Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27

ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Methods of Producing and Distributing News in Digital Journalism

Aisha Salim Al Ali*	**Pr. Alaa Makki**
University of Sharjah, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates	University of Sharjah, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates
U21105038@sharjah.ac.ae	aakkof@sharjah.ac.ae

Submitted: 08/05/2025 Accepted: 03/02/2026

Abstract

The study examines the diverse capabilities that artificial intelligence (AI) has on digital journalism, considering the production of the news, news distribution, audience engagement, and the ethical and governance issues that will be presented with the integration of AI. The paper focuses on this phenomenon regarding the way that AI algorithms are on the rise to simplify journalistic processes, automate regular editorial activities, and rationalize resources within digital newsrooms. It also presents the importance of AI in improving the user experience with ad creation to meet the needs of individual users in terms of content personalization, real-time recommendations, and audience insights. Through the study of AI-based strategies in the context of news platforms, the study will look at how algorithmic technologies are not only affecting the pace and volume of the news being published, but also how audiences will be engaging with and receiving it. The theoretical framework of Media Ecology is used to investigate the extended and broader reliance of AI as a medium that redefines the journalistic profession as well as the discourse of the entire population. In addition, the paper highlights some important ethical concerns, including privacy of data, algorithm biases, and transparency, which require clear regulatory measures, like GDPR, and upholding professional codes of journalism. On the whole, the results show the need to create a balance between technological progress and responsible and ethically informed journalism so that AI can be used as an instrument of strengthening, but not a vice that destroys the trust of users in digital media.

Keywords: Impact; Distributing News; Artificial Intelligence, News Production, Digital Journalism

1. Introduction

The world of media and communication consumption has undergone profound transformation due to the accelerated process of digitalization. The widespread adoption of the Internet has fundamentally altered how information is produced, disseminated, and consumed, enabling audiences to follow events across the globe in real time regardless of physical distance. One of the most notable outcomes of this shift is the reconfiguration of digital journalism, which now allows users to access professionally produced editorial content at any time, from any location, and in multiple languages through digital platforms and tools (Sonni et al., 2024).

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27

ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

Within this evolving media landscape, artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a central driver of change, reshaping the processes of news creation, distribution, and consumption. Media organizations increasingly rely on AI technologies—such as natural language processing, machine learning, and data analytics—to automate aspects of news gathering and content production. These systems enable the aggregation of information from multiple sources, the detection of patterns within large datasets, and the rapid generation of news stories with minimal human intervention, thereby significantly optimizing newsroom workflows and reducing reliance on time-consuming routine tasks (Harb & Qabajeh, 2024; Simon, 2024; Stray, 2021).

In addition to operational efficiency, AI has expanded opportunities for enhanced user engagement in digital journalism. Algorithm-driven recommendation systems analyze user behavior, preferences, and interaction histories to deliver personalized content. Tools such as chatbots, interactive interfaces, and voice-enabled assistants further contribute to more responsive and immersive user experiences. News organizations increasingly employ these technologies to offer customized subscriptions, targeted notifications, and curated content bundles, strengthening audience engagement and fostering reader loyalty (Helberger, 2019; Noain-Sánchez, 2022).

Despite these advantages, the integration of AI into journalism presents significant ethical, professional, and governance-related challenges. Concerns surrounding editorial independence, data privacy, misinformation, and algorithmic bias have become increasingly prominent. The automation of content production raises critical questions about transparency, accountability, and the preservation of human judgment—values that lie at the core of ethical journalistic practice (Guzman & Lewis, 2020; de-Lima-Santos & Salaverria, 2021).

Against this backdrop, the present study examines the transformative impact of artificial intelligence on digital journalism by integrating empirical evidence with relevant theoretical frameworks, particularly Media Ecology. Through this lens, the research seeks to contextualize AI-driven changes within the broader media environment and to assess their implications for journalistic practice, audience interaction, and ethical standards in the digital age.

2. Problem Statement

Artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly reshaping how news is produced, distributed, and consumed in digital journalism. News organizations now rely on AI tools to automate parts of the editorial process, personalize content for audiences, and analyze user behavior. While these technologies improve efficiency and audience engagement, they also change traditional newsroom roles and influence how journalistic work is carried out (Carlson, 2023; Marconi & Siegman, 2021).

At the same time, the growing use of AI in journalism raises serious ethical concerns. Issues such as algorithmic bias, data privacy, and the spread of misinformation have become more prominent with the rise of automated and AI-generated content (Schapals & Porlezza, 2022). These risks are compounded by the lack of clear and widely accepted ethical guidelines that regulate how AI should be used in journalism, which may threaten professional standards and public trust in the media (Posetti et al., 2021).

As the line between human-created and AI-generated news becomes less clear, it is increasingly important to understand how this shift affects editorial independence, the credibility of information, and the relationship between news organizations and their audiences. Despite the growing presence of AI in digital journalism, there is still limited understanding of

its broader impact on journalistic decision-making and democratic discourse (Toff & Napoli, 2022).

Accordingly, this study seeks to answer the following main research question:

How does the use of artificial intelligence in digital journalism influence news production practices, editorial standards, and audience trust?

Followed by the sub-research question:

1. In what ways do AI technologies simplify the production of news in digital journalism, and how do these technologies affect the newsroom's effectiveness and editorial workflow?
2. How do the AI-based tools of personalization impact the engagement of audiences and the satisfaction of users across the digital news platforms?
3. What has the introduction of AI done to digital news dissemination in relation to speed, coverage, and personalization of the material provided?
4. How do ethical and governance issues relate to the existence of AI in the journalism process, including the issues of bias and privacy, as well as editorial independence?
5. What opportunities to ethically and responsibly apply AI in digital journalism can be offered, and which of the frameworks or guidelines should be followed to make sure that integrity and trust can be maintained among the audience (or the population at large)?

