The Role of Innovation and Modern Technology in Promoting Economic Growth:

A Comparative Study between China and Germany

Koba karim*
M'hamed Bougara University, Boumerdes,
Algeria
Email:k.koba@univ-boumerdes.dz

submitted:09/05/2026 Accepted:31/05/2026 published:30/06/2026

Abstract:

This article examines the role of innovation and technology in promoting economic growth through a comparative analysis of China and Germany. It addresses the following central question: how do innovation policies and technological development contribute to economic growth, and what are the main differences between the Chinese and German models? The study is based on the hypothesis that innovation and digital transformation constitute key drivers of productivity, competitiveness, and sustainable growth.

The article adopts a comparative analytical methodology relying on economic reports, official statistics, and theoretical contributions related to innovation and endogenous growth. It is structured into four main sections covering the theoretical framework, the Chinese experience, the German experience, and a comparative analysis between both models.

The findings show that both countries successfully integrated innovation into their economic strategies despite differences in governance and institutional organization.

Keywords: Innovation; Technology; Economic Growth; Digital Transformation; Research and Development.

JEL Classification Codes: O31; O32; O33; F43.

---

* **correspondent author**

Introduction:

Innovation and technological development have become major determinants of economic growth and international competitiveness in the modern global economy. In recent decades, countries have increasingly relied on research, digital transformation, and technological advancement to improve productivity and strengthen their economic performance. In this context, China and Germany represent two important models that have successfully integrated innovation into their development strategies despite differences in their economic systems and institutional structures.

This study seeks to answer the following main question: how do innovation and technology contribute to economic growth, and what are the main differences between the Chinese and German approaches in this field? The study is based on the hypothesis that innovation, research and development, and digital transformation constitute essential drivers of sustainable economic growth and competitiveness.

Several previous studies, including the works of Paul Romer and Philippe Aghion, emphasized the importance of innovation and knowledge in stimulating long-term growth. Other studies on China and Germany highlighted the role of industrial policies, research institutions, and technological investment in supporting productivity and industrial development.

The article adopts a comparative analytical methodology based on theoretical literature, official reports, and economic indicators related to innovation and technology. It is organized into four sections covering the theoretical framework, the Chinese experience, the German experience, and a comparative analysis of both models and their economic outcomes.

Firstly. Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

This chapter discusses the concepts of innovation and technology and explains their relationship with economic growth. It highlights how innovation, research and development, and technological progress contribute to improving productivity, competitiveness, and the transition toward knowledge-based economies.

1. The Concept of Innovation

Innovation refers to transforming knowledge and ideas into products, services, or processes that create economic value and improve competitiveness. According to the OECD, innovation includes

introducing new or significantly improved products or processes within firms or markets (OECD & Eurostat, 2018).

Joseph Schumpeter considered innovation the main driver of economic development through "creative destruction," where new technologies replace outdated ones and generate new opportunities for growth (Schumpeter, 1934).

Innovation generally includes:

- Technological innovation through new technologies and production methods.
- Product and service innovation through improved goods and services.
- Institutional innovation through better organizational and managerial practices.

Therefore, innovation is a dynamic process combining technology, organization, and market adaptation to support sustainable growth and knowledge-based economies.

2. The Relationship Between Innovation and Economic Growth

Innovation is a key source of long-term economic growth because it increases productivity and improves resource efficiency. Endogenous growth theories emphasize that growth depends on knowledge, research and development, and human capital rather than only physical capital.

Romer (1990) argued that knowledge and ideas are central drivers of sustainable growth, while Aghion and Howitt (1992) linked growth to "creative destruction," where new innovations continuously replace old technologies.

These theories show that economies investing in education, technology, and research achieve stronger and more sustainable growth, as seen in countries such as China and Germany.

3. The Impact of Technology on Productivity and Competitiveness

Technology plays a major role in transforming innovation into economic performance. It improves production efficiency, reduces costs, and increases product quality.

