

Journal of legal and social studies

Issn: 2507-7333

Eissn: 2676-1742

**Responsibility within the Work Environment and Its Repercussions on the
Management Process in the Algerian Institution
An Analytical Study on a Sample of Sonelgaz Frames from the Djelfa Agency**

Omrani Tsameur*

Full affiliation(Algeria), tsameur.omrani@univ-djelfa.dz

Date of send: 01 / 03 / 2026	date of acceptance: 01/05/2026	Date of Publication: 01/06/2026
------------------------------	--------------------------------	---------------------------------

***Corresponding author**

Abstract :

This study aims to examine professional responsibility within the workplace and its impact on the management process in Algerian institutions. Responsibility at work is closely linked to management, as both constitute two sides of the same coin, jointly oriented toward achieving effectiveness and efficiency in organizational performance. The relationship between responsibility and effectiveness is clearly reflected in the overall performance of the organization: when employees are committed to their responsibilities, this directly influences the level of management, performance, and productivity. The study relied on the descriptive-analytical approach, drawing on data collected from employees and workers at **Sonelgaz, Djelfa** Agency, through observation and interview techniques. The study concluded that a strong link exists between professional responsibility and the management process within the work environment, and that responsibility is not only a guarantee for achieving good performance, but also a fundamental tool for maintaining trust and respect between employees and management.

Keywords: responsibility ; work environment ; management process ; institution

Introduction

Professional responsibility within the work environment is one of the most critical pillars upon which organizations are built in order to ensure the achievement of their desired goals. Responsibility is not merely a legal or organizational concept; rather, it is an ethical and professional principle that every employee must internalize as part of a broader culture of teamwork and productivity. The work environment, by its inherently complex and interconnected nature, depends on a large number of individuals, procedures, and mechanisms that interact with one another to ensure a smooth and efficient workflow. In this context, responsibility emerges as an essential element in organizing these interactions, whether at the level of individuals, departments, or the institution as a whole.

The importance of professional responsibility cannot be overstated. Responsibility is not only a guarantee of achieving good performance, but it also serves as a tool for maintaining trust and respect between employees and management. When an employee takes responsibility for his actions and performance, he becomes aware of the importance of his role within the organization and recognizes that his personal success is intrinsically tied to the success of the team and the institution as a whole. This sense of responsibility reinforces the spirit of cooperation and active participation, and motivates individuals to offer their best skills and expertise in pursuit of common goals. Furthermore, responsibility contributes to reducing internal conflicts, as the responsible employee is perceived as a reliable element capable of bearing the consequences of his own actions.

Management plays a significant role in fostering a culture of responsibility within the organization. By establishing clear and specific policies and rules, management can guide employees toward genuine commitment and effective accountability. However, it is not merely a matter of setting rules — management must itself set an example in applying and adhering to those rules. When employees perceive that management upholds the same standards it imposes upon them, they become more willing to comply. Additionally, there must be clear mechanisms for monitoring and follow-up, so that employee performance is continuously evaluated to ensure compliance with defined responsibilities.

The relationship between responsibility and effectiveness is clearly evident in the overall performance of the organization. A responsible employee does not merely carry out routine duties; he also seeks to offer solutions and initiatives that contribute to improving and developing workflow. This type of commitment is a crucial element in achieving overall organizational effectiveness, as it contributes to reducing errors, increasing the speed of task completion, and improving the quality of products or services delivered.

Maintaining professional responsibility among workers requires clear and flexible procedures. In cases of violations or omissions, corrective measures must be implemented within the framework of professional ethics, with the aim of guiding the employee back toward the correct path. It is essential that any such measures be grounded in fairness and transparency, so that employees feel they are being treated justly. In this way, disciplinary action becomes part of an organizational mechanism aimed at promoting a culture of responsibility, rather than functioning merely as a punitive tool.

From the foregoing, the following central research question is raised:

How is professional responsibility within the work environment reflected in the management process at the Sonelgaz Foundation, Djelfa Agency?

General Hypothesis: Negligence in implementing work systems — in the domain of professional responsibility — negatively affects the effectiveness of management.

