of the above, it is clear that intellectual propert system for protecting traditional creativity, but has become a complex regulatory framework that interacts with modern technological developments, especially .artificial intelligenceHowever, this development necessitates a review of current legal rules to ensure a delicate balance between protecting intellectual property rights, encouraging innovation, and guaranteeing the public interest, in a fast-paced and constantly changing digital environment.

Recommendations:

1. Updating the legislative framework for intellectual property

It is recommended that national legislation relating to intellectual property be reviewed to adapt to the rapid developments in the field of artificial intelligence. This requires the inclusion of explicit legal provisions addressing the protection of the digital outputs of intelligent systems, specifying the nature of the legal protection that can be granted to them, and whether they can be considered works eligible for traditional protection or require a special protection system..

2. Regulating the issue of authorship attribution in intellectual works

It is essential to establish precise legal rules that clearly define the rights holder to the outputs produced by intelligent systems, by distinguishing between the developer, the user, and the system owner. It is also proposed that the criterion of

[9] . World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), The Future of Intellectual Property in the Age of Artificial Intelligence, Geneva, WIPO Publications, 2024.

"substantial human intervention" be adopted as a fundamental element in determining authorship, thus preventing legal ambiguity and limiting potential disputes..

3. Enact a legal framework specific to artificial intelligence.

The study recommends establishing an independent legal framework to regulate the uses of artificial intelligence, rather than simply applying traditional intellectual property rules. This framework aims to address emerging issues such as liability for damages, data ownership, and algorithm protection, ensuring harmony between technological development and legal regulations..

4. Enhance the protection of personal data and privacy

As artificial intelligence systems increasingly rely on big data, it becomes essential to strengthen legal protections for personal data by imposing strict rules on data collection, processing, and storage. Furthermore, oversight of entities using this data should be enhanced to prevent any privacy violations or misuse of information..

5. Accurately define legal responsibility

It is recommended to establish clear rules for determining legal liability in cases of intellectual property rights violations resulting from the use of artificial intelligence, distinguishing between the liability of the developer, the user, and the system owner. Furthermore, the principle of stricter liability should be adopted in cases of negligence or misuse..

6. Strengthening international cooperation in regulating artificial intelligence

Given the cross-border nature of artificial intelligence technologies, it is recommended to intensify international cooperation through relevant organizations such asThe World Intellectual Property Organization and the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization aim to establish unified standards that regulate the protection of intellectual property and ensure the consistency of legislation between countries.

7. Integrating the ethical dimension into legislation

It is essential to integrate ethical considerations into the legal framework governing artificial intelligence, particularly those related to fairness, transparency, and non-

discrimination in algorithms. Furthermore, developers of intelligent systems should be obligated to implement the principles of "responsible AI" to ensure the protection of the rights of individuals and society..

8. Supporting scientific research and innovation

It is recommended to encourage investment in scientific research and development in the field of artificial intelligence by providing legal and financial incentives, along with effective protection for innovations, thus achieving a balance between encouraging innovation, ensuring the public interest, and transferring knowledge..

References:

First: Arabic references

- Abdel Fattah Bayoumi Hegazi, Intellectual Property Rights in Law, Dar Al-Fikr Al-Jami'i, Alexandria, 2019.
- Mohamed Hosny Abdel Hamid, Commercial Law – Industrial and Commercial Property, Dar Al Nahda Al Arabiya, Cairo, 2018.
- Abd al-Razzaq al-Sanhuri, The Mediator in Explaining Civil Law, Dar Ihya al-Turath al-Arabi, Beirut, 2017.
- Ahmed Abdel Karim Salama, Intellectual Property and its Role in Supporting the Knowledge-Based Economy, Dar Al Nahda Al Arabiya, Cairo, 2018.
- Mohammed Sabri Al-Saadi, "Legal Responsibility for Artificial Intelligence Outputs within the Scope of Intellectual Property"Journal of Law and Technology, 2022.

Second: Foreign references

- Virginia Dignum, Responsible Artificial Intelligence: How to Develop and Use AI in a Responsible Way, Springer, 2019.
- Peter Drahos & John Braithwaite, Information Feudalism: Who Owns the Knowledge Economy?, Earthscan, 2002.
- William M. Landes & Richard A. Posner, The Economic Structure of Intellectual Property Law, Harvard University Press, 2003.

Third: International reports

- World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), Artificial Intelligence and Intellectual Property Policy Report, Geneva, 2024.