Modern economic studies consider technological progress a key factor behind productivity growth (Solow, 1957). Advanced

technologies in industry, communication, and services enable firms to produce more efficiently and strengthen their competitive position.

Technology mainly contributes to:

- Increasing productivity and reducing waste.
- Creating competitive advantages through innovation.
- Encouraging firms to improve continuously.

Countries with strong technological capabilities, such as Germany and China, have achieved significant industrial and economic progress through digital transformation and advanced manufacturing technologies.

4. Successful International Models of Innovation-Driven Growth

Several countries demonstrate how innovation can support sustainable growth. Japan developed advanced industries through technology transfer, technical education, and strong cooperation between industry and universities (Freeman, 1987).

South Korea transformed into a knowledge-based economy by investing heavily in education, digital infrastructure, and research, allowing firms such as Samsung and LG to become global technology leaders (World Bank, 2022).

The United States built a strong innovation ecosystem linking universities, venture capital, and technology firms such as Apple and Google, particularly through Silicon Valley (Mowery & Nelson, 1999).

Despite differences between these experiences, they all emphasize long-term investment in human capital, scientific research, and technological development as essential foundations for economic growth and competitiveness.

Secondly. Innovation and Technology in the Chinese Economy

This chapter analyzes the role of innovation and technology in the Chinese economy, focusing on government policies, digital transformation, and investment in research and development.

1. Government Innovation Policies: The "Made in China 2025" Initiative

The "Made in China 2025" initiative represented a major transformation in China's industrial policy toward an innovation-based economy. Introduced in 2015, the strategy aimed to shift China from a

low-cost manufacturing economy to a global technological leader in sectors such as robotics, artificial intelligence, renewable energy, and smart manufacturing (State Council of the PRC, 2015).

To achieve these objectives, China adopted policies combining state planning with market support by increasing investment in research and development, supporting innovative enterprises, and creating technology hubs such as Zhongguancun. The initiative also encouraged cooperation between universities and industries to strengthen domestic innovation and technological independence (Li, 2018).

2. Investment in Research and Development and the Role of Technology Companies

Investment in research and development (R&D) has become one of the key factors behind China's technological transformation. According to OECD reports, China's R&D expenditure reached around 2.4% of GDP, placing the country among the world's leading investors in innovation (OECD, 2022).

Large technology companies such as Huawei, Alibaba, Tencent, and Baidu play a major role in this transformation. Huawei has become a global leader in 5G and artificial intelligence through significant investment in R&D (EU Industrial R&D Investment Scoreboard, 2023). Alibaba has also contributed to digital innovation through e-commerce, cloud computing, and its DAMO Academy research center.

This strong focus on innovation has improved industrial productivity and strengthened China's competitiveness in advanced technology sectors (World Bank, 2021).

3. High-Tech Zones and Regional Development

China established high-tech industrial development zones (HTZs) to promote innovation and regional economic growth. Since the creation of Zhongguancun in Beijing in 1988, the number of these zones has expanded to more than 170 nationwide (MOST, 2022).

These zones provide tax incentives, financial support, and advanced infrastructure for innovative firms while encouraging collaboration between universities, research institutions, and industries. They have become important centers for technological production and high-value exports.

In addition to their economic contribution, high-tech zones help reduce regional disparities by expanding technological development beyond the eastern coastal regions toward central and western China.

4. Digital Transformation and Artificial Intelligence

Digital transformation has become a major driver of China's modern economic development. Through strategies such as "Internet Plus" and the "Next Generation Artificial Intelligence Development Plan," China has integrated digital technologies into manufacturing, finance, healthcare, and public services (World Bank, 2022).

Artificial intelligence, robotics, big data, and the Internet of Things have significantly increased industrial productivity and economic efficiency. Major companies such as Baidu, Tencent, and Huawei Cloud have contributed to developing digital applications in transportation, healthcare, and smart services (McKinsey, 2023).

