Starvation as a Military Strategy: Conceptual Framing and Mechanism Analysis in the Context of the War on Gaza



Samia Benhedjaz

University of Batna1 , Algeria, samia.benhedjaz@univ-batna.dz

Received date: 29/03/2026 Accepted date: 17/05/2026 Publication date: 01/07/2026

Abstract:

This article introduces starvation as a tool of war and politics, not merely an unintended consequence of fighting. It addresses a central question: how does deprivation of food in the Gaza war turn into an integrated strategy that can be described legally and explained politically, and what are the limits of accountability under international humanitarian law? The study adopts a multi-level approach that combines a legal reading of the prohibition of starving civilians and the duty to facilitate relief access, with a political–institutional analysis of decision-making and international selectivity. It also traces evidence from UN and humanitarian reports on the siege, the closure of crossings, the disruption of supply chains, and attacks on infrastructure linked to food and water. The findings show that these measures do not operate separately; they reinforce one another to produce a clear pattern of "politicized famine," where material restrictions, administrative controls, and security discourse are used to normalize civilian deprivation. The article also concludes that the core problem is not the ambiguity of legal rules, but weak enforcement and the absence of deterrence. It therefore calls for independent monitoring, faster enforcement mechanisms, linking humanitarian response to hunger indicators, and accelerating judicial accountability, while proposing practical indicators to detect the pattern early and limit its effects.

Keywords: *Starvation; Accountability; International Inertia; Gaza; Politicized Famine.*

*** Corresponding author: Samia Benhedjaz, samia.benhedjaz@univ-batna.dz**

Introduction:

The systematic starvation of civilians in the Gaza Strip represents a paradigmatic shift in contemporary warfare, where instruments of human sustenance are weaponized into strategic assets—a transformation that tests the boundaries of international humanitarian law. A critical chasm yawns between the explicit prohibitions enshrined in international statutes, such as Article 54 of Additional Protocol I (1977) forbidding starvation as a method of warfare, and the brutal praxis on the ground. This dissonance forces a fundamental reckoning with the efficacy of the prevailing international order.

This study examines systematic starvation as a manifestation of structural deficiency within the international system, whereby grave violations of international law are transformed into practices susceptible to normalization. It analyzes the legal and political mechanisms facilitating starvation's transformation from a manifest crime into a "legitimized" military strategy within geopolitical bargaining. The study addresses the central research problem: "How does the process of circumventing and re-conceptualizing the legal prohibition of starvation into a 'legitimized' military strategy expose the structural failures and limits of accountability within the contemporary international system?"

Emerging from this critical perspective, the study introduces the "Politicized Famine Model." This model refutes traditional narratives confining famine to natural factors or resource scarcity, instead reorienting analysis toward the "political economy of manufactured scarcity." It illuminates how food production and distribution are transformed into a theater of conflict where specific actors deliberately create artificial scarcity. Within this model, famine is reconceptualized not as a byproduct of war but as a strategic objective and instrument of collective repression—serving both as an explanatory framework and a diagnostic tool for pinpointing responsibility.

To address this central question, the study proceeds in three interconnected parts. First, it undertakes a conceptual and historical reassessment, deconstructing famine's semantic evolution and its 20th-century weaponization—establishing the theoretical foundation for the "politicized famine" model. Second, it shifts to contemporary legal-political architecture, dissecting mechanisms through which legal prohibitions are circumvented and recast in modern warfare. Finally, it applies this integrated framework to Gaza, examining strategies of siege and scarcity, international complicity, and the consequent failure of accountability. The conclusion proposes a multi-track approach bridging legal norms and their enforcement, confronting structural failures of the current international order.

1. Reframing Famine: A Critical Conceptual Reassessment:

Contemporary academic discourse has undergone a paradigm shift, recasting famine not as an inevitable natural disaster but as a systemic pathology. Modern famines are understood as catastrophic failures of accountability or deliberate crimes within armed conflict. This approach liberates famine from simplistic reduction to food availability deficits, reframing it instead as a breakdown of moral and legal obligations to protect civilian populations.

