

Artificial Intelligence and Its Effects on the Traditional

Models of the Media Industry

*Akrem boutora**

Larbi tebessi university, (Algeria)

akrem.boutora@gmail.com

Radouane belkhiiri

*Laboratory of Research in Media and Society
Studies Larbi tebessi university, (Algeria)*

Belkhiiri.radouane@univ-tebessa.dz

Abstract ;

This study analyses the impact of AI on traditional news business models by investigating the extremist transitions that intelligent tools have brought about through the methods of creation, dispersion, and journalist consumption. The study focuses on how intelligent automation can adapt the arrangement of conventional media, which has time trust in linear and hierarchical models, to a more decentralised and synergies standard structure.

The research starts with specifying intelligent automation and its progress over the past decade, progress from machine learning and deep learning to the state of the art generative models. It then analyses the theory related to digital adaptation in journalism, such as digital determinism and the political economy of broadcasting, in systematic order to analyze the systematic effect of AI on conventional news organizations.

Moreover, the study considers the feasible objective of intelligent automation in the news industry, including automated development of satisfaction through journalistic practices, the examination of important information for satisfied personalization, and audience consumption processes. It also investigates the academic and honorable challenges associated with this transition, such as the loss of innovative individuality, the proliferation of fake news, and systematic discrimination which restructures the forum's interaction form.

As a result, the study concludes that the introduction of broadcasting in the generation of MLPs stresses the need for progressing supervisory procedures that maintain happy autonomy and increase transparency in intelligent structures in order to ensure consistency between digital breakthroughs and virtuous broadcasting procedures.

Article info

Received

07/02/2025

Accepted

09/03/2025

Keyword:

- ✓ *Artificial Intelligence,*
- ✓ *Media Industry, Machine*
- ✓ *Learning,*
- ✓ *Technological*
- Determinism,*
- ✓ *Algorithmic Bias,*
- ✓ *Fake News,*
- ✓ *Automated Journalism,*
- ✓ *Content Personalization.*

**Corresponding author*

1. Introduction

It is difficult to clarify the precise value of machine learning systems (AI) precisely in relation to their many-sided environment and various uses. Still, assuming we simplify this idea, artificial intelligence can be understood as a technology that allows computers and tools to mimic human education, comprehension, problem-solving, determination, originality, and autonomy. AI-equipped functions and devices are capable of sensing and recognizing objects, grasping and acknowledging homosapiens speech, gaining understanding of recent details and adventures, and providing detailed recommendations for users and professionals. Moreover, they may operate independently, thus preventing the need for homosapiens intervention otherwise wise (as illustrated by the Automated Car) (Kavlakoglu, 2024 ; Stryker).

Alan Turing asked, "Can machines think?" in his celebrated 1950 book *Calculating Machines and Wisdom*. Turing revealed what is now known as the Turing Test, which states that a machine may be considered intelligent if an impartial observer is unable to distinguish it from a human during a conversation. Nevertheless, given the difficulty of specifying "pondering," Turing notes that the question may remain meaningless and plans to replace it with an inquiry within which machines can perform. In the present context, he introduced the theory of imitation amusement, in which a human judge communicates via text together with a computer and a human respondent, trying to establish what. "Is it possible that computerized tools could be of great use in imitation recreation?" was the revised question.

That idea, now known as the Turing Test, has turned into a philosophical instrument for challenging the widespread belief that machines, by their mechanical nature, cannot imagine. Turing states that if a computer can trick the judge and appear identical to a human during a conversation, there is no reason to exclude computers from the concept of concept (Gonçalves, 2024).

Although Turing's test has been regarded as a philosophic notion rather than as a pragmatic experiment, it has nevertheless developed into a landmark test for AI. It has been common to announce that modern colloquial robots, such as OpenAI's second ChatGPT and Anthropic's second Claude, have passed the Turing Test for approximately 75 years. Sam Altman, CEO of OpenAI, has pointed out that the Turing Test " is no longer a significant index " (Altman, 2023), and during multiple analyses propose that ChatGPT has an intelligence equivalent to that of humans and that humans are indeed incompetent in order to recognize in the midst of GPT-4 and innate human conversation (Cameron& ampere; Benjamin, 2024). However, the question remains if colloquial automaton really passes the Turing Test and does it imply that they reflect the same as mortals? (Qiaozhu et al. , 2024). Despite the significant social value of the Turing

Test, there is little consensus within the limits of the automated reasoning group as to what constitutes the achievement of the test and to what extent the ability to change a human being judge coincides with the actual wisdom of the framework.

Turing does not provide precise details of the imitation game, such as the second duration of the trial, the circumstances of the inquiries, or the qualifications of the judges. However, he predicts that within 50 eras, the apparatus will still be able to fool the judge for 30 % of the duration after only five minutes of conversation. In 2014, a Turing Test competition was held in the middle of a regal community in London, where a chatbot named Eugene Goostman claimed to exist as a Ukrainian adolescent and succeeded in convincing 10 judges (33.3 %) that they spoke to a human (Veselov 2014). Automated reasoning machines have progressed well since that experiment and have made significant advances in their ability to mimic and imitate human behavior using much more complex algorithms approved by supercomputers and quantum information techniques.

In today's context, "general AI " refers to the ability of machines to communicate, assume, and function independently, whether in familiar or new scenarios, in a manner similar to mortals. Still, the current stage of intelligence far exceeds the capacity of the existing system. Therefore, most contemporary references to " AI " directly refer to " machine training " or " deep acquiring knowledge," with the latter evaluated as a high-tech branch of machine training applied for fact evaluation using a model alongside intricate architecture. Machine training includes methods and statistical models that enable machines to study and improve based on data facts. Using these methods, machines can identify the shape and meaning of the statistics on which they are based, allowing them to interact more precisely and efficiently in a variety of contexts.

2. Main Theories and Models Addressing Artificial Intelligence

Analysis of intelligent automation has undergone significant development since its origins. Initially, the approach was mainly symbolic, relying on logical representation and manually established rules. Nevertheless, new tactics rooted in the standards of machine learning and simulated nervous partnerships have finally emerged as the cornerstone of the current AI applications (Russell&Ampere; Norvig, 2010).

2.1 Machine Learning

Machine education is a subfield of machine learning systems aimed at enabling computer frameworks for learning and optimising. Their performance through data analysis without the need for a clear plan for each undertaking. That farming concentrates on building a mathematical

model that modifies information and extracts hidden forms from it (Mohri, Rostamizadeh, & ampere; Talwalkar, 2012).

2.2 Main Types of Machine Learning

a. Supervised Learning:

The present strategy uses labeled data, including input signals and their analogous expected output to enable the model to acquire knowledge of the correct function within them. It is well known in classification and forecast undertakings (Alpaydin, 2016).

b. Unsupervised Learning:

This method analyzes unlabeled data to extract patterns, detect clusters, or perform dimensionality reduction, thereby uncovering the underlying structure of the data (Alpaydin, 2016).

c. Reinforcement Learning:

In this paradigm, the system learns to make decisions through trial and error by receiving rewards or penalties based on its actions within a dynamic environment (Mohri et al., 2012).

d. Semi-supervised Learning:

This technique combines both labeled and unlabeled data to produce a more accurate model while reducing the dependency on large quantities of labeled data (Alpaydin, 2016).

