

المعجم الذهني والانجاز اللغوي

The Mental Lexicon and Linguistic Achievement

¹ Tsouri Bentsouri Abdelbaki

MCB University of Abdelhamid Ibn Badis Mostaghanem

Abdelbaki.tsouribentsouri@univ-mosta.dz

² Larabi Fatima Zahra

MCB University of Ali Lounici Blida 2

f.larabi@univ-blida2.dz

Received: 01/ 01./ 2025	Accepted 28./01./2025	Published 15 /04/2025
Abstract		الملخص
This article examines the complex relationship between the mental lexicon and language processing. It argues that they are not separate systems, but rather intertwined and in constant, reciprocal interaction. The lexicon is defined as a dynamic, multifaceted system that stores our knowledge of words, and is constantly changing as we learn new words and refine existing knowledge. It is organized into complex networks based on semantic, phonological, morphological, and syntactic relationships. The article also delves into how linguistic units are organized within the mental lexicon. it is based on semantic relationships such as		يتناول هذا المقال العلاقة المعقدة بين المعجم الذهني (قاموسنا الداخلي) ومعالجة اللغة (كيف نستخدم اللغة). ويجادل بأنها ليست أنظمة منفصلة، بل هي متشابكة وفي تفاعل مستمر ومتبادل. ويعرف المعجم بأنه نظام ديناميكي ومتعدد الأوجه يخزن معرفتنا بالكلمات، وهو في تغير مستمر مع تعلم كلمات جديدة وتحسين المعرفة الحالية. كما أنه منظم في شبكات معقدة بناءً على العلاقات الدلالية والصوتية والصرفية والنحوية..

hierarchical categories and associative networks, as well as phonological relationships and phonological rules. Morphological organization and syntactic organization also. And it concludes that this interaction is crucial to understanding typical and atypical language development, second language acquisition, and the development of computational models of language. Further research using advanced neuroimaging and computational modeling is essential to unlocking the secrets of this complex human ability.	يتعمق المقال أيضًا في كيفية تنظيم الوحدات اللغوية داخل المعجم العقلي. يعتمد هذا التنظيم على العلاقات الدلالية مثل الفئات الهرمية والشبكات الترابطية، بالإضافة إلى العلاقات الصوتية، والقواعد الصوتية. كما تتم مناقشة التنظيم الصرفي والتنظيم النحوي. يختتم المقال بأن فهم هذا التفاعل أمر بالغ الأهمية لفهم التطور اللغوي النموذجي وغير النموذجي، واكتساب اللغة الثانية، وتطوير نماذج حاسوبية للغة. إن إجراء المزيد من البحوث باستخدام التصوير العصبي المتقدم والنمذجة الحاسوبية أمر ضروري لكشف أسرار هذه القدرة البشرية المعقدة.
Keywords : Mental Lexicon ,Language Treatment, Understanding, Production, Language Levels.	كلمات مفتاحية: المعجم الذهني، معالجة اللغة، الفهم، الإنتاج، المستويات اللغوية.

1. INTRODUCTION

The human capacity for language, a feat of cognitive engineering unparalleled in the natural world, is predicated on the seamless integration of two fundamental systems; the mental lexicon and language processing. The former, often likened to an internal dictionary is not merely a static compendium of words, but rather, a vibrant, intricately interwoven network where phonological, orthographic, semantic, syntactic, and morphological representations reside in dynamic relationships.

This mental lexicon, far from being a fixed inventory, is in a perpetual state of flux, constantly shaped by our linguistic experiences, expanding with new encounters, and refining existing knowledge.

This complex repository forms the substrate for the latter – language processing – the real-time mechanisms that enable us to transform thoughts into words and words into meaning. The processes of comprehension and production, in turn, exert a powerful influence on the lexicon, sculpting its structure and influencing its accessibility.

This reciprocal dynamic, where the architecture of our mental vocabulary directly shapes, and is reshaped by the way we use language, suggests a deep and fundamental interdependence. Indeed, it is not enough to understand the individual components; rather, the essence of our linguistic capability may be found in this intricate and ongoing dance. Therefore, given the continuous and reciprocal interaction between the mental lexicon and the dynamic mechanisms of language processing, a question remains: to what extent does this intricate interplay, with its bidirectional influence, reveal the underlying organization of human linguistic knowledge, and how might disruptions to this delicate equilibrium manifest in variations of linguistic competence, both typical and atypical?

