

The Significance of Social Protection and its Role in Facilitating Occupational Satisfaction: A Socio professional Examination

أهمية الحماية الاجتماعية ودورها في تحقيق الرضى الوظيفي دراسة سوسيومهنية

Assia Kessour

National Center for Research in Social and Cultural Anthropology (CRASC)

assiakessout91@gmail.com

Received date: 21 / 12 / 2023

Acceptance date: 30 / 03 / 2024

ملخص:

يتناول هذا المقال موضوع الحماية الاجتماعية للأفراد داخل المنظمات وعلاقتها بالرضى الوظيفي، حيث يدور في السياق المهني نفسه وعلاقته بالأفراد من خلال التأكيد على أهمية العنصر البشري في المنظمات التي تساهم في تنفيذ أنشطة المنظمة وتحقيق أهدافها، فإن أغلب هذه المنظمات تحاول أن تسخر كل مجوداتها ومختلف وسائلها لتوفير الجو المناسب والملائم داخل المنظمة والحرص على تدريبهم وإكسابهم المهارات المختلفة بالإضافة إلى توفير الحماية لهم وتلبية احتياجاتهم، فالحماية الاجتماعية تشمل مجموعة من الآليات التي تركز على مبدأ التضامن والتكافل والتي تضمن للأفراد الحماية من الأخطار الاجتماعية، المتمثلة أساساً في الأمراض والفقر والبطالة والمخاطر التي قد تنجم أثناء العمل، والتكفل بالمتقاعدين وذوي احتياجات الخاصة. ولبلوغ درجة الرضى عند الأفراد في الوسط المهني وهي غاية كل مؤسسة في الوصول إلى الأداء الفعال والكفاءة العالية، فإن المحرك الأساسي لدافعية الأفراد لأداء العمل بجدية لا يتم إلى بالاهتمام بمشاكلهم وتوفير الحماية الاجتماعية لهم.

الكلمات المفتاحية: البيئة المهنية، الحماية الاجتماعية، المورد البشري، الرضى الوظيفي.

Abstract:

This article explores the relationship between social protection and occupational satisfaction in organizational contexts. It emphasizes the critical role of the human element in organizations, which is essential for achieving the organization's goals. Most organizations dedicate their resources to create a suitable work environment, provide training, and offer social protection, including addressing various needs. Social protection involves mechanisms based on solidarity and mutual support, safeguarding individuals from social risks like illness, poverty, unemployment, and workplace hazards. It also extends to retirees and individuals with special needs. Occupational satisfaction in the professional domain is achieved through addressing individual concerns and ensuring social protection. This article adopts a theoretical approach, elucidating the key aspects of social protection's role in enhancing occupational satisfaction.

Keywords: Professional Environment, Social Protection, Human Capital, Occupational Satisfaction.

Introduction:

The human factor assumes a pivotal role within the organizational and managerial framework, significantly influencing the production process. It ranks among the foremost priorities that organizations rely upon for enhancing performance and fostering skills with efficiency and effectiveness. Consequently, it becomes imperative to ensure the well-being and protection of individuals within the organizational structure by establishing practical mechanisms, in accordance with labor laws, aimed at shielding them from the potential risks they may encounter while discharging their professional duties. These mechanisms encompass the provisions for their social welfare and services, collectively referred to as the social protection system.

Within the organization, individuals benefit from a layer of social protection that exerts a profound influence on their behavior during the execution of their professional responsibilities. This, in turn, not only kindles their inclination toward productive work but also cultivates a sense of occupational satisfaction. The latter assumes paramount importance as an indicator for assessing the effectiveness of individual performance, as it is intrinsically linked to their needs, levels of contentment, aspirations, and motivation. When employees experience a sense of security, care, and are provided with safeguards for their health and environment within the organizational milieu, they are motivated to elevate their performance and advance in their professional activities. Therefore, the presence of a well-structured social protection system within the organizational edifice is indispensable for creating a conducive environment that allows employees to operate under optimal conditions.

This study derives its significance from addressing the fundamental needs of individuals within organizations, needs that have evolved into an essential component of contemporary life. Consequently, it becomes incumbent upon any organization to provide such protection, as it accrues benefits not only for the individual but also for the organization at large. Social protection ensures the security and safeguarding of employees within the organization, with a particular relevance to Algerian

organizations that increasingly recognize the importance of occupational satisfaction among their workforce and the transformative potential of the human element.

In light of the above, the research question can be succinctly framed as follows: How can social protection contribute to the attainment of occupational satisfaction for individuals within the organization, and what effective mechanisms can the organization adopt to achieve this objective?