Digital payments and e-commerce platforms such as Alipay and WeChat Pay have also accelerated the growth of China's digital economy (People's Bank of China, 2023).

5. Innovation as a Driver of Economic Growth

China's economic experience demonstrates the strong relationship between innovation and growth. Between 2010 and 2023, China's GDP increased significantly, while the contribution of high-technology industries and technological exports expanded rapidly (World Bank, 2023).

These developments confirm that innovation has become a structural component of China's economic strategy and an essential driver of its transition toward a modern knowledge-based economy (UNCTAD, 2023).

Thirdly. Innovation and Technology in the German Economy

This chapter examines the main drivers of innovation in the German economy, focusing on vocational education, the Mittelstand, Industry 4.0, and research institutions. It also highlights the role of government policies and technological innovation in strengthening Germany's industrial competitiveness and sustainable economic growth.

1. The Social Market Economy and the Role of Vocational Education in Supporting Innovation

The German social market economy (Soziale Marktwirtschaft) is considered one of the most successful models combining market efficiency with social stability. It is based on free-market principles alongside state intervention to ensure social welfare and equal opportunities (Erhard, 1957).

Within this model, vocational education plays a central role through the dual education system, which combines theoretical learning with practical training inside companies and industrial institutions. This system helps develop a highly skilled workforce capable of adapting to technological and industrial changes (Busemeyer & Trampusch, 2012).

Germany also supports technical education and applied research through public policies and funding programs, making vocational education an important tool for innovation and knowledge-based development (OECD, 2020).

2. The Role of Mittelstand Companies in Innovation and Industrial Growth

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), known as the Mittelstand, represent the backbone of the German economy. These firms account for nearly 99% of German companies and employ more than 60% of the workforce (BMWi, 2020).

Most Mittelstand companies are family-owned and focus on long-term sustainability, flexibility, and product quality. They are especially known for incremental innovation, which involves continuously improving products and production processes rather than relying on radical technological change (Herrigel, 2015).

Despite limited resources compared with multinational corporations, many of these firms invest significantly in research and development and cooperate closely with institutions such as the Fraunhofer Society and the Max Planck Society (OECD, 2019).

Government programs such as “Mittelstand-Digital” also support SMEs in adopting artificial intelligence and digital technologies within the framework of Industry 4.0 (BMWi, 2021).

3. Innovation Policies and the Industry 4.0 Model

Germany is among the world leaders in industrial innovation policies. This became clear with the launch of the “Industry 4.0”

initiative in 2011, which aimed to integrate technologies such as artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things, and big data into industrial production systems (Kagermann, Wahlster, & Helbig, 2013).

German policies focus on strengthening firms' technological capacities through support for applied research, university-industry partnerships, and flexible regulations encouraging technological experimentation. National programs such as "High-Tech Strategy 2025" and "Mittelstand-Digital" illustrate Germany's commitment to building a digital and knowledge-based economy (BMWi, 2020).

The success of Industry 4.0 is strongly linked to cooperation between government institutions, research centers, and private firms. Organizations such as the Fraunhofer Society play a key role in transferring scientific research into industrial applications.

These policies have improved Germany's competitiveness in advanced sectors such as engineering, mechatronics, and renewable energy. According to the European Commission (2021), Industry 4.0 technologies increased industrial productivity in several sectors by nearly 25%.

4. Research Centers and Industrial Universities as Drivers of Innovation

Germany has one of the world's most effective research systems, based on close cooperation between universities, research institutions, and industrial enterprises. This model emphasizes transforming scientific knowledge into practical economic applications (Etzkowitz & Leydesdorff, 2000).

The Fraunhofer Society represents one of the main pillars of this system, with more than seventy specialized institutes working in areas such as robotics, advanced materials, and renewable energy (Fraunhofer, 2020).

Technical universities such as the Technical University of Munich and RWTH Aachen University also contribute significantly to training highly qualified engineers and researchers while supporting technology transfer between laboratories and industry (OECD, 2019).