2. Defining the Mental Lexicon: A Multifaceted Perspective

The mental lexicon is a core component of human linguistic competence, representing the cognitive system responsible for storing and

organizing our knowledge of words. However, it is far more than a simple dictionary residing in our brains; it's a highly dynamic, structured, and multifaceted system that interfaces with various aspects of language processing. Here's a detailed definition encompassing its key features:

2.1 Core Function: Storage of Linguistic Knowledge:

At its most fundamental level, the mental lexicon functions as a repository for our internalized knowledge of words. This knowledge is not merely limited to definitions; it encompasses a rich array of information associated with each lexical entry, including its phonological (sound), orthographic (spelling), semantic (meaning), syntactic (grammatical category), and morphological (word structure) properties (Aitchison, 2012; Harley, 2017). This multifaceted storage mechanism enables us to access and utilize words in diverse contexts and modalities (spoken, written, etc.).

2.2 Dynamic and Evolving System:

The mental lexicon is not static; it is a constantly evolving system that changes and adapts throughout our lives. Our encounters with language, whether through reading, listening, or speaking, continually shape and reshape the lexical network. New words are acquired, existing meanings are refined, and relationships between words are strengthened or weakened, leading to ongoing plasticity in its organization. This dynamic aspect ensures that our lexical knowledge aligns with our linguistic experiences (Ellis, 2002).

2.3. Structured and Organized Network:

Lexical entries within the mental lexicon are not stored in a haphazard way; rather, they are organized in a complex, interconnected network. This organization is based on multiple principles, including semantic relationships (e.g., category membership, associations), phonological similarity (e.g., words that sound alike), morphological relationships (e.g., words with common roots or affixes), and syntactic

categories (e.g., nouns, verbs, adjectives). This structured network allows for efficient retrieval and processing of lexical information, enabling quick and accurate access to the words we need (Collins & Loftus, 1975; Luce & Pisoni, 1998).

2.4. Interface with Language Processing:

The mental lexicon serves as a crucial interface with various language processing systems. During comprehension, the mental lexicon provides the necessary information for accessing word meanings and integrating words into syntactic structures. During production, the mental lexicon facilitates the selection of appropriate words for expressing our thoughts and intentions. It also interacts with lower-level perceptual processes (auditory and visual) and higher-level cognitive systems (working memory, executive control) during language processing (Levelt, 1989).

2.5. Multifaceted Representation of Words:

Each lexical entry is a multifaceted construct encompassing diverse forms of linguistic information. This includes:

- **Phonological Representation:** How the word sounds (phonemes, stress patterns).
- **Orthographic Representation:** How the word is spelled (graphemes, letter patterns).
- **Semantic Representation:** The meaning of the word, including its concepts, relations to other words, and contextual uses.
- **Syntactic Representation:** The grammatical category of the word (e.g., noun, verb, adjective) and its combinatorial potential.
- **Morphological Representation:** The internal structure of the word, including its stems, roots, prefixes, and suffixes.

2.6. Underlying Neural Substrat :

The mental lexicon is not a physical structure but rather a cognitive system with underlying neural correlates. Neuroimaging studies have identified specific brain regions involved in different aspects of lexical processing, such as the temporal lobes for phonological processing, the frontal and parietal lobes for semantic and syntactic processing, and occipito-temporal regions for orthographic processing (Hagoort, 2005; Indefrey & Levelt, 2004). The distributed nature of the mental lexicon indicates that it is not localized to a single brain area, but that rather, multiple brain areas work together to facilitate lexical processing.

3. Multifaceted Representation:

The concept of "multifaceted representation" is fundamental to understanding the architecture of the mental lexicon. It posits that each lexical entry is not a single, monolithic unit but rather a complex construct that integrates various types of linguistic information. This integration allows for a rich, flexible, and context-sensitive processing of words, enabling us to effectively navigate the dynamic landscape of language. The multifaceted nature of lexical representation addresses several key aspects of linguistic processing:

3.1 .Integration of Information:

Lexical entries seamlessly blend information from various linguistic domains, including phonology, orthography, semantics, syntax, and morphology. This integration is not a mere aggregation of isolated features, but rather a process where different types of information are interwoven and influence each other. For example, the semantic representation of a word influences how its phonological and orthographic forms are accessed and processed (Plaut et al., 1996). This seamless integration allows the lexicon to function efficiently and effectively.