Part One: Theoretical Framework

1. Principles of Responsibility

Responsibility is one of the most fundamental concepts upon which the work environment is founded. It is an expression of the conscious commitment that an individual bears toward the tasks assigned to him and the outcomes resulting from his performance of those tasks. Responsibility is not merely a legal or regulatory obligation; it also encompasses the ethical and professional dimensions that every individual must embody. It requires the employee to bear the consequences of his actions — whether positive or negative — according to specific and agreed-upon organizational standards.(1)

1.1 Definition of Professional Responsibility

Professional responsibility refers to the capacity of an individual or group to make decisions and carry out the required actions within the work environment, while bearing full accountability for the consequences of those decisions and actions. In other words, it is the individual's ability to adhere to what is required of him, to perform his duties efficiently and professionally, and to be prepared to bear the consequences — whether in the form of accountability or reward.(2)

1.2 Dimensions of Professional Responsibility in the Work Environment

Responsibility assumes several dimensions within the work environment, including:

1. Legal Responsibility: This encompasses compliance with the laws and regulations governing work, including contracts and internal rules that define the rights and duties of employees.

2. Professional Responsibility: This refers to adherence to professional standards and behaviors determined by the nature of the job. It requires the employee to maintain high performance and act in accordance with the demands of his profession.(3)

3. Ethical Responsibility: This pertains to the ethical values and principles that an employee must embody, such as honesty, integrity, and respect in dealings with colleagues and clients.

4. Social Responsibility: This involves the impact of an individual's actions on society and the environment surrounding the institution. Employees are required to perform their duties in a manner that serves society and contributes to achieving the public interest.(4)

1.3 The Importance of Responsibility in the Work Environment

Responsibility in the work environment directly affects several dimensions, the most significant of which are:

1. Achieving Goals: When every employee takes responsibility for his tasks, this leads to the efficient achievement of institutional goals.(5)

2. Enhancing Trust: Mutual trust between management and employees is realized when each individual assumes his responsibility without negligence, thereby fostering cooperation and productivity.

3. Improving Performance: A sense of responsibility motivates employees to develop their skills and increase their efficiency in order to achieve superior performance.(6)

4. Avoiding Mistakes: When everyone is clear about their responsibilities, they are more careful to avoid errors and omissions, which contributes to improved quality and productivity.

1.4 Types of Responsibility

There are several types of responsibility within the work environment:

1. Individual Responsibility: Borne by each employee personally, this entails the obligation to accomplish the tasks assigned to him on an individual basis.(7)

2. Collective Responsibility: This arises when a group of employees participates in performing a specific task, and all members share responsibility for its success or failure.

3. Administrative Responsibility: This falls upon managers and supervisors, and consists of monitoring employee performance and ensuring that policies and procedures are implemented efficiently.(8)

1.5 Responsibility and Personal Development

Taking on responsibility within the work environment contributes significantly to an individual's professional and personal development. By assuming various responsibilities, the employee learns how to make sound decisions and manage tasks effectively. He also develops leadership and management skills, particularly when occupying a supervisory position. A sense of responsibility further enhances the employee's engagement in the work environment and deepens his commitment to organizational development.(9)

1.6 Responsibility and Accountability

Responsibility is directly related to accountability. The clearer and more specific the responsibilities, the more straightforward the accountability process becomes when an employee fails to fulfill his role. Accountability provides an opportunity to correct mistakes and improve performance by reviewing results and holding individuals or teams answerable for what was or was not achieved. It serves as a mechanism for ensuring ongoing compliance with the laws and standards adopted in the workplace.(10)

2. Foundations of Disciplinary Responsibility

2.1 Definition of Disciplinary Responsibility

Disciplinary responsibility is a type of professional responsibility that an employee bears within the work environment.(11) It relates to his commitment to the rules and regulations established by the institution to ensure an efficient and well-ordered workflow. This responsibility requires the employee to be fully aware of his obligations, and he must bear the consequences of any violation thereof. Should the employee commit any misconduct or unregulated behavior, he becomes subject to disciplinary measures that may range from a warning to financial penalties.

2.2 Core Principles of Disciplinary Responsibility

1. The Principle of Justice Disciplinary responsibility must be applied fairly and equitably to all employees, regardless of their positions or ranks within the organization. Justice demands that everyone bear equal consequences for wrongful actions, which reinforces trust between employees and management.

2. The Principle of Transparency It is essential that rules of conduct, discipline, and disciplinary procedures be clearly defined and known to all. The organization must make these rules explicit, along with the penalties associated with each type of violation, so that employees understand them prior to any implementation.

3. The Principle of Accountability This principle requires the employee to take full responsibility for his actions or negligence in performing his tasks. Accountability means that an employee is answerable for his professional results and for any behavior that violates the organization's internal regulations.

