



The Importance of Spreading Health Awareness in Light of Epidemic Risks

Benchaa omar*

University of Algiers 2, (Algeria)

omar.benchaa@univ-alger2.dz

Djouidi Mohamed Ali

University of Djelfa, (Algeria)

Djmed20@yahoo.fr

Abstract ;

This study discusses the importance of spreading health awareness as an effective means of combating epidemic risks, highlighting factors that contribute to health education, such as: disease prevention through understanding the mechanisms of transmission and personal hygiene; early detection that facilitates the recognition of symptoms and prompt reporting; enhancing vaccine acceptance through the community's understanding of scientific reasons and empowering individuals by providing the knowledge to protect themselves; combating misinformation by spreading evidence-based awareness; and emphasizing the use of diverse communication channels to clearly convey health messages.

Article info

Received
July 21; 2025
Accepted
September 08; 2025

Keyword:

- ✓ Health awareness
- ✓ Epidemic risks
- ✓ prevention

* Corresponding author

1. **Introduction:**

Large-scale epidemics remain a dominant public health concern, affecting individuals worldwide. The recent COVID-19 outbreak has underscored the need for global health awareness systems and rapid response mechanisms to curb widespread disease proliferation. Large-scale human migration and aggregation during events such as the Chinese Spring Festival exacerbate these vulnerabilities, increasing the likelihood of extensive virus dissemination. Initial responses to outbreaks typically involve reallocating existing resources, though current preparedness models are often ill-equipped to prevent or mitigate disease emergence on a global scale (Brauer et al., 2019).

In the UK, an early warning system disseminates hazard notifications to healthcare staff, enabling prompt action such as the deployment of protective kits or methods to limit transmission (Quwaider & Jararweh, 2016).

Healthcare information crucial for such monitoring is gathered through platforms like health apps and public sources, facilitating the detection of disease progression. Precise methodologies to track, detect, and isolate infected individuals constitute essential components of containment measures (Zhou et al., 2020).

2. **Understanding Epidemic Risks:**

To encourage a more sustained response, health-care professionals are trained to focus on diagnosis and treatment; however, they often miss collecting disease-related data that could help identify the epidemic's cause (Pratiti & Sud, 2020).

Most epidemics progress rapidly, cover a relatively small area, and are caused by a variety of agents, including bacteria, viruses, fungi, parasites, or prions. Early diagnosis is generally difficult. If

identification comes late, the opportunity for prevention becomes small, and the disease may spread widely, causing an epidemic or even a pandemic. To identify the factors associated with the source of an epidemic, epidemiology applies statistical correlation testing. The existence of correlation between factors and the epidemic does not establish a causal relationship, and no mathematical test is readily available to prove causality. The original model for identifying agents responsible for an outbreak is the epidemiology triad, in which the interaction between the host, agent, and environment is responsible for the spread of infectious diseases. The modern world, however, is witnessing changes in the host, agent, and environment as well as the interactions among them, which makes the epidemiology triad no longer applicable. Complex global transportation networks made possible through trade and connectivity alter both the spatial and temporal dimensions of disease spread. Environmental changes (urbanization, deforestation, and habitat destruction) increase contact with animal host and vector populations, whereas climate change affects the viability and reproductive rate of many organisms, contributing to outbreaks of diseases such as Hantavirus and West Nile Fever.

2.1. **Definition of Epidemics:**

The word epidemic is derived from the Greek word, *epidemios*, meaning widespread or prevalent. In epidemiology, an epidemic is defined as an outbreak of a disease that attacks many people at about the same time and may spread through one or several communities. Epidemics are characterized by sudden and rapid progression. Epidemics that are more localized have been observed globally and are caused by a wide variety of organisms. Such outbreaks may spread over a large geographical area and possibly develop into

pandemics. Epidemiological methods are applied to deduce epidemic-source-related factors by statistical correlation testing (Pratiti & Sud, 2020).

A fundamental role of epidemiology is to investigate factors associated with epidemics among the affected populace to study and implement effective containment strategies.

In recent years, unprecedented major changes in environmental factors such as urbanization and deforestation have increased human contact with animal hosts, insect vectors, and contamination risks. Climate change and warmer temperatures have further affected organism viability, leading to outbreaks such as the Hantavirus in Four Corners and West Nile Fever in the United States. Hence, the collection of reliable data that aids analysis is critical during epidemic situations in order to respond appropriately and quickly to the challenges posed by such outbreaks. Data on outbreak parameters fall into two categories: disease-related parameters and country-related parameters. These initial factors associated with the severity of disease outbreaks can be identified and prioritized according to a risk-assessment framework. Sociopolitical factors also contribute to the length and outcome of outbreaks; war and conflict create conditions conducive to disease propagation. Interruption of immunization efforts has contributed to the spread of vaccine-preventable diseases, rendering countries more vulnerable. The existing health system within the affected country plays a crucial role in outbreak control (A S Lesmanawati et al., 2020).

2.2. Historical Context of Epidemics:

The origins of epidemiology date back to Hippocrates' Humoral Theory of Disease around 400 BC, marking one of the earliest uses of rational explanations for sickness (E Basch & H Basch, 2022).

Key figures like Galen, Girolamo Fracastoro, Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, Ignaz Semmelweis, James Lind, Edward Jenner, John Snow, Louis Pasteur, and Robert Koch contributed to the development of germ theory. The discovery of antibiotics and vaccinations significantly reduced the impact of diseases such as anthrax, bubonic plague, smallpox, cholera, measles, polio, and COVID-19. Infectious diseases have historically played a major role in the outcomes of war and continue to influence global populations through disease and famine. Studying culture and history is crucial in understanding individual, family, and community health, making epidemiology a valuable lens for interpreting historical records.

2.3. Current Trends in Epidemic Spread:

Current trends in epidemic spread highlight the critical role of human behavior and the propagation of awareness in mitigating disease transmission. The adoption of individual prevention measures depends on behavioral factors modeled through opinion dynamics, game theory, spreading processes, and, notably, risk perception. Under the risk perception framework, individuals become aware of an epidemic by encountering infected neighbors; the resulting protective response can halt the spread of disease within certain network topologies (Cesar Ventura da Silva et al., 2019). Awareness disseminated via word-of-mouth further aids containment, particularly when the signal is locally concentrated rather than global. Notably, awareness can propagate independently of a concurrent disease outbreak, generating a precursor signal that influences network dynamics. Importantly, the interplay between the timescales of disease transmission and awareness diffusion shapes prevalence: a reduction in unaware individuals does not invariably lower infection levels, as the relative speed of each process governs system dynamics with minimal dependence on topology (Cesar

Ventura da Silva et al., 2019). Epidemics also exhibit strong heterogeneity in transmissibility, social connectivity, and spatial mobility; this complexity fosters burst dynamics, superspreading events, and tail risks that challenge forecasting and control efforts (Kroy, 2022).