Organizations such as DAAD and DFG further support applied research and international scientific cooperation through financial assistance and academic programs.

5. Investment in Research and Development and Government Support for Innovation

Investment in research and development (R&D) is one of the main foundations of Germany's economic strength. Germany allocates approximately 3.2% of its GDP to R&D, one of the highest rates in Europe (OECD, 2022).

German innovation policy is based on cooperation between the state and the private sector through tax incentives, direct funding, and national programs such as "High-Tech Strategy 2025" (BMWi, 2020).

Public institutions including the German Research Foundation and KfW support projects related to artificial intelligence, digital transformation, and green technologies under the coordination of the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF, 2021).

This long-term and coordinated investment strategy has strengthened Germany's technological capabilities and industrial competitiveness.

6. Innovation and Germany's International Competitiveness

Innovation has become a strategic necessity for maintaining Germany's global competitiveness. Germany's industrial model relies on technological innovation in products, production systems, and management practices (Porter, 1990).

The country's competitiveness is supported by three main factors:

- A diversified high-technology industrial structure.
- Continuous investment in research and development.
- Strong integration between education, scientific research, and industry (OECD, 2021).

Digital transformation within Industry 4.0 has improved productivity, reduced production costs, and enhanced product quality through the use of artificial intelligence, robotics, and digital data analysis (European Commission, 2022).

Economic reports also indicate that industrial productivity and technological exports increased significantly during the past decade, particularly in sectors such as electric vehicles and smart industrial equipment (World Economic Forum, 2020).

Overall, Germany demonstrates how innovation, scientific research, and supportive public policies can sustain long-term economic leadership and industrial competitiveness.

Fourthly. Comparative Analysis Between China and Germany

This chapter compares the Chinese and German experiences in innovation and technology by examining innovation policies, institutional structures, and the role of scientific and industrial actors. It also highlights how both countries use innovation to strengthen economic growth, productivity, and global competitiveness despite adopting different development models.

1. Innovation Policies in China and Germany: Similarities and Differences

Innovation policies in China and Germany reflect the economic and institutional structure of each country. However, both countries consider innovation a strategic instrument for strengthening competitiveness, supporting industrial transformation, and achieving sustainable economic growth.

China adopts a state-led innovation model based on centralized planning and long-term industrial strategies. One of the most important initiatives is *Made in China 2025*, which aims to transform China from a low-cost manufacturing economy into a global leader in advanced industries and high technology. The strategy focuses on sectors such as robotics, artificial intelligence, renewable energy, semiconductors, aerospace industries, and smart manufacturing through state support, industrial subsidies, and increased investment in research and development (Li, 2018, p. 64).

The Chinese government also promotes innovation through large national programs designed to strengthen technological independence and reduce dependence on foreign technologies. Significant public investment has been directed toward digital infrastructure, artificial intelligence, and industrial modernization. In addition, local governments play an active role in supporting startups, technology parks, and innovation clusters, particularly in cities such as Shenzhen, Beijing, and Shanghai.

Germany, by contrast, follows a decentralized and cooperative model rooted in the principles of the social market economy. Innovation policies rely on close collaboration between government institutions, private firms, universities, and research centers. The *Industrie 4.0* initiative represents a major pillar of this strategy by integrating digital technologies, automation, artificial intelligence, and the Internet of Things into industrial production systems (Kagermann, Wahlster, & Helbig, 2013, p. 21).

German innovation policy places strong emphasis on industrial quality, technological specialization, and long-term competitiveness. Rather than relying mainly on direct state control, Germany encourages innovation through institutional coordination, flexible regulatory frameworks, technical education, and support for applied research. Programs such as *High-Tech Strategy 2025* and *Mittelstand-Digital* aim to accelerate digital transformation while helping firms adapt to technological change.

Despite these differences, both countries share common priorities such as increasing R&D investment, supporting digital transformation, promoting high-technology industries, and developing human capital. Both governments also recognize the importance of artificial intelligence, automation, and advanced manufacturing in shaping future economic competitiveness.