3. Literature Review

Digital journalism artificial intelligence (AI) has been getting progressively popular among scholars because it generates more budget-friendly changes in news production, delivery, and communication with readers. Whereas the AI has many prospects of high value, e.g., automation, individualization, and efficiency, it raises the question of ethics, prejudice, and transparency.

The literature review discusses four main topics in the following order: (1) AI enables news production and editorial automation; (2) AI-guided news distribution and platform policies; (3) reader engagement in the era of algorithmic journalism, and (4) ethical and governance considerations. This framework could introduce the entire understanding of the current research and provide the framework for investigating how AI technologies are shaping contemporary journalism.

AI in News Production: Automation and Workflow Transformation

Artificial intelligence has made noticeable changes in the newsroom industry, especially during the production process. AI-powered automation tools can now automate news articles, summarize huge datasets, and even carry out some of the most basic fact-checking. With the help of these tools, journalists have been able to cover a larger number of topics with fewer people spending less time on covering routine stories that used to be done manually.

According to Carlson (2023), AI is changing the nature of editorial work since it automates routine work and enables quicker news cycles. On the same note, DalBen and Jurno (2021) provide an example of how machine learning is implemented in the newsrooms of Brazil to optimize scheduling, planning, and content delivery. The developments enable small working groups to handle enormous content volumes and remain accurate and fast.

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27

ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

According to Marconi and Siegman (2021), it is particularly useful in the automatic (and uniform) production of natural language-aided generation tools (NLG) in news stories that cannot be generalized (including financial reporting or sports scores), liberating journalism to focus on analysis and investigative journalism. Nevertheless, this trend is also troubling due to the possible decline in human editorial judgment and creativity regarding the content.

On the whole, the literature is unanimous that AI is changing news production into a data-driven process and is more efficient. However, it is also a sign of changes in the roles of journalists as it implies that some new skills are needed, and it casts doubts on the future of human-based reporting.

AI in News Distribution: Platforms, Reach, and Personalization

The use of artificial intelligence in distributing news has transformed the way people consume information through their preferred access means. To facilitate the personalization of news feeds, recommendations, and the content targeting of users, AI-powered algorithms have become massively popular. Such a shift allows the media platforms to provide more timely and closer-to-the-problem information, which increases the retention and participation of the users.

Agenda for Algorithmic Regulation, Helberger (2019) argues that algorithmic distribution does not merely filter information for individuals but also reinforces consumption habits of the audience, with similar effects argued by filter bubbles and audience fragmentation. On the platforms, the AI provides the ability to personalize content delivery on a large scale using data-based profiling to recommend headlines and push notifications that are most likely to reach a particular user.

Sonni et al. (2024) note that AI-based programs like recommender systems and audience segmentation can help news outlets plan the distribution of material across online platforms to a strategic position, via websites, phones, and social networking sites. These technologies increase efficiency and reach, especially in multilingual and worldwide environments.

Marconi and Siegman (2021) also indicate how increasingly capably AI is involved in newsletter automation and dynamic block packaging, thus making news ping and pong favorites a more responsive and unceasing deal.

Although AI has enhanced the effectiveness of distribution, researchers point out that these systems demand transparency in the way algorithms rank and provide information, and the algorithmic behaviour of content curation. The logic behind content curation is more of an abstruse matter to the end-user, putting a burden on journalistic responsibility in the digital era.

Audience Engagement in the Age of Algorithmic Journalism

Digital journalism has been restructured by artificial intelligence in the way it is communicated to audiences. Through monitoring of the consumer habits, likes, and usage, the AI can help the media company to adjust its content to personal interests and make it more interactive and personalized.

According to Toff and Napoli (2022), personalizations conducted based on AI help hold the audience by providing more accessible and relevant content. Platforms have turned to usage metrics—metrics like click-through rates, reading time, and social- to make real-time content optimizations. This is advantageous to the satisfaction of users, but also the strengthening of the algorithmic controls over what consumers are exposed to.

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27
ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

According to Criado and Gil-Garcia (2019), the evolution of the content delivery procedure as user-centric can be discussed because artificial intelligence systems support the dynamic dialogue between the listeners or other viewers and the media. Comment filtering, adaptive headlines, and content previews are such features that will operate based on user feedback to create a more responsive digital environment.

Nonetheless, Schapals and Porlezza (2022) warn that their personalization might have a price. By allowing exposure to ideological echo chambers, algorithmic news curation may restrict access to a wide range of opinions. Furthermore, the excessive use of engagement-driven measures can push the focus of editorial efforts away from serving the people and towards things that are much more appealing in terms of commerce.

Ethical and Governance Challenges of AI in Journalism

Ethics, transparency, and accountability come to dominate the growing body of research that has arisen with regard to the integration of artificial intelligence in journalism. With increased editorial decision-making, content production, and audience filtering, artificial intelligence systems present multifaceted issues of editorial-based ideals.

According to Posetti et al. (2021), the output of AI models is often trained on historical data that can already be biased, which in turn can reinforce discrimination and bias, distorting coverage. The lack of contextual understanding and moral thinking of humans in regard to the Algorithmic capacity of the decision-making process creates questions regarding the fairness and opinions on accuracy in reporting.

Guzman and Lewis (2020) also refer to the issue of opacity of the AI systems. The fact that algorithmic tools under discussion are opaque, sometimes even to the implementers themselves, is what makes the process of decision-making quite a challenging task. This is tantamount to the absence of transparency, which puts an end to accountability, and misinformation or editorial manipulation is hard to track down.

De-Lima-Santos and Salaverria (2021) say that the current framework of regulations is insufficient to regulate the ethical use of AI in journalism. With as many international regulations calling for the necessity to respect the privacy and consent of data and editorial independence, such as GDPR and some others, no regulations have been made to assist journalists in terms of how they need to address and treat the dangers of AI.

The literature suggests a level increase in ethical considerations, greater transparency in the algorithm, and editorial independence in policy in the newsroom of AI-assisted workspaces. The implementation of AI without proper governance can deteriorate citizens' trust in the media and diminish the role of journalism in democracy.