The coexistence of multi-layered spatiotemporal and genetic spreading further complicates intervention strategies, since suppressing one scale may promote immune escape variants on another. Intrinsic trends toward exponentially increasing infection numbers, modulated by seasonal factors, information feedback, and regulation, underscore the intricate feedback between epidemic progression and awareness dissemination. A common theme across these perspectives is the need for timely, accurate, and publicly shared information, complemented by a robust and socially coordinated response that engages community resilience.

3. The Role of Health Awareness:

Health information is central to the survival and quality of life of individuals, families, and communities. Effective the surveillance of health-related data is critical for the management of outbreaks of emerging infectious diseases, including severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), avian influenza H5N1, swine influenza H1N1, and Ebola haemorrhagic fever (S. Morse, 2007) ; (Quwaider & Jararweh, 2016). In conditions of persistent risk from contagious pathogen agents, the dissemination of awareness at a population-wide level is vital. A unified approach for public health promotion and intervention may be formulated with the use of contact network models of multi-agent societies. Such techniques also enable the evaluation of the effects of several categories of awareness spread concerning possible infection. Observations confirm the crucial role of contact and local awareness in impeding epidemic spread within these societies (Wu et al., 2012). The growing

availability of surveillance data, combined with computational advances in data analysis, has rekindled interest in using epidemic models within health informatics.

The dissemination of disease awareness plays a central role in the management of persistent risk concerning the spread within a population of a contagious pathogen agent. A unified approach to public-health promotion and intervention may be developed through contact-network modelling of multi-agent societies, enabling the evaluation of the effects of different categories of awareness diffusion related to potential infection. Contact and local awareness are confirmed to have a crucial influence on impeding epidemic spread in those environments.

3.1. Definition of Health Awareness:

Health awareness can be understood as the knowledge or state of being aware of a global epidemic or pandemics. It is also considered an important way to alert people of public health hazards and crises and important to guide and educate the public to improve personal healthcare and hygiene. A global health awareness system allows the monitoring of the health status of large populations worldwide. Epidemic outbreaks such as Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) in 2003, Avian Influenza H5N1 in 2005, H1N1 in 2009, and Ebola in 2014 emphasize the importance of building a global health awareness system for long-term health surveillance and disease control (Quwaider & Jararweh, 2016). Expanding health awareness is always the most important task for governments and support organizations. When certain professional virus safety guidelines for a specific virus have not yet been published and disseminated, people who have no relevant background knowledge may incorrectly understand the danger of the virus based on the already 'elicited knowledge' going viral, which can further lead to mental disorder and social panic. In this case, public health and social stability are seriously at risk (Wu

et al., 2012). It is critically important to provide adequate and accurate scientific information in a timely manner and to establish efficient and convenient information dissemination channels for public health. Communication and information technologies lay the foundation to address these challenges and structure such a Global Health Awareness System (GHAS).

3.2. Importance of Health Education:

Health education aims to raise individuals' health awareness and to influence conditions and risk factors adversely affecting health (Gligorov & Donev, 2008). By training and informing the general population, health educators provide people with information enabling the prevention and diminution of health problems, whether of noncommunicable or infectious origin. The impact of health education can be direct and highly effective—for instance, helping people to avoid communicable diseases—or indirect but still valuable, as in influencing individuals' lifestyle choices that determine the prevalence of many noncommunicable health problems. Nevertheless, health education also encounters barriers that make it difficult for individuals to apply the lessons learned, and that can be structural or socioeconomic. Poverty—already linked to the absence of sanitation—exerts a major influence on health, and consequently, health education is most needed where it is least effective. Social exclusion constitutes an important factor that interferes with the delivery of educational efforts, while reductions in household income in times of financial hardship likewise make it difficult for individuals to follow preventive recommendations. The World Bank compulsorily tracks at-risk poverty in its Statistical Information of Where We Work.

3.3. Health Literacy and Its Impact:

The COVID-19 pandemic offered a new perspective on the ubiquitous, persistent, and significant inconsistencies between

national public health care systems. It also revealed the increasing fragility of people's health globally, and showed the inability of these public health care systems to respond adequately and timely to a pandemic outbreak of this magnitude. Notwithstanding the unpredictability of such a pandemic (due to, e.g., the determined transmissibility of COVID-19), a coordinated global public strategy must therefore be implemented regarding the collective well-being of the human species.

A proper public strategy must mandate the establishment of a detailed global infrastructure dedicated to “one-health” epidemic monitoring. Such an infrastructure can both provide comprehensive, realtime, and accurate information on diverse “epidemic events” (e.g., infectious disease outbreaks around the world) and monitor and detect emerging risks at an early stage, determining their relevance and potential for escalating into a worldwide epidemic or pandemic.

The uncontrollable global spread of the COVID-19 virus (particularly given its relative ease of transmission) also highlights the necessity of raising health awareness among the global population. In this context, “health awareness” refers simply to the ability of citizens worldwide to adjust and adapt their health-related behavior to current and emerging epidemic risks. The uncertainty and lack of prior knowledge about the disease symptoms of SARS-Cov-2, as well as the diversity of virus effects on human physiology, created difficulties in a quick health response. In response, WHO prescribed general guidelines aimed at reducing the risk of contamination and contagion (e.g., mask wearing, hand washing, and social distancing). The health response of each individual, however, depended largely on how well an individual understood and managed epidemic risk (Hermans et al., 2021). This understanding depends

crucially on “health literacy” (see Section 3.3).

4. Methods of Spreading Health Awareness:

The methods employed in spreading health awareness encompass vaccination and quarantine mechanisms based on adaptive connections, along with novel approaches that incorporate human behavioral responses. Modeling the impact of human behavior on disease transmission constitutes an active field of research. Individual preventive measures can substantially reduce disease incidence, yet their adoption relies on behavioral factors that have been modeled via opinion dynamics, game theory, spreading processes, and risk perception. The risk perception framework posits that individuals become aware of an epidemic by observing infected contacts; this awareness can halt disease spread if the perception response exhibits nonlinearity. Awareness dissemination through word-of-mouth communication may influence outbreak dynamics, and local awareness can elevate epidemic thresholds. Recent investigations demonstrate that awareness can be triggered autonomously or by the epidemic itself, establishing an (Cesar Ventura da Silva et al., 2019).