The main distinction lies in governance mechanisms. China relies on a top-down approach directed by the state, whereas Germany emphasizes bottom-up innovation based on institutional cooperation and private-sector participation. China's model allows rapid mobilization of resources and fast industrial transformation, while Germany's model provides greater institutional stability and long-term technological specialization.

2. Institutional Structures and Government Support for Innovation

Institutional structures play a decisive role in transforming scientific knowledge into economic value and strengthening national innovation systems.

In China, the state occupies the central position within the innovation system. Institutions such as the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST) and the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) coordinate national innovation strategies and direct investments toward strategic sectors including biotechnology, telecommunications, artificial intelligence, semiconductors, and clean energy. Programs such as the Torch Program and High-Tech Industrial Development Zones provide financial incentives, technological infrastructure, and tax advantages for innovative enterprises (OECD, 2023, p. 58).

China has also developed a large network of high-tech industrial zones that connect universities, research institutions, and technology firms. These zones create favorable environments for innovation by facilitating access to funding, advanced infrastructure, and skilled

labor. The government further supports innovation through subsidies, public procurement policies, and financial assistance for strategic industries.

Germany relies on a more decentralized and cooperative institutional framework involving federal authorities, regional governments, universities, research centers, and industrial firms. Organizations such as the Fraunhofer Society connect academic research with industrial applications through applied research projects conducted in partnership with companies. In addition, programs such as the *High-Tech Strategy 2025* support innovation in digitalization, sustainability, green technologies, and advanced manufacturing (BMBF, 2020, p. 33).

A major characteristic of the German innovation system is the strong coordination between public institutions and private industry. Research centers such as the Fraunhofer Society and the Max Planck Society facilitate technology transfer and support industrial innovation, particularly for small and medium-sized enterprises. Germany also benefits from regional innovation networks that encourage cooperation between local industries and academic institutions.

Therefore, while China emphasizes centralized coordination and strategic planning, Germany focuses on institutional cooperation, applied research, and regional industrial specialization. Both approaches, however, demonstrate the importance of strong institutional support in promoting innovation and technological development.

3. The Role of the Private Sector and the Scientific Community in Supporting Innovation

The interaction between the private sector and scientific institutions is a key component of successful innovation systems. Effective cooperation between universities, research centers, and firms accelerates technology transfer and enhances industrial competitiveness.

In China, cooperation between universities and technology companies has expanded rapidly during the last two decades. Government initiatives encouraged the creation of innovation clusters and technology parks around major universities such as Tsinghua University and Peking University. Large firms including Huawei, Alibaba, Tencent, and Baidu invested heavily in research and development as well as joint laboratories with universities, contributing

significantly to technological advancement (Chen & Kenney, 2020, p. 148).

Chinese technology companies increasingly play a global role in sectors such as telecommunications, e-commerce, cloud computing, and artificial intelligence. Huawei, for example, became one of the world's leading companies in 5G infrastructure and digital technologies through continuous investment in innovation and scientific research. Alibaba and Tencent also contributed to the rapid expansion of the digital economy and online financial services.

China adopted the Triple Helix model, which strengthens cooperation between government institutions, universities, and industries in order to accelerate innovation and technology transfer. This model helped create dynamic innovation ecosystems capable of supporting industrial modernization and digital transformation.

In Germany, collaboration between industry and academia is deeply institutionalized through organizations such as the Fraunhofer Society, which acts as an intermediary between universities and industrial firms, particularly the Mittelstand. The German model is characterized by long-term cooperation, applied research, and shared financing between public institutions and private enterprises (OECD, 2023, p. 79).

German universities and technical institutes maintain close relationships with industrial firms through research partnerships, internships, and vocational training programs. This cooperation facilitates the development of highly skilled workers and ensures that scientific research responds to industrial needs.