Building on this foundation, mass starvation must be reconceptualized similarly. Unlike traditional hunger crises attributed to production failures, mass starvation emerges from deliberate practices designed to systematically undermine population survival—practices explicitly prohibited under international humanitarian and human rights law. To crystallize this theoretical understanding into an operative analytical tool, this study proposes the concept of "Starvation Crimes." Defined as systematic criminal acts sustained over extended periods to produce mass starvation, this concept posits that such calamities are not accidental failures but calculated decisions by political and military elites who engineer famine as a tool for political, military, or economic gains.

a. The Semantic Evolution of the Famine Concept

The academic conceptualization of famine has undergone a fundamental transformation since the 1990s, transcending the traditional model confined to "violent manifestations of severe hunger leading to significant loss of life". (Rangasami, 1985, p. 1) Modern approaches understand famine not as a discrete catastrophic event but as a compounded socio-economic process that intensifies pre-existing vulnerabilities. This critical shift has yielded three fundamental revisions:

- **Critique of Causality:** This revision deconstructs the deterministic relationship between a decline in food availability and the occurrence of famine. **Amartya Sen** demonstrated that the root cause often lies in the failure of **entitlements** and inequalities in distribution mechanisms, rather than in an absolute food shortage itself. (Amartya, 1981, p. 94)
- **Critique of the Demographic Criterion:** This critique challenges the notion that soaring mortality rates are a definitive and crucial criterion for famine, shifting the focus towards analyzing mechanisms of societal collapse. In this context, **Alexander de Waal** highlights the pivotal role of epidemic diseases—linked to collapsed immunity resulting from malnutrition and the breakdown of health systems—as the primary cause of death, rather than direct starvation. (De Waal, 2005, p. 5)
- **Broadening the Scope of Outcomes:** This shift moves beyond confining the consequences of famine to death from starvation, to monitoring a broader

spectrum of extended repercussions. These include plummeting fertility rates, the disintegration of socio-economic fabric, forced migration, and increased susceptibility to disease, thereby reflecting the comprehensive societal cost of famine.

These theoretical shifts have catalyzed a reconfiguration of famine as a structural mechanism of organized exclusion. Within this paradigm, famine is conceptualized as "a socioeconomic process whereby the conditions of the most vulnerable segments within society deteriorate to the extent that the community loses its capacity to ensure basic livelihood sustainability"—a transition from transient natural occurrence to systematic deprivation.

Underpinning this understanding, mass starvation constitutes the outcome—whether intended or unintended—of systematic policies restricting civilian access to essential resources. International humanitarian law criminalizes such acts through provisions like Article 54 of Additional Protocol I, prohibiting attacks on "objects indispensable to the survival of the civilian population." When systematic, such practices may constitute war crimes or crimes against humanity under the Rome Statute (Conley & deWaal, 2019, p. 702).

Ultimately, 21st-century famines are no longer attributed to isolated environmental factors but emerge from complex interplay between climatic conditions and human-political drivers: protracted armed conflicts, repressive governmental policies, and international inaction. This confirms the fundamentally structural and political nature of modern famine.

b. Reconceptualizing Famine: From Catastrophe to Organized Crime:

Historical classifications of famine include events that deviate from the classical "textbook" model characterized by comprehensive catastrophe (Howe, Fitzpatrick, & Maxwel, 2024, p. 22). The Ethiopian famine (1984–1985) represents the last major manifestation of this traditional paradigm. Since then, acute food emergencies have become increasingly localized, with anthropogenic factors—conflicts and policies—eclipsing natural drivers as primary causes. Even where environmental factors predominated, as in the 2005 Niger crisis, humanitarian interventions prevented escalation into classical famine. These dynamics led John Seaman (1993, p. 31) to conclude that large-scale famine in the contemporary world has become "almost negligible," suggesting that famine in its absolute historical sense is a phenomenon of the past (Ó Gráda, 2006, p. 57).

The 'agency-outcome' dualism fundamentally structures starvation: the 'outcome' is lethal nutritional deprivation, while the 'agency' resides in deliberate actions aimed at incapacitating a population's access to survival essentials. Mass starvation thus constitutes a *politicization of famine*, wherein sustenance is transmuted from a fundamental human right into a strategic weapon (Devereux, 2000, p. 6).