2.3 Mathematical Foundations

Machine learning techniques are underpinned by several mathematical and statistical principles, including:

- a. Loss Functions:** Used to quantify the discrepancy between the model's output and the true values (Bishop, 2006).
- b. Optimization Techniques:** Methods such as the Gradient Descent algorithm are employed to update model parameters with the objective of minimizing the loss function (Bishop, 2006).
- c. Probability Theory:** Provides a framework for estimating the confidence of the model in its predictions (Mohri et al., 2012).

2.4 Artificial Neural Networks

Simulations of nervous relationships are computer models inspired by the arrangement of the human brain. They live in an interconnected unit of measurement known as a "nod" or "neurons

.” the aforementioned unit of measurement procedure: feedback signal and pass the consequences onto another unit of measurement, using nonlinear functions to decide signal transmission (Goodfellow, Bengio, & Ampere; Courville, 2016).

2.5 Structure and Types of Neural Networks

a. Feedforward Neural Networks:

In the aforementioned webs, information flows unidirectionally from the data layer to the final product layer using one or more hidden layers, which do not contain any critique cringle (Goodfellow et al.,2016).

b. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs):

CNNs are mainly used in image and video processing, performing whirl processes to extract spatial features from facts (LeCun, Bengio, & Ampere; Hinton, 2015).

c. Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs):

The above systems have been designed to manage consecutive facts, such as text or moment series, and are characterized by an intrinsic memory that enables them to retrieve information from a previous interval flight. The elongated short-run memory (LSTM) Connection, which solves the disappearing gradient problem, (Schmidhuber, 2015).

d. Deep Neural Networks:

These networks contain a large number of hidden layers, enabling them to extract high-level, complex representations from data (Goodfellow et al., 2016).

2.6 Learning and Training Mechanisms

Usually, neural alliances are trained using a backpropagation algorithm. This procedure involves calculating the gradient with regard to the second weight of the web and then adjusting it systematically to reduce the loss function. Backpropagation is important for deepening knowledge and ownership, leading to significant progress in various applications.(Schmidhuber, 2015).

4. The Relationship Between Machine Learning and Neural Networks

A specific subset of machine learning strategies, which are used to extract the shape of facts by adjusting the weight around the interconnected nerve cells, are evaluated in this section. Alongside deep learning, neural partnerships have developed to manage complex tasks that

previously required careful human intervention, thus driving the development of automated reasoning objectives in several areas. (Goodfellow et al., 2016; LeCun et al., 2015).

5. Recent Developments and Future Trends

a. Deep Learning:

This is the latest phase of the development of nervous partnerships, characterized by the use of a large number of concealed layers. Deep learning has shown its power in an objective identical to image appreciation and machine translation. (Goodfellow et al., 2016).

b. Deep Reinforcement Learning:

Deep support training facilitates the development of sophisticated methods in an active environment by integrating the ethics of support study with deep learning strategies. (Mohri et al., 2012).

c. Hybrid Models:

Hybrid models combine a symbolic approach with machine learning, utilizing logical representations as well as data-driven training. In addition, the present integration is referred to as neuro-symbolic machine learning systems. (Russell & Norvig, 2010).

03. Traditional Media Industry and Its Essential Components

The conventional development model of communication refers to the institutional compositions and practices that dominate the communication domain prior to the introduction of synergies virtual channels. A hierarchical linear framework in which data flow unidirectionally from the manufacturer (e.g., Newspapers, Television Stations, or Development Corporations) to the viewers, with limited possibilities of interaction or immediate responses (McQuail, 2010). That framework reflects a customary view of journalism as a competent mediator whose task is to coordinate the production and dissemination of content based on fixed columns and professional standards.

03-01. Linearity in Production and Distribution

In the traditional model, the media process is managed through a series of fixed stages:

1. Production: Content is created by a select group of editors, journalists, or producers who set the media agenda based on professional or political criteria (Shoemaker & Vos, 2009).

2. **Distribution:** This stage relies on limited and controlled channels—such as television broadcasting networks or the distribution of print newspapers—ensuring that media institutions maintain control over the timing and manner of content delivery.

3. **Consumption:** The audience receives the content passively, without the ability to modify it or participate in its production, thereby reinforcing the notion of the passive audience as posited in classical communication theories (Katz & Lazarsfeld, 1955).

03-02. Hierarchy as the Basis for Media Authority

The hierarchical structure reflects an unequal distribution of power among the various players in the media process:

- **Producers:** They wield the authority to impose particular narratives through the editorial gatekeeping mechanism, deciding what is published and what is excluded based on the institution's ideology or economic interests (Shoemaker & Vos, 2009).
- **Audience:** Viewed as a non-interactive collective entity, the audience is targeted with messages without consideration for individual diversity. This perspective has been critiqued by theories such as the Hypodermic Needle Model, which oversimplifies the nature of media influence (Bauer & Bauer, 1960).

03-03. The Theoretical Challenges of the Traditional Model

1. **Centralization and Monopoly:** A small number of major media institutions dominate content production, which limits the diversity of opinions and fosters a culture of monopoly (Herman & Chomsky, 1988).
2. **Absence of Feedback:** The lack of effective mechanisms to gauge real-time audience responses undermines the model's ability to adapt to evolving needs (Livingstone, 2004).

Transition to Digital Models: Deconstructing the Hierarchy

The hierarchical frameworks themselves had weakened in the face of the introduction of Internet and Machine Learning systems. YouTube and Twitter have warranted users to grow into 'prosumers', both producers and consumers, thereby redefining the position of spectators from passive recipients to active participants in meaning-making (Bruns, 2008).

In addition to their one-dimensionality and hierarchy, the traditional model of development of broadcasting has an extended supply of an important theoretical model which provides insight into the ancient development of the news industry. However, the current digital transformations

altering these arrangements require a fundamental reappraisal of classical theory in view of new communication between tools and civilization.

04. Related Theories

04-01. Technological Determinism

Digital Determinism is an abstract model explaining social, classic, and fiscal metamorphosis as an inevitable consequence of digital improvement. In the present belief, tools are considered to be the primary and even specify the force which governs human behavior. According to the present theory, innovation is not only an impersonal apparatus but an autonomous factor that restructures social associations overwhelmingly, supreme for a profound metamorphosis in values, associations, and constitution. (Chandler, 1995; McLuhan, 1964).

a. Fundamental Principles of the Theory

- 1. Primacy of Technology over Society:** The theory assumes that technology precedes social change and produces inevitable effects, irrespective of cultural or political contexts. For example, the invention of the printing press facilitated the spread of knowledge and undermined the Church's authority in Europe, thereby altering religious and political structures (Eisenstein, 1980).
- 2. Inevitability and Non-Historicism:** It states that tools evolve inwards a deterministic (linear) way that enforces itself above a community, lacking any account of the intricate human interactions. The current doctrine is typified by Marshall McLuhan's celebrated statement, "The medium is the message, " which stresses that the nature of the digital medium itself, not its content, determines its influence.(McLuhan, 1964).
- 3. Profound Impact on Identity and Culture:**The argument is that tools alter human and corporate consciousness. McLuhan argues that the increase in television alters the social order from a textual (linear) society to an audiovisual (destructive) one and thus alters the way of thinking and interaction.(McLuhan, 1964).