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention offers a nationally coordinated system for epidemiologic investigation—absent laboratories the program may not function effectively or efficiently. Applied epidemiologic methods must be used rapidly and professionally to inform health authorities who will decide upon intervention strategies; public health action is only as effective as the investigation design, data management and analysis, and a firm working hypothesis. The epidemiologic approach has been applied through the Public Health Service and the World Health Organization nearly since their inception and optimally should utilize a multidisciplinary, teamwork strategy. A

working hypothesis will guide investigation, data collection and planning for control as information is only useful if directed at possible causal factors. The single most valuable epidemiologic element in any investigation lies in personal observation and the detailed case study of early patients, the spot map, the time distribution of cases, and a comprehensive description of illness, all of which can be used to refine the working hypothesis. External sources of information such as reference textbooks, expert advice, and experience with previous monitoring programs may provide useful reasoning when combined with facts from observations and clinical laboratory reports. Once sources of the epidemic have been determined, primary strategies can be implemented. Epidemiologic evaluation of intervention indicates whether the epidemic is controlled or continuing and whether other mechanisms of transfer exist. CDC has produced a comprehensive description of applied epidemiologic methods that should be widely adopted in health services and universities as a core manual and training program (H. Tulchinsky & A. Varavikova, 2000).

4.1. Community Engagement Strategies:

Following the TCDPR Workshop project, the 81 FRM Users Group and the Universities of Oxford and Sussex initiated a workshop at the 7th European FRM Conference (Morocco, 2016). A subsequent highly successful follow-on conference in 2017 galvanised renewed interest, allowing the FRM community to develop plans for a Further Activities project focused on raising public awareness of FRM provision. Since 2018, the University of Cambridge and Practical Action in Nepal have run the Flooding, Nepal and People (FNP) programme — funded by UKRI’s Strategic Priorities Fund — to enhance the scientific evidence underpinning Nepal’s national FRM investment plan — and deployed the HRFC to enable a National Flood Advisory

Service to be established. Two concurrent European Commission projects are also underway: AtLAS (<https://atlas-harmo.org>) is investigating global developments in FRM models, while HyFO (<https://hyfo-harmo.org>) seeks to establish FRM workflows linking the wide diversity of models across sectors. These insights confirm the relevance of FRM to socioeconomic resilience (L. Wieland et al., 2020) and provide a firm foundation for the proposed project, whose objective is to exploit the newly emerging FRM community, (re)invigorate current service providers, communicate the societal benefits more directly, and increase substantially the number of active services across the globe.

Nepal evidences the significant developmental benefits of effective FRM. NRCS (2017) indicates a 2.56% increase in annual GDP if current losses of 1.5–2.0% could be mitigated; global estimates of annual GDP lost to flooding lie between 0.17–0.50% (Etkin, 2015) and 0.26% (Rentschler, 2021). Investment in flood defences also contributes directly to public health and food security (Alam & Collins, 2010; Jones et al., 2016), one official monetary valuation method relating a year of life lost to 100x per capita GDP (NOAA, 2016; JH, 2020). Current modelling gives Nepalese citizens average protection levels of less than 10 years (Wilkinson et al., 2017), but real investments fall short of those required under the National FRM Investment Plan (ADB, 2018); they would also remove almost all supply chain interruptions associated with flooding.

4.2. Use of Social Media and Technology:

Social media represents a valuable tool for spreading information about a disease. Online chatter offers insight into the public's response to guidelines, sentiments towards the epidemic, vaccination, treatment methods, and mortality rates (Asaad et al., 2022). The spread of

misinformation has become a critical challenge that mitigation efforts must overcome; such efforts require both a global, collaborative strategy and a collective effort by the public. Understanding public opinion thus holds particular value for epidemic management. Epidemic protocols consequently require adjustment to surmount the difficulties introduced by the technological revolution and the accompanying misinformation infodemic. It is likewise vital to exploit social media's potential without inflicting harm on users' mental health. The introduction of social media has triggered changes in disease patterns that call for systems able to locate and automatically transform information into databases (Dalvi et al., 2022). Social media platforms including Wikipedia, Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and WhatsApp provide the basis for this new technology. During the latest pandemic, social media has already fulfilled roles in the tracking of disease prevalence, the spreading of awareness, the management of misinformation, and the provision of psychological support, thereby helping the healthcare sector to respond more efficiently to the new challenges that COVID-19 presents.

4.3. Collaboration with Healthcare Professionals:

Establishing effective communication between public health agencies and healthcare institutions can greatly contribute to public health emergency preparedness. Hospitals play an important role in this collaboration because healthcare institutions can provide vital information concerning outbreaks of communicable or chronic diseases, emerging infections, situations in which symptoms are unknown, or other health-related threats as they emerge in the community (Sharma & Mahbub Hossain, 2019). Because medical officers are the first to treat patients suspected of traveling from affected areas and who may be suspected of carrying

infectious diseases such as the Ebola virus, establishing a partnership with public health authorities facilitates community control of communicable disease outbreaks. Many international regulations have been established to enable collaboration among public health professionals, law experts, and hospital officials. At the national level, however, there is a scarcity of policies directed at bridging the gap between the different responsibilities and activities of public health professionals, law officials, and hospital officers; moreover, such policies and regulations have not yet been amended in light of every national public health challenge (Maehira & C. Spencer, 2019). Internationally, the International Health Regulations (IHR) 2005, still requiring full implementation in many countries, provide a suitable framework to guide responses in the event of public health emergencies. In the context of the revised regulations, the notification of an incident, event, or outbreak that poses public health risks is mandatory, which helps stimulate a timely, smooth communication cascade to relevant stakeholders under the available legislation or regulation (Ihekweazu et al., 2015).

5. Case Studies of Successful Health Awareness Campaigns:

The 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) outbreak in Wuhan city, Hubei province, China, spread rapidly and received extensive media attention worldwide (Zhao, 2020). The ability for viruses to spread globally via air travel has invited strategic research comparing the risks of outbreak emergence across different modes of transportation. This work is motivated by the need to develop effective health awareness campaigns worldwide during the spreading of health emergencies or hypothetical epidemic scenarios.