This extends beyond direct nutritional siege to what may be termed the 'disabling of the bio-social network'—the socio-economic activities ensuring life's continuity (agriculture, commerce, healthcare, community cohesion). Starvation is seldom isolated; it operates alongside direct violence, forced displacement, and infrastructure destruction. In contexts such as Gaza, food deprivation functions as a systematic military-political strategy, necessitating its legal reclassification from "incidental violation" to war crime under international law (Conley & deWaal, p. 700). This strategy can be deconstructed into two principal characteristics:

❖ **Functional Plurality of Starvation Tools**

Starvation extends beyond starving physical bodies to 'starving the context that sustains them.' Its functionally pluralistic tools operate through synergistic avenues:

- **Direct Starvation:** Obstruction of humanitarian food aid, dismantling of agriculture, contamination of water sources.
- **Structural Starvation:** Undermining systemic foundations through destruction of health infrastructure, water treatment plants, and housing—inducing sharp rises in morbidity and mortality through calculated indirect means.
- **Societal Starvation:** Targeting social fabric by disrupting caregiving roles and fostering brutal competition over scarce resources, eroding social solidarity and shared values—a process termed ***Social Uprooting*****.**

❖ **Coercive Integration with Other Repressive Tools**

Starvation operates as a core component within an integrated repressive package, synergistically combining with:

- **Direct Violence:** killing, arbitrary detention, and sexual violence.
- **Spatial Cleansing:** forced displacement, systematic demolition of homes.
- **Economic Annihilation**: Destruction of production and commerce infrastructure.

This integration creates a synergistic effect necessitating a methodological shift from one-dimensional analyses (focused solely on caloric deficiency) to a multi-level systemic analysis interlinking public health collapse, shelter destruction, social bond rupture, and rising mortality. Applied to Gaza, this framework yields several analytical insights:

- An expanded definition of famine facilitates the analysis of Israeli policies not merely through direct mortality metrics, but also through their ramifications on

public health (such as disease proliferation), forced displacement, and the disintegration of Palestinian social structures.

- Distinguishing between typologies of food crises (such as differentiating "immiseration" from "death") aids in assessing the deliberate escalation stages of the humanitarian crisis in Gaza.

- The behavioral dimension (as articulated in Walker's definition) illuminates how starvation is utilized as a mechanism to enforce submission by dismantling societal resilience.

Consequently, contemporary discourse is undergoing a pivotal shift—from framing food crises as natural disasters to decoding them as deliberate instruments of socio-economic warfare. This emergent lens reframes starvation not as fleeting misfortune but as a calculated, orchestrated act of coercion transcending simplistic narratives of resource scarcity.

2. The Weaponization of Starvation: Between Historical Continuity and Systemic Failure

The weaponization of starvation is not a tragic anomaly but a persistent feature of warfare. Throughout history, the deliberate manipulation of access to essential resources has been a recurrent strategy for subjugating civilian populations, with belligerents leveraging hunger as a calculated instrument of war. This practice was historically normalized within siege warfare, where depriving a besieged population of survival means was considered a legitimate, if brutal, tactic. While warfare technologies have evolved, the core intent of using starvation to inflict civilian suffering has remained a disturbing constant, merely adapting to modern contexts.

Yet within this bleak continuum, a nascent shift is emerging. Contemporary international efforts increasingly focus on anchoring accountability for starvation crimes within international law—a transformative step toward dismantling the long-standing architecture of impunity shielding those who weaponize human necessity (Starvation as a Weapon of War A Timeline, 2022).

Historical and contemporary crises, most recently Gaza, reveal a critical dissonance: establishing criminal liability for starvation crimes does not guarantee deterrence or secure justice for victims. Nevertheless, this legal attribution remains a pivotal act of defiance—a fundamental step in redefining these practices as accountable acts of war, thereby disrupting the cycle of impunity.

a. The Holodomor: Starvation as Collective Punishment

The Holodomor stands as a paradigmatic instance of systematic food weaponization—a deliberately engineered catastrophe where the Soviet state under Stalin deployed food blockade to punish the Ukrainian peasantry and crush

aspirations for national autonomy (Ó Gráda, p. 45). Contemporary estimates place the human cost at approximately 3.9 million victims, cementing its status as one of the most meticulously orchestrated mass starvation campaigns in modern history.