It is possible to trace the roots of modern Determinism back to the thinkers of the 20th century, such as Thorstein Veblen, who considered technology to be the main driving force for industrial development. Nevertheless, the Toronto educational institution of exchange in the mid-1920s, particularly using the facilities of Harold Innis and Marshall McLuhan, which correlated the techniques of connecting with the evolution of civilization, was more clearly integrated into the theory.(Innis, 1951; McLuhan, 1962).

b. Applications of the Theory to the Media Industry

- In the context of broadcasting, advanced Determinism explains the shift from the conventional to virtual model as a result of advanced development.
- **From Print to Digitization:** Laterality of hierarchical news organizations at the Internet level. Computerized stages, such as Web 2.0, transform viewers from passive recipients to active competitors in satisfying development. (Benkler, 2006).
- **Artificial Intelligence as a Transformative Force:** The impact of high-tech determinism is apparent in the competence of automated reasoning processes to reshape the news production mechanism (e.g. , automate authoring bots and circulation systems (e.g. , thereby reducing the position of individuals in editorial decision-making. (Diakopoulos, 2019).

c. Criticisms of the Theory

Hi-tech Determinism has been widely criticized for neglecting the interpersonal and government components affecting the implementation otherwise denial of machines. For instance, Raymond Williams argues that tools perform and evolve in a vacuum but nevertheless are designed by the prevailing financial and public passions. He argues that the invention of television was not an inexorable event but rather a result of disagreements between telecommunications organizations and management (Williams, 1974). Similarly, the social assembly of technology (SCOT) theory maintains that machines must be developed and used in accordance with the social context, thus dispelling the impression of determinism (Bijker, 1997).

Despite these criticisms, digital determinism remains a key analytical instrument for understanding the extremist evolution triggered by tools in the media sector. From a deterministic point of view, the emergence of stages such as Facebook and TikTok illustrates how processes promptly predominate the shaping of public opinion, thus redefining ideas such as the 'fourth estate ' (Castells, 2009). However, a comprehensive understanding of these changes requires integrating the current theory with other approaches that recognize dialectic interaction between machines and communities.

04-02. Political Economy of Media: The Transformation of Production and Distribution Power

Governmental economy of communication should be an analytic system focusing on the interaction between monetary and government elements shaping the media industry, as well as the individual focus on the way influence and funds manage the creation and dissemination processes. The present theory analyses the ways in which capitalism creates a variety of

communication monopolies and how communication helps to advance the hobbies of the monetary and civic elite (Mosco, 2009 ; Herman & amp; ; Chomsky, 1988). According to this position, media pleased can nay is not used in academic writing to understand in isolation from the material context in which it is produced, such as media ownership, retail coerce, and alliance with management officials.

a. Fundamental Principles of the Theory

1. **Focus on Ownership and Monopoly:**The theory underlines the laterality of a narrow group of major corporations (such as Disney and News Corp.) within the communication industry, which contributes to a decrease in intellectual diversity and to greater stereotyping.(McChesney, 2008).
2. **Commercialism and Advertising as Primary Drivers:**It states that reliance on advertising as a source of funds transforms the forum mercantile establishment among the media, which aids the commercial objectives of the advertiser and thus jeopardises the independence of fulfilment.(Smythe, 1981).
3. **The Reciprocal Relationship between Media and the State:**The theory analyzes how journalists join forces with authorities in order to advance the public agenda through mechanisms such as self-censorship or the promotion of a dominant political orientation (Herman& ; Chomsky, 1988).

For Karl Marx's examination of the link between capitalist creation and heritage, the roots of the theory can be traced back. Nevertheless, it crystallized for the twentieth time with the help of thinkers such as.

4. **Dallas Smythe:** Who introduced the concept of “the audience as a commodity,” wherein the audience’s attention is sold to advertisers (Smythe, 1981).
- **Edward Herman and Noam Chomsky:** Who developed the “Propaganda Model” to explain the bias of American media in favor of political and economic elites (Herman & Chomsky, 1988).
 - **Vincent Mosco:** Who expanded the model to encompass the transformations induced by globalization and digital technology (Mosco, 2009).

b. Applications of the Theory to the Media Industry

1. **The Shift from Production to Distribution:**Forums control the entire value chain, from production to circulation, in a traditional model. In the digital age, however, control has switched

to computerized stages of dissemination, such as Google and Facebook, which direct admission to be fulfilled through procedures that commodify statistics.(Fuchs, 2014).

2. The Impact of Digital Capitalism: The theory demonstrates how digitization has engendered new forms of exploitation, such as the extraction of user data and its harnessing to maximize corporate profits (Zuboff, 2019).

3. The Struggle over Symbolic Power: It analyzes how media platforms are employed to shape public opinion—for instance, by steering news coverage to serve governmental policies or the campaigns of multinational corporations (McChesney, 2013).

c. Criticisms of the Theory

The theory was criticised for neglecting the social dimension and excessive attention to material elements. For instance, John Fiske states that viewers are completely passive despite the fact that they are capable of resisting the dominant message through alternative readings (Fiske, 1987). In addition, the theory is accused of being excessively pessimistic in relation to innovation since it overlooks the potential of virtual media to enhance democracy and foster group imagination (Benkler, 2006). Despite these criticisms, the civic economy of communication theory remains an essential analytical instrument for understanding the shift in influence within the forum field. The transfer of restraint from classic entities to tech giants (such as Meta and Amazon) reflects the continuity of monopolistic capitalism, albeit via more sophisticated means, and serves as a warning against the danger of concentrated influence in the hands of a few. It therefore recommends rules of governance ensuring a variety of media landscapes in the curiosity of the masses (Pickard, 2020).

Deconstructing Media Production Models

1. The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on the Production Process: Content Automation and Big Data Analysis

a. Automation of Content Creation:

Machine learning systems (Ai) have emerged as a key player in the transformation of communication pleasant development through devices ranging from automating text coevals to the generation of involved videos. Such changes show how technology can transform a creative enterprise from a purely human enterprise into a hybrid operation based on the collaboration of beings and devices.

b. Automated News Writing:

Several press associations immediately use methods such as GPT-3, alternatively BERT, to rapidly produce a news report, particularly in sectors qualifying by structured data such as monetary reports or tournament results. For instance, in 2014, a joint press uses an automated system to produce thousands of articles per year, thus reducing costs and speeding up production.(Diakopoulos, 2019).

c. Challenges:

Despite the effectiveness enhancement, there are terrors that are related to automation that may lead to the loss of analytic depth and the absence of human touch in complex narratives, similar to the development of fact-finding journalism.(Carlson, 2015).

05. The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on the Production Process

• 05-01. AI-Driven Video Production:

Implementations such as Sora from OpenAI enable the generation of realistic videos from textual descriptions, while Deepfake technologies are used to superimpose expressions and perspectives onto the ocular field. These breakthroughs revolutionized the advertising and video industries, and so far, they have also boosted honorable issues such as the disproving the identity of public figures or disseminating misleading information.(Westerlund, 2019).

• 05-02. Big Data Analysis for Content Targeting:

AI empowers media organizations to analyze vast quantities of data in order to understand audience behavior and tailor content to individual interests, thereby redefining concepts like “media appeal” and “interactivity.”