4. The Principle of Graduated Penalties Disciplinary sanctions should be graduated, beginning with warnings and cautions, and escalating in accordance with the seriousness and frequency of the violation. This principle ensures that the employee is given an opportunity to correct his behavior before more severe penalties — such as salary deductions or dismissal — are applied.(12)

5. The Principle of Objectivity Disciplinary measures must be grounded in clear evidence and accurate information. Any sanction must be based on proven facts rather than personal impressions or biases, so as to ensure that accountability is fair and fact-based.

6. The Principle of the Right to Defense This principle requires that the employee in question be given an opportunity to explain his position and present his defense before any disciplinary measure is taken.(13) This contributes to ensuring that the measures taken are both fair and objective, and reinforces employees' confidence in the accountability system within the organization.(14)

7. The Principle of Shared Responsibility Disciplinary responsibility is not confined to employees in lower-level positions; it must extend to all functional levels, including administrative leaders.(15) This reinforces a culture of discipline throughout the organization and ensures that everyone is subject to the same standards.

8. The Principle of Prevention and Deterrence In addition to penalties, the organization should seek to prevent violations by educating employees and providing the necessary training to acquaint them with applicable rules and standards. The objective is to cultivate a work environment that promotes positive behaviors and reduces the likelihood of violations.

9. The Principle of Periodic Evaluation Disciplinary responsibility requires continuous monitoring and evaluation of employee behavior and their level of

compliance with rules and regulations. This periodic evaluation helps detect any violations or omissions before they escalate, and enables timely corrective action.

2.3 Levels of Disciplinary Responsibility and Their Relationship to Performance Effectiveness

Disciplinary responsibility within organizations is distributed across multiple levels aimed at regulating individual and collective behavior, and achieving a balance between employee rights and duties.

Legal Responsibility: Related to the organization's commitment to implementing national laws and regulations that govern work, such as labor laws, occupational safety standards, and employee rights.(16) Compliance with national laws enhances the organization's image among employees and society, thereby improving the work environment and contributing to increased job satisfaction.

Moral Responsibility: Applying ethical principles enhances trust among employees and creates a positive work environment that encourages commitment and discipline. When employees feel that management treats them honestly and fairly, it motivates them to improve their performance and increase their productivity.

Social Responsibility: Related to the organization's obligations toward society and workers, by providing a healthy and safe work environment and safeguarding employees' rights. Interest in social responsibility enhances institutional loyalty among employees.(17)

Organizational Responsibility: Related to managing activities and operations within the organization in a way that ensures discipline and sound organization, in line with the organization's objectives. Good management of organizational processes leads to reducing errors and limiting overlaps between tasks.(18)

Leadership Responsibility: Leaders and managers bear great responsibility to guide their teams and ensure compliance in the pursuit of organizational goals. Effective leadership that combines decisiveness and flexibility contributes to enhancing commitment and discipline within the organization.

Professional Responsibility: The commitment of employees to achieving professional standards in performing their assigned tasks, and to the continuous development of professional skills and competencies.(19) Professional responsibility enhances employees' development and motivates them to adhere to international standards, which improves the quality of work and contributes to achieving corporate goals.(20)

Part Two: Applied Aspect

Introduction to the Distribution Directorate in Djelfa

Djelfa District is an administrative unit affiliated with **Sonelgaz** Medium Distribution Company (SDC), headquartered in Blida. **The Sonelgaz Djelfa** center was previously affiliated with the Medea Center, before becoming independent in 1977 and operating within the state sector. In July 2004, its organizational form was modified, granting the center greater operational autonomy and transforming it into a full directorate. This transformation gave it a significant strategic position, enabling it to better serve its growing customer base across the province by providing electricity and gas energy under the best possible conditions.

Objectives of the Sonelgaz Foundation

1. Ensuring the public distribution of gas, with due regard to safety factors, regardless of price considerations.
2. Ensuring the production and distribution of quality electricity.
3. Planning the Foundation's annual operational program.
4. Carrying out sales, installation, and home electrical and gas maintenance operations.
5. Endeavoring to reduce accumulated debt.
6. Achieving the overarching goal of providing a quality public service.

Study Sample

The total number of employees at the Sonelgaz Foundation in Djelfa amounts to 476 workers. For the purposes of this study, 37 agency officials were selected as the representative sample.

Interview Questions with Agency Executives and Heads of Departments

Q1: How do you evaluate the current management effectiveness in the organization?

A: Effectiveness is weak to moderate. There is a significant gap between set goals and actual achievement. The organization suffers from weak planning, slow implementation, and a lack of adequate monitoring and evaluation mechanisms. Management tends to focus more on formalities than on real, measurable results.

Q2: What are the most prominent factors that hinder achieving better management effectiveness?