Historical data already showed that various transmission modes of an infectious disease are probably coupled, and the interaction between different communities is carefully

interrelated with the properties of the disease. A research-driven health awareness model has been proposed to publish a widespread propagation of awareness about infectious diseases, taking into consideration such interplay during an outbreak. The model has been implemented in a protection broadcast system working in a decentralized way that aims to alert population entities about an early-stage biological threat (M.D. Macpherson, 2018). This approach has been designed according to a recent corpus of epidemic modeling and widely tested on different outbreaks that have been well documented from a phenomenological viewpoint. The results demonstrate that it is actually possible to design a general and flexible system capable of effectively exploiting the interactions between the different communities to produce an early and convincing health distress spreading campaign serving as an effective preventive barrier.

5.1. Global Health Initiatives:

The most recent outbreaks of Ebola in West Africa and MERS-CoV in the Middle East have reignited global interest in managing infectious disease outbreaks. Weak public health infrastructure and spotty border control, lack of infection control in healthcare facilities, unrecognized Ebola cases reaching crowded cities, and global air transportation links contributed to the Ebola outbreak in West Africa. During the Ebola epidemic, community deaths and fear spread rapidly, leading to rioting and overwhelmed Ebola treatment units. Transmission was documented in Nigeria and affected multiple countries. The Global Health Security Agenda (GHSA) aims to strengthen health systems, expand to more countries, and turn commitments into concrete actions, focusing on controlling infectious disease outbreaks and building resilient health infrastructure. Key objectives include “getting to zero,” “staying at zero,” and expanding efforts over the next few years, with the goal of

implementing GHSA in 30 countries by 2020 .

Current concerns include H5N1 influenza, which has caused more than 170 fatalities in twelve countries. Effective early warning systems are essential for detecting emerging infectious diseases such as pandemic influenza or SARS. Much health intelligence originates locally from clinical reports, laboratory tests, and clinical observations. Surveillance systems remain predominantly passive and disease-specific, often lacking coordination and information-sharing, which hampers early detection of new or unknown diseases. When a disease becomes significant, it may gain a dedicated surveillance system, but overall, the approach tends to be reactive, highlighting the need for improved global coordination, reporting, and research in order to prevent and control infectious disease outbreaks (S. Morse, 2007).

5.2. Local Community Programs:

During the implementation of community programs, teams engaged district officials to motivate intervention uptake by key stakeholders, including health officers and market leaders, thereby gaining local government support. Routine follow-up in hotspots such as markets and mass-gathering venues is essential to enforce intervention adherence and address initial resistance to change. Communication platforms like WhatsApp groups effectively facilitate experience-sharing among health officers. Endorsement from local authorities—including district commissioners, executive directors, and medical officers—strengthens intervention implementation. Question-and-answer sessions during radio broadcasts not only provide health education but also allow the public to obtain context-specific clarifications from experts. Media stakeholders participate more readily in disease prevention activities when engaged in a timely manner. The public prefers

pictorial information accompanied by brief explanations over short messages alone. Observable successes include compliance with physical distancing, hand-washing, and face-mask wearing in certain settings. Radio programs play a key role in countering misinformation and equipping the public with accurate information. Challenges encompass non-compliance with physical distancing in less organized venues, such as informal markets, and incorrect mask-wearing. Consistent face-mask use remains a central preventive measure. Mass public-health campaigns are vital for informing and updating the public and for addressing rumors that could impede containment efforts. Coordination among regional and district stakeholders—such as health management teams, religious and political leaders, healthcare workers, media representatives, and NGOs—is critical to effective implementation and compliance with prevention strategies (Baltazar Mboya et al., 2020). A health and legal-outreach program was conducted in four communities through participatory planning involving NGOs, faith groups, and village authorities. The event featured HIV/AIDS screening and health education, particularly targeting youth. The need for improved health literacy is underscored by low information levels, economic challenges, and cultural superstitions that hinder health practices. Resistance to sharing sensitive information often derives from fear, superstition, ignorance, and misinformation (James Philip, 2017).

5.3. School-Based Health Education:

Schools present a strategic opportunity to increase awareness and improve both education and health status by reaching nearly all children and adolescents (Justine Lehman, 2009). Teaching basic epidemiological concepts at the secondary school level connects well with the curriculum for such subjects as biology, chemistry, health, and mathematics (E Basch & H Basch, 2022). Furthermore,

widespread knowledge of epidemiology facilitates clear communication and aids in the detection of misinformation. Spreading health awareness through schools is particularly relevant in light of the increasing prominence of emerging infectious diseases.

6. Challenges in Spreading Health Awareness:

Mobile populations and globalized trade render epidemic risks a credible threat and highlight the importance of spreading health awareness (Brauer et al., 2019). Yet communication of public-health messages during epidemics remains challenging. Epidemiological threats to global economic stability have led to substantial efforts aimed at developing early warning systems and prevention models at various governmental and public-health levels (S. Morse, 2007). Understanding how people respond to such risks—and how to disseminate accurate advice—is crucial. Epidemic responses have already restructured military logistics and contingency plans and altered transportation infrastructure for such networks as 4G/5G, satellites and power supplies (Velasco, 2018). More effective communication would mitigate these costly adjustments.

During the 2015 West African Ebola epidemic, the World Health Organization repeatedly declared the situation “an international emergency”. The outbreak exemplified challenges in communication, with misinformation proliferating: some locals perceived the epidemic as created by the governments of afflicted countries or the pharmaceutical industry, or attributed it to supernatural forces. Spreading awareness requires learning from these events and preventing such high-level risk perception. Ensuring compliance with quarantine, social distancing and other measures through methods similar to those deployed during the COVID-19 pandemic would

require even broader communication before the onset of an outbreak.

Current concerns about infectious diseases stresses the need for a global system of early warning and rapid response. Such concern is at a historic peak owing to the emergence of SARS, MERS, exotic arboviruses and pandemic influenza strains, as well as the global broadcast of Zika, chikungunya and Ebola. Gaps remain, however, which could be closed through enhanced political will, additional resources, improved coordination between international partners, increased clinician vigilance and additional research identifying new triggers for intervention. Early warning is essential for a disease such as H5N1 influenza or a virus yet to be recognized. Real-time access to additional data sources would permit a more credible forecast of the epidemic trajectory and logistical demands. Health intelligence comprises clinical reports, notifiable disease reporting, laboratory results, special-purpose registries and death records. Most preferably originate at the local level, where crucial evidence of unusual disease events first accumulates. Disease-surveillance systems remain predominantly passive, based upon case reporting or the aggregation of clinical observations. They continue to be largely disease-specific, which means that in the absence of formal information-sharing mechanisms the emergence of entirely new diseases will go unnoticed. The absence of alternative channels for information exchange limits the reactive capacity of the global illness-surveillance system.