3.2. Flexibility and Adaptability:

The multifaceted nature of lexical representation allows for considerable flexibility and adaptability in language processing. The same lexical entry can be accessed and used in diverse contexts and modalities without the need for separate representations. For instance, a word can be understood whether it is heard or read, and its meaning can shift subtly depending on the context in which it appears. This adaptability is crucial for handling the vagaries and nuances of natural language, and for dealing with the complexity of our everyday interactions (Barsalou, 1987; Elman, 1990).

3.3. Context-Sensitivity:

The multifaceted nature of lexical representation ensures that words are processed not in isolation but rather within their specific linguistic and contextual environments. This involves the dynamic interplay of various sources of information, where semantic, syntactic, and pragmatic cues interact to constrain the interpretation of a word. For example, the meaning of a polysemous word can be disambiguated by the context, demonstrating the crucial role of context in lexical processing (Swinney, 1979). This interplay is what allows us to rapidly and accurately resolve ambiguities during comprehension.

3.4. Efficient Processing:

The way the different layers of information within a lexical entry are interconnected and influence each other allows for highly efficient processing during comprehension and production. The interplay between phonological, orthographic, and semantic representations enables rapid and accurate retrieval, and production of words (Forster, 1979). This interconnected architecture makes lexical access quicker and more efficient as activation spreads through this network of information.

3.5. Neural Correlates:

The multifaceted representation of lexical entries is also supported by neurobiological evidence which indicates that different regions of the brain are involved in the processing of different types of lexical information (e.g., phonological information in temporal regions, semantic information in the frontal and parietal regions) (Hagoort, 2005; Indefrey & Levelt, 2004). Thus, the distributed nature of lexical processing is also reflected in the underlying neural substrate.

4.Organization of Linguistic Units in the Mental Lexicon

The mental lexicon isn't just a haphazard collection of words; rather, it's a highly structured system where linguistic units are organized in complex ways to facilitate efficient access and processing. This organization is not based on a single principle but is rather a multidimensional process involving various types of relationships between units. Here's a look at some key organizational principles:

4.1.Semantic Organization within the Mental Lexicon

Semantic organization is a fundamental principle governing the structure of the mental lexicon, referring to how words are grouped and interconnected based on their meanings. It's not simply about associating words with similar definitions; rather, it encompasses a complex network of relationships that enable us to navigate the semantic landscape of language with remarkable speed and precision. Here's a more detailed look at key aspects of semantic organization:

4.1.1.Taxonomic Hiérarchies:

A. Description: Words are organized into hierarchical categories and subcategories, forming a taxonomic structure. This structure is typically characterized by levels of abstraction, with more general categories at the top and more specific categories at the bottom. Examples include

superordinate categories (e.g., furniture), basic-level categories (e.g., chair), and subordinate categories (e.g., armchair).

B. Function: This organization facilitates semantic generalization and inference. If we know that a poodle is a dog, and a dog is an animal, we can make inferences about poodles being animals even if we haven't directly encountered that connection. The hierarchical structure also aids in efficient memory search, guiding us to the right area of the mental lexicon when we're retrieving a word (Collins & Quillian, 1969; Rosch, 1978).

4.1.2. Associative Networks:

A. Description: Words are linked to each other through associative connections, reflecting how they are typically used together in language or how they are conceptually related. These associations are based on co-occurrence patterns, conceptual relationships (e.g., antonyms, synonyms), or shared contexts. For instance, salt might be associated with pepper, sea, or food.

B. Function: These associative networks facilitate lexical access through spreading activation. When one word is activated, activation spreads to related words, facilitating their subsequent processing. Semantic priming experiments have provided strong evidence for this type of organization, demonstrating how the presentation of a prime word (e.g., nurse) can facilitate the processing of a related target word (e.g., doctor) (Meyer & Schvaneveldt, 1971; Neely, 1977).

4.2. Phonological Organization within the Mental Lexicon

Phonological organization within the mental lexicon refers to the way in which words are structured and interconnected based on their sound properties. This organization goes beyond simply storing a sequence of phonemes; it encompasses a complex interplay of acoustic features, stress patterns, and relationships between words that share similar sounds. This phonological structuring is crucial for spoken word recognition, language production, and even influences our understanding of written words.