4. Theoretical Framework

The theory on which the research paper is based is the Media Ecology theory that was originally introduced by Marshall McLuhan and expanded by other researchers such as Neil Postman and Lance Strate. Based on the influence of communication technology on human perception, behaviour, and social systems, the Media Ecology addresses concerns regarding the impact of the medium as the producer of the message itself (McLuhan, 1964; Postman, 1970).

At its center is the notion, propounded by McLuhan, that the medium is the message, meaning literally that the form and frame of communication is, and not its content, that

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27
ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

determines the nature of information interpretation and shape of social arrangement. Artificial intelligence (AI) in this condition is not only a technological change but an environmental one that transforms journalistic standards and relations with the audience (Strate, 2017).

The concept of Media Ecology is particularly useful in the digital journalism context to observe the many ways that artificially intelligent technologies, including natural language generation, content recommendation systems, and audience analytics, remake the relationship between journalist and audience and transform conventional editorial workflows. Overall, algorithms in effect have become gatekeepers in the digital news environment, shaping the creation of news, its distribution, and who gets it, as well (Siles & Boczkowski, 2012).

Using the lens of Media Ecology upon the AI-driven form of journalism enables this research to ask important questions about the mediation of meaning in the algorithmic age and the way technological determinism plays out in editorial processes. It promotes an increased consideration of how, through a simple but potent way of mediating a shift in the production, distribution, and perceptions of news, AI becomes a central mediated and subtle transformer.

5. Research Gap

Although an emerging body of research has been dedicated to artificial intelligence and its increased presence in digital journalism, specifically that of automating news, distributing news to target audiences, and tracking an audience, there are still major knowledge gaps regarding the overall implications of AI in digital journalism. The majority of the current literature is inclined to consider the technical advantages of AI tools or the resulting ethical issues separately without presenting an in-depth study that would establish the interrelation between production, distribution, engagement, and governance within a unified framework.

In addition to this, most of the existing literature relies on qualitative evidence of the Western media settings, which makes it less applicable to other geographies and media cultures. One can observe the disproportionate lack of empirical research that investigates the effects of AI on journalism in the Arab media environments, in which the digital transformation is noticeably evolving but still under-studied.

The practical overlap between the effect of AI on editorial independence and the trust the audience places in it is another dimension that is not being taken into consideration. Although scholars recognize the ethical risks, there are minimal studies that critically evaluate the possibilities of game rooms to implement AI whilst maintaining journalistic quality and openness in the formulation of algorithms.

The research will aim to fill those gaps by conducting a mixed-method investigation that looks at AI and the way it is transforming digital journalism in a more holistic and regionally specific way, by combining empirical data and theoretical analysis, especially through the prism of Media Ecology as an approach.

6. Methodology

I. Research Design

The research design used in this study is a quantitative research design as it will help to determine how artificial intelligence (AI) influences digital journalism in terms of producing, distributing, engaging their audience, and ethical aspects related to digital journalism. Data collection relied on a structured survey instrument, which made the statistical analysis of trends/perceptions among professionals in the media sector possible.

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27

ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

II. Research Philosophy

The study adheres to a pragmatic philosophy, where both the theory and the empirical research are used. This strategy can help sustain an adaptive approach and appreciate practical solutions to the problem of ever-changing phenomena like AI adoption in journalism.

III. Data Collection

The main data consists of a survey that was conducted online and spread by social media and professional networks. A questionnaire contained demographic questions and Likert-scale questions with 5 points that evaluated the perception of participants on the role of AI in digital journalism. The finding was also contextualized and backed up using secondary data from recent academic literature.

IV. Sampling Process and Sample Participants

The study employed a purposive sampling strategy to target professionals actively engaged in journalism and digital media, including journalists, editors, publishers, content creators, and data analysts. This approach ensured that respondents possessed relevant experience and direct exposure to AI-driven or digital journalism practices. The survey was distributed via professional and social networking platforms, specifically LinkedIn and Facebook, where a defined response-collection period was used to obtain a total of 50 valid responses suitable for analysis.

V. Analysis of Data

Descriptive statistics were done on SPSS software. Cronbach's Alpha was used to ensure the reliability of the survey items, and frequency distributions were also used to define the patterns in terms of response. Descriptive statistics assisted in the interpretation of mean perceptions and trends.

VI. Ethical Considerations

The participants were informed of the intention of the study and their rights. The participant gave informed consent, and anonymity and confidentiality were ensured. The survey was designed and its data processed ethically as regards social science research.

VII. Methodological Limitations

The study has a very low sample size (n = 50) and is based on a purposive sampling method via social media, which can be a form of selection bias and confounding.

Data Analysis and Results

This part outlines the main findings of the survey that has been done as an attempt to investigate how artificial intelligence (AI) affects the sphere of digital journalism, in comparison with the production side, the dissemination of information, and access to information, as well as its ethical aspects. Respondents (50) were contacted in the digital media industry, including journalists, newspaper or magazine editors, and other media representatives.

First: Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

I. Demographic Profile of Respondents

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27
ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

An equal proportion of male and female respondents represents the study sample. The sample size represents 50% of each gender (n = 50), meaning no gender discrimination in these studies.

The computed mean is found to be 1.5, and the standard deviation is 0.51, showcasing the binary coding mechanism, which is predominantly deployed in those types of investigations (e.g., 1 = Female, 2 = Male). The result of equal distribution is clear because of the same frequencies and percentages by gender.

This proportionality in gender representation also increases the credibility and generalizability of the results, as the point that the views of both male and female respondents were fully represented in the investigation of the ways AI can affect digital journalism can be made.