To delineate the key facets of this research, a descriptive methodology, commonly employed in social and humanities research, is adopted. This approach allows for the comprehensive description and sociological interpretation of the phenomenon under investigation. By intertwining theoretical frameworks with a sociological perspective, the study examines various concepts related to the variables under scrutiny, providing a detailed exploration of the sphere of social protection within business organizations and its consequential impact on individual occupational satisfaction.

This article, presented as part of a study, seeks to elucidate the pivotal theoretical and procedural dimensions that guide professional organizations and institutions in the sphere of social protection. It delves into the historical and chronological evolution of this institutional system, offering insights into the influential thinkers who have emphasized its significance and the methodologies they have employed in its conceptualization.

Moreover, the practical mechanisms of social protection are inherently rooted in a shared set of objectives that bind the institution and its workforce. This intrinsic connection is pivotal for enhancing individual effectiveness and performance within the organization, acknowledging the individual as a central element in mobilizing production processes and achieving favorable outcomes. Thus, a comprehensive understanding of the integral components of social protection, both pre- and post-commencement of work, is indispensable in discussions of effective performance and professional competence within organizations. Such an understanding is intimately linked to the occupational satisfaction experienced by individuals. Consequently, the investment in human capital within the organization assumes paramount importance. This socio-professional study endeavors to shed light on the critical aspects of social protection that collectively underpin the satisfaction of individuals working within organizations.

First: Social Protection and its Key Thinkers

1. Origins of Social Protection

For a comprehensive examination of the topic of social protection, it is imperative to traverse the pivotal stages in its evolution, commencing with its nascent origins.

The foundational concepts of social protection found their roots in the 19th century, specifically within the milieu of Western Europe. This emergence can be attributed primarily to the industrial revolution, which exerted a transformative influence. Several core factors contributed to the establishment of this institutional system:¹

- **The Industrial Expansion:** The industrial revolution, marked by the widespread adoption of steam and electrical power in lieu of manual labor, ushered in significant advancements across economic sectors encompassing industry, trade, transportation, and even societal lifestyles. However, this epoch of transformation bore profound societal burdens.
- **Absence of a Social Protection System:** This left worker exposed to various risks and uncertainties, fostering a precarious environment for the labor force.
- **Emergence of Labor Unions and Parties:** These entities were instrumental in safeguarding the interests and rights of the labor force².

It is noteworthy that the industrial revolution, alongside its manifold economic and societal benefits, engendered a range of social challenges. The growth of heavy industries in Europe gave rise to a novel class: the industrial working class. Comprised mainly of laborers, including women and children, who toiled for meager wages, this class was enlisted to reduce production costs³. The consequences of this industrial shift were profound, as they encompassed a myriad of social tribulations.

Concomitantly, this industrial metamorphosis accentuated the hazards and risks confronting the workforce within the industrial milieu. Workers found themselves exposed to these perils within a framework characterized by individualism, where they bore the brunt of the risks associated with production and industrial progress.

In response to these multifaceted challenges, scholars and intellectuals embarked on a mission to rectify the inequities stemming from the industrial shift. They recognized the inherent rights of the working class, leading to the transition towards a new system aimed at addressing the contradictions brought about by industrialization and securing economic and social well-being for the workers. Moreover, the working class, after enduring protracted struggles, succeeded in unifying its ranks to advocate for its interests and curtail the dominance of the capitalist class. With the advent of universal suffrage, the working class emerged as a formidable political force.⁴

In this context, it becomes evident that scholars and researchers in the field of social protection have been witness to the evolutionary trajectory of the concept since its inception. They have engaged with each stage of its development and interacted with various strata of society, thereby making substantive contributions to the evolution of the concept of social protection across generations within the sphere of professions and occupational groups operating within institutions.

2. Intellectual Paradigms of Social Protection

Among the pivotal intellectual models that served as the foundation for the development of social protection as a comprehensive system, we find the following:

- **The Bismarck Model:** Otto von Bismarck, during his tenure as a Deputy in the German Parliament, argued that addressing social destitution demanded more than the forceful suppression of global movements. He contended that it necessitated the enactment of affirmative, socially-oriented legislation that could reconcile the interests of the working class with those of the aristocracy, all within the framework of established social norms and fundamental laws. This perspective marked the initial emergence of the social protection system in Germany in 1883, under Bismarck's leadership.

This historical trajectory saw the introduction of several key legislations, including the:

- 1883 Insurance Act.
- 1884 Workmen's Accident Insurance Act⁵.
- 1889 Invalidity Insurance Act.

