



Aphasia and language disorders

Fatna Dif

University Of Djelfa (Algeria)
fatna_dif@yahoo.com

Abstract

Language disorders such as aphasia are typically brought on by strokes that injure a particular region of the brain responsible for language comprehension and expression. A disruption in the linguistic code that affects language encoding and/or decoding is known as aphasia. The goal of our effort is to provide a technique that will enable an individual with aphasia to interact with others more effectively, the majority of people affected by aphasia are middle-aged and older, and both men and women are equally impacted. It is crucial for general practitioners to recognize aphasia and to diagnose and refer patients in a timely and appropriate manner, since they are likely to have their initial interaction with affected patients, this article will go over the different kinds of aphasia, how to diagnose them, the subtypes of aphasia, rehabilitation, and prognosis.

Article info

Received

May 10 ;2024

Accepted

June 12 ;2024

Keyword:

- ✓ aphasia,
- ✓ rehabilitation,
- ✓ language disorders

1. Introduction

Language disorders such as aphasia can be brought on by a stroke, severe brain injury, tumor, or other neurological illness. Speaking, understanding, reading, writing, and other language-related skills are all impacted by aphasia. There may be different degrees of impact on these areas. Aphasia can present with mild or severe symptom. Certain patients recover quickly and fully, while others may have long-term impairments and require compensatory methods or strategies to speak clearly.

Aphasia is a disorder brought on by brain damage, typically to the left hemisphere and frequently due to a stroke. This etiology causes limited lesions in the brain, which are frequently permanent, and is more common in older adults than in younger adults. Aphasia can also be brought on by other illnesses, including trauma, degenerative, or metabolic conditions.⁽¹⁾

More precisely describing the language processing skills of individuals with developmental disabilities has been made possible in recent times by research on speech segmentation. As an example, it has been proposed that speech segmentation impairment, which is associated with lexical knowledge in typically developing infants, may be the cause of delayed lexical development and language deficiencies in children with particular language impairment.⁽²⁾

Deficits in the identification of sounds contained in speech have also been identified in individuals with developmental dyslexia through speech segmentation tests.⁽³⁾

It's interesting to note that research has demonstrated that kids with highly functional autism who do not have language impairments can nonetheless separate words from running speech.⁽⁴⁾

Although a large number of patients, caregivers, doctors, and academics concur that language may be a stressor that causes anxiety in aphasics, rigorous empirical research on anxiety in aphasia is still lacking. This paper reviews the current literature, highlights significant gaps, identifies critical challenges, and suggests a course of future research. By doing this, we intend to highlight how crucial it is to comprehend some parts of the emotional fallout from aphasia, as this is crucial to the healing process and the effectiveness of rehabilitation.⁽⁵⁾

-What is Aphasia?

Life is about interacting with others and communicating with them. Aphasia, which is defined as a loss of language and function, or a reduction in one's ability to use or understand words, is caused by brain injury. It is a language processing problem; hearing, muscle tone, or perception are unaffected.

When there is damage to the left cerebral hemisphere, the condition develops. It is a linguistic issue that arises from brain damage brought on by illness or injury.

The brain dysfunction that leads to the communication problem is indicated by a weakening of the ability to use or understand words. Even while aphasia affects one's capacity to communicate and comprehend others, the majority of aphasia patients experience difficulties with reading and writing. To put it another way, language disorders can cause anything from trouble remembering words to total incapacity to talk, read, or write. Nonetheless, every aphasia patient is unique; some make fewer mistakes than others.

Older persons are more likely to experience aphasia, usually following a stroke. Those persons may have difficulty in using the right words to complete their thoughts. They may also have troubles understanding what the other said, reading and comprehending written words, writing words, or using number.

The most common cause of aphasia is brain damage, namely harm to one or more language-related brain regions.⁽⁶⁾

Along with these symptoms, aphasia, like other disorders, can cause difficulty speaking, difficulty finding the right words, and the use of improper words in conversation. Some people may struggle with handwriting, while others may

struggle with basic math operations or number usage. But there are other kinds of aphasia, including Wernicke's, conduction, and Broca's.