Table 1: Gender Distribution of Respondents

Gender	Frequency	Percent	Mean	Standard Deviation
Female	25	50.0%	1.5	0.51
Male	25	50.0%	-	-
Total	**50**	**100.0%**	1.5	0.51

II. Age Distribution of Respondents

With respect to the age group, it has been found that 52% of the participants fall in the category of 26-35. This is then followed by individuals aged 36-45 years, who constitute 38% of the sample. There are 4% of participants aged 20-25, and the remaining participants fall in the 46 years and above category.

The mean (age group) is 2.72, and the standard deviation is 0.72, which means that most of the respondents belong to the younger and middle age group of the adulthood bracket. The mean indicates a small skew to the age group 26-35 years, which seems to be the mode of the sample.

Such age composition shows that the research will be mainly conducted by assessing the views of individuals in the early to mid-career stage. This group can be reasonably expected to have an active interest in digital journalism platforms and technologies.

Table 2: Age Distribution of Respondents

Age Group	Frequency	Percent	Mean	Standard Deviation
20–25 years	2	4.0%	2.72	0.72
26–35 years	26	52.0%	-	-
36–45 years	19	38.0%	-	-

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27
ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

46 years and above	3	6.0%	-	-
Total	**50**	**100.0%**	2.72	0.72

III. Educational Qualifications of Respondents

The respondents of the study are well-educated and informed people. Most people have a graduate degree or postgraduate qualification (42% and 40%, respectively), which means they are quite academic. The remaining (14%) represented the other category, and only 4% claimed the highest level of education to be a Bachelor's degree.

The average educational level score is 2.9, and the standard deviation is 0.83, which indicates the central tendency of the higher academic qualifications possessed. It also indicates a relatively narrow range of education levels, with the majority concentrated in the category of graduate and postgraduate.

This type of profile reveals that the respondents should be mature and critical consumers and producers of online news materials. This also makes their ideas on the integration of AI in journalism more reliable, attracting more professional and ethical interests.

Table 3: Educational Qualifications of Respondents

Educational Level	Frequency	Percent	Mean	Standard Deviation
Bachelor's Degree	2	4.0%	2.9	0.83
Graduates	21	42.0%	-	-
Others	7	14.0%	-	-
Postgraduates	20	40.0%	-	-
Total	**50**	**100.0%**	2.9	0.83

IV. Occupational Roles of Respondents

The persons interviewed in this study are considered from diverse professions that would contribute to the process of digital journalism and media. The biggest percentage (42%) belongs to the group of Content Editors, followed by that of the Journalists (34%), which means that most respondents work directly through the editorial and journalistic mechanisms of the news-generating process.

Other rates were lower among Data Analysts (10%), Content Creators (8%), Publishers (4%), and Others (2%), as there was some diversity of positions, both technical and creative, that comprise the digital news ecosystem.

The average of the occupational score is 2.68, and the standard deviation is 1.25, indicating a rather broad dispersion of the scores based on various occupational categories. The main direction of the mid-coding (which probably reflects the view of content-related positions) indicates that the survey report obtained reflects the opinion of the most active

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27
ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

individuals involved in the introduction of AI tools in content planning, editing, and distribution.

The occupational profile increases the pertinence of the study in the field of journalism because it ensures that one can rely on the finished results with a mission-oriented effect on digital news processes and the application of artificial intelligence among them.

Table 4: Occupational Roles of Respondents

Occupation	Frequency	Percent	Mean	Standard Deviation
Content Creator	4	8.0%	2.68	1.25
Content Editor	21	42.0%	-	-
Data Analyst	5	10.0%	-	-
Journalist	17	34.0%	-	-
Others	1	2.0%	-	-
Publisher	2	4.0%	-	-
Total	**50**	**100.0%**	2.68	1.25

Second: Perceived Benefits of AI Integration in Journalism

I. Perceived Impact of AI on Content Quality

Response analysis shows that participants were very much in agreement in general with the given statement. Most of the 50 respondents were positive, with 66.0% answering Agree and 20.0% more who had answered Strongly Agree. Through this, it is shown that 86.0% of the respondents express a positive attitude. This large magnitude of agreement indicates a distinct direction of favorable vote and consensus.

On the other hand, there was a tiny minority that was opposed or neutral. Disagree was selected by 10.0% of respondents; Neutral was 4.0%. The fairly small percentage of neutral answers indicates that a majority of the participants did not have a mixed attitude to the question but had a certain, defined opinion and viewpoint. The fact that there was little disagreement also indicates that negative perceptions were few.

The average of 2.96 also affirms the impression of high agreement with the overall sentiment of agreement. The 0.73 standard deviation shows the current sample has some variance in the responses, but not enough to hurt the overall consensus. The figures indicate that the statement was overwhelmingly supported by most, with little difference in the attitude of the subjects.

Table 5: Perception of AI's Contribution to Content Quality

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean	Standard Deviation
Disagree	5	10.0%	2.96	0.73

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27
ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

Neutral	2	4.0%	-	-
Agree	33	66.0%	-	-
Strongly Agree	10	20.0%	-	-
Total	**50**	**100.0%**	2.96	0.73

II. Impact of AI on Daily News Production Efficiency

The findings show clearly that there is a high propensity of participants to agree with that statement. Overall, 78.0% responded in the positive direction, and 60.0% strongly agreed, 18.0% agreed, which is an overall positive attitude. By comparison, 18.0% disagreed, and 16.0 per cent selected the option of disagreeing, whereas 2.0 per cent of respondents chose the response of strongly disagreeing. The percentage of the affirmative based on the neutral result was only 4.0% implying that the majority of respondents did have a definite and firm opinion.

This trend continues with the average of 3.74, which indicates a rather positive position. A standard deviation of 1.00 points indicates a moderate dispersion of the responses, although based on the number of written responses in agreement, a positively skewed distribution is present. In general, the data demonstrates a high indicator of consensus among the participants, a few oppositions, or uncertainty.