Mechanism of Operation:

- In order to assess consumer facts (encompassing past, evaluation, and spending over the past) and establish behavior in order to promote personalized content, stages such as Netflix and Spotify rely on machine learning processes. For example, the second algorithm of Netflix has been demonstrated to reduce churn rates by 75 % using precise recommendations (Gomez-Uribe & ampere ; Hunt, 2015).

• 05-03. Impact on the Media Industry:

- **Increased Engagement:** Customization leads to enhanced audience loyalty, as exemplified by YouTube, where algorithms contribute to a 20% increase in watch time (Covington et al., 2016).
- **Cultural Homogenization:** Excessive personalization may limit users' exposure to diverse perspectives, thereby reinforcing "filter bubbles" that isolate individuals within homogeneous content (Pariser, 2011).

03-03. Theoretical and Practical Challenges

While machine intelligence devices significantly increase efficiency, they also raise questions on the limits of human originality. A theory similar to human Exceptionalism states that machines lack the capacity to grasp traditional contexts or create deeply emotional narratives (Broussard, 2018). Moreover, the methods may reflect biases in the training data and thus reinforce them. For instance, a study has shown that the recommendation arrangements tend to favor content geared towards males in plains related to technology (Noble, 2018). Moreover, the use of machine intelligence in production raises questions of clarity, such as whether content generated by machines can be used alternatively to exploit private statistics without clear permission (Zuboff, 2019).

06. The Issue of "Loss of Creative Identity" in the Era of Algorithm Dependence

The term "loss of original identity " refers to the threats that are threatening unique human faiths in media production, such as intuition, the social environment, and virtuous responsibility, as broadcasters continue to place their faith above the procedures of satisfied generation. The present case is particularly striking for journalists and producers as they move from the current " independent godhead"to the " technical supervisor"of AI systems, thus destroying their primary function in shaping the press narrative (Carlson, 2018). This important theory, similar to Marx's Alienation Theory, claims that automation can separate workers from the nature of their original end product and effectively transform journalism into an everyday commodity (Fuchs, 2014).

06-01. Fundamental Principles of the "Loss of Creative Identity" Issue

a. Abstraction from the Human Context:

- algorithms rely on an ancient form of information to produce persistent pleasures, which limits their ability to produce innovative narratives or critically address their concerns. For instance, tools such as ChatGPT may produce texts that are statistically TRUE despite their lack of emotional depth and contextual nuance (Broussard, 2018).

According to Derrida, imagination must be established through " deconstruction " and the breakup of expectations, which are intertwined with procedures operating in order to predetermine regulations (Lewis, 2020).

b. Transformation of Professional Roles:

- ai redefine the journalist's character from a " column decision-maker " to a " machine advisor," whereby important tasks (such as information gathering and initial drafting) are relegated to a virtual world, relegating human involvement to a superficial evaluation (Diakopoulos, 2019).

In a study on automated newsrooms, Carlson (2015) found that journalists' distinctiveness was diminished as their reliance on technological tools increased.

06-02. The Historical Evolution of the "Loss of Creative Identity" Issue

The conflict between human imagination and technology has a strong past, dating back to the Industrial Revolution of the eighteenth century, when weaving machines replaced craftspeople, sparking untimely concerns about the marginalization of homosapiens value in production. In Capital (1867), Karl Marx spoke of the way in which tools reduced workers to simple extremities, separating them from the core of their imagination. The current struggle, as the computer age collapses, in order to make people equal in intellectual activity, therefore redefining the very essence of creativity, is part of a broader struggle between the computer age and the digital age of the 20th Century.

Computer Aided Design (CAD) campaigns similar to AutoCAD and Photoshop took place in the 1990s. Such equipment is used to enforce new limitations by combining art processes with other techniques. According to Manovich (2001), activities transform creativity and design into monitor methods, where the original options have been supervised by a fixed digital interface. For instance, architects were forced to move from freehand drawing to a three-dimensional model limited by software competence, while artists were obliged to accept a template that prohibited improvisation. Floser (1991) describes the current situation as a illusion of freedom that lacks strict technical limitations.

The dispute has gained a new dimension through the lateality of systematic appeal in the last decade, alongside the rise of platforms such as Facebook and YouTube. " in accordance with Napoli (2019), the above-mentioned methods establish innovative triumphs based on the ability of a job to cause immediate involvement, thus changing imagination in accordance with toward mathematical formula. For instance, TikTok's methods tend to favoremotionally charged or

controversial content, such as "danger obstacles ", over traditional plants. In the melody business, Aguaiol (2021) created that the producer modify the song to fit Spotify's second methods, for instance, by repeating the chorus in the opening second to increase the number of listens, thus changing the behavior of self-expression to a response in order to programmatic standard.

Philosophically, the current conflict reinterprets old ideas inside a computerized foundation. Fox (2014) claims that AI intensifies Marxist alienation by reducing the intelligent individual to a simple operator in a structure that orders its acquisition protocols. Roland Barthes' impression of the "demise of the writer " is apparent in the plant impute toward algorithms, for instance, the painting " Edmund de Bellamy, " which sold in 2018 as one of the main works of intelligent automation. Noble (2018), in addition to examining the " advanced colonization " by which western capitalist standards predominate methods, marginalises non-Western creative arrangements such as Arabic calligraphy or classic African music.

Empirical situation surveys reveal a touchable evolution. In literature, which can be both fictional and non-fictional, media such as Sudowrite use GPT processes to create a novel and motivate the author to assume the role of 'technical assistants' rather than trust only in the fantasy of homosapiens. In Hollywood, companies such as Warner Bros. Use inferential statistics to determine movie endings, as illustrated by the Suicide Squad, 2021), where the ending is a change based on public projections derived from algorithms.

The creation of a symbiotic model promoting cooperation between humans and machines is a future impediment. Google's "Brain Duet " project, which integrates human performance with generative algorithms, illustrates the ability of integrating simultaneously innovative forces. Furthermore, regulatory projects such as the European Federation's "AI Model " aim at requiring transparency in the use of technology within the social sphere, while decentralised channels such as Mastodon are becoming more and more, allowing users to modify their algorithms in order to safeguard society's diversity.

06 – 03 - Applications of the Issue in the Media Industry

a. Automation and the Erosion of Professional Identity:

Using AI to write corporate net income reports motivates journalists to focus more on " confirmation' rather than " composing ', a change that sabotage their connection to innovation (Graefe, 2016).

In the kingdom of ocular news, tools like Deepfake Cause fulfilled the film over the line between truth and fabrication, thus deprived the broadcasting producers of their authority as defenders of truth (Westerlund, 2019).

b. The Journalist as a “Technological Mediator”:

Channels appreciate BuzzFeed's transformation from journalist to operator of an AI model that generates attention-grabbing headlines, which limits their ability to innovate (Petre, 2021).

Based on Anderson's (2011) argument, the shift to "automatize journalism" redefines the skills required to be a journalist, focusing on data analysis that goes beyond narrative reporting.

06 – 04 - Critiques Directed at the Issue

- **Enhancement Instead of Replacement:** A few experts argue that MRLs can accelerate human innovation by separating journalists from ordinary work and thus concentrating on more complex stories. For instance, tools such as Narrative Science support produce initial drafts which are then complemented by analytic observations from journalists (Dörr, 2016).
- **New Opportunities for Creativity:** Stages such as the Machine Intelligence Dungeon, a generative narrative match, show how procedures can broaden the artistic horizon by generating unexpected ideas (Riedl, 2021).