6.1. Misinformation and Disinformation:

Misinformation and disinformation present critical challenges during public health emergencies. They are understood as inaccurate or false information that has been narrowly contested and finds no support from clear evidence or expert consensus (Taguchi et al., 2023). During past outbreaks such as SARS, Ebola, and COVID-19, misinformation disseminated

through social media platforms significantly hindered public health responses, causing harmful effects.

The rapid transmission of false information through platforms like Twitter, YouTube, Facebook, messaging applications, and websites has eroded public trust and diminished adherence to health interventions. Furthermore, fake news frequently targets organizations and individuals with the objective of causing harm. As social media has emerged as a dominant source of medical and scientific knowledge for the general public, the spread of misleading or erroneous content poses a substantial threat to health and safety, particularly during a pandemic (Royan et al., 2022).

The health-related content circulated on social media remains largely unregulated. In March 2020 alone, 550 million COVID-19-related tweets circulated without undergoing formal fact-checking. Many medical professionals consequently perceive a responsibility to combat misinformation both personally and within their organizations. For example, the American Academy of Pediatrics offers its members resources designed to communicate essential messages and support efforts to counter misleading information. Addressing misinformation where it rapidly propagates—in other words, on social media—is therefore a critical strategy.

6.2. Cultural Barriers:

The transmission of diseases during epidemics is inherently both a biological and cultural phenomenon. Individuals, families, and communities make decisions whose implications shape the trajectories of epidemics at various scales. While a global response to epidemics requires universal strategies, communication must also be tailored to the distinctive cultures of affected populations.

Past epidemics reveal the importance of accounting for socio-cultural factors when addressing infection and spread. For instance, cultural attitudes and levels of stigma toward their presumed carriers can complicate the response to a disease. In the 2014 Ebola outbreak, EVD survivors, individuals with Ebola-like symptoms, healthcare workers, and family members of known cases were all subjected to ostracism. Stigma extended beyond the ill to those perceived as carriers, despite the absence of evidence that family members or survivors who had completed quarantine were contagious. These perceptions increased the challenge of containing the disease and undermined local efforts to prevent recurrence (S. Whembolua et al., 2015). Moreover, community members who maintained the social and ritual roles of the deceased themselves became targets of discrimination and violence (Airhihenbuwa et al., 2020).

The persistence of these attitudes, in a context where contagion could only be determined by a lack of symptoms, contributed to the recovery period for heavily affected regions (S. Whembolua et al., 2015).

6.3. Resource Limitations:

Analysing the optimal allocation of a fixed portion of resources, B , through minimisation of R_0 confirms that priorities vary according to B 's size. When resources are abundant (B exceeding the sum of per-unit costs of control measures), maximum effort should be directed towards eradicating transmission via contact between susceptible and infected individuals. Conversely, limited budgets (B less than the cost threshold) necessitate prioritisation, potentially leading to the complete elimination of contact-based transmission and reallocation of remaining funds across other parameters, with relative costs guiding the distribution. Strategies centred on containment—case isolation,

contact tracing combined with quarantine, and sanitary funeral practices—have been widely implemented. The absence of dedicated treatments traditionally reflects the sporadic nature of outbreaks, which fails to justify sustained research and development; yet vaccine efficacy investigations represent an emergent focus. Thus, limited financial resources remain the primary barrier to efficacious eradication or control, underscoring the imperative to augment funding within epidemic response frameworks. Despite inherent simplifications, the illustrative model encapsulates critical insights on resource allocation essential for confronting infectious epidemics (Dimitri, 2015). Resource reallocation during outbreaks often compromises preparedness for disease emergence or reemergence. Epidemiological challenges jeopardise economic stability in regions dependent on travel and trade, prompting ongoing investigations into mobility and trade effects on disease dynamics. Recent pandemics (H1N1, Ebola, Zika) provide opportunities to examine the relationship between disease emergence and individual behaviour, while difficulties in controlling Ebola and H1N1 and managing SARS underscore the necessity for timely global reaction. Information source studies and social network analyses contribute to understanding human responses, highlighting that human behaviour and disease dynamics form a complex adaptive system. Continued research is essential to comprehend epidemic evolution and control, as the identity of future crisis-causing diseases remains uncertain (Brauer et al., 2019). Current apprehensions focus on H5N1 influenza, which has claimed over 170 lives across twelve countries. Early-warning capability is crucial for preventing the spread of infections such as SARS. Public health intelligence derives chiefly from clinical reports, disease notifications, laboratory findings, and death registries, most data stemming from local observations

where clinicians detect deviations from normative health patterns. Surveillance systems are predominantly passive and disease-specific, lacking effective mechanisms for sharing information. Dedicated monitoring infrastructures may be instituted following recognition of a disease's public-health significance, yet systemic fragmentation impairs proactive response (S. Morse, 2007).

7. The Impact of Health Awareness on Epidemic Control:

Global epidemics fueled by modern transportation require immediate control. Classic containment methods disrupt socioeconomic systems and are difficult to implement globally. Human behavior influences disease dynamics (Cesar Ventura da Silva et al., 2019). Awareness and self-initiated interventions can mitigate outbreaks (Bernardin et al., 2021). Awareness spreads via encounters and mass media and can originate locally or globally. Three awareness mechanisms—local, global, and contact—have been studied (Wu et al., 2012). In a scale-free network, contact and local awareness significantly raise the epidemic threshold, reducing the outbreak risk. Global awareness lowers total prevalence without affecting the threshold. Individual awareness is crucial for inhibiting epidemic transmission.

7.1. Preventive Measures and Behavioral Change:

Since the outbreak of COVID-19 in 2019, which the World Health Organization declared an international emergency, health behaviors have played a central role in controlling the spread of the pandemic. The subsequent epidemic has substantially affected various aspects of public life, economies, and psychological health. Information provided by mass media, along with misinformation disseminated over the Internet, also appear to have influenced health-related behaviors (Seong & Bae, 2022). Countries across the globe have

accordingly recommended preventive measures such as hand washing, social distancing, avoiding large gatherings, and using personal protective equipment. The World Health Organization emphasized the development of coordination mechanisms across transportation, travel, commerce, and finance sectors in order to prevent further transmission. Many states implemented closures of schools and workplaces, lockdowns, quarantine, along with strong recommendations for maintaining good hygiene practices. The number of new infections reportedly increased in zones where the laws of physical distancing and other health practices were not properly enforced. In view of the rapid global spread, the World Health Organization declared a Public Health Emergency of International Concern on 30th January 2020. Given the current importance of related health behaviors, investigated preventive actions using the health belief model and theory of planned behavior.