4.2.1. Phonological Neighborhoods :

A. Description: Words are organized into "neighborhoods" based on their similarity in sound. A word's phonological neighborhood consists of all other words that differ from it by only a single phoneme (e.g., *cat*, *hat*, *cap*, *cab*). The size of a word's neighborhood can vary substantially (e.g., *the* has a very small neighborhood), and this affects processing.

A phonological neighborhood for a target word consists of all words that can be formed by adding, deleting, or substituting a single phoneme within that target word. This definition hinges on the concept of "minimal difference" at the phoneme level. For example, the neighborhood of "cat" would include words like "hat," "cap," "bat," "can," and "cut," each differing from "cat" by one phoneme. It's important to note that this definition is not absolute; some researchers might use stricter criteria, focusing on specific word positions or vowel types (Luce & Pisoni, 1998; Vitevitch, 2008).

B. Function: Phonological neighborhoods play a critical role in lexical access and competition during spoken word recognition. When a word is heard, its corresponding phonological representation is activated, which in turn activates words in its neighborhood. This competition, known as "neighborhood activation," affects both processing speed and accuracy. Words with larger neighborhoods often take longer to process due to the increased competition (Luce & Pisoni, 1998; Vitevitch, 2008). This concept is a core part of the Neighborhood Activation Model (NAM).

Phonological neighborhoods represent a crucial aspect of how words are organized and processed within the mental lexicon, specifically in spoken language. They are not merely a listing of similar-sounding words, but rather a dynamic framework influencing lexical access, competition, and even the acquisition of new words. Understanding the complexities of phonological neighborhoods is fundamental to comprehending the challenges and efficiency of spoken word recognition.

C. Neighborhood Density and Word Frequency: The size of a word's phonological neighborhood, often referred to as "neighborhood density," is a key characteristic that influences its processing. High-density neighborhoods indicate many similar-sounding words, while low-density neighborhoods suggest a relative lack of phonological neighbors. Critically, the effect of neighborhood density interacts with the word's frequency, and frequently used words tend to be accessed quickly despite having larger neighborhoods. Additionally, the neighborhood effects may differ at different stages of processing (Gaskell & Marslen-Wilson, 1997).

D. Neighborhood Effects and Processing:

The concept of 'Neighborhood Effects and Processing' in psycholinguistics refers to how the presence of phonologically or orthographically similar words (a word's 'neighborhood') impacts the way a target word is processed by the brain. Specifically, it examines how factors like neighborhood density (the number of similar-sounding or -looking words) and the characteristics of those neighboring words influence the speed, accuracy, and efficiency of word recognition, comprehension, and lexical access. High neighborhood density can sometimes lead to inhibitory effects, slowing down processing due to increased competition among similar-sounding or -looking words. Conversely, under certain conditions, it may also facilitate processing. The study of neighborhood effects is crucial for understanding the underlying mechanisms of language processing, including how words are stored and accessed in memory, and is particularly relevant in research on language acquisition, disorders, and cognitive neuroscience (Luce & Pisoni, 1998).

D.1. Slower Recognition for High-Density Words: neighborhoods (i.e., have more phonological neighbors) tend to be recognized more slowly, as there is more competition. This phenomenon is generally referred to as a "neighborhood density effect Words that are in denser

D.2. Facilitation for Low-Density Words: In contrast, words that are in sparse neighborhoods can be recognized relatively quickly because of less lexical competition, although high density words may also be recognized quickly if they are highly frequent. This effect, however, is more complex than it seems.

D.3. The Role of Word Frequency: The impact of neighborhood density is not absolute; it interacts with the frequency of the word. The negative impact of high density on recognition speed is generally greater for low frequency words (Luce & Pisoni, 1998). Highly frequent words are often recognized quickly regardless of neighborhood size because of strong lexical representations.

E. Implications for Speech Perception: The organization of the mental lexicon into phonological neighborhoods has direct implications for speech perception. It explains the difficulties we sometimes face in recognizing words when there are other similar-sounding words present in the environment. It also helps to explain how we can rapidly and accurately recognize words despite the variability in speech signals, as our perceptual system is designed to deal with the competition present in densely populated phonological neighborhoods. This competition is not always a hindrance, but also a mechanism that allows us to be able to deal with degraded input.

F. Developmental Aspects: The influence of phonological neighborhoods extends to language acquisition, as well. Children learning their first language learn to navigate the complexities of the phonological neighborhoods of their native language, learning to quickly recognize high frequency words and differentiate them from their neighbors. The nature and size of a word's phonological neighborhood can also affect how easily

it is acquired, suggesting that words with sparser neighborhoods might be acquired more quickly and easily (Storkel, 2004).