Table 6: AI and Efficiency in Daily News Production

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean	Standard Deviation
Strongly Disagree	1	2.0%	3.74	1
Disagree	8	16.0%	-	-
Neutral	2	4.0%	-	-
Agree	30	60.0%	-	-
Strongly Agree	9	18.0%	-	-
Total	**50**	**100.0%**	3.74	1

III. AI's Role in Creating Empathetic and Immersive News Experiences

The responses indicate a great tendency to respond agreeably to the statement. 64 % of the 50 participants agreed, and another 18% strongly agreed, so there was an 82% positive response towards the question. This implies that most of the respondents interpreted the statement positively.

Conversely, it can be seen that there is a small margin with only a few respondents in the sample claiming that they did not agree. Speaking more precisely, 12.0 percent of interviewees

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27
ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

chose the option that they do not agree with, which marked a further 6.0 percent who did not have an opinion. The not-so-high percentages of the neutral answers demonstrate that most of the participants had a clear position, and there were a few who did not know or were indifferent.

It is represented by the average score of 2.88. The standard deviation of 0.81 implies a fairly large degree of distribution, so there was indeed somewhat of a difference of opinion; however, not significant enough to refute the general vote. The data gives a full reflection of a positive perception, with most of the participants choosing to agree with the statement and only a few expressing negative or neutral opinions.

Table 7: AI and Empathetic/Immersive News Experience

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean	Standard Deviation
Disagree	6	12.0%	2.88	0.81
Neutral	3	6.0%	-	-
Agree	32	64.0%	-	-
Strongly Agree	9	18.0%	-	-
Total	**50**	**100.0%**	2.88	0.81

IV. AI and Personalized, Engaging News Experiences

The statistics provide a relatively positive picture. Hardly less than a majority, 62.0% checking on "Agree", with 16.0% checking on "Strongly Agree", makes a total of 78.0% of the sample agreeing. This shows that most of the respondents liked the statement and found it acceptable.

In the meantime, 18.0% were seemingly against, and only 2.0% were in strong objection. Also, 2.0% selected the option of "Neutral," with no or little uncertainty or indecisiveness. The fact that it has a low percentage of neutral replies implies that the respondents had definite opinions, more so on the positive side.

The mode of 3.72 (on a scale of 1 to 5 representing Likert scales, with 1 = Strongly Disagree and 5 = Strongly Agree) reflects a high probability of agreement, which is nearly in the range of the Strongly Agree value of 5. The standard deviation of 0.96 indicates moderate variability of answers. The level of agreement, however, is clearly dominant over its polar opposite. The discussion reveals a largely favorable attitude of respondents, and only a small number of people disagree or stand neutral. The mean score is high, which supports the overall positive response.

Table 8: AI and Customization of News Content

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean	Standard Deviation

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27
ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

Strongly Disagree	1	2.0%	3.72	0.96
Disagree	9	18.0%	-	-
Neutral	1	2.0%	-	-
Agree	31	62.0%	-	-
Strongly Agree	8	16.0%	-	-
Total	**50**	**100.0%**	3.72	0.96

V. AI and Public Understanding Through Data Visualization

The statistics suggest an evident positive tendency among the respondents to the statement. Among 50 respondents, 60.0% clicked on the option of Agree, with another 20.0% selecting the option of Strongly Agree. This leads to an overall of 80.0% coming to an agreement, showing substantive support of the statement.

Conversely, a low proportion of respondents posted negative/neutral opinions. The numbers of those choosing the option of disagreeing or being neutral were 8.0% and 12.0%, respectively. The low rate of neutrality indicates that the majority of participants had a rather solid opinion, and the ratio of siding with the statement was high.

This positive trend is also supported by the mean score (2.92 on a 4-point Likert-based scale: 1 (Disagree), 4 (Strongly Agree)) that showed that the average reaction was nearly an agree reaction. The 0.79 standard deviation of the responses would indicate a moderate amount of variability in the responses, albeit none that would distract from the prevailing consensus.

The findings speak of a predominantly positive interpretation of the statement, with a massive majority of the respondents agreeing with it, and only a few, not quite as many, disagreeing or being uncertain thereof.

Table 9: AI Data Visualization and Public Understanding

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean	Standard Deviation
Disagree	4	8.0%	2.92	0.79
Neutral	6	12.0%	-	-
Agree	30	60.0%	-	-
Strongly Agree	10	20.0%	-	-
Total	**50**	**100.0%**	2.92	0.79

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27
ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

VI. AI and Audience Feedback & Retention

The results indicate an overall positive reaction to the statement. The total percentage of respondents agreeing with the statement was 70%, which means that 64.0% exactly ticked Agree, and only 6.0% marked Strongly Agree. This points to a strong majoritarian support to the statement, but not very strong, as the percentage of giving responses reflecting strong agreement is not very high.

Conversely, responses had 14.0% ticked on the box of Disagree, and 16.0% on the box of Neutral. The increased rate of neutral responses throughout the prior sets might indicate that a significant part of the participants were not quite confident or did not care about the statement. The number of differences, which is also the minority, is even sharper in this situation.

The mean score value of 2.62 means that the average response is at the point between the positions of neutral and agree, with the trend towards the positive side. The score of standard deviation (0.74) indicates moderate dispersion in the responses and implies that there are varied opinions in the group.

Even though there is still a positive overall perception, this data shows fewer points of agreement and intensity of agreement than previous findings. The inclination toward consensus is obvious, yet quite a large minority has stated that they were either uncertain or recognized an opposing stance.

Table 10: AI in Audience Feedback and Retention

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean	Standard Deviation
Disagree	7	14.0%	2.62	0.74
Neutral	8	16.0%	-	-
Agree	32	64.0%	-	-
Strongly Agree	3	6.0%	-	-
Total	**50**	**100.0%**	2.62	0.74

VII. AI and Tracking Audience Behavior

The results indicate that the general agreement was very high on the number of respondents who responded to the statement, i.e., 86.0% of the respondents had either "Agree" (58.0 %) or Strongly Agree (28.0%), which indicates that the statement has a strong and definite support of the respondents.