From Bismarck's viewpoint, this trajectory underscored the vital importance of addressing the human aspect of the working individual within an organization. It emphasized the need for clear laws designed to safeguard employees from potential harm during the course of their work. This, in turn, paved the way for the implementation of social protection mechanisms geared towards the welfare of the workforce, steering clear of violent measures and the repression of labor movements advocating for workers' rights.

- **The Beveridge Model:** In 1941, the British government established a committee chaired by William Beveridge to propose revisions to the United Kingdom's social insurance system. In 1943, this committee presented a comprehensive report that offered insights into the existing system and recommended measures for its enhancement, subsequently known as the Beveridge Report. Beveridge's approach centered on the fundamental principle of emancipating individuals from neediness. He regarded neediness as a social scourge that society needed to eradicate. Beveridge's system hinged on the widespread extension of the social insurance system to encompass all segments of society. It also stressed the importance of broadening the range of risks covered by this system. The influence of social ideas, which both

challenged individualism and responded to the pressure exerted by the labor class, significantly contributed to the emergence of a social revolution with its contrasting ideologies. These ideas also impacted legislators in various nations, spurring them to enact social security systems.⁶

The imperative of recognizing the sense of security among organizational stakeholders, coupled with their persistent apprehension of the risks that envelop them, became evident. These anxieties had the potential to hinder their productivity and incite dissent, a phenomenon observed in numerous countries. Consequently, as long as the state remains attentive to protecting its national material wealth and ensures a comprehensive form of security, the logic of the public interest, which takes precedence over the logic of justice, necessitates the provision of protection for working classes against the various risks that threaten them. This, in turn, affects their productivity and distributes the responsibility for this protection across all societal strata⁷.

The state's involvement in social protection began in the late nineteenth century with the inception of social insurance systems in Germany, especially during the 1890s under Bismarck's leadership. Subsequently, this intervention evolved in tandem with economic, social, intellectual, and political changes. It witnessed the transformation of the state's role, shifting from a repressive authority with policing, judicial, and border security functions to a paternalistic state focused on comprehensive social security. This transformation aligns with the principles set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and other international agreements and charters pertaining to human rights, encompassing all dimensions of social risks, including family responsibilities and the risk of mortality. The report also underscored the necessity of standardizing the social insurance system and simplifying its procedures.⁸

Social protection functions as a clear legal framework guaranteeing all the necessary resources, both preemptive and post-factum, for the security and efficiency of individuals within an organization.

Second: Social Protection Mechanisms and Their Objectives

1. Definition of Social Protection and Its Primary Objectives

Social protection is a collective set of means and assurances provided to individuals during their work, ensuring that they can perform their tasks in comfort and security. Before delving into the objectives and mechanisms of social protection, it is essential to provide a range of definitions regarding this system.

1.1. Definition of Social Protection

Various definitions of social protection exist, including:

- The United Nations defines social protection as "an organized framework of bodies, institutions, and programs aiming to support and improve the economic and health conditions or mutual personal capacities of the population as a whole".⁹
- Howard Riesel defines social protection as a government's sphere of responsibility exercised to achieve security and protection. This includes providing opportunities for successful social adaptation, financial assistance for those in need, the protection of the vulnerable and the incapacitated from social exploitation, as well as providing social services and housing.¹⁰
- Social protection is also defined as a comprehensive framework encompassing institutions, administration, rights, obligations, and transfers, primarily aimed at achieving the following objectives:
 - Ensuring access to healthcare and social services.
 - Providing income support to mitigate major life risks, including income loss due to disability, old age, or unemployment, as well as preventing and alleviating poverty¹¹.

From these previous definitions, social protection can be defined as a set of guarantees and measures offered by government bodies, dedicated to ensuring protection from social risks, allowing individuals to pursue their activities in comfort and tranquility.

1.2. Objectives of Social Protection

The objectives of social protection can be summarized as follows:

- 1.2.1. Providing Security:** Ensuring that people enjoy basic social and economic security, enabling them to develop their human potential both at work, within their families, and in society at large¹².
- 1.2.2. Protecting Individuals from Social Risks:** Social protection aims to safeguard all members of society, providing them with protection against risks such as illness, death, unemployment, and workplace accidents¹³.
- 1.2.3. Contributing to the Redistribution of National Income:** This is achieved by redistributing income by withholding a portion from the working class and allocating it to those unable to work, such as retirees.
- 1.2.4. Enhancing Productivity:** Offering insurance coverage for workers in various enterprises and projects against different risks, including death, pensions, illness, injury, and unemployment, helps sustain their participation in these projects for a relatively extended period. This, in turn, enhances their intellectual capabilities, provides stability, security, and reassurance, and elevates their productivity.