For decades, this crime was conspicuously absent from official historical narratives, with the Soviet regime systematically erasing evidence and suppressing counter-narratives. Contemporary scholarship, exemplified by Alex de Waal, has rigorously redefined it as a systematic crime deliberately orchestrated to subjugate a specific demographic—a reassessment that positions the tragedy within contemporary international humanitarian law, recasting it as a historical precursor to modern genocide.

Amartya Sen's foundational analysis revealed that the catastrophe's root cause lay not in absolute food shortage but in engineered deprivation of access through distorted market mechanisms and deliberate political decisions. Echoing this, Alex de Waal identifies the Ukrainian famine as a clear-cut human-made catastrophe: a "fusion of catastrophic socio-economic policies weaponized to suppress or punish a designated population group".(سيد، 2022)

b. The Bengal Famine of 1943-44

The Bengal Famine of 1943-44 fundamentally challenges conventional paradigms of famine causation. The catastrophe emerged from a perverse confluence of British colonial wartime policies—systematic confiscation of boats and rice stocks to thwart a potential Japanese invasion—and severe local market failures, creating a self-perpetuating dynamic of "panic economics" that intensified the crisis to a horrific scale.

A transformative moment in understanding this disaster came with Amartya Sen's *Poverty and Famines* (1981). Sen engineered a decisive paradigm shift, moving analytical focus from the simplistic Malthusian concept of Food Availability Decline (FAD) to a catastrophic collapse of "entitlements." He demonstrated that the calamity was not primarily an absolute food shortage but a systemic erosion of purchasing power among vast segments of the populace. Rampant speculation and panic buying—exacerbated by misguided government interventions—severed the economic entitlements of entire social groups, barring them from access to food that, in absolute terms, remained available. (Ó Gráda, p. 46)

Subsequent scholarship has revealed deeper complexities. While official narratives attributed the famine to merchant "hoarding," investigations by contemporary officials like L.G. Pinnell suggested that large-scale stockpiling was less a coordinated conspiracy than a collective response to "scarcity psychosis"—a panic-driven fear of shortage.

Further complicating the causal picture, the hypothesis of plant disease advanced by scholars like Tauger challenges the oversimplified dichotomy between "natural" and "man-made" famines, demanding a nuanced re-evaluation that acknowledges the interplay of ecological and human factors. (Ó Gráda, p. 48)

c. The Chinese Famine (1959-1961)

The Chinese Famine of 1959-1961 endures as one of the most extensively studied modern famines, yet its full historical and sociological contours remain elusive. Its legacy extends beyond immediate devastation to longitudinal health studies linking prenatal and early-life exposure with a significantly higher incidence of schizophrenia in adulthood. Critical dimensions—notably the final mortality toll, widely considered the highest in recorded famine history, and the primacy of causal factors (environmental shocks versus human policy)—remain sites of vigorous academic debate.

The famine was inextricably linked to the "Great Leap Forward" campaign. Its policies, echoing Stalin's first five-year plan, orchestrated a massive diversion of labor and capital from agriculture, fostered communication breakdowns between central and provincial authorities, and led to catastrophic mismanagement of already scarce food reserves. While political and administrative failures were central, the role of Food Availability Decline (FAD) was undeniably pivotal. National grain output plummeted by 28% in 1960 from its 1958 level, with the collapse in net food supplies even more acute in rural regions once mandatory state procurement quotas are factored in. In provinces such as Sichuan, cumulative production losses reached catastrophic thresholds, effectively hollowing out the agrarian economy.

Scholarly analysis, notably Wei Yang (2005), identifies the failure of central planning as the principal catalyst. Policies of excessive grain procurement and mass labor diversion from agriculture were directly responsible for approximately three-fifths of the production collapse that precipitated the catastrophe. (Ó Gráda, p. 52)

Having framed starvation as an organized crime and traced its historical continuity, the Israeli-imposed siege on Gaza presents a contemporary paradigm in which this theoretical framework is put to the test. This study now applies the conceptual lenses of "Starvation Crimes" and the "Politicized Famine Model" to dissect the concrete mechanisms and international context surrounding the crisis.