-Types of Aphasia:

The location of the lesion mostly determines the type of aphasia. Researchers and physicians categorize different types of aphasias using the Boston categorization system. Broca, Wernicke, conduction, and global aphasias are among the classical nosologies of the perisylvian aphasias. Anomic, transcortical motor, transcortical sensory, and mixed transcortical aphasias—also referred to as the isolation of the speech region syndrome—are among the nonperisylvian aphasias⁽⁷⁾:

1. Aphasia of Broca:

The French anthropologist and surgeon Paul Broca (1824–1880), who made the groundbreaking discovery that the human brain contains the center of language, is credited with giving name to Broca's aphasia. It is distinguished by a decrease in expression. The person is quiet, speaks slowly, and looks for his words. Since they are comparable to the challenges seen in spoken language rather than being related to the need to use his left hand, he can experience similar difficulty while attempting to write. In general, comprehension is maintained well. Other names for it include expressive aphasia,

motor aphasia, anterior aphasia, and aphasia of expressiveness.

2. Conduction Aphasia:

Conduction aphasia is characterized by a language that is laced with pauses, hesitations brought on by trouble finding the words, and, most importantly, by the generation of many paraphasias. The afflicted person often tries to correct herself and mixes up sounds in words. There are instances where paraphasias are sufficiently common that they might give rise to jargon.

Aphasia of conduction does not typically result in notable disruptions in comprehension, in contrast to Wernicke's aphasia, which it occasionally mimics. It might arise from a positively evolving Wernicke aphasia.

3. Aphasia of Wernicke:

Wernicke's aphasia is named for Carl Wernicke, a neurologist who lived from 1848 to 1905 and was among the first to characterize aphasia. Alphabetical aphasia includes receptive aphasia, posterior aphasia, sensory aphasia, and reception aphasia. Severe difficulty in understanding written and spoken words are characteristic of Wernicke's aphasia. Even if the affected individual speaks clearly and loudly, she occasionally uses jargon or paraphasia. She typically faces the same challenges when writing as she does when speaking. At first,

at least, some people might not always be conscious of their errors.

4. Global Aphasia:

Aphasia in its most severe form is called global aphasia. While other classic aphasias described within the Boston system of classification are less common, it affects as many as 25%–32% of aphasic individuals.

The speaking and understanding of language can be severely limited in people with global aphasia, and they experience severe communication difficulties.

5. Mixed Aphasia

When there is significant comprehension issues together with a loss in expression, it is referred to as mixed aphasia⁽⁸⁾

- Diagnosis of Aphasia:

Though it can arise from a variety of brain injury and diseases, aphasia is a symptom and not a disease. The underlying pathology hence determines the necessary laboratory testing. The physical examination and comprehensive mental state assessment are the foundations for the diagnosis of aphasia. Diagnosing the source of aphasia and localizing a lesion in the thalamus or left hemisphere requires neuroimaging. Basic neuroimaging techniques include CT scanning¹⁴ and MRI¹⁵. While most strokes over 48 hours old can be successfully shown by CT, strokes less 48 hours old may go unnoticed.

Up to one hour after the onset of a stroke can be detected with diffusion-weighted imaging in MRI. The detection of bleeding can be detected with new imaging sequences such gradient echo imaging or T2, an early MRI technology restriction. It could be necessary to use contrast enhancement in order for an MRI and CT scanner to detect malignancies. Epilepsy and dementia are frequently associated with hippocampal atrophy or sclerosis, which can be seen in thin sections through the temporal lobes. An MRI's coronal imaging is particularly useful for identifying asymmetric hippocampal atrophy. When it is difficult to identify gross tissue atrophy, PET and SPECT.

may prove useful in identifying hypometabolism or decreased cerebral blood flow, correspondingly, in diseases associated with dementia. The location of epileptic foci can also benefit from these techniques. The study of normal persons' normal activation of language structures is becoming more and more dependent on functional MRI. Finding the patterns of recovery following neurologic injury, such as a stroke with aphasia, is another goal of early research. When treating patients who may be having seizures, EEG is crucial.

The level of damage and the afflicted area of the brain determine the severity and breadth of the issues. Initial aphasia severity has been linked to lesion volume and stroke severity .

A worse result is linked to a higher initial severity of aphasia. While some studies (Lasko et al, 2001) claim that younger patients recover much better, other studies (Pedersen et al, 2004) claim that age does not affect recovery.

Likewise, although gender variations in aphasia type and severity have been documented, recovery is not predicted by sex .