2. Mechanisms of Social Protection: Social protection is implemented through various mechanisms and means, including:

2.1.Social Security: It is a complex system that encompasses various forms of care and comprises a vast array of legislations designed to provide social coverage. It serves as a safety net and a source of assurance¹⁴.

2.2.Social Insurance: It represents one aspect of social security regulated by the state, where individuals participate in the contributions. Employers also contribute to this form of insurance, which includes traditional pension and health insurance, as well as disability and workplace injury insurance¹⁵.

2.3.Social Assistance: This system provides aid to those affected by calamities, typically in the form of cash or in-kind services. Such aid may come from individuals, private organizations, or the state¹⁶.

2.4. Social Safety Nets: These are contemporary mechanisms that have come to the forefront, especially in the era of globalization. Social safety nets aim to benefit the poor and disadvantaged around the world impacted by globalization. These networks emphasize the constant pursuit of demonstrating the advantages of both capitalistic economics and humanitarianism, hand in hand with justice.

Third: Occupational Satisfaction and Explanatory Theories:

1. Concept of Occupational Satisfaction :

The concept of occupational satisfaction has been a subject of significant interest among scholars and specialists across diverse fields. It is considered an essential factor for both individuals and organizations, as it impacts productivity and well-being within the workplace.

1.1.Occupational Satisfaction Definition:

Numerous scholars have proposed various definitions of occupational satisfaction, reflecting the diversity of research and the interdisciplinary nature of the subject. To offer a comprehensive understanding of occupational satisfaction, we will explore some of the key definitions.

1.1.1. Definition of Satisfaction :

Linguistically, satisfaction stands in opposition to dissatisfaction and signifies contentment and approval. In the context of occupational satisfaction, it encompasses a range of related concepts, such as psychological well-being, contentment, and happiness within one's occupation. Occupational satisfaction is a complex, multifaceted concept influenced by numerous variables¹⁷.

1.1.2. Concept of Occupational Satisfaction :

Notable scholars who have delved into the concept of occupational satisfaction include:

- **Stone:** Occupational satisfaction pertains to a state in which individuals are fully engaged in their work and profession. It reflects their alignment with career aspirations and the pursuit of growth, advancement, and the realization of their professional and social objectives¹⁸.
- **Louler:** The literature on organizational behavior frequently associates occupational satisfaction with the gratification and happiness that individuals derive from their work and work environment. Individuals often experience occupational satisfaction when they gain more from their work than initially anticipated¹⁹.
- **Herbert:** Herbert's interpretation emphasizes the complexity of occupational satisfaction, comprising emotional responses related to what work provides and what it should provide, creating a comprehensive view of this concept²⁰.
- **Landiotrumbo:** The term "occupational satisfaction" signifies the emotional responses of employees toward their occupation, akin to how "quality of professional life" captures their overall impressions and reactions²¹.

In summary, occupational satisfaction denotes a state of contentment, approval, and positive emotional responses resulting from the fulfillment of needs and desires related to one's occupation. It also signifies an individual's perception of various variables and conditions, all of which interplay with the overall quality of their professional life.

2. The Significance of Occupational Satisfaction

Occupational satisfaction holds a paramount role for employees, organizations, and society, delineated as follows:

2.1. Occupational Satisfaction for Employees: The elevation of an employee's sense of occupational satisfaction engenders several crucial outcomes, including:

- Augmented adaptability within the work milieu.
- An innate desire for innovation and creativity.
- Heightened ambition and prospects for professional progression.
- Overall contentment in life, fostered by the provision of essential life requisites²².

2.2. Occupational Satisfaction for Organizations: The constructive ramifications of heightened employee occupational satisfaction reverberate within the organization, encapsulated by²³:

- Amplified overall efficiency and efficacy, anchoring employees' commitment to their responsibilities.
- Enhanced productivity.
- Cost mitigation in the production process.
- Reinforced allegiance among employees to the organization²⁴.

2.3. Occupational Satisfaction for Society: The societal ramifications of augmented employee occupational satisfaction transpire as:

- Escalated production rates, concomitant with economic optimization.
- Accelerated societal growth and development²⁵.

3. Theoretical Frameworks for Occupational Satisfaction:

The examination of occupational satisfaction has evolved as an iterative and progressive development within the sphere of human resources management. Consequently, various theories have emerged to elucidate the satisfaction of workers in their occupational roles²⁶.