3. Gaza: A Paradigm of Systematic Starvation Crimes

Gaza stands as a definitive case study in the contemporary weaponization of starvation. The besieged territory illustrates how comprehensive siege mechanisms and restrictions on essential commodities—food, medicine, fuel—are systematically leveraged as instruments of military and political strategy. Since the

blockade intensified in 2007, Gaza has been transformed into an "open-air prison," its survival dependent on external aid for over 80% of basic sustenance, while vital supplies are routinely obstructed under security justifications that fail to meet the principles of proportionality and necessity mandated by international humanitarian law.

This reality lays bare a foundational schism within international law. While Article 8 of the Rome Statute (2002) explicitly criminalizes starvation as a method of warfare, the activation of this prohibition remains captive to the political will of influential states within the Security Council. This structural paralysis deepens the crisis of accountability and embeds a culture of impunity—transcending procedural failure to signal a systemic collapse of the rules-based international order. Within this fractured system, humanitarian tragedies such as Gaza are strategically reduced to "collateral damage" through selective, politically expedient legal interpretations. (International Law Commission, 2019, p. 142). These practices constitute a fundamental betrayal of international humanitarian law and a modern incarnation of the long-condemned practice of "collective punishment," eroding the foundational principles safeguarding civilian life in armed conflict. (ICRC . Article 33)

a. Israeli Mechanisms of Employing Starvation as a Military Strategy

Within the complex geopolitical landscape of the Palestinian issue, the Israeli-imposed siege on Gaza represents a systematic strategy transcending a temporary military tool. It constitutes a structural mechanism of "orchestrated starvation," weaponized to achieve calculated political objectives—a policy projected by UN reports to persist until 2025. For Palestinians, food sovereignty is an organic extension of their historical connection to the land, now targeted by a campaign where the denial of sustenance severs a people from heritage and identity . (Jallad & Arnulf Becker, 2024)

The military escalation of October 2023 marked a turning point, as Israel formally declared a comprehensive siege, cutting off food, water, electricity, thereby creating a humanitarian crisis—a policy documented in UN reports as a systematic strategy of starvation . (United Nations General Assembly, 12 June – 12 July 2024.)

Compelling evidence from a UN Human Rights Council report (A/HRC/56/26, para. 38) indicates that Israeli officials have weaponized starvation as a systematic tool of warfare and a crime against humanity. This strategy manifests as a deliberate policy of neglect toward civilian needs, rather than a mere failure to act. Instead of facilitating unimpeded humanitarian aid, Israel has institutionally ignored civilian welfare. This intentionality forms the core of the International Criminal Court case, where the Prosecutor seeks arrest warrants against Prime

Minister Netanyahu and Minister of Defense Gallant for the deliberate use of starvation as a method of annihilation—encompassing war crimes and crimes against humanity (para. 39).

Furthermore, a clear evidentiary record establishes that acts committed by Israel fall within the legal spectrum of genocide against the Palestinian people, a threshold crossed at least since October 2023 (A/HRC/56/26, para. 40). This underscores a harrowing continuity from the weaponization of hunger to the ultimate international crime. The report delineates how Israeli policies are executed with specific intent to bring about the physical destruction of the Palestinian people, in whole or in part—manifesting through acts that correspond to the legal hallmarks of genocide under the 1948 Genocide Convention: inflicting severe physical and psychological harm, and deliberately imposing life-threatening conditions through calculated deprivation.

The Independent International Commission of Inquiry documents a disturbing pattern of systematic attacks targeting densely populated areas, relief organizations, and humanitarian convoys. In a significant number of documented incidents, the Commission found no discernible lawful military objectives justifying these strikes. Even where such objectives were claimed, operations consistently disregarded the foundational principles of distinction, proportionality, and precaution. This systematic failure has resulted in grave violations of Palestinian fundamental rights—the rights to food, water, adequate housing, and health care—constituting a profound assault on international humanitarian and human rights law. By December 2023, Gaza's humanitarian landscape had catastrophically collapsed, plunging the territory into famine under a comprehensive siege. The UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs characterized the situation as a "deepening humanitarian catastrophe" (Reference 53), signaling one of the most severe human-made disasters of the modern era.