The Mittelstand companies also play a major role in supporting innovation through continuous product improvement and specialized industrial expertise. Although many of these firms are relatively small in size, they remain highly competitive internationally because of their strong focus on quality, engineering, and technological specialization.

Thus, China demonstrates rapid state-supported innovation expansion and large-scale digital entrepreneurship, while Germany is distinguished by institutional stability, applied research, and sustainable cooperation between scientific and industrial actors.

4. Economic Growth Outcomes and Technological Performance

Both China and Germany achieved significant economic gains through innovation, although through different development paths and economic structures.

China experienced remarkable economic growth, with GDP increasing from nearly USD 1.2 trillion in 2000 to more than USD 17 trillion in 2023 (World Bank, 2024). This growth is closely linked to technological industries, digital transformation, infrastructure expansion, and the rapid development of high-tech manufacturing. China also became one of the largest global producers of electronics, telecommunications equipment, renewable energy technologies, and digital services.

Germany achieved more stable and productivity-oriented growth based on advanced manufacturing, industrial specialization, and continuous innovation. The country maintains one of the strongest industrial sectors among advanced economies, particularly in engineering, automotive production, chemicals, and precision manufacturing.

China improved industrial productivity through automation, robotics, artificial intelligence, and smart manufacturing technologies (National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2024). The expansion of digital infrastructure and e-commerce platforms further accelerated economic modernization and market integration.

Germany, meanwhile, maintains high productivity levels through the integration of vocational education, applied research, and Industry 4.0 technologies (European Commission, 2023). German firms benefit from highly qualified labor, strong industrial networks, and advanced technological capabilities that support efficiency and product quality.

Regarding global competitiveness, China became one of the world's largest exporters of high-technology products, especially in electronics, telecommunications equipment, and smart technologies (World Bank, 2024). Chinese firms increasingly compete with major international companies in digital innovation and industrial technology.

Germany continues to lead in advanced industrial exports such as precision machinery, industrial equipment, automotive technologies, and renewable energy systems due to its strong reputation for quality, engineering excellence, and technological reliability.

Technological transformation also affected labor markets in both countries. In China, digitalization created millions of jobs in e-commerce, fintech, logistics, and artificial intelligence-related services. In Germany, technological innovation increased demand for highly qualified technical workers and strengthened the role of vocational education in supporting industrial competitiveness.

The comparison ultimately shows that both countries successfully used innovation as a driver of economic growth. China relies mainly on rapid industrial expansion, large-scale investment, and state-led digital transformation, whereas Germany emphasizes institutional cooperation, applied research, industrial quality, and high-value technological innovation.

Conclusion:

This study explored the role of innovation and modern technology in supporting economic growth through a comparison between China and Germany. The analysis showed that innovation is no longer limited to technological progress alone, but has become a fundamental element in improving productivity, strengthening competitiveness, and achieving sustainable economic development.

The study answered the main research question by showing that both China and Germany successfully used innovation as a driver of economic growth, despite following different development models. China relied on strong government intervention, strategic planning, and major initiatives such as *Made in China 2025* to accelerate technological development and expand advanced industries such as artificial intelligence, telecommunications, and renewable energy. This strategy helped China strengthen its position in the global technology sector and accelerate its transition toward a knowledge-based economy.

Germany, in contrast, adopted a more cooperative and decentralized approach based on vocational education, applied research, and close collaboration between universities, research institutions, and industrial companies. Initiatives such as *Industrie 4.0* played an important role in improving industrial productivity and maintaining Germany's international competitiveness.

The comparison also revealed that investment in research and development, digital transformation, and human capital remains a common factor behind the success of both experiences. Although the two countries differ in their institutional and economic approaches, both demonstrate that innovation is essential for long-term growth and technological advancement.

In light of these findings, the study recommends increasing investment in scientific research and technical education, strengthening cooperation between universities and industries, and adopting innovation policies that support digital transformation and sustainable economic development according to each country's economic context.