Recent findings echoed previous assessments of citizens' behavior during the COVID-19 crisis, with studies reporting varied rates of adherence to preventive recommendations such as hand hygiene, social distancing, mask-wearing, and avoiding crowded places. Japanese citizens, for example, reportedly showed 85% and 86% rates of compliance with social distancing and hand-washing practices, respectively. However, only 8% indicated that they always or very frequently wore masks in public. The general conclusion was that citizens broadly adhere to preventive measures at some level, though mask use consistently appeared as an exception. Utilization of K-Means cluster analysis allowed segmentation of the population across five behavioral types: The Meticulous Proactive Citizens, the Self-isolated Citizens, the Cautious Citizens, the Occasionally Cautious Citizens, and the Unconcerned Citizens. To enhance the efficacy of public intervention programs, communication and media strategies should

therefore be designed to respond directly to each group's attitudes and behaviors. Citizens do not passively receive messages from authorities but rather interpret and search for information themselves. Communication that simply conveys recommendations is unlikely to change perceptions and behavior in most individuals. As a result, group-specific approaches are necessary to maximize diffusion of preventive practices and to increase the level of impact ((Eirini Kamenidou et al., 2020).

7.2. Vaccination Uptake and Public Compliance:

Vaccination has proven highly effective in controlling the transmission and lethality of diseases (García-Toledano et al., 2021). Nevertheless, even in a globalized world, certain segments of the population remain unvaccinated, increasing the risk of contagion and ongoing pandemics. Many governments have resorted to coercive policies to protect public health, although implementation remains fragmented and inconsistent. International agencies must therefore maintain vigilance to address any emerging incidents.

Healthcare, education, and employment are recognized universal rights; consequently, international institutions advocate for continued attendance to these sectors, with appropriate safety protocols, to mitigate the effects of future outbreaks. Among the essential preparations to prevent the escalation of future pandemics are the timely acquisition of critical raw materials, widespread dissemination of health and safety information, and the free availability of vaccines.

The control and eradication of epidemics thus necessitate that governments promote widespread vaccination and ensure the free availability of vaccines. Society must recognize the importance of vaccination, supported by clear, truthful, and relevant information that extends beyond safety considerations, fostering informed citizens. Infectious diseases remain a major cause of

morbidity and mortality worldwide, particularly among the elderly and those with chronic conditions; therefore, effective and evidence-based communication is crucial to alleviate fears, address concerns, and encourage vaccine acceptance globally. Adequate promotion of vaccine awareness will contribute to the development of inclusive societies in which all individuals enjoy health benefits, thereby advancing compliance with SDG3, "Ensuring healthy living and promoting well-being for all at all ages," without discrimination of any kind.

7.3. Long-term Health Outcomes:

Long-term health consequences often arise from acute epidemics or result from chronic or endemic hazards that remain unmonitored. Epidemics typically drive efforts to combat diseases, yet they do not eliminate root causes such as poor hygiene or inadequate access to safe water, sanitation, and nutrition (Pesaresi, 2019).

A healthy population is the foundation for societal development, while unhealthy populations constitute a considerable obstacle. Most diseases have multifactorial origins; in cases such as HIV/AIDS, behavioral risk factors compounded by concurrent diseases exacerbate the difficulty of treatment and containment.

Health-related issues constitute a major long-term consequence of unfolding natural hazards and disasters. Understanding and documenting how health conditions evolve post-disasters is critical for preparedness, response, and recovery, as well as for developing prevention and recovery plans. Substandard hygiene, the absence of safe drinking water that leads to unhygienic conditions, and excessive moisture often trigger non-epidemic (even if serious) diseases, whereas properly functioning water, hygiene, and sanitation systems reduce overall morbidity (K. Mariner, 2016). Efficient monitoring of long-term health effects is vital to avert catastrophic outcomes (consider droughts and their impact on child and maternal mortality).

Undernourishment and behavioral risk factors among populations increasingly provoke additional deaths, especially in non-denser settings. The largest threats come from non-communicable conditions, which cause more deaths than acute infectious, parasitic, maternal, perinatal, and nutritional conditions combined. While the switch from communicable, maternal, neonatal, and nutritional diseases to chronic-injury-driven premature death potentially constitutes a development-purposed demographic transition, undernutrition and behavioral risk among the wealthier and less dense continue to create serious mortality cases, leading to substantial population losses, social tensions, and collective violence.

8. Future Directions in Health

Awareness Initiatives:

In addressing the ongoing threat of emerging infectious diseases, timely dissemination of information plays a pivotal role in understanding, preventing transmission and spread, and controlling potential outbreaks. Government agencies and health organizations have extensively promoted the adoption of hygiene habits and social awareness to combat infectious threats.

Looking forward, investigating how to make information more accessible, comprehensible, and effectively disseminated remains a critical area of inquiry. As pandemics continue at the frontiers of public health and public safety, the potential for economic loss increases correspondingly. Society remains ill-prepared for the possibility of diseases that transcend national borders as easily as populations; thus, novel approaches to accessing, computing, and sharing information warrant exploration.

Recent pandemics have sparked interest in the development of public health and safety technologies, including wearable devices capable of assessing human health to identify infectious conditions. Utilizing

inexpensive, publicly available technology to inform the public of risks, there is an opportunity to empower individuals to make informed decisions and improve collective well-being. Research in this domain aims to establish new standards for disseminating information related to infectious disease and public health threats. Epidemiological analysis highlights that pandemics, such as H1N1, present enormous economic, health, and social risks for society. Emerging and re-emerging diseases are intensifying by the year, with global responses predominately involving resource diversion after outbreaks, and existing models inadequately equipped to prevent or mitigate disease at a global scale (Brauer et al., 2019). Establishing effective social pathways for the continual and efficient transfer of information concerning infectious diseases, emerging infections, and pandemics, when employed alongside wearable health-tracking technology, facilitates early indications of outbreak events and aids in predicting disease resurgence.

The onset of illnesses, epidemics, and pandemics combined with prevailing socio-economic conditions has profoundly impacted nations worldwide, causing unprecedented economic effects and social disturbances associated with outbreaks of infectious diseases such as influenza, MERS-CoV, Ebola, and Zika (Velasco, 2018). Challenges related to technological infrastructure, human resources, communication, data sharing, and accessibility hinder efforts to improve future responses to ongoing and emerging global and local infectious disease threats; more infecting, more fatal, and more persistent pathogens and epidemics are projected to arrive continuously in the future. Various surveillance strategies have thus been developed to foster a well-preserved health system and enable early signal detection and outbreaks forecasts (S. Morse, 2007).