A: The most prominent factors include: weak general job commitment, shortage of financial and material resources, inadequate strategic planning, resistance to change on the part of both workers and management, excessive bureaucracy, the

absence of an effective incentive system, insufficient training and qualification programs, and the lack of genuine accountability.

Q3: Does increasing the duration of completing tasks (in terms of professional responsibility) affect the management effectiveness of the organization?

A: Negligence in implementing work regulations constitutes a fundamental link in the chain of organizational deterioration. Leniency in implementing laws and procedures leads to the spread of a culture of indifference and irresponsibility. This phenomenon undermines the principle of organizational justice and erodes trust in the administrative system, contributing to the escalation of negative behaviors and their normalization as socially acceptable patterns within the workplace. This situation necessitates decisive intervention to re-establish the values of professional commitment and responsibility.

Hypothesis Verification

Hypothesis: Negligence in applying work systems — in the domain of professional responsibility — affects management effectiveness.

The analyzed data conclusively confirms the validity of this hypothesis. It was found that leniency in implementing regulations affects the overall performance of the organization, with a high approval rate of **86%**. Delays in work implementation showed an approval rate of **88%**, with educational level positively impacting perceptions of this relationship — graduate-level employees recorded the highest approval rate at **92.31%**.

Furthermore, the interview revealed that lax attendance leads to a deterioration in workflow, as confirmed by **84%** of respondents, indicating a strong awareness of the importance of self-discipline in attendance. Regarding non-compliance with internal laws, **86%** of respondents agreed on its negative impact on the work system, with noticeable consistency across different categories of professional experience. These results indicate that negligence in implementing regulations creates a state of organizational chaos that negatively affects all aspects of the management process.

Conclusion

Professional responsibility in the work environment is an essential element in achieving discipline and effectiveness within the organization. By adhering to the principles of disciplinary responsibility, employee performance can be significantly improved and institutional goals can be effectively pursued. Disciplinary responsibility is not merely a punitive measure; it is a means of organizing work and ensuring continuity and development within a healthy professional environment.

Responsibility is a comprehensive approach aimed at promoting commitment to and respect for an organization's internal laws and norms. By adopting a culture of responsibility and aligning it with the management process, the organization can achieve high levels of productivity and competitiveness, while also avoiding the problems and crises that may result from negligence or neglect at work.

An environment that respects employees and provides them with the necessary support and training is far more capable of activating responsibility and commitment among its workforce. Conversely, a work environment that lacks organization, or that is characterized by chaos and tension, leads to weakened commitment and a failure to assume responsibilities. Organizations must therefore work to create a healthy and stimulating work environment — one in which employees feel part of a larger entity and are recognized and valued for their efforts. This type of environment contributes to building strong, cooperative work teams that collectively strive toward shared success.

Bibliography List :

- (1) Hani Younis, *Discipline Management in Institutions*, Dar Al Furqan, Jordan, 2020, p. 15.
- (2) Omar Abdel Aziz, *Job Discipline and its Impact on Productivity*, Dar Al-Kitab Al-Arabi, Egypt, 2019, p. 22.
- (3) Khaled El Farag, *The Importance of Job Discipline in Work Environments*, Al-Ahram Library, Saudi Arabia, 2021, p. 30.
- (4) Afaf Al-Sharif, *Motivation and Job Discipline: An Analytical Study*, Dar Al-Hekma, Lebanon, 2020, p. 40.
- (5) Fahd Al-Hassan, *Strategies for Enhancing Discipline in Institutions*, Dar Al Uloom, UAE, 2018, p. 55.
- (6) Laila Al-Mir, *Behavioral Problems at Work and Their Impact on Discipline*, Knowledge Library, Bahrain, 2019, p. 33.
- (7) Amani Al-Qadi, *Institutional Discipline and its Impact on Job Performance*, Dar Al-Haditha, Kuwait, 2020, p. 50.
- (8) Sami Muhammad, *Financial Inclusion: Dimensions and Determinants*, Scientific Publishing House, Tunis, 2021, p. 12.
- (9) Naglaa Ramadan, *The Effects of Financial Inclusion on Sustainable Development*, Arab Thought Library, Egypt, 2019, p. 20.
- (10) Tariq Al-Nasser, *Financial Inclusion and its Global Indicators*, Dar Al-Bashir, Jordan, 2020, p. 27.
- (11) Hani Al-Azabi, *The Impact of Traditional Thought on Financial Policies*, Dar Al-Ma'rifa, Jordan, 2020, p. 44.
- (12) Nabil Zakaria, *Islamic Economics: Dimensions and Visions*, Dar Al-Huda, Saudi Arabia, 2018, p. 40.
- (13) Sherif Fahmy, *Islamic Investment and its Impact on Development*, Nile Library, Bahrain, 2019, p. 45.
- (14) Aziz Fahmy, *Financial Inclusion: Concept and Implications*, Dar Al-Raed, UAE, 2022, p. 50.
- (15) Laila Al-Awadi, *Challenges Facing Financial Inclusion in the Arab World*, Arab Thought Library, Lebanon, 2021, p. 52.
- (16) Ayman Al-Ashqar, *Financial Inclusion: Challenges and Opportunities*, Nile Library, Egypt, 2020, p. 16.
- (17) Fahd Al-Bannai, *Financial Inclusion and its Impact on the National Economy*, Dar Al-Maaref, Saudi Arabia, 2019, p. 33.
- (18) Talal Al-Dhaheri, *Financial Inclusion and Social Progress*, Dar Al Ajyal, Jordan, 2021, p. 39.
- (19) Amani Al-Khalil, *Financial Inclusion: Dimensions and Objectives*, Dar Al-Ajyal, Saudi Arabia, 2020, p. 21.
- (20) Fayez Al-Farra, *Institutional Discipline: Factors and Challenges*, Dar Al-Jeel, Kuwait, 2021, p. 16.