3.1. Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs Theory: This theoretical framework posits that individuals possess a multitude of needs, and the fulfillment of one need often engenders the pursuit of others. These needs are structured hierarchically, commencing with basic physiological needs, followed by the need for safety, social and belongingness, esteem, and ultimately culminating in self-actualization needs²⁷.

3.2. Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory: Herzberg's theory discriminates between two distinct emotional states – satisfaction and dissatisfaction. Factors that underlie satisfaction are disparate from those that yield dissatisfaction. Herzberg conducted comprehensive research, scrutinizing the experiences of accountants and engineers, and classified the factors contributing to occupational satisfaction and dissatisfaction. The former is attributed to external occupational facets, the absence of which results in occupational dissatisfaction. In contrast, the latter relates to intrinsic occupational factors that are intricately linked to the content of the occupation itself²⁸.

3.3. Adams' Equity Theory: Adams' theory postulates that an individual's work effort is contingent upon their subjective perception of fairness and equity within the social context of the workplace. This theoretical paradigm consists of three categories: 'others,' 'the system,' and 'self.'²⁹

3.4. Need Satisfaction Theory: This theory asserts that occupational satisfaction hinges on the extent to which an individual's needs are gratified through their professional engagement. It incorporates the scholarship of Shiffman (1953), Kohlen (1963), and Locke (1967). Locke, in particular, probed into the psychological mechanisms responsible for eliciting satisfaction or dissatisfaction. He delineated that the predominant contributors to occupational satisfaction pertain to the capacity of the occupation to provide valuable returns. Locke's approach involved gauging the delta between one's needs and actual gains, followed by an appraisal of the importance assigned to those requisites³⁰.

3.5. Hackman and Oldham's Occupational Characteristics Theory: This theoretical framework underscores the role of specific occupational characteristics in shaping occupational satisfaction. The dimensions of occupational identity, significance, autonomy, and feedback are central to this perspective. It accentuates that occupational satisfaction necessitates the worker's cognizance of the outcomes of their labor, a recognition premised on five key occupational characteristics: skill variety, task identity, task significance, autonomy, and feedback³¹.

In summation, the evolution of the social employment system has rendered it a fundamental prerogative and an inherent entitlement for individuals. The fulfillment of their requisites for security, healthcare, and social well-being is an indispensable component of a dignified existence, both within the broader societal framework and within the precincts of specific organizational contexts.

Fourth: The Role of Social Protection in Achieving Occupational Satisfaction

The topic of social protection has long held significant importance, exerting substantial influence over an individual's life within a community and their professional life within an organization. In an institutional context, the implementation of a social protection system invariably impacts employees, shaping their sentiments and, subsequently, their perception of occupational satisfaction. Hence, a reciprocal relationship emerges between social protection and occupational satisfaction, where these two facets intricately intertwine.

1. The Influence of Social Protection on Occupational Satisfaction:

Employees represent the direct instruments for an organization's strategic execution. Their role is pivotal, serving as the vital lifeblood of the institution. Consequently, it becomes imperative to ensure the necessary safeguards, shielding employees from potential risks within or beyond the workplace. In doing so, their confidence is bolstered, motivating them to contribute optimally to their roles. Significantly, the ramifications of this protection are not confined to a solitary dimension but extend broadly across psychological, social, and economic domains. These enhancements are facilitated through the mechanisms of social protection,

artfully designed to ensure optimal occupational satisfaction and, in turn, yield positive outcomes for the organization³².

1.1. Psychological Impacts:

Throughout the execution of their tasks, employees are exposed to a diverse array of influences, predominantly of a psychological nature. These influences exert both direct and indirect effects on their occupational stability and productivity. Negative emotional states have the potential to significantly impede employee well-being and their commitment to both their work and the organization. This underscores the pivotal role played by social protection and the array of services it provides in ameliorating the mental and psychological facets of employee well-being. This is realized through a judicious blend of material and morale-boosting incentives, encompassing aspects such as monetary bonuses, professional advancements, interpersonal relationships with management, and harmonious camaraderie with fellow colleagues³³.

The overarching goal of social protection encompasses:

- Assisting employees in confronting their individual and familial psychological challenges.
- Facilitating the enhancement of inter-employee relationships within the organization.
- Fostering the provision of suitable working conditions for staff members.
- Participating actively in issue resolution and aiding workers in adapting to the ever-evolving work environment³⁴.