However, legitimate concerns Over the disappearance of the original identity, the coexistence of humans and AI may unlock new potential. This development is intended to retrain journalists to connect with tools as a " innovative associate " while fostering their accountability to ensure accuracy and ethical standards. Craig, 2020), furthermore stresses the need to develop hybrid skilled structures integrating technical proficiency with human sensibilities to ensure that the broadcasting industry does not become merely a continuation of procedures.

07 - Content Personalization through Algorithms: Transforming the Audience from “Passive” to “Interactive Consumers”

07 – 01 - Platforms such as Netflix and YouTube and the Use of Artificial Intelligence in Content Recommendation

Digital streaming platforms like Netflix and YouTube rely on sophisticated AI algorithms to recommend content, thereby reshaping the user experience and enhancing engagement. Techniques such as machine learning and collaborative filtering are employed to analyze viewing behaviors and predict individual preferences.

- **On Netflix:**
 - **a. Collaborative Filtering:** Compares a user's viewing patterns with those of others (e.g., “people who watched this also watched...”).

- **b. Content-Based Filtering:** Analyzes content attributes (such as genre, actors, and themes) to match them with the user's viewing history (Gomez-Urbe & Hunt, 2015).

- **On YouTube:**

- **c. Watch Time Optimization:** Algorithms focus on maximizing watch time by suggesting videos that boost engagement, such as those watched until the end or frequently shared (Covington et al., 2016).

07 – 02 - Deconstructing the “Passive Audience” Concept and Transforming It into an “Interactive Consumer”

- These methods essentially change the notion of the audience, from a passive entity that only receives messages to an active participant that decides content through interaction. Personalized recommendations can increase client involvement by up to 80% (Bennett& Ampere ; Lanning, 2007). This change is also apparent in the success of series such as Stranger Things on Netflix, where the methods accurately target the viewers (Hallinan& Ampere ; Striplas, 2016).

- **From “Reception” to “Participation”:**

- Computerized platforms currently transform users into "prosumers," whoever together creates pleasure (e.g. , using YouTube channels and assessing them (using the 'admire' or 'disfavor' buttons), Bruns, 2008.

- An analysis shows that 62% of YouTube users share videos with others, which influences the recommendation methods (Smith et al. , 2018).

Supporting Theories:

- **Uses and Gratifications Theory:** Highlights how users shape their media experiences based on their individual needs, rather than being passive recipients (Katz et al., 1973).

- **Networked Flow Model:** Explains how digital interactions facilitate the formation of virtual communities that collectively produce content (Sawhney, 2020).

Cultural Impacts:

Personalized recommendations facilitate the establishment of a subculture by collectively listening to groups with similar interests, such as K-pop groups on YouTube (Jin, 2020).

Although algorithms can increase apparent diversity, they are likely to intensify the filter bubble by restricting users to homogeneous pleasures, thus restricting exposure in order to achieve a novel position (Pariser, 2011).

The news business is no longer controlled by the manufacturer; it has matured in a vibrant setting where assembly interaction configurations are an essential part of the value chain. While algorithms provide opportunities to increase interactivity, they also introduce obstacles in terms of clarity and ethics, such as balancing personalization with exposure to different points of view.

08 - The Transition from Traditional Advertising to Data-Driven Media Models

The change from traditional advertising (e.g. To data-driven advertising Marks a necessary transformation in the way retail messages are transmitted: television, radio, and newspaper). The data-driven model relies on massive data, statistical analysis, and machine learning technologies to accurately capture buyer behavior and the political campaign of the garment producer. Whereas conventional models rely upon general speculations about listeners (such as time alternatively sex), new models employ facts such as retail accounts, geographic location, and private tastes to achieve more efficiency (Turow, 2012).

08 – 01 - Fundamental Principles of the New Model

a. Data Collection and Analysis:

- Data is gathered from multiple sources—including cookies, social media interactions, and Internet of Things (IoT) devices—and machine learning algorithms are used to analyze this data and segment the audience into precise micro-segments (Zuboff, 2019).
- *Example:* The Google Ads platform leverages search and location data to target advertisements aligned with users' immediate interests.

b. Hyper-Personalization:

- Different advertisements are produced for the same product tailored to each individual user. For instance, Netflix displays different ads for a single series based on the viewer's history (Hallinan & Striplas, 2016).

c. Real-Time Bidding:

- Ad spaces are purchased through real-time auction platforms such as Google's Ad Exchange, where the value of an ad is determined for each user in fractions of a second (Programmatic Advertising) (Srinivasan, 2021).

08 – 02 - Impact on the Media Industry

a. Transformation of Revenue Sources:

- Media institutions are increasingly shifting away from reliance on print or television advertising in favor of digital advertising. For example, Alphabet (Google's parent company) derived 81% of its revenue in 2022 from data-driven advertising (Alphabet, 2022).

b. Changing Priorities in Media Production:

- Some television programs and articles are now designed to drive digital engagement (e.g., clicks or shares), thereby enhancing their value within recommendation algorithms (Napoli, 2019).

c. The Rise of Technological Platforms as Advertising Intermediaries:

- Platforms such as Meta and Google now dominate the global advertising market with a 60% share, which diminishes the role of traditional intermediaries (Statista, 2023).

08 – 03 - Challenges and Criticisms

a. Privacy Risks:

- Data-driven advertising models have been criticized for exploiting users' data without obtaining informed consent, as evidenced by the Cambridge Analytica scandal (Cadwalladr & Graham-Harrison, 2018).

b. Cultural Homogenization:

- Excessive personalization may isolate users within "echo chambers," thereby weakening intellectual diversity (Pariser, 2011).

c. Behavioral Manipulation:

- Data is used to direct misleading or exploitative advertising messages, such as targeted political ads that exacerbate societal divisions (Zuiderveen Borgesius et al., 2018).

09 - Ethical and Theoretical Challenges

09 – 01 - The Spread of Fake News, the Erosion of Media Credibility, and the Impact of Algorithmic Bias on Content Diversity

Nevertheless, intelligent automation has turned into a powerful tool for improving the efficiency of the communication industry; nevertheless, it also poses essential ethical hazards that threaten the foundations of broadcasting practice. The widespread use of artificially enhanced fake news approaches, such as deepfake video, which mimics real people with precision and thus destroys the trust of the public in the broadcast of satisfied. The above tactics, based on generative adversarial networks (GANs), are familiar with the production of fake scenario pictures and populace figures in fictitious situations, such as fake political statements or involvement in episodes that never take place. For instance, Chesney and Citron's 2019 research found that 96 percent of fake video produced between 2018 and 2020 was pornographic and therefore public in surroundings, with a view to defaming or manipulating public opinion.

The current situation sabotages the very idea of "truth," making it increasingly difficult for viewers to distinguish between reality and fiction, thus jeopardizing the conventional character of communication as the "guardian of truth " (Westerlund, 2019).