List of references:

1. Aghion, P., & Howitt, P. (1992). A model of growth through creative destruction. *Econometrica, 60*(2), 323–351.
2. BMBF. (2020). *High-Tech Strategy 2025*. German Federal Ministry of Education and Research.
3. BMBF. (2021). *Research and innovation policy in Germany*. German Federal Ministry of Education and Research.
4. BMWi. (2020). *Mittelstand-Digital and innovation policies in Germany*. Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy.
5. BMWi. (2021). *Digital transformation programs for SMEs*. Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy.
6. Busemeyer, M. R., & Trampusch, C. (2012). *The political economy of collective skill formation*. Oxford University Press.
7. Chen, K., & Kenney, M. (2020). Universities, technology firms, and innovation in China. *Research Policy, 49*(5), 145–159.
8. Erhard, L. (1957). *Prosperity through competition*. Thames and Hudson.
9. Etzkowitz, H., & Leydesdorff, L. (2000). The dynamics of innovation: From national systems and "Mode 2" to a Triple Helix of university–industry–government relations. *Research Policy, 29*(2), 109–123.
10. European Commission. (2021). *Industry 4.0 and productivity in Europe*. European Union Publications.
11. European Commission. (2022). *Digital transformation and industrial competitiveness in Germany*. European Union Publications.
12. European Commission. (2023). *Industrial productivity and innovation in Europe*. European Union Publications.
13. EU Industrial R&D Investment Scoreboard. (2023). *The 2023 EU industrial R&D investment scoreboard*. European Commission.
14. Fraunhofer Society. (2020). *Annual research and innovation report*. Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft.
15. Freeman, C. (1987). *Technology policy and economic performance: Lessons from Japan*. Pinter Publishers.
16. Herrigel, G. (2015). *Globalization and the German industrial production model*. Cambridge University Press.
17. Kagermann, H., Wahlster, W., & Helbig, J. (2013). *Recommendations for implementing the strategic initiative Industrie 4.0*. German National Academy of Science and Engineering.
18. Li, X. (2018). China's innovation policy and industrial transformation. *Journal of Chinese Economic Studies, 12*(3), 60–75.
19. McKinsey & Company. (2023). *Artificial intelligence and digital transformation in China*. McKinsey Global Institute.
20. MOST. (2022). *National high-tech industrial development zones report*. Ministry of Science and Technology of China.

21. Mowery, D., & Nelson, R. (1999). *Sources of industrial leadership: Studies of seven industries*. Cambridge University Press.
22. OECD. (2019). *OECD reviews of innovation policy: Germany 2019*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
23. OECD. (2020). *Vocational education and innovation in Germany*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
24. OECD. (2021). *Economic surveys: Germany*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
25. OECD. (2022). *Main science and technology indicators*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
26. OECD. (2023). *Science, technology and innovation outlook 2023*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
27. OECD, & Eurostat. (2018). *Oslo manual 2018: Guidelines for collecting, reporting and using data on innovation* (4th ed.). OECD Publishing.
28. People's Bank of China. (2023). *Annual report on digital payments and financial technologies*. People's Bank of China.
29. Porter, M. E. (1990). *The competitive advantage of nations*. Free Press.
30. Romer, P. M. (1990). Endogenous technological change. *Journal of Political Economy, 98*(5), S71–S102.
31. Schumpeter, J. A. (1934). *The theory of economic development*. Harvard University Press.
32. Solow, R. M. (1957). Technical change and the aggregate production function. *The Review of Economics and Statistics, 39*(3), 312–320.
33. State Council of the People's Republic of China. (2015). *Made in China 2025 strategy plan*. Government of China.
34. UNCTAD. (2023). *Technology and innovation report 2023*. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.
35. World Bank. (2021). *China economic update*. World Bank.
36. World Bank. (2022). *World development indicators*. World Bank.
37. World Bank. (2023). *China economic overview*. World Bank.
38. World Bank. (2024). *Global economic prospects*. World Bank.
39. World Economic Forum. (2020). *The global competitiveness report 2020*. World Economic Forum.