1.2. Social Impacts:

From a social perspective concerning employees, the influence on occupational satisfaction is notably evident through their inclusion in the social security system. This system serves as a legal and obligatory mechanism dedicated to providing social protection for employees against various social risks. It encompasses two fundamental facets: social assistance and social insurance.

Social assistance obliges institutions or organizations to allocate a segment of their financial resources to cover potential losses that employees may encounter during their work-related activities. Furthermore, the provision of free healthcare, offered by the social protection system, gains significance in light of the social conditions that affect workers, including issues such as unemployment, poverty, and health concerns spanning various facets of life. Consequently, it becomes the responsibility of any institution to ensure the provision of these benefits to their employees, as they are considered rights that must be granted without discrimination, under the aegis of the legislative regulations established by the government.

Each employee covered by the social protection system is issued a specific card that entitles them to free medication and medical treatment. The existence of these available provisions and the concomitant rights thus conferred upon employees collectively contribute to a certain level of contentment within their working milieu and the responsibilities entrusted to them³⁵.

1.3. Economic Impacts:

The influence of social protection is not confined to the psychological and social spheres but extends notably into the economic domain. This realm witnesses the pivotal role played by social protection as an avenue for resource savings, achieved through the pooling of contributions from employees and organizations. This financial reservoir is judiciously directed toward investments, serving to cover both commercial and trade risks. Pragmatically, these risks do not materialize universally, nor do they manifest in a uniform or synchronized manner³⁶.

Notably, the importance of social protection is augmented in the realm of international transactions. It furnishes an incentive for the confluence of trade between nations by assuring foreign investors and suppliers that engaging in cross-border activities does not entail undue exposure to adverse outcomes stemming from commercial, political, and natural risks. This assurance is predicated on employees' disclosure of their employments, the fulfillment of their obligations in paying contributions, and their engagement with their employers³⁷. Legal compliance ensures employees' protection and insurance against potential setbacks, ultimately contributing to their satisfaction with their work and responsibilities.

2. Mechanisms of Social Protection in the Attainment of Occupational Satisfaction

Throughout their professional journey, individuals encounter diverse pressures that emanate from the inherent nature of their work, the patterns of organizational communication, and the intricate web of social interactions that permeate the workplace. In response, organizations are entrusted with the task of formulating solutions to cultivate occupational satisfaction among their employees. This aspiration is realized through the various mechanisms of social protection, which assume multifaceted forms, including social insurance systems, social benefits, seasonal employment programs, employment services, small business funding, and social funds. These mechanisms aim to influence employee behavior, ensuring their satisfaction and alignment with organizational objectives. Key mechanisms comprise:

2.1. Social Insurance:

Social insurance stands as an obligatory system where individuals actively engaged in employment, business proprietors, and the state alike commit to periodic contributions directed towards a governmental entity responsible for the stewardship of communal financial resources. These contributions are invested, and reciprocally, a

monthly stipend is disbursed to the employee in the event of employment cessation, whether due to disability, reaching retirement age, financial coverage of medical exigencies, or the obligation to support dependents upon their demise³⁸.

2.2. Social Benefits :

Social benefits, as sanctioned by the state, represent financial aids designed to fulfill specific social objectives. These objectives chiefly involve the redistribution of the national income with the intent to elevate the living standards of individuals characterized by modest incomes. The spectrum of social benefits encompasses an array of facets, spanning enhancements in health, nutrition, housing conditions, cultural enrichment, vocational training, and the facilitation of professional development³⁹.

Conclusion

The nexus between social protection and occupational satisfaction is inherently interdependent. Many scholars posit that the level of occupational satisfaction is significantly influenced by the presence of social protection, or more explicitly, content and gratified employees frequently derive benefits from a robust social protection framework. When an organization integrates a comprehensive social protection system, it invariably instills a palpable sense of satisfaction among its employees. This system functions analogously to a protective cocoon for the vulnerable, engendering a profound sense of commitment among employees towards their vocational roles, thereby culminating in a conspicuous degree of contentment.

Furthermore, it is imperative to underscore that the most productive employees are often those who avail themselves of comprehensive protection and enjoy the full spectrum of their entitlements. Consequently, they tend to manifest elevated levels of occupational satisfaction. Hence, discerning and comprehending the intrinsic relationship between occupational satisfaction and social protection becomes a relatively straightforward endeavor. A consensus among a multitude of researchers suggests that social protection and occupational satisfaction are two facets of the same coin, pivoting around the central figure of the employee. Social protection, with its role in ensuring both social and economic security, equips individuals with the capacity to harness their human potential within the workplace. In contrast, occupational satisfaction encapsulates an individual's emotional disposition and attitude towards their professional role.