The consequence of this is differential partiality arising when automated reasoning systems reflect contemporary biases in their training data or in their design of the procedures themselves. For instance, a study by Noble (2018) revealed that search engines, such as Google, display results that are biased against excluded groups—for instance, when searching for " housewife " instead of " CEO ". " In the forum industry, the same bias is likely to increase the pleasure that satisfies the influential political orientation as it marginalises the various expressions. At the moment, the media prefer Facebook or YouTube because of the procedures that are planned to be engaged in, they are inclined to choose controversial or extremist satisfied, which reduces the visibility of the moderate viewpoint and deepens the divisions in society (Zuiderveen Borgesius et al. , 2018).

Systematic bias also limits societal diversity by directing users to satisfaction similar to their previous options, thus strengthening the filter bubble and limiting exposure to new positions. Pariser's 2011 experiment shows that two human beings using the same search engine can get a full range of outcomes based on their browsing narrative, which sabotages the shared journalistic experience. In the Arabesque context, the current situation may lead to a doubling of satisfaction in one language or dialect at the expense of other ones, or to the marginalization of domestic difficulties in favor of a dominant planet language.

The obstacles referred to above are not restricted to the traditional kingdom; they are also extended to the financial sector. As major platforms generate significant net income through target advertising, algorithmic partiality reduces chances for smaller media mercantile enterprises to reach viewers, thus widening the gap between influential broadcasting organizations and oppressed people (Napoli, 2019). Furthermore, the dissemination of fictitious news reduces the value of a reliable forum satisfied, as listeners become less willing to pay for news, which threatens the economic longevity of independent journalism (Zuboff, 2019).

In response to these challenges, there is a pressing need to develop moral and legal systems that are able to keep pace with advanced developments. For instance, Floridi (2019) intends to establish an independent " programmatic oversight body " for auditing machine intelligence systems, while Crawford and Calo (2016) have adopted a name to enable algorithmic clarity for the purpose of revealing how method procedures work for users. At the same time, journalists' literacy should be improved to enable listeners to critically analyze machine generated satisfied and separated among real and fake news.

09 – 02 - The Struggle for Sovereignty Between Human Intelligence and Artificial Intelligence in Media Decision-Making

The press will undergo an extremist metamorphosis of its own determining methods, with direct competition from human intelligence and AI becoming more and more intense. While processes are extending their unprecedented efficiency in data inspection and compliance, important questions arise about the ability of machines to grasp complex ethnic and ethical contexts and whether they sabotage the primary function of conscious, responsible decision-makers.

In sensible terms, algorithms have an approach to dominate mandatory choices in the journalistic domain, similar to selecting the most attention-grabbing headline, ordering the web pages, and even determining the preferences of the news narrative. Channels like Facebook and Google rely on machine intelligence systems that classify pleased grounded over prosody such as interaction estimation, frequently ordering controversial or emotionally charged subjects, even assuming their journalistic sufficiency. A study by Neuporg and Paul (2018) revealed that 70% of pleased reach listeners on social networks are fully resolute by algorithms free from prior human being scrutiny, thus weakening the function of the editor in shaping the media agenda.

Theoretically, this struggle is framed within a broader debate between two schools of thought:

Technological Determinism: This perspective argues that artificial intelligence is an inevitable force that reshapes social structures without resistance, rendering media decisions subject to mathematical equations that ignore human contexts (Zuboff, 2019).

Social Construction of Technology: That view maintains that human beings are capable of direct innovation to support their passions by enforcing an honorable and authorized standard architecture that regulates the laterality of machines (Bijker, 1997).

In journalism, illustrations in everyday life show how AI can redefine the character of the journalist. Algorithms generate financial and play reports spontaneously in automated newsrooms, relegating journalists to the task of providing a quick assessment of satisfaction. Following Carlson (2018), the current transformation smothers the " symbolic authority " of journalists who were once considered to defend accuracy and objectivity and lowers them to technical supervisors whose inner workings they do not always comprehend.

Nevertheless, the present does not imply the complete victory of intelligent automation. The human ability to examine unstructured contexts and think critically remains indispensable in matters relating to fact finding or human interest reporting. For instance, if they rely on information from the past which does not indicate rapidly changing political activity, they cannot predict the emergence of civil disobedience in a large number of states in 2020.

Ethics are the biggest problem here. As journalists can still be held accountable for their mistakes, processes persist opaque beings whose verdicts are hard to decipher. In situations where fake news proliferates on the media, such as TikTok, responsibility is often delegated to users rather than to the authorities, while companies seek to shield accountability by claiming their algorithms are " impersonal " (Pasquale, 2020).

A hybrid model integrating humans and intelligent automation was intended to achieve evenness. For instance, some media employ procedures to screen initial data, then evaluate and contextualize the data socially. The development of transparency principles requiring companies to reveal the way in which their methods are working is also called for by associations similar to UNESCO (UNESCO, 2021).

10 - Rethinking the Concepts of the "Fourth Estate" and "Media Freedom" in the Era of Algorithmic Dominance

The notion of the 'fourth estate' refers to the obligation of the media to monitor the three branches of power (Government, Executive, and Court) in order to ensure transparency and public enthusiasm. In the eighteenth century, when independent journalism began to grow, philosopher Edmund Choke was watching broadcasting as a counterweight to the regimes (Schultz, 1998). " Media freedom " means the right to operate a press mercantile establishment so as to transmit information free from censorship, a doctrine preserved in a worldwide instrument similar to Section 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

At the beginning of the computer age, direct overshooting of the current of knowledge shifts from traditional broadcasting institutions to processes carried out by machine companies such as Meta and Google, motivating queries as to how these beliefs should remain redefined within a recent forum structure dominated by tools.

a. The Transformation from "Watchdog" Media to "Tamed" Media:

classic forum buildings once functioned as gatekeepers determining citizens' agendas. Nowadays, procedures replace editors, together with channels such as Facebook and TikTok, which viewers see using a mathematical formula ranking mass appeal above journalistic value (Gillespie, 2018).

The current evolution has managed to decrease fact-finding journalism, with 70% of digital newsroom support currently being given to search engine optimization (SEO) rather than in-depth investigation.

b. Algorithms as Instruments for Enhancing Authority Rather Than Challenging It:

The Amnesty Transnational study (2021) shows that Facebook's practices in Myanmar magnify hate speech against the Rohingya minority, contributing to crime against kindness. Platforms, like the examples, have changed from democratic tools to instruments of oppression.

In the Arab world, algorithms are applied to encourage official narratives by suppressing dissent content, such as the ban on Twitter during the Arab Spring (Tufekci, 2017).

11 - The Issue of "Media Freedom" in the Age of Algorithms

a. From Governmental Censorship to Corporate Censorship:

Whereas regimes traditionally exerted censorship, technology organizations are currently engaged in covert censorship through deletion or reduction of content. For instance, Facebook removed a post related to the Palestinian uprising in 2021 because of the evidence that it violated society's touchstone without any clear explanation (human rights Watch, 2021).

Roberts argues that 88 % of decisions to remove content from major broadcasters are based on methods which do not require human supervision and thus violate the rule of clarity.

b. The Contradiction Between "Technological Neutrality" and Commercial Interests:

Organizations claim their algorithms are " impersonal ", but in reality, they are designed to maximize profits by increasing client intervals on the platform. YouTube's algorithm, for instance, prioritizes provocative content that occupies the user in a continuous screening cringle (Covington et al. , 2016).