G. Experimental Techniques: Research on phonological neighborhoods uses a variety of techniques, including lexical decision tasks, word naming tasks, and eye-tracking, to measure the speed and accuracy of word recognition as a function of neighborhood density and word frequency. These techniques have allowed researchers to more fully understand the role of lexical competition in language processing.

4.2.2. Phonotactic Constraints :

A. Description: Words are organized in accordance with the phonotactic rules of the language, which specify the permissible sequences of phonemes within syllables and words. For example, certain sound combinations that are allowed in Arabic might not be allowed in other languages. These constraints are implicitly represented in the mental lexicon.

B. Function: Phonotactic rules help to constrain and guide lexical access. These rules facilitate the identification of word boundaries and are used during speech perception to disambiguate between similar-sounding word candidates. They also guide speakers in producing sequences

C. Lexical Competition: When a word is heard, its phonological representation activates not only itself, but also words in its phonological neighborhood. This phenomenon of "lexical competition" means that words that are similar in sound become contenders for recognition. It's not a simple "winner-takes-all" scenario but rather a dynamic activation and deactivation process that occurs over time. The amount of activation depends on the frequency of each word and the degree of phonological similarity (McClelland & Elman, 1986). Words in larger and denser

neighborhoods will have more competitors and will therefore face increased competition. This competition slows down the recognition process for words in high density neighborhoods.

D. Morphological Organization: For morphologically complex words (e.g., unhappiness, walking):

E. Morphemic Decomposition: Complex words are broken down into their constituent morphemes (e.g., un- + happy + -ness). This allows for the efficient processing of novel words and reduces the overall amount of lexical information to be stored (Taft & Forster, 1975).

F. Affix Families: Words with common affixes are grouped (e.g., words with -ing, -ly), reflecting patterns in word derivation and inflection. This can be seen in priming effects with morphologically related words (Marslen-Wilson, Tyler, Waksler & Older, 1994).

G. Frequency-Based Organization: More frequently used words are typically accessed more quickly and efficiently. This is likely because:

H. Stronger Activation: Higher-frequency words have stronger connections in the mental lexicon, making their retrieval more efficient (Forster & Chambers, 1973).

I. Faster Processing Routes: Higher frequency words may have more direct or efficient processing routes, making lexical access more efficient.

J. Syntactic Category: Words are also categorized based on their syntactic properties, such as:

J.1. Part of Speech: This categorizes words into nouns, verbs, adjectives, adverbs, etc., which enables correct grammatical processing.

J.2. Subcategorization Frames: Verbs are categorized based on the syntactic environments in which they can appear (e.g., transitive verbs vs.

intransitive verbs)٤, which facilitates parsing in sentence comprehension. This organization plays a critical role in sentence processing (Chomsky, 1965).

5.Conclusion:

The exploration of the interplay between the mental lexicon and language processing reveals a remarkably complex and dynamic system at the heart of human linguistic capacity. We have seen that the mental lexicon is far more than a simple repository of words; it is a richly structured and intricately organized network, encompassing phonological, orthographic, semantic, syntactic, and morphological representations. This network is not static, but is constantly being modified and refined through our experiences with language.

Furthermore, our examination of language processing has underscored the rapid and coordinated nature of comprehension and production. From the initial sensory analysis to the final stages of inferential processing in comprehension, and from conceptualization to articulation in production, the mental lexicon serves as an indispensable resource. The bidirectional relationship between the lexicon and these processing mechanisms is particularly crucial, highlighting how they shape and influence one another in an ongoing cycle of interaction. The speed and efficiency with which we understand and produce language are dependent on these well-tuned and inter-related systems.

The implications of this research reach into numerous domains. Understanding the intricate architecture of the mental lexicon and the mechanics of language processing is not just an academic endeavor. It offers invaluable insights into the processes of typical and atypical language development, informs our approaches to second language acquisition, and provides a foundation for the development of computational models that can simulate human linguistic abilities. The study of language disorders, for example, can pinpoint areas where processing goes awry, shedding light on

the underlying architecture of these complex mechanisms and offering potential avenues for interventions. Similarly, understanding how adults learn additional languages can inform pedagogical practices, and computational models help us test different hypotheses about the nature of the human linguistic system.