Contradictory findings are also seen in studies looking at handedness and schooling , Ninety-three percent of people are right-handed, while 99% of those who are left-handed have dominant language skills in their left hemisphere. Language control is distributed as follows in left-handed people: 70% in the left hemisphere, 15% in the right, and 15% in both hemispheres. For 96.9% of the population, language control is predominantly localized in the left hemisphere, making the left hemisphere the domain of language function virtually completely⁽⁹⁾

-Stressors in Language with Aphasia

This review goes into great detail about the detrimental effects that post-stroke emotional changes have on language ability and recovery chances in individuals with chronic aphasia. It is currently unknown, nevertheless, what precise function anxiety may have in aphasia. To begin, we suggest considering language in aphasia to be a stressor, associated with an emotional condition we refer to as

"linguistic anxiety." In particular, a person suffering from linguistic anxiety is one who anticipates making a mistake when producing language deliberately and consciously, with the possibility of linguistic failure acting as a trigger. A notion like this could not only add to the early theories , regarding the involvement of anxiety in aphasia, but it could⁽¹⁰⁾

The concept of anticipating error does not necessarily mean that patients would feel worried or concerned before finishing a language job. Perceptions of stress and/or anxiety do not always correspond with behavioral assessments of these constructs , Stress and/or anxiety self-ratings may very well follow a task ⁽¹¹⁾, or as a result of a repressive coping style, they may even be completely rejected ⁽¹²⁾. Given the psychological connection between anticipation and anxiety as well as its significance for the allostatic system⁽¹³⁾ .

-Language localization:

Language processing is one of the human brain's many essential tasks, but how is language processed in the brain exactly? where language is found?

The two hemispheres of the brain, as previously said, each have distinct tasks; for the purposes of this discussion, we will concentrate on the function of language. Second, Wernicke's area and Broca's area are two left hemisphere brain regions that are crucial for language processing.

The term "language localization" describes the region of the cerebral cortex where language functions are performed by other regions. Broca's region controls speech production. The controversy that speech was confined to the frontal regions of the left hemisphere took place in Europe in the middle to late 1800s.

The earliest description of Leborgne's brain by anthropologist and physician Paul Broca dates back to 1861, and it offered the first indication that the left frontal lobe was home to the cognitive ability to articulate speech. According to Wernicke and Broca's study, language impairments can arise in a variety of ways depending on the specific cortical regions damaged.

In his 1861 study "Remarque sur le siège de la faculté du langage articulé, suivies d'une observation d'aphémie," Broca described Leborgne and provided evidence that articulate speech is located in the frontal lobe. "Note regarding the surroundings of the language faculty, followed by an aphasic observation."

Leborgne, a 51-year-old man with cellulitis in his right leg, was brought to the hospital.

Leborgne responded to a question concerning the cause of his illness, "only with the monosyllable tan, repeated twice in succession and accompanied by a gesture of his left hand." Despite this, he is able to understand what was stated to him.⁽¹⁴⁾

Broca described Leborgne as follows: Leborgne had been subject to epileptic attacks but that did not affect his daily life until he was thirty years old. In this age Leborgne lost his ability to speak and that is why he was admitted to the hospice. When he hospitalized he was already being unable to speak for two or three months. He was able to understand what the others said to him but he cannot express what he wants to said.

The third convolution of the left frontal lobe is where Broca discovered the first clinical evidence indicating language is localized. The term "Broca's area" refers to this region of the brain. The term "Broca's aphasia" refers to injury to the Broca's region that results in the loss of some comprehension abilities and intelligible speaking.

-Clinical symptoms of aphasia:

1- Expressive language disorders

- Lack of auditory or verbal memory.
- Lack of vocabulary or vocabulary.
- Inability to find the right word for the right situation or sentence.
- Lack of fluency
- Weakness in following the context.
- Meaningless words or syllables.
- Slow speech.

- Dyslexia and dysgraphia.

- Issuing words that are only appropriate for previous stimuli, i.e. delay in responding to stimuli

2- Receptive language disorders:

- Difficulty understanding what others are saying.
- Loss of recognition of auditory stimuli "auditory blindness" and here the patient has to watch the lips of others.
- Difficulty understanding written speech.
- Loss of recognition of visual stimuli (words and letters)
- Disturbance in reading and difficulty in understanding the meaning of written letters.
- Difficulty dealing with mathematical problems, as a result of difficulty recognizing the meaning of numbers

3- Behavioral and psychological symptoms

- Emotional instability.
- Issuing disorganized and inappropriate responses, as a result of disorganized reception of the situation.
- Indifference to the situation, and failure to confront or respond to situations appropriately.