In Zuboff's view, private data has become the "oil of the electronic time," used to target users with tailored advertising and politically charged content, which compromises the independence of the press.

Toward a New Theoretical Framework: Redefining Concepts

a. The Fifth Estate:

- Doyne (2019) suggests that digital platforms have emerged as an independent "Fifth Estate," albeit one that is not accountable, thereby necessitating the development of new regulatory mechanisms.

b. Algorithmic Media Freedom:

- UNESCO (2021) calls for the adoption of principles such as:
 1. **Algorithmic Transparency:** Mandating companies to disclose how their systems operate.
 2. **Design Fairness:** Ensuring that algorithms are not biased against particular groups.

11- Artificial Intelligence and Reshaping the Future of the Media Industry

It is clear that in the classic forum industry model, from creation of content to dispersion and consumption, intelligent automation has undergone an extreme metamorphosis. Media is no longer a sole domain of individuals but has become an effect of cooperation between human and machine learning systems. However, this change redefines important beliefs as innovation and journalistic authority, as methods nowadays manage happily based on a mathematical standard intended to maximize engagement. The current strategy jeopardizes cultural diversity and encourages a "filter bubble" that confines users to homogeneous content.

Alongside the circulation level, the classic hierarchy, once dominated by a narrow total of broadcasting undertakings, has disintegrated, giving way to the decentralization of digital platforms that have transformed the gathering from passive recipients among active "prosumers." But there is no devoid of uncertainty about the newfound influence of the spectators. Large tool companies, which turn private facts into commodities and reproduce recent capitalist monopolies, control recommendation algorithms that rely on massive facts and information analysis. As the authority of human editors is reduced to opaque intelligent structures which are difficult to maintain ethically, the current development advances the issue of sovereignty in press determination.

The obstacles go further than possible worries about the very hypothetical foundations on which the journalistic sector is built. The notion of the 'fourth estate ', once incarnated by the press as a watchdog of the State's abilities, has been weakened by the introduction of virtual channels which limit the flow of information without any commitment to public enthusiasm. Similarly, 'media freedom'entitles a severe trial inferior to covert differential censorship practices, such as satisfied omission or demotion, which do not have obvious standards or visibility. Such evolution obliges researchers and policymakers to rethink regulatory and legitimate standards so as not to become a tool for suppressing excluded expressions or advancing market agendas at the expense of democratic beliefs.

The coexistence of mortals and devices requires a delicate evenness during Machine learning systems provide enormous prospects for improving performance and creativity, such as generative models to extend the range of news reporting or leveraging data inferential to support fact-finding reporting. Human being originality, based on intuition and contextual understanding, remains essential in countering the limitations of procedures which lack the capacity to grasp traditional or ethical problems. Hence, there is a pressing need to extend the " hybrid model ", integrating technical expertise with human sensibilities, so as to ensure that the press industry retains a space for multi-faceted opinions and truth assurance.

Finally, machine learning systems may be a mere impersonal advanced tool but nevertheless a mirror reflecting the principles and disagreements that constitute our society. As the imperative nature of printing alters the course of the story of Homo Sapiens, intelligent automation alters the environment of the press. Nevertheless, our corporate options at the moment will unwaveringly determine the outcome of this transition, whether we allow methods of intensifying exploitation and grouping, or we lead them to promote openness, diversity, and righteousness.

References

01. Aguiar, L. (2021). The impact of algorithms on music creativity. *Journal of Cultural Economics*.
02. Alpaydin, E. (2016). *Machine Learning: The New AI*. MIT Press.
03. Alphabet. (2022). Annual report 2022. <https://abc.xyz/investor/>
04. ALTMAN, sam. (2023). good sign for the resilience and adaptability. X.<https://x.com/sama/status/1733557797936378190>
05. Anderson, C. W. (2011). Blowing up the newsroom: Ethnography in an age of distributed journalism. In *Making Online News* (Vol. 2, pp. 151–160). Peter Lang.
06. Bauer, R. A., & Bauer, A. (1960). America, mass society and mass media. *Journal of Social Issues*, 16(3), 3–66. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.1960.tb00953.x>
07. Benkler, Y. (2006). *The wealth of networks: How social production transforms markets and freedom*. Yale University Press.
08. Bennett, J., & Lanning, S. (2007). The Netflix prize. *Proceedings of the KDD Cup and Workshop*, 3–6.
09. Bijker, W. E. (1997). *Of bicycles, bakelites, and bulbs: Toward a theory of sociotechnical change*. MIT Press.
10. Bishop, C. M. (2006). *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*. Springer.
11. Broussard, M. (2018). *Artificial unintelligence: How computers misunderstand the world*. MIT Press.
12. Bruns, A. (2008). *Blogs, Wikipedia, Second Life, and beyond: From production to produsage*. Peter Lang.
13. Bruns, A. (2021). *Are filter bubbles real?* Polity Press.
14. Cadwalladr, C. (2018). The Cambridge Analytica files. *The Guardian*.
15. Cadwalladr, C., & Graham-Harrison, E. (2018). Revealed: 50 million Facebook profiles harvested for Cambridge Analytica in major data breach. *The Guardian*. <https://www.theguardian.com/news/2018/mar/17/cambridge-analytica-facebook-influence-us-election>
16. Cameron R. Jone & Benjamin K. Bergen. (2024). People cannot distinguish GPT-4 from a human in a Turing test. *arXiv*. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2405.08007>
17. Carlson, M. (2015). The robotic reporter: Automated journalism and the redefinition of labor, compositional forms, and journalistic authority. *Digital Journalism*, 3(3), 416–431. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2014.976412>
18. Carlson, M. (2018). Automating judgment? Algorithmic judgment, news knowledge, and journalistic professionalism. *New Media & Society*, 20(5), 1755–1772. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444817706684>
19. Castells, M. (2009). *Communication power*. Oxford University Press.
20. Chandler, D. (1995). *Technological or media determinism*. Aberystwyth University. <http://visual-memory.co.uk/daniel/Documents/tecdet/>
21. Chesney, B., & Citron, D. (2019). Deep fakes: A looming challenge for privacy, democracy, and national security. *California Law Review*, 107(6), 1753–1819. <https://doi.org/10.15779/Z38RV0D15J>
22. Covington, P., Adams, J., & Sargin, E. (2016). Deep neural networks for YouTube recommendations. *Proceedings of the 10th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems*, 191–198. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2959100.2959190>