As we move forward, it is imperative that we continue to unravel the nuances of this dynamic interplay, integrating findings from psycholinguistics, cognitive neuroscience, and computational linguistics. Advanced neuroimaging techniques such as fMRI, EEG, and MEG, combined with increasingly sophisticated computational models will be key to future progress. Further research should aim to elucidate the neural circuitry supporting these processes, to deepen our understanding of the developmental trajectory of language, and to explore the impact of individual differences on lexical access and processing.

In conclusion, the journey to understand the complex relationship between the mental lexicon and language processing is far from over. However, the progress we have made thus far underscores the remarkable sophistication of human linguistic abilities, and the potential for continued discovery in this rich and multifaceted field. Unlocking the secrets of how we store and use our vocabulary is not only a scientific pursuit but a vital step towards a more comprehensive understanding of human cognition itself. By continuing to explore this intricate interplay, we edge closer to a holistic view of language, which in itself, is a key element of what defines us as human

6. Bibliography List :

1. Books :

1. Aitchison, J. (2012). Words in the mind: An introduction to the mental lexicon.
2. Barsalou, L. W. (1987). The instability of graded structure: Implications for the nature of concepts. In Concepts and conceptual development: Ecological and intellectual factors in categorization (pp. 231-260). Cambridge University Press

3. Chomsky, N. (1965). Aspects of the theory of syntax. MIT Press.
4. John Wiley & Sons; Harley, T. A. (2017). The psychology of language: From data to theory. Psychology Press.
5. Levelt, W. J. M. (1989). Speaking: From intention to articulation. MIT Press.
6. Rosch, E. (1978). Principles of categorization. In Cognition and categorization (pp. 27-48). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.

2. Journal article :

1. Collins, A. M., & Loftus, E. F. (1975). A spreading-activation theory of semantic processing. Psychological Review, 82(6), 407-428.
2. Collins, A. M., & Quillian, M. R. (1969). Retrieval time from semantic memory. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 8(2), 240-247.
3. Ellis, N. C. (2002). Frequency effects in language processing: A review with implications for theories of implicit and explicit language acquisition. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 24(2), 143-188.
4. Elman, J. L. (1990). Finding structure in time. Cognitive Science, 14(2), 179-211.
5. Forster, K. I. (1979). Levels of processing and the structure of the lexical system. In Sentence processing (pp. 27-85). Academic Press.
6. Forster, K. I., & Chambers, S. M. (1973). Lexical access and naming time. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 12(6), 627-635.
7. Gaskell, M. G., & Marslen-Wilson, W. D. (1997). Integrating form and meaning: a distributed model of speech perception. Language and Cognitive Processes, 12(1), 61-96.
8. Hagoort, P. (2005). On the neurobiology of speech and language. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 9(10), 498-503.
9. Indefrey, P., & Levelt, W. J. M. (2004). The spatial and temporal signatures of word production components. Cognition, 92(1-2), 101-144.
10. Luce, P. A., & Pisoni, D. B. (1998). Recognizing spoken words: The neighborhood activation model. Ear and Hearing, 19(1), 1–36. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00003446-199802000-00001>
11. Marslen-Wilson, W. D., Tyler, L. K., Waksler, R., & Older, L. (1994). Morphology and meaning in the English mental lexicon. Psychological Review, 101(1), 3-33.

12. McClelland, J. L., & Elman, J. L. (1986). The TRACE model of speech perception. *Cognitive Psychology*, 18(1), 1-86.
13. Meyer, D. E., & Schvaneveldt, R. W. (1971). Facilitation in recognizing pairs of words: Evidence of a dependence between retrieval operations. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 90(2), 227.
14. Plaut, D. C., McClelland, J. L., Seidenberg, M. S., & Patterson, K. (1996). Understanding normal and impaired word reading: Computational modeling in neuropsychology. *Psychological Review*, 103(1), 56-115.
15. Storkel, H. L. (2004). The emerging lexicon: The influences of phonotactic constraints and phonological neighborhood density. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 47(4), 844-860.
16. Swinney, D. A. (1979). Lexical access during sentence comprehension: (Re)consideration of context effects. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 18(6), 645-660.
17. Taft, M., & Forster, K. I. (1975). Lexical storage and retrieval of prefixed words. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 14(6), 638-647.
18. Vitevitch, M. S. (2008). Neighborhood density effects: A review. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, 2(3), 347-371.