- The tendency to become withdrawn and depressed as a result of the patient's personality confinement.
- Intellectual and cognitive disorders and slowness in the patient's mental processes ^{.(15)}

-Rehabilitation in the Context of Aphasia:

Action must be performed as soon as possible: The brain will respond best to speech therapy if it is started as soon as the symptoms manifest. Hence, it usually starts in the hospital, intensely (five sessions per week), in the days after the diagnosis ,Variable therapy lasts anything between six weeks and a year. At 45 minutes a session, it lasts three months on average. The severity of lesions, the aphasic patient's overall health, its motivation, and other factors all affect how quickly changes occur. The degree of learning. Psychological support is given to aphasics who experience depression. The patient's loved ones will also be asked to assist him with his speaking exercises and to provide support.

Conclusion

This analysis of the research on aphasia treatment shows that many patients, including those with many types of aphasia, will improve with treatment. Moreover, in individuals with aphasia who are approximately a year postonset, there is no relationship between the amount of

progress observed with treatment and the time postonset. As a result, predictions about how well people would respond to aphasia treatment based only on time postonset are not justified.

The most popular traditional approach is word retrieval therapy, which primarily uses picture naming with the help of enabling signals ,word retrieval is thought to be the most popular approach since it is a straightforward intervention, aphasics require verbal communication, and numerous research in the field have demonstrated the efficacy of this kind of treatment ,the literature from throughout the world has extensively documented and published traditional techniques of intervention for patients with aphasia. There aren't many Brazilian studies, nevertheless, presumably because segment research and case randomization are challenging tasks.

The results of this investigation did not suggest that comprehension is dependent on age. Ultimately, our findings aligned with the description of aphasia in the specialized literature as a language impairment.

- Bibliography List

1. Lima SI, Cury EMG,(2007), *Afasia. Rio de Janeiro*, Editora UFRJ.
 2. Evans JL, Saffran JR, Robe-Torres K,(2009), *Statistical learning in children with specific language impairment*, Journal of Speech Language and Hearing Research; 52:321–335.
 3. Kujala T, Halmetoja J, Näätänen R, Alku P, Lyytinen H, Sussman E.(2006), *Speech- and sound-segmentation in dyslexia: Evidence for a multiple-level cortical impairment. European Journal of Neuroscience*, 24:2420–2427. [PubMed: 17074059]
 4. Mayo J, Eigsti I-M,(2012), *Brief report: A comparison of statistical learning in school-aged children with high functioning autism and typically developing peers. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 42:2476–2485. [PubMed: 22382606]
 5. Code. C, Damico, J.; Muller, N.; Ball, MJ,(2010), *The Handbook of Language and Speech Disorders*. UK: Wiley-Blackwell, p. 317-336
 6. Fromkin, V., Rodman, R., & Hyams, N. (2007). *An Introduction to Language (8th ed.)*. Boston, MA: Thomson Wadsworth. ISBN 978-1-4130-1773-1.
 7. Godefroy O, Lhullier-Lamy C, Rousseaux M. (2002). *SRT lengthening: role of an alertness deficit in frontal damaged patients. Neuropsychologia*, 40: 2234-2241.
 8. Laska AC, Hellblom A, Murray V, Kahan T, Von Arbin M (2001). *Aphasia in acute stroke and relation to outcome. J Intern Med*. 249(5): 413-22.
 9. Ferro, A.,(1999), *La psychanalyse comme littérature et thérapie*, Toulouse, Érès, 2005
 10. Goldstein, K,(1942), *Aftereffects of Brain Injuries in War: Their Evaluation and Treatment*. New York: Grune & Stratto; 1942.
 11. Laures-Gores J, Hamilton A, Matheny K,(2007), *Coping resources, perceived stress, and recent life experiences in individuals with aphasia*. Journal of Medical Speech-Language Pathology , 15(4):423–431.
 12. Tanaka, Y.; Albert, ML.; Fujita, K.; Nonaka, C.; Miyazaki, M.; Yokoyama, E,(2009), *Autonomic nervous system and aphasia. Presented at the Neurobiology of Language Conference*; Chicago.
 13. Myers LB,(2010),*The importance of the repressive coping style: Findings from 30 years of research. Anxiety, Stress & Coping*. 2010; 23(1):3–17.
 14. Caramazza. A,(1997), *How many levels of processing are there in lexical access? Cognitive Neuropsychology*, 14(1):177–208.
- 15- عبد العزيز السرطاوي و وائل موسى أبو جودة ، (2000) ، اضطرابات اللغة والكلام ، أكاديمية التربية الخاصة ، الرياض ، المملكة العربية السعودية .