Among the interviewees, the percentage was rather low concerning the views of dissent or neutrality. Specifically, there were 10.0% said that they disagreed, and 4.0% said that they were neutral.

This interpretation is further reinforced by the median of 3.04, indicating a general trend of strongly agreeing. The SD means there was a moderate amount of response variability, but the distribution is distinctly skewed towards agreement.

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27
ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

Table 11: AI and Audience Behavior Tracking

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean	Standard Deviation
Disagree	5	10.0%	3.04	0.84
Neutral	2	4.0%	-	-
Agree	29	58.0%	-	-
Strongly Agree	14	28.0%	-	-
Total	**50**	**100.0%**	3.04	0.84

VIII. AI and Customer Growth via Personalization

The numbers show that there is an overall positive reaction to the statement, and a strong majority gave an indication of agreement. In particular, answers of 48.0% of the respondents were "Agree", 28.0% were represented as "Strongly Agree", and the total number of positive impressions made was 76.0%. This shows that there is a very good measure of support for the expression among the respondents.

Conversely, 20.0% of the respondents picked the response, Disagree, and 2.0% Strongly Disagree, making up a total of 22.0% of respondents with contrary opinions. The other 2.0% of the respondents were indecisive. The rate of disagreement, though relatively high as compared to the other previous datasets, is indeed categorically a minority view as compared to the prevailing agreement trend.

The median value of 3.80 (out of a 1-5 Likert scale, where 1 = Strongly Disagree and 5 = Strongly Agree) would imply a tendency towards the "Strongly Agree," which is one of the high points of the general positive perception of the statement. A relatively high standard deviation of 1.07 indicates that there is a relatively moderate to high level of variation in the responses, and therefore, although the general trend is rather positive, there is a variety of opinions.

Table 12: AI and Customer Growth via Personalization

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean	Standard Deviation
Strongly Disagree	1	2.0%	3.80	1.07
Disagree	10	20.0%	-	-
Neutral	1	2.0%	-	-
Agree	24	48.0%	-	-

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27
ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

Strongly Agree	14	28.0%	-	-
Total	**50**	**100.0%**	3.80	1.07

IX. AI-Driven News Recommendations and Distribution

The findings show that there is a high level of agreement among the respondents. There was a total of 88.0 percent of those who chose either Agree (58.0) or Strongly Agree (30.0), which states a very positive consideration about the statement. This great degree of correlation indicates that most of the respondents have had the same positive image.

Very little of the sample showed disagreement or uncertainty. In particular, 8.0% of respondents picked the answer "Disagree," and 4.0% picked "Neutral." This minimal degree of opposition and neutrality indicates that the vast majority of subjects possessed a strong and encouraging viewpoint, with clearly very few of the undecided or disagreeing opinions.

The average of 3.10 solidifies the strong positive leaning, with the average response circulating slightly past the "Agree" mark. The standard deviation of 0.84 points to a moderate level of variability, but the overall trend is evidently biased toward agreement.

Table 13: AI and News Recommendations

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean	Standard Deviation
Disagree	4	8.0%	3.10	0.84
Neutral	2	4.0%	-	-
Agree	29	58.0%	-	-
Strongly Agree	15	30.0%	-	-
Total	**50**	**100.0%**	3.10	0.84

X. AI and Speed of News Distribution

The findings refer to a very high level of concurrence among the respondents. The two answers of agree and strongly agree, which are 58.0% and 30.0%, respectively, accounted for a total of 88.0%, which appears extremely positive with regard to that statement. This percentage of agreement means that the majority of the respondents were on the same side concerning the positive perceptions.

The small fraction of disagreement/ uncertainty as part of the sample is scant. Particularly, 8.0 percent of the respondents chose the option of disagreeing, and 4.0 percent chose the option of Neutral. This shows a small percentage of opposition and uncertainty, but these are highly outnumbered by the percentage of people writing that they agree. The prevailing moderate neutral response also indicates that the majority of the respondents had a decisive view.

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27
ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

The overall score of 2.94 conforms to the Agree category, as the respondents were overall positive. The SD of 0.84 shows that there was moderate variation of the responses, and so, although there were slight differences in opinions of participants, there was a consistent level of agreement.

The numbers indicate a very high inclination to agree, with most respondents agreeing with the statement and only a negligible proportion disagreeing or not/opinionated. The results are indicative of a clear and largely positive perception by participants.

Table 14: AI and News Distribution Speed

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean	Standard Deviation
Disagree	7	14.0%	2.94	0.84
Neutral	4	8.0%	-	-
Agree	31	62.0%	-	-
Strongly Agree	8	16.0%	-	-
Total	**50**	**100.0%**	2.94	0.84

Third: Ethical, Regulatory, and Global Impacts of AI in Journalism

The last section of the survey focused on survey participants' opinions on the wider implications of artificial intelligence to journalism and particularly on the issue of global accessibility, ethics, governance, and the development role of the regulatory faculties.

Table 15 indicates that 70% (50% of them agree, 20% strongly agree) of the participants thought that AI contributed to eliminating geographical boundaries in news distribution. It is indicative of the fact that AI is able to extend beyond former distribution boundaries through the use of real-time translation tools, automated broadcasting, and platform coverage. Nonetheless, 18% of people disagreed with this statement, and 12 people were neutral, so not all people believed that equal regions are equally accessible.

In matters concerning costs, 88% of respondents (64% agree, 24% strongly agree) confirmed that AI ensures lower costs of production and distribution in terms of language and geographic locations. This is an illustration of how efficiency has been perceived in digital publishing by automating and delivering on scalable platforms.

The importance of ethics and policy was also brought up among the respondents, as 80% agreed or strongly agreed that the use of AI tools in journalism has necessitated an update in regulatory frameworks and ethical standards. On the same note, 74% preferred that AI presents important moral issues, particularly on data privacy and data security.