Consequently, the implementation of a comprehensive social protection system within an organization invariably conduces to heightened occupational satisfaction. This is attributable to the multifarious mechanisms and strategies inherent in social protection, which are firmly rooted in the principles of solidarity and support. These mechanisms not only safeguard the rights of individuals or employees but also furnish a safety net to buffer against potential adversities, including illness, unemployment, and work-related risks. Consequently, employees who are the beneficiaries of the comprehensive social protection offered by their organization are more likely to experience heightened occupational satisfaction. In turn, this augments their performance within the organization and propels them towards the realization of their vocational aspirations.

Moreover, it is unequivocally evident that social protection exerts a profound influence on an employee's ability to make substantive contributions to the organization, primarily by enhancing their productivity. The overarching objective of social protection is to augment productivity by guaranteeing insurance coverage for individuals employed in diverse establishments and projects, thereby insulating them, along with their families, from an array of potential risks, encompassing fatality, illness, and injury. These benefits serve as linchpins, bolstering the enduring commitment of employees to such projects, ultimately leading to the cultivation of

their practical skills, conferring a sense of stability and security, and bolstering their overall productivity. Consequently, it is irrefutable that organizations that afford their employees comprehensive and robust social protection invariably witness a conspicuous enhancement in occupational satisfaction levels among their workforce.

In summary, the symbiotic relationship between social protection and occupational satisfaction is unmistakable. Social protection functions as a conduit that underpins the realization of occupational satisfaction, motivating employees to invest additional effort in elevating their vocational performance. This, in turn, catalyzes their efficacy in contributing to the attainment of their organization's objectives.

List of references:

- 1 . كيفاني شهيدة، 2007، التنمية الاقتصادية الحماية الاجتماعية مع الدراسة الخاصة للحماية الصحية في الجزائر، مذكرة ماجستير في العلوم الاقتصادية ، جامعة تلمسان، ص41.
- 2 . حسين عبد اللطيف حمدان، 2009، الضمان الاجتماعي وأحكامه وتطبيقاته دراسته تحليلية شاملة، منشورات الحلبي الحقوقية، الطبعة الأولى، بيروت، 55.
- 3 . باديش كشيدة، 2010، المخاطر المضمونة اليات فض النزاعات في مجال ضمان الاجتماعي، بحث مقدم لنيل شهادة الماجستير في العلوم القانونية، جامعة الحاج لخضر، باتنة، ص56.
- 4 . باديش كشيدة، مرجع سابق، ص57.
- 5 . دراري عياش، 2005، اثر نظام الضمان الاجتماعي على حركية الاقتصاد الوطني دراسة حالة الصندوق الوطني للتأمينات الاجتماعية لغير الاجراء casons شبكة بومرداس، مذكرة الماجستير في العلوم الاقتصادية، جامعة يوسف بن خدة، الجزائر، ص77.
- 6 . بن سعيدة كريمة، 2011 ، تسير صناديق الضمان الاجتماعي في الجزائر دراسة حالة الصندوق الوطني للعمال الاجراء وكالة تلمسان، مذكرة ماجستير في العلوم الاقتصادية تخصص تسير المالية العامة، جامعة تلمسان، ص97.
- 7 . قورمي حميد وضحاك نجية، 2015، الضمان الاجتماعي في الجزائر دراسة حالة csnos لولاية البويرة، مجلة العلوم الاقتصادية والتسير والعلوم التجارية، العدد 13، جامعة البويرة، ص 12.
- 8 . قورمي حميد وضحاك نجية، نفس المرجع، ص 12.
- 9 . بن سعدة كريمة، مرجع سابق ، ص25.
- 10 . كيفاني شهيدة، مرجع سابق ، ص46.
- 11 . لجنة العمالة والسياسية الاجتماعية، 2005، المالية الاجتماعية كمعامل إنتاجي، مكتب العمل الدولي، الدورة 249، جينيف نوفمبر، ص1.