The crisis culminated during the military escalation of 2023-2024, a period that witnessed Israel's translation of "starvation as a military strategy" from a theoretical concept into an overt, operational reality. This strategic shift was implemented through three primary mechanisms:

- **The systematic dismantling of Gaza's food production ecosystem.** Military operations have deliberately targeted the very foundations of nutritional resilience—farmlands, grain silos, food processing plants, and even water and sanitation networks. This strategic eradication has annihilated the territory's capacity for self-sustenance, transforming a functioning system into one of absolute dependency. (OCHA, 2024, p. 5)
- **The catastrophic collapse of civilian purchasing power.** The near-total destruction of the economic fabric, compounded by the suspension of salary

payments, has rendered available goods virtually inaccessible to the vast majority. This has created a cruel paradox where market availability does not translate to human sustenance, effectively pricing survival out of reach. (World Bank, 2023, p. 12)

- **The institutionalized obstruction of humanitarian aid.** The flow of critical aid convoys through border crossings, particularly Kerem Shalom, has been systematically impeded. This is achieved through a framework of bureaucratic pretexts and protracted security procedures, a pattern extensively documented by the UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA). This strategy effectively weaponizes administrative processes to throttle the lifeline of external support. (OCHA, p. 8)

Unlike historical famines, often attributed to a complex interplay of conflict, environmental disaster, and institutional failure, the case of Gaza presents a distinct and chilling paradigm. Here, the evidence compellingly suggests that the "catastrophic food insecurity" is not a byproduct of chaos, but the direct outcome of a deliberate and systematic policy of siege. This reality transforms the situation from a tragic consequence of war into what international law explicitly defines as the "war crime of starvation" (ICC Rome Statute, 1998, Art. 8(2)(b)(xxv)).

This fundamental shift—from a consequence to a calculated instrument of policy—poses an existential challenge to the international order. It confronts the global system with a profound test of its very credibility and its foundational commitment to the protection of human dignity.

b. International Complicity: From Inaction to the Engineering of Systemic Failure

Amid the definitive classification by the Integrated Food Security Phase Classification (IPC) that places the Gaza Strip within the highest and most severe threshold of "Catastrophe" (Phase 5)—a designation signaling the onset of outright famine—the international response has conspicuously defaulted to a "Humanitarian Disaster Management" paradigm. This framework, however, is fundamentally oriented towards mitigating the symptomatic consequences of the crisis, while deliberately circumventing its foundational political and structural drivers (Howe, Fitzpatrick, & Maxwel, p. 21)

This strategic pivot by key international actors transcends mere negligence or procedural failure. From the perspective of international humanitarian law analysis, it constitutes a form of "Institutional Normalization of War Crimes." Through this process, the systematic weaponization of starvation is not merely met with implicit acceptance but is effectively legitimized as a permissible tool of warfare and policy. This represents a flagrant violation of one of the most established principles of customary and treaty-based international law, as unequivocally enshrined in Article 54 of the Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Conventions (ICRC, 1977, Art. 54).

Despite the thoroughly documented systematic use of starvation as a tool of war, the international community's persistent failure to enforce effective accountability measures against Israeli policies remains a central and corrosive dilemma. This failure perpetuates a culture of impunity and fundamentally undermines the very foundations of the entire international legal system (Human Rights, 2023). Gaza is tragically reproducing historical patterns of siege—from Ukraine to Bengal—yet within a paradoxical modern context: an international order equipped, on paper, with advanced monitoring and accountability tools, but paralyzed in its capacity to activate them in practice (Rizzi, 2023). This profound chasm between rhetorical condemnation and practical inertia does more than merely prolong human suffering; it actively erodes the legitimacy of the international system itself. Consequently, we are confronted with a pivotal moment—an imperative to fundamentally re-evaluate the efficacy of existing mechanisms in confronting the most fundamental violation of humanitarian law: the weaponization of human starvation for political subjugation.

c. Between Rhetorical Condemnation and Effective Accountability: Forging a New Legal and Political Framework

A profound disconnect defines the international response to the intensifying humanitarian catastrophe in Gaza. Despite an overwhelming body of evidence meticulously documented by authoritative international bodies—including the World Health Organization, the World Food Programme, and the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights—that charts the rapid, systematic unraveling of human security, the translation of this evidence into decisive action remains critically absent (Asmar, 2025, p. 1). The international community's reaction is characterized by a paralysis that stifles both robust political condemnation and timely judicial recourse.