Problems of governance and transparency were identified:

• 86% concurred that technology-intensive journalism requires the updating of the principles of transparency and accountability.

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27
ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

• 86% of the respondents admitted that AI has brought issues over professional integrity, AI literacy, and control, and this demonstrates the concern over human control and editorial oversight of an AI-led environment.

When questioned concerning the rate of adoption of AI, 80% of the respondents responded that the journalism sector is quickly using AI in the production and distribution of digital news.

Likewise, 72% of the respondents indicated that AI has played a major role in changing the manner in which the news is presented and accessed, with the respondents highlighting the importance of AI with respect to visuality, customization, and narrative forms.

Finally, the findings indicated that 82% of the respondents were of the opinion that AI has contributed to the growth of the customer base and has reached national and international markets in the most efficient ways. In addition, 72% were of the view that, regardless of technological advancements, the introduction of AI into the digital journalism sector necessitates a change in ethical considerations of such an activity, especially during data manipulation and content manipulation.

Such results justify the revolutionary power of AI on every level of journalism, technological, ethical, and operational, along with the necessity of responsible usage, which new frameworks and comprehensive media morality should regulate.

Table 15: Ethical, Regulatory, and Global Impacts of AI in Journalism

Statement	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
AI removes geographical boundaries	0.0%	18.0%	12.0%	50.0%	20.0%
AI reduces cost across regions and languages	0.0%	10.0%	2.0%	64.0%	24.0%
AI requires updated regulations and ethics	0.0%	12.0%	8.0%	60.0%	20.0%
AI causes data privacy and security concerns	0.0%	10.0%	16.0%	44.0%	30.0%
AI raises issues of integrity and governance	0.0%	8.0%	6.0%	54.0%	32.0%
Tech use needs more transparency and accountability	0.0%	16.0%	6.0%	64.0%	14.0%

Algerian Communication Journal
Volume : 28/ N° : 01 (2026) P 06-27
ISSN:1111-536X EISSN:2676-1793

AI adoption is accelerating in journalism	0.0%	%	12.0	8.0%	54.0%	26.0%
AI reshapes how news is presented and consumed	4.0%	%	22.0	2.0%	54.0%	18.0%
AI expands customer base nationally and internationally	2.0%	%	8.0	8.0%	50.0%	32.0%
AI demands revised ethics in digital transformation	0.0%	%	14.0	% 14.0	52.0%	20.0%

Discussion

This study confirms and expands existing literature about the transformative power of artificial intelligence (AI) in digital journalism and provides novel information about the media sphere within a specific location, the Arab region. As it has been illustrated in the data analysis, most respondents were strongly in agreement with the statements regarding the contributions of AI to enhancing the content quality, production efficiency, and audience engagement. These findings are consistent with prior research by Carlson (2023) and Marconi and Siegman (2021), who concluded that AI-based automation creates more efficient newsroom working, editorial burden, and speeds up trivial reporting workflows.

Moreover, the analysis confirms the comments made by Helberger (2019) on the personalization potential of artificial intelligence. A significant proportion of the respondents responded affirmatively to the fact that AI personalizes content accuracy and enhances user satisfaction by observing behavioral data and delivering recommendations. This aligns with literature that illustrates the use of algorithmic journalism in the formation of audience experiences and consumption practices. Nonetheless, it also confirms the worries that Schapals and Porlezza (2022) raise, stating that this personalization can result in the formation of echo chambers and filter bubbles, which some members of this research indirectly, through their neutral or disagreeing answers, also confirmed.

With the help of Media Ecology lenses, AI is seen not only as the means of production but as a medium that reshapes the bonds between journalists, audiences, and the news space itself. The idea that has been developed by McLuhan (1964) about the message being the medium applies in this case, especially due to the manner in which the research portrays how AI, as a form of technology, is reshaping the form of journalism by working to alter the structure and the speed of the communication process. Most of the participants interpreted AI as a feature of immersive storytelling and real-time interaction, meaning a shift in traditional journalistic forms to a data-driven interface-enhanced experience. This confirms the Media Ecology view that technologies do not just help communication; rather, they change it.

Ethical and governance issues represented a dominant theme that runs through the literature as well as through the survey responses. Interviewees also repeated and affirmed that new regulatory mechanisms and enhanced ethics were necessary to address the risks of bias in

algorithms, loss of editorial control, and reduced data confidentiality. The results are consistent with the positions that Posetti et al. (2021) and Guzman and Lewis (2020) take, identifying the lack of transparency of algorithmic decision-making and the risk of loss of faith in it. A fear of the lack of transparency and accountability, which was highlighted in this paper as well, fits squarely into the pattern of the Media Ecology theory, which cautions against the problematic effects of new media environments having the power to dominantly influence discourse and public opinion without a watchdog role being assigned to challenge them.

This research also partially bridged the regional lack of empirical research on Albert journalism contexts. In contrast with the available literature, which concentrates on Western settings, the study availed locally grounded facts of media professionals in the UAE. The findings suggest that it is true to a large extent that most of the trends being witnessed across the globe, automation, personalization, and ethical risk, are also playing out in Arab digital newsrooms, albeit maybe in new regulatory and cultural contexts that have not been deeply analyzed thus far.

In short, the discussion validates that AI is neither a technological boost nor a systemic paradigm change in journalism. It increases operational efficiency and the experience of the audience; however, it also poses challenging ethical issues that require a sensitive policy approach. The traditional theoretical framework of Media Ecology can adequately guide us in describing such a change because it not only focuses on what AI can do but also on how it shapes the communicative environment, professional activities, and the very epistemology of journalism. The application of AI in the news production and delivery process cannot be illustrated only in its optimistic aspects, or even in the general effects on the media systems, discourse, and participation of citizens.