- 12 . بن سعيدة كريمة ، مرجع سابق ، ص25.
- 13 . إبراهيم علي إبراهيم عبد ربه ، 1988، مبادئ التأمين التجاري والإجتماعي، دار النهضة العربية، بيروت، ص109.
- 14 . محمد شفيق، 2005، التشريعات والتأمينات الاجتماعية العاملة الأسرية، المكتب، الجامعي الحديث، الإسكندرية، ص45.
- 15 . أسامة عزمي سلامة وتشفير توري موسى، 2015، إدارة الخطر والتأمين ، دار الحامد ، الطبعة الثانية، عمان ، ص91.
- 16 . فرومي حميد ومضحاك نجية، مرجع سابق ، ص82.
- 17 . محمد سعيد سلطان، 2004، السلوك الإنساني في المنظمات، دار الجامعة الجديدة، الإسكندرية، ص196.
- 18 . صارة جدي،، بدون سنة النشر، الرضا الوظيفي، مفهومه ، محدداته، وأثره على الأداء، مجلة مناجر، المدرسة التحضيرية للعلوم الاقتصادية التجارية والتسيير، درارية، الجزائر، العدد 1 ص 8.
- 19 . فايزة محمد رجب بهني، 2011، الرضا الوظيفي للعاملين من منظور الخدمة الاجتماعية ، دار الوفاء لدنيا الطباعة والنشر، الإسكندرية ، مصر، ط1، ص50.
- 20 . مؤيد سعيد السالم، 2001، إدارة الموارد البشرية، مدخل إستراتيجي متكامل، إثراء للنشر والتوزيع، الأردن، ص354.
- 21 . احمد ماهر، 2008، السلوك التنظيمي (مدخل بناء المهارات)، الدار الجامعية، الإسكندرية، ص 102.
- 22 . نور الدين شنوفي، 2005، تفعيل نظام التقسيم أداء العامل في المؤسسة العمومية الاقتصادية، مذكرة مقدمة ضمن المتطلبات لنيل شهادة الدكتوراة في العلوم الاقتصادية (ع،م) جامعة الجزائر، ص 191.192.

- 23 . سعاد نايف برنوطي، 2007، إدارة الموارد البشرية، دار وائل للنشر، عمان، الأردن، ط2، ص 79.
- 24 . سعاد نايف برنوطي، نفس المرجع، ص 79.
- 25 . محمد صرفي، 2007، إدارة الموارد البشرية، دار الفكرية الجامعي، الإسكندرية، مصر، ص 60.
- 26 . نبيلة بووذن، 2007، محددات الرضا الوظيفي عند العامل الجزائري، حالة مؤسسة سوناريك، فرجيوة، رسالة ماجستير، جامعة منتوري، ص 100.
- 27 . سالم تسيير الشرايدة، 2008، الرضا الوظيفي (أطر نظرية وتطبيقات عملية)، دار الصفاء للنشر والتوزيع عمان، ص 80.
- 28 . جبرالجرنيرج، 2004، إدارة السلوك في المنظمات، ترجمة رفاعي محمد رفاعي وإسماعيل علي بسيوني دار المريخ، الرياض، ص 208.
- 29 . أحمد ماهر، 2008، السلوك التنظيمي (مدخل بناء المهارات)، الدار الجامعية، الإسكندرية، ص 154.
- 30 . رواية حسن، 2004، السلوك التنظيمي المعاصر، الدار الجامعية، الإسكندرية، ص 133.
- 31 . سالم تسيير الشرايدة، مرجع سابق.
- 32 . زيان تاج، 2014، الرضا الوظيفي وأبعاد جودة الخدمات من منظور تسيير الموارد البشرية في الوظيفة العمومية، قياس وتقييم الرضا الوظيفي في المركز الإستشفائي الجامعي مستشفى الأم والطفل بتلمسان، أطروحة الدكتوراة. جامعة تلمسان، ص 98.
- 33 . محمد مرعي مرعي، 1999، أسس إدارة الموارد البشرية، دار رضا للنشر، القاهرة، مصر، ص 288، 289.
- 34 . بن سعدة كريمة، مرجع سابق، ص 20.

- 35 . محمد عمر الطنوبي، 1999، قراءات في علم النفس الاجتماعي، مكتبة المعارف الحديثة، مصر، الإسكندرية، ص124، 123.
- 36 . عبد اللطيف محمود المحمود، 1994، التأمين الاجتماعي في ضوء الشريعة الإسلامية، دار النفائس، لبنان ، ط1، ص 102.
- 37 . دسهيلة محمد عباس، علي حسن علي، 1999، إدارة الموارد البشرية ، دار وائل للنشر والتوزيع ، الأردن ، ص38.
- 38 . أحمد الصريفي، 2003، إدارة الأفراد والعلاقات الإنسانية، دار قنديل للنشر والتوزيع، الأردن، ص276.
- 39 . حديدي معراج، 2004، مدخل لدراسة قانون التأمين الجزائري، ديوان المطبوعات الجامعية، الطبعة الرابعة، الجزائر، ص40.