International judicial mechanisms, most notably the International Criminal Court, navigate a labyrinth of geopolitical and legal impediments that stifle investigative progress. These challenges range from the practical severe constraints of evidence gathering in active conflict zones to the profound inertia imposed by political pressures from major powers. Concurrently, the United Nations system, and the Security Council in particular, is often rendered ineffective, trapped in a state of political paralysis. The use of the veto power and deep-seated political polarization routinely transform these platforms from engines of action into arenas for performative rhetoric, thereby legitimizing inaction through the very procedures designed to prevent it.

This systematic inertia does not merely raise questions about an "implementation gap" in international law; it compels a more profound interrogation of a pervasive **"architecture of impunity,"** meticulously sustained by powerful geopolitical actors and their allies. This reality exposes an urgent and critical need to forge and empower **innovative accountability mechanisms** that can

bypass the paralysis of traditional models. Such pioneering frameworks could include, but are not limited to:

- **Universal Jurisdiction:** "Harnessing the principle **of Universal Jurisdiction** to empower national courts in third-party states. This approach is predicated on the foundational belief that the most egregious international crimes are an affront to humanity itself, creating a moral and legal imperative for all nations to act as custodians of justice, transcending traditional territorial constraints."
- **Independent International Investigations:** "Championing the establishment and fortification of **Independent International Investigations**, such as UN Commissions of Inquiry. This entails endowing them with robust mandates for unimpeded evidence collection and, crucially, channeling their definitive findings to the UN General Assembly. This pathway seeks to activate the Assembly's powers to recommend collective action, thereby circumventing the political paralysis that often stymies the Security Council."
- **Grassroots Accountability Mechanisms:** "Legitimizing and amplifying **Grassroots Accountability Mechanisms**, including the globally-led Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions (BDS) movement. These initiatives represent a form of transnational, civil society-driven leverage. They operate on the principle of ethical and economic persuasion, aiming to hold perpetrators accountable through the collective power of global public conscience and market disengagement."

d. From Rhetoric to Action: The Imperative of a Multi-Track Approach

The systematic weaponization of starvation in Gaza represents a stark, existential test for the conscience of the international community and for the very principles underpinning the post-World War II human rights framework. Effectively countering this practice is beyond the scope of temporary fixes or hesitant condemnation; it demands the adoption of a resolute, multi-track approach that integrates:

- **Fortifying Independent Oversight**: Establishing robust, impartial international monitoring mechanisms to guarantee the unfettered flow of humanitarian aid, thereby de-politicizing survival and reclaiming the neutrality of relief.
- **Championing Legal Accountability**: Actively funding and supporting independent legal investigations across all jurisdictions—international, national (leveraging the principle of universal jurisdiction), and UN-led—to dismantle the architecture of impunity and ensure perpetrators are held to account.
- **Wielding Sustained Political Leverage**: Building and mobilizing progressive international coalitions to apply unrelenting diplomatic and political

pressure. This is crucial to halt policies that exacerbate human suffering and to shatter the prevailing cycles of silence and normalization.

Only by transmuting the "grammar of condemnation" into a concrete "lexicon of accountability" can the international community hope to shield civilians from these egregious violations that continue to defy the norms of the 21st century. This shift is fundamental to redefining the very meaning of "international obligation," transforming it from a hollow slogan into a non-negotiable, actionable, and binding moral and legal duty.

Conclusion:

The study demonstrates that the legal and political reconceptualization of starvation—from catastrophic phenomenon to organized war crime—operates through three key mechanisms: conceptual reframing toward "indirect starvation," activating cumulative legal mechanisms to broaden distinction and proportionality principles, and exposing the structural contradiction of an international system that theoretically criminalizes starvation while practically enabling its persistence.