Conclusion

This paper examined how artificial intelligence (AI) technology is being incorporated into digital journalism and what this means in terms of news creation, news circulation, news individualization, news ethics, and interaction between the news and the audience. As the results show, AI promotes high levels of efficiency and quality in journalism. A large part of respondents recognised the importance of AI in enhancing the customisation of content, faster distributions, and more interactive content, all of which led to a richer and dynamic news experience.

However, along with positive ethical considerations, the study also pointed out serious ethical concerns. The majority of respondents were worried about data privacy, transparency, and the need to have new restrictions. Despite the undeniable operational advantages brought about by AI, governance in using the kind of technology would need to be high, such that the operations would translate into professional integrity and credibility among respondents. They also stated that there are certain knowledge and literacy gaps in media practice using AI, despite its wide use, which may undermine its effectiveness.

Recommendations

All in all, AI is transforming the journalistic world. However, a harmonious combination of technological innovation with the ethical vision and professional responsibility is the only way to explore the true power of this change. Some recommendations are made on the basis of the findings of this research:

1. To address AI in media, media organizations are suggested to undergo regular training to increase the knowledge of journalists and editors about AI's potential, challenges, and moral aspects.
2. National and international authorities ought to combine efforts to create AI-intelligent journalism standards based on norms such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and present journalism ethics rules.
3. Media regulators and governments need to revise digital journalism by adjusting their policies to the latest AI-driven practices, especially the use of transparency, data processing, and algorithmic responsibility.
4. The use of AI tools is not only essential to improve operational efficiency but also to raise trust and interest of the users as well. This means coming up with algorithms that are not biased and promote information accuracy.
5. The system of AI and its application in journalism must be fully inclusive so that there is no danger that sensitive engines of personalization or content-based filtering will make underrepresented voices and areas marginalized.

References

Anderson, C. W., Bell, E., & Shirky, C. (2022). *Post-industrial journalism: Adapting to the present*. Columbia Journalism School. https://doi.org/10.7916/D8N01JS8

Bradshaw, P. (2023). *The data journalism handbook: Towards a critical data practice* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Broussard, M., Diakopoulos, N., Guzman, A. L., Abebe, R., Dupagne, M., & Chuan, C. H. (2019). Artificial intelligence and journalism. Journalism & mass communication quarterly, 96(3), 673–695. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/1077699019859901 .

Chuan, C. H., Tsai, W. H., & Cho, S. Y. (2019). Framing artificial intelligence in American newspapers. In Proceedings of the 2019 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society (pp. 339-344). https://www.aies-conference.com/2019/wp-content/pap.

Criado, J. I., & Gil-Garcia, J. R. (2019). Creating public value through smart technologies and strategies: From digital services to artificial intelligence and beyond. International Journal of Public Sector Management, 32(5), 438–450.

Guzman, A. L., & Lewis, S. C. (2020). Artificial intelligence and communication: A human–machine communication research agenda. New media & society, 22(1), 70-86. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1461444819858691.

Harb, W. A., & Qabajeh, M. (2024). Impact of Generative Artificial Intelligence on Journalism: Practice and Deontology. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-63153-5_18.

Hermida, A. (2021). *Tell everyone: Why we share and why it matters*. Doubleday Canada.

journalism.columbia.edu/. (2024). Two Reports: "Artificial Intelligence in the News" and How AI Reshapes Journalism and the Public Arena. https://journalism.columbia.edu/news/tow-report-artificial-intelligence-news-and-how-ai-reshapes-journalism-and-public-arena#:~:text=AI%E2%80%99s%20potential%20to%20increase%20efficiency%20in%20news%20organizations,production.%20Such%20efficiency%20gains%

Marconi, F. (2023). *Newsmakers: Artificial intelligence and the future of journalism*. Columbia University Press.

mcluhan.org. (2023). What is Media Ecology? Retrieved from https://mcluhan.org/media-ecology/.

Napoli, P. M. (2022). *Social media and the public interest: Media regulation in the disinformation age*. Columbia University Press.

Noain-Sánchez, A. (2022). Addressing the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Journalism: the perception of experts, journalists, and academics. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/361168894_Addressing_the_Impact_of_Artificial_Intelligence_on_Journalism_the_perception_of_experts_journalists_and_academics.

Pavlik, J. V. (2023). *Journalism in the age of virtual reality and artificial intelligence*. Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly, 100(1), 45–65. https://doi.org/10.1177/10776990221078934

de-Lima-Santos, M.-F., & Ceron, W. (2021). Artificial Intelligence in News Media: Current Perceptions and Future Outlook. Journalism and Media, 3(1), 13–26. https://doi.org/10.3390/journalmedia3010002

Simon, F. M. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in the News: How AI Retools, Rationalizes, and Reshapes Journalism and the Public Arena. https://www.cjr.org/tow_center_reports/artificial-intelligence-in-the-news.php.

Sonni, A. F., Hafied, H., Irwanto, I., & Latuheru, R. (2024). Digital Newsroom Transformation: A Systematic Review of the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Journalistic Practices, News Narratives, and Ethical Challenges. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385146994_Digital_Newsroom_Transformation_A_Systematic_Review_of_the_Impact_of_Artificial_Intelligence_on_Journalistic_Practices_News_Narratives_and_Ethical_Challenges.

Stray, J. (2021). Making artificial intelligence work for investigative journalism. Algorithms, Automation, and News, 97–118. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2019.1630289

Tandoc, E. C., & Maitra, J. (2021). News algorithms and the challenge of diversity. *Digital Journalism*, 9(6), 757–776. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2021.1910987

Thorson, K., & Wells, C. (2022). Curated flows: A framework for mapping media exposure in the digital age. *Communication Theory*, 32(3), 213–231. https://doi.org/10.1093/ct/qtab009

van Dalen, A. (2022). The robot journalist in the newsroom: How audiences respond to automation in news production. *Digital Journalism*, 10(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2020.1809325

Westlund, O., & Lewis, S. C. (2021). *Actors, actants, and audiences in news journalism*. Digital Journalism, 9(4), 509–529. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2021.1910975