Epidemiology remains a primary information-generating card for data, knowledge, and insights, which help inform policy-making bodies, foster innovation, and support the development of new action plans and strategies. Due to the immense burdens that infectious diseases impose, epidemics continue to pose significant challenges to economic, health, social, and even political life at the national and global levels. The current COVID-19 pandemic constitutes a pertinent example, being one of the largest global diseases to date.

8.1. Innovative Communication Strategies:

A group of scientists from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR), a Crown Research Institute of the New Zealand government, developed an improved method for detecting SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater, enabling earlier identification of emerging coronavirus variants, which help in implementing targeted Covid-19 responses. Public health researchers have reviewed four key reasons for the maintenance of ergonomics in the time of pandemics to make good workplace design and practices in all essential industries where workers cannot work from home. They emphasized the need for ergonomics and specialised skin care applications to reduce the pandemic burden on the occupational environment, which help the worker to be more productive and in good health (Freimuth et al., 2000). A decade after the launch of special measures to enhance traffic safety in Tanzania, road casualties in developed regions have increased again, specifically killed or injured traffic crashes in the Dodoma region, which have increased by 17%. They observed that the increase was caused by the on-going construction activities and urbanisation projects that have attracted thousands of people from different parts of the country and the increase in the number of trucks and heavy vehicles delivering materials to carry out those projects.

8.2. Policy Recommendations:

The previous section explained the complexity of the epidemic spreading phenomenon and the importance of a concerted effort from societies to fight against it. Relevant authorities at all geographical scales have their own duties in educating citizens and engaging them in community-driven efforts to effectively contain the progress of epidemics. The key elements of an ideal campaign to increase awareness and educate the public in the event of an epidemic are proposed unanimously by the literature (Collins et al., 2020). The starting point is producing a clear and tailored message by experts specialized in different aspects of the issue. Specialists should then cooperate with communication firms and organizations that know well how to present and diffuse the information to different targets and communities. Finally, the governments support the project with economic and political resources, implement the communication strategy, and monitor the results and the compliance of the population to adapt the campaign to changing features of the crisis.

According to literature, the main goals pursued by the communication campaign should be the following:

- Raising awareness among citizens and in particular among people involved in the production and dissemination of goods and services.
- Leading behavioral changes that are requested to contain the disease.
- Maintaining shared knowledge on the epidemic dynamics and transmission rates to help private choices and an efficient allocation of resources.
- Designing a social media strategy that should not diffuse unchecked contents related to the epidemic but promotes only the information debated by those who publish the official data and guidelines.
- Implementing an educational program for schools to properly prepare the younger generations for fighting epidemics when they become of age.

By default, the communication campaigns are launched after the spread of an epidemic

becomes extremely solid. However, it should be stressed that a continuous effort aimed to educate people and motivate them to develop these behaviors should be in place over time. It is expected indeed that such education will eventually represent an effective barrier against future epidemic trajectories, reducing the risk of virulent outbreaks and limiting the duration of stagnations due to sanitary emergencies in the economic cycle.

8.3. Research and Development Needs:

Research and development on people-facing technology and services have become extremely important, to prompt and sustain behaviour changes at scale, and to deliver targeted interventions for at-risk groups. Moreover, applications that can support critical societal infrastructure during crisis-induced lockdowns should be considered research priorities.

During the Ebola epidemic, an agenda for interdisciplinary research was proposed on the response to and impact of the outbreak. Notable patterns in the spatiotemporal distribution of cases were identified through retrospective analysis of the first 597 cases. High levels of social resistance to Ebola response activities in Guinea were understood through an anthropological perspective. A series of recommendations guided efforts to respond effectively to future epidemics, including emerging respiratory pathogens of high consequence. An observational cohort study assembled in Guinea provided a multidisciplinary assessment of post-Ebola sequelae. The mental health status of Ebola survivors was characterized across West Africa. Several strategies for research priority setting in the context of epidemics were evaluated, including the ENHR strategy, the combined approach matrix, and the 3D combined approach matrix. Rapid participatory appraisal and rapid assessment procedures proved effective in assessing community health needs during outbreaks. Finally, the integral role of strategic planning in

population health and public health practice was emphasized for higher education.

Research on people-facing technologies should be conducted with the aim of increasing preparedness and resilience more broadly. To this end, hazardous events that are similar in their effects should be identified—along with patterns in the technologies used during each. The response to any one disaster will often be far from optimal: the best achievable outcome can only be approached by learning from the past. This process can be greatly facilitated by writing systematic reviews for each relevant community, city and country. (Calnan et al., 2017)

9. Conclusion:

The aspiration to eliminate epidemic diseases remains one of humanity's foremost goals. Over the past three decades, the frequency of epidemic outbreaks has risen significantly worldwide, accompanied by an extensive geographical spread. The propagation of these outbreaks is shaped by the complex interplay between transportation networks driven by globalization and the movement of traffic, which facilitates disease diffusion. Rapid and effective implementation of containment measures, coupled with the dissemination of accurate information, constitutes vital strategies to strengthen the global community's resilience against epidemic threats.

Bibliography List :