The Gaza context starkly manifests these mechanisms, where starvation is weaponized through a sophisticated architecture of siege and collective punishment. The policy of engineered scarcity has evolved into an instrument for imposing a new demographic and political reality, exposing profound fissures within the international legal order and demanding a radical reassessment of accountability frameworks often circumvented by complexities in establishing criminal intent. This systematic impunity has been normalized by calculated international inertia. The political will of influential states within the UN Security Council, exercised through veto power, has shielded perpetrators, transforming starvation from an exceptional crime into a regularized tactical component of warfare and eroding the foundations of international humanitarian law.

A core finding is that narrow interpretations of 'military necessity' and 'proportionality' systematically exclude the cumulative impacts of siege warfare on life-sustaining resources. This interpretative gap severs legal text from its application, allowing Gaza's humanitarian catastrophe to be legally recast as mere 'collateral damage' through politicized legal reasoning. Addressing this requires more than condemnations; it necessitates developing comprehensive interpretive frameworks that enable accountability for parties using starvation as a military strategy, alongside enhancing independent accountability mechanisms that transcend political bottlenecks within the UN system.

Only by adopting this comprehensive approach can the theoretical prohibition of starvation be transformed into practical reality, ensuring civilian protection becomes the ultimate objective rather than a mere slogan. This necessitates redefining international responsibility in contemporary conflicts,

where famines are no longer natural disasters but have become an active geopolitical tool in the twenty-first century.

Bibliography:

- سيد، إ . (2022) 26 فبراير ."هولودومور .. "عندما قتل ستالين ملايين الأوكرانيين جوعًا، نون بوست . Consulté le 09 22, 2025, sur http://bit.ly/48YCm0C.
- Amartya, S. (1981). *Poverty and Famines: An Essay on Entitlement and Deprivation.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Asmar, I. (2025, September). Gaza: Between global silence and the double standards of justice. *Dialogues in Health, 7*.
- Conley, B., & deWaal, A. (2019). The Purposes of StarvationHistorical and Contemporary Uses. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*(17).
- De Waal, A. (2005). *Famine That Kills: Darfur, Sudan. Revised Edition.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Devereux, S. (s.d.). Famine in The Twentieth Century. *(105)*. IDS Working Paper.
- Howe, P., Fitzpatrick, M., & Maxwel, D. (2024, October). Five levels of famine prevention: towards a framework for the twenty-first century and beyond. *Wiley*.
- Human Rights. (2023, december 18). *Israel: Starvation used as weapon of war in Gaza evidence indicates civilians deliberately denied access to food, water.* Récupéré sur https://bit.ly/3YPAAsD.
- ICRC . Article 33. (s.d.). Geneva Convention IV Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War.
- International Law Commission. (2019). *Report of the International Law Commission on the work of its seventy-first session.* Récupéré sur https://bit.ly/45ygxCN.
- Jallad, Z., & Arnulf Becker, L. (2024). *"Beyond genocide".* Récupéré sur https://bit.ly/492Ldi0.
- Ó Gráda, C. (2006). Making famine history. *(No. WP06/10)*. UCD Centre for Economic Research Working Paper Series.
- OCHA. (2024). *Gaza Strip: Humanitarian Impact Situation Report.* United Nations.
- Rangasami, A. (1985, October 12). Faiture of Exchange Entitlement's Theory of Famine A Response. *Economic and Poltical Werkly , XX*(41).
- Rizzi, A. (2023, November 29). *The West's perceived double standards in Gaza widen the gap with the Global South.* Récupéré sur https://bit.ly/3MXvxDY.
- Starvation as a Weapon of War A Timeline. (2022). Récupéré sur https://bit.ly/4jDbNSx.
- United Nations General Assembly. (12 June – 12 July 2024.). *Report of the Independent International Commission of Inquiry on the Occupied Palestinian*

Territory, including East Jerusalem, and Israel. Human Rights Council, Fifty-sixth Session.

- World Bank. (2023). *Economic Monitoring Report to the Ad Hoc Liaison Committee.* The World Bank Group.