

Evaluating the Impact of UiPath (RPA) on the Efficiency of Financial Report Preparation

-A Case Study of PwC-

تقييم أثر استخدام برنامج (RPA) على كفاءة إعداد التقارير المالية

-دراسة حالة شركة PwC-

AMRI Sami 1*

chadli ben djedid eltarf university, ALGERIA

s.amri@univ-eltarf.dz

Received: 21/06/2025;

Accepted: 07/09/2025

Abstract:

This study aims to assess the impact of implementing UiPath, a Robotic Process Automation (RPA) solution, on the efficiency of financial reporting at PwC. The research adopts an analytical case study approach based on publicly available data and official reports, focusing on key performance indicators such as processing time, human error rate, operational cost, report quality, and internal control. Findings show significant improvements across all metrics: reporting time was reduced by over 80%, with notable decreases in errors and costs. The study concludes that RPA enhances not only operational efficiency but also transparency and compliance, establishing it as a strategic tool in financial digital transformation.

Keywords: Financial Reporting; UiPath; RPA; Intelligent Automation; PwC.

Jel Classification Codes: M41; C88; O33; D24; L86.

Abstract in Arabic:

تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى تقييم أثر استخدام برنامج UiPath كحل للأتمتة الروبوتية للعمليات (RPA) على كفاءة إعداد التقارير المالية في شركة PwC؛ اعتمد البحث على دراسة حالة تحليلية باستخدام البيانات المنشورة والتقارير الرسمية للشركة، مع التركيز على مؤشرات مثل: الزمن المستغرق، معدل الأخطاء البشرية، التكاليف التشغيلية، جودة التقارير، ومستوى الرقابة. أظهرت النتائج تحسناً ملموساً في كافة المؤشرات، حيث تم تقليص زمن إعداد التقارير بنسبة تفوق 80%، وانخفضت الأخطاء والتكاليف بشكل كبير.

توصلت الدراسة إلى أن استخدام RPA لا يساهم فقط في رفع كفاءة التقارير، بل يعزز الشفافية والامتثال المحاسبي، ما يجعل منه أداة استراتيجية في التحول الرقمي المالي.
الكلمات المفتاحية: التقارير المالية، UiPath، RPA، الأتمتة الذكية، شركة PwC.

* Correspondent author, AMRI sami, s.amri@univ-eltarf.dz

Jel Classification Codes: M41; C88; O33; D24; L86.

1. Introduction

The financial and accounting sector has witnessed a significant transformation in recent years, largely driven by the rapid development of artificial intelligence technologies—particularly those related to intelligent automation of financial processes. Organizations today are increasingly adopting advanced technological solutions aimed at enhancing operational efficiency, reducing costs, and delivering accurate and transparent financial reports within tighter timeframes. In this context, **Robotic Process Automation (RPA)** has emerged as a promising innovation, enabling the replication of repetitive, rules-based tasks typically performed by finance professionals, such as data entry, account reconciliation, and financial report generation.

Among the leading RPA platforms, **UiPath** has gained widespread adoption due to its powerful integration with financial information systems and its ability to automate high-volume, routine tasks through an intuitive and visual user interface. A growing body of empirical research and industry reports confirms the platform's effectiveness in improving the accuracy of financial reports, reducing human error, and accelerating the completion of complex accounting tasks.

Recognizing these advantages, several major firms—particularly in auditing and consultancy—have integrated AI-powered automation