1. Brauer, F., Castillo-Chavez, C., & Feng, Z. (2019). *Challenges, Opportunities and Theoretical Epidemiology*.
2. Quwaider, M. & Jararweh, Y. (2016). *Multi-tier cloud infrastructure support for reliable global health awareness system*.
3. Zhou, C., Yuan, W., Wang, J., Xu, H., Jiang, Y., Wang, X., Han Wen, Q., & Zhang, P. (2020). *Detecting Suspected Epidemic Cases Using Trajectory Big Data*.
4. Pratiti, R. & Sud, P. (2020). *A Call for epidemic assessment questionnaire*.
5. A S Lesmanawati, D., Veenstra, P., Moa, A., C Adam, D., & Raina MacIntyre, C. (2020). *A rapid risk analysis tool to prioritise response to infectious disease outbreaks*.
6. E Basch, C. & H Basch, C. (2022). *Epidemiology, Secondary School Curricula, and Preparing the Next Generation for Global Citizenship*.
7. Cesar Ventura da Silva, P., Velásquez-Rojas, F., Connaughton, C., Vazquez, F., Moreno, Y., & A. Rodrigues, F. (2019). *Epidemic spreading with awareness and different timescales in multiplex networks*.
8. Cesar Ventura da Silva, P., Velasquez-Rojas, F., Connaughton, C., Vazquez, F., Moreno, Y., & A. Rodrigues, F. (2019). *Epidemic spreading with awareness and different time scales in multiplex networks*.
9. Kroy, K. (2022). *Superspreading and Heterogeneity in Epidemics*.
10. S. Morse, S. (2007). *Global Infectious Disease Surveillance And Health Intelligence*.
11. Wu, Q., Fu, X., Small, M., & Xu, X. J. (2012). *The impact of awareness on epidemic spreading in networks*.
12. Gligorov, I. & Donev, D. (2008). *Foundations of Health Education*.
13. Hermans, L., Van den Broucke, S., Gisle, L., Demarest, S., & Charafeddine, R. (2021). *Mental health, compliance with measures and health prospects during the COVID-19 epidemic: the role of health literacy*.
14. H. Tulchinsky, T. & A. Varavikova, E. (2000). *Communicable Diseases*.
15. L. Wieland, M., B. Asiedu, G., Lantz, K., Abbenyi, A., W. Njeru, J., Osman, A., Goodson, M., Ahmed, Y., E. Molina, L., A. Doubeni, C., & G. Sia, I. (2020). *Leveraging community engaged research partnerships for crisis and emergency risk communication to vulnerable populations in the COVID-19 pandemic*.
16. Asaad, C., Khaouja, I., Ghogho, M., & Baïna, K. (2022). *When Infodemic Meets Epidemic: a Systematic Literature Review*.
17. Dalvi, H., Bhat, A., Jain, H., Bala Singh, S., & Srivastava, S. (2022). *Roles of online social media platforms and artificial intelligence in diffusing the impact of COVID-19 as scientists find a cure*.
18. Sharma, R. & Mahbub Hossain, M. (2019). *Strengthening Public Health Partnerships in India: Envisioning the Role of Law Enforcement During Public Health Emergencies*.

19. Maehira, Y. & C. Spencer, R. (2019). *Harmonization of Biosafety and Biosecurity Standards for High-Containment Facilities in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: An Approach From the Perspective of Occupational Safety and Health.*
20. Ihekweazu, C., Ncube, F., Schoub, B., Blumberg, L., Ruggles, R., Salter, M., Madhi, S., & Kessel, A. (2015). *A North/South collaboration between two national public health institutes – A model for global health protection.*
21. Zhao, X. (2020). *Health communication campaigns: A brief introduction and call for dialogue.*
22. M.D. Macpherson, L. (2018). *Raising awareness of oral cancer from a public and health professional perspective.*
23. Baltazar Mboya, I., Samwel Ngocho, J., Mgongo, M., Philip Samu, L., Jackson Pyuza, J., Amour, C., Johnson Mahande, M., John Leyaro, B., Mukiza George, J., Nathaniel Philemon, R., Muro, F., Renju, J., & Emmanueli Msuya, S. (2020). *Community engagement in COVID-19 prevention: experiences from Kilimanjaro region, Northern Tanzania.*
24. James Philip, K. (2017). *Librarian Joint Mediated Outreach on Health and Legal Information Provision in Select Communities in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria..*
25. Justine Lehman, T. (2009). *Empowering Our Youth: Using school-based programs to increase knowledge and self-efficacy among youth to make positive behavior choices.*
26. Velasco, E. (2018). *Disease detection, epidemiology and outbreak response: the digital future of public health practice.*
27. Taguchi, K., Matsoso, P., Driece, R., da Silva Nunes, T., Soliman, A., & Tangcharoensathien, V. (2023). *Effective Infodemic Management: A Substantive Article of the Pandemic Accord.*
28. Royan, R., Rae Pendergrast, T., Del Rios, M., M Rotolo, S., Seth Trueger, N., Bloomgarden, E., Behrens, D., Jain, S., & M Arora, V. (2022). *Use of Twitter Amplifiers by Medical Professionals to Combat Misinformation During the COVID-19 Pandemic.*
29. S. Whembolua, G., Kambamba Kambamba, D., Conserve, D., & Ilunga Tshiswaka, D. (2015). *Socio-Cultural Factors Associated with Epidemics: The Case of 2014 Ebola Outbreak.*
30. Airhihenbuwa, C. O., Iwelunmor, J., Munodawafa, D., Ford, C. L., Oni, T., Agyemang, C., Mota, C., Ikuomola, O. B., Simbayi, L., Fallah, M. P., Qian, Z., Makinwa, B., Niang, C., & Okosun, I. (2020). *Culture Matters in Communicating the Global Response to COVID-19.*
31. S. Whembolua, G., Kambamba Kambamba, D., Conserve, D., & Ilunga Tshiswaka, D. (2015). *Socio-Cultural Factors Associated with Epidemics: The Case of 2014 Ebola Outbreak.*
32. Dimitri, N. (2015). *The Economics of Epidemic Diseases.*
33. Bernardin, A., J. Martínez, A., & Perez-Acle, T. (2021). *On the effectiveness of communication strategies as non-pharmaceutical interventions to tackle epidemics.*
34. Seong, M. & Bae, K. (2022). *A Study of Pandemic Prevention Health Behavior in Adults.*
35. (Eirini) Kamenidou, I., Stavrianea, A., & Liava, C. (2020). *Achieving a Covid-19 Free Country: Citizens Preventive Measures and Communication Pathways.*
36. García-Toledano, E., Palomares-Ruiz, A., Cebrián-Martínez, A., & López-Parra, E. (2021). *Health Education and Vaccination for the Construction of Inclusive Societies.*
37. Pesaresi, C. (2019). *Geographical Health Education for knowing and preventing risk factors.*
38. K. Mariner, W. (2016). *Beyond Lifestyle: Governing the Social Determinants of Health.*
39. Freimuth, V., W Linnan, H., & Potter, P. (2000). *Communicating the threat of emerging infections to the public.*
40. Collins, T., Akselrod, S., Bloomfield, A., Gamkrelidze, A., Jakab, Z., & Placella, E. (2020). *Rethinking the COVID-19 Pandemic: Back to Public Health.*
41. Calnan, M., W. Gadsby, E., Kader Kondé, M., Diallo, A., & S. Rossman, J. (2017). *The response to and impact of the Ebola epidemic: towards an agenda for interdisciplinary research.*
42. Taylor Dixon, S. (2018). *Could the Global Health Security Agenda Actually Protect Americans From Emerging Diseases?.*