Reference List

1. Amani Al-Khalil (2020), *Financial Inclusion: Dimensions and Objectives*, Dar Al-Ajyal, Saudi Arabia, p. 21.
2. Amani Al-Qadi (2020), *Institutional Discipline and its Impact on Job Performance*, Dar Al-Haditha, Kuwait, p. 50.
3. Ayman Al-Ashqar (2020), *Financial Inclusion: Challenges and Opportunities*, Nile Library, Egypt, p. 16.
4. Khaled El Farag (2021), *The Importance of Job Discipline in Work Environments*, Al-Ahram Library, Saudi Arabia, p. 30.
5. Sami Muhammad (2021), *Financial Inclusion: Dimensions and Determinants*, Scientific Publishing House, Tunis, p. 12.
6. Sherif Fahmy (2019), *Islamic Investment and its Impact on Development*, Nile Library, Bahrain, p. 45.
7. Tariq Al-Nasser (2020), *Financial Inclusion and its Global Indicators*, Dar Al-Bashir, Jordan, p. 27.
8. Talal Al-Dhaheri (2021), *Financial Inclusion and Social Progress*, Dar Al Ajyal, Jordan, p. 39.
9. Aziz Fahmy (2022), *Financial Inclusion: Concept and Implications*, Dar Al-Raed, UAE, p. 50.
10. Afaf Al-Sharif (2020), *Motivation and Job Discipline: An Analytical Study*, Dar Al-Hekma, Lebanon, p. 40.
11. Omar Abdel Aziz (2019), *Job Discipline and its Impact on Productivity*, Dar Al-Kitab Al-Arabi, Egypt, p. 22.
12. Fayez Al-Farra (2021), *Institutional Discipline: Factors and Challenges*, Dar Al-Jeel, Kuwait, p. 16.
13. Fahd Al-Bannai (2019), *Financial Inclusion and its Impact on the National Economy*, Dar Al-Maaref, Saudi Arabia, p. 33.
14. Fahd Al-Hassan (2018), *Strategies for Enhancing Discipline in Institutions*, Dar Al Uloom, UAE, p. 55.
15. Laila Al-Awadi (2021), *Challenges Facing Financial Inclusion in the Arab World*, Arab Thought Library, Lebanon, p. 52.
16. Laila Al-Mir (2019), *Behavioral Problems at Work and Their Impact on Discipline*, Knowledge Library, Bahrain, p. 33.
17. Nabil Zakaria (2018), *Islamic Economics: Dimensions and Visions*, Dar Al-Huda, Saudi Arabia, p. 40.
18. Naglaa Ramadan (2019), *The Effects of Financial Inclusion on Sustainable Development*, Arab Thought Library, Egypt, p. 20.
19. Hani Al-Azabi (2020), *The Impact of Traditional Thought on Financial Policies*, Dar Al-Ma'rifa, Jordan, p. 44.
20. Hani Younis (2020), *Discipline Management in Institutions*, Dar Al Furqan, Jordan, p. 15.
21. Yasmine Al-Rifai (2018), *Financial Inclusion and Marginalized Communities*, Dar Al-Rifai, Iraq, p. 35.