23. Crawford, K., & Calo, R. (2016). There is a blind spot in AI research. *Nature*, 538(7625), 311–313. <https://doi.org/10.1038/538311a>
24. Diakopoulos, N. (2019). *Automating the news: How algorithms are rewriting the media*. Harvard University Press.
25. Dörr, K. N. (2016). Mapping the field of algorithmic journalism. *Digital Journalism*, 4(6), 700–722. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2015.1096748>
26. Dutton, W. H. (2019). *The Fifth Estate: The power shift of the digital age*. Oxford Internet Institute.
27. Eisenstein, E. L. (1980). *The printing press as an agent of change: Communications and cultural transformations in early modern Europe*. Cambridge University Press.
28. Fiske, J. (1987). *Television culture*. Routledge.
29. Floridi, L. (2019). Translating principles into practices of digital ethics: Five risks of being unethical. *Philosophy & Technology*, 32(2), 185–193. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-019-00354-x>
30. Flusser, V. (1991). *Into the universe of technical images*. University of Minnesota Press.
31. Fuchs, C. (2014). *Digital labor and Karl Marx*. Routledge.
32. Gillespie, T. (2018). *Custodians of the internet: Platforms, content moderation, and the hidden decisions that shape social media*. Yale University Press.
33. Gomez-Urbe, C. A., & Hunt, N. (2015). The Netflix recommender system: Algorithms, business value, and innovation. *ACM Transactions on Management Information Systems*, 6(4), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2843948>
34. Gonçalves, Bernardo. (2024). Passed the Turing Test: Living in Turing Futures. *Intelligent Computing* <https://spj.science.org/doi/full/10.34133/icomputing.0102>
35. Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). *Deep Learning*. MIT Press.
36. Graefe, A. (2016). *Guide to automated journalism*. Columbia Journalism Review. https://www.cjr.org/tow_center_reports/guide_to_automated_journalism.php
37. Hallinan, B., & Striplas, T. (2016). Recommended for you: The Netflix Prize and the production of algorithmic culture. *New Media & Society*, 18(1), 117–137. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444814538646>
38. Herman, E. S., & Chomsky, N. (1988). *Manufacturing consent: The political economy of the mass media*. Pantheon Books.
39. Human Rights Watch. (2021). *Facebook's censorship of Palestine content*.
40. Innis, H. A. (1951). *The bias of communication*. University of Toronto Press.
41. Jin, D. Y. (2020). Transcultural fandom of K-pop in the West: A case study of London. *International Journal of Communication*, 14, 2356–2374.
42. Katz, E., & Lazarsfeld, P. F. (1955). *Personal influence: The part played by people in the flow of mass communications*. Free Press.
43. Katz, E., Blumler, J. G., & Gurevitch, M. (1973). Uses and gratifications research. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 37(4), 509–523. <https://doi.org/10.1086/268109>
44. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. *Nature*, 521(7553), 436–444.
45. Lewis, S. C. (2020). The objects and objectives of journalism research during the coronavirus pandemic and beyond. *Digital Journalism*, 8(5), 681–689. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2020.1773292>
46. Livingstone, S. (2004). The challenge of changing audiences: Or, what is the audience researcher to do in the age of the internet? *European Journal of Communication*, 19(1), 75–86. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0267323104040695>
47. Manovich, L. (2001). *The language of new media*. MIT Press.
48. Marx, K. (1867). *Das Kapital*. Verlag von Otto Meisner.

49. McChesney, R. W. (2008). The political economy of media: Enduring issues, emerging dilemmas. Monthly Review Press.
50. McChesney, R. W. (2013). Digital disconnect: How capitalism is turning the internet against democracy. The New Press.
51. McLuhan, M. (1962). The Gutenberg galaxy: The making of typographic man. University of Toronto Press.
52. McLuhan, M. (1964). Understanding media: The extensions of man. McGraw-Hill.
53. McQuail, D. (2010). McQuail's Mass Communication Theory (6th ed.). Sage Publications.
54. Mitchell, Melanie. (2024). The Turing Test and our shifting conceptions of intelligence. Science. The Turing Test and our shifting conceptions of intelligence | Science
55. Mohri, M., Rostamizadeh, A., & Talwalkar, A. (2012). Foundations of Machine Learning. MIT Press.
56. Mosco, V. (2009). The political economy of communication (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.
57. Napoli, P. M. (2019). Social media and the public interest: Media regulation in the disinformation age. Columbia University Press.
58. Nieborg, D. B., & Poell, T. (2018). The platformization of cultural production: Theorizing the contingent cultural commodity. New Media & Society, 20(11), 4275–4292. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444818769694>
59. Noble, S. U. (2018). Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism. NYU Press.
60. Pariser, E. (2011). The filter bubble: What the Internet is hiding from you. Penguin Books.
61. Pasquale, F. (2020). New laws of robotics: Defending human expertise in the age of AI. Harvard University Press.
62. Petre, C. (2021). All the news that's fit to click: How metrics are transforming the work of journalists. Princeton University Press.
63. Pickard, V. (2020). Democracy without journalism? Confronting the misinformation society. Oxford University Press.
64. Qiaozhu Mei & Yutong Xie & Walter Yuan & Matthew O. Jackson. (2024). A Turing test of whether AI chatbots are behaviorally similar to humans. Pnas <https://www.pnas.org/doi/full/10.1073/pnas.2313925121>
65. Riedl, M. O. (2021). Human-centered artificial intelligence and machine learning. Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies, 3(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbe2.261>
66. Roberts, S. T. (2019). Behind the screen: Content moderation in the shadows of social media. Yale University Press.
67. Russell, S. J., & Norvig, P. (2010). Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach (3rd ed.). Pearson.
68. Sawhney, H. (2020). Networked flow: Conceptualizing creative collaboration in digital spaces. New Media & Society, 22(3), 487–503. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444819866320>
69. Schmidhuber, J. (2015). Deep learning in neural networks: An overview. Neural Networks, 61, 85–117.
70. Shoemaker, P. J., & Vos, T. P. (2009). Gatekeeping theory. Routledge
71. Smith, A., Toor, S., & Van Kessel, P. (2018). Many turn to YouTube for how-to videos. Pew Research Center. <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2018/11/07/many-turn-to-youtube-for-how-to-videos/>
72. Smythe, D. W. (1981). Dependency road: Communications, capitalism, consciousness, and Canada. Ablex Publishing.

73. Srinivasan, S. (2021). Programmatic advertising: The successful transformation to automated, data-driven marketing in real-time. Springer.
74. Statista. (2023). Digital advertising spending worldwide. <https://www.statista.com>
75. Stryker Cole & Kavlakoglu Eda. (2024). What is artificial intelligence (AI)?. IBM <https://www.ibm.com/think/topics/artificial-intelligence>
76. Tufekci, Z. (2014). Engineering the public: Big data, surveillance and computational politics. First Monday, 19(7). <https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v19i7.4901>
77. Tufekci, Z. (2017). Twitter and tear gas: The power and fragility of networked protest. Yale University Press.
78. Turow, J. (2012). The daily you: How the new advertising industry is defining your identity and your worth. Yale University Press.
79. UNESCO. (2021). Ethics of artificial intelligence. <https://en.unesco.org/artificial-intelligence/ethics>
80. Veselov, Vladimir. (2014). Computer AI passes Turing test in 'world first. BBC <https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-27762088>
81. Westerlund, M. (2019). The emergence of deepfake technology: A review. Technology Innovation Management Review, 9(11), 39–52. <https://doi.org/10.22215/timreview/1282>
82. Westerlund, M. (2019). The emergence of deepfake technology: A review. Technology Innovation Management Review, 9(11), 39–52. <https://doi.org/10.22215/timreview/1282>
83. Williams, R. (1974). Television: Technology and cultural form. Routledge.
84. Zuboff, S. (2019). The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power. PublicAffairs.
85. ZuiderveenBorgesius, F., et al. (2018). Online political microtargeting: Promises and threats for democracy. Utrecht Law Review, 14(1), 82–96. <https://doi.org/10.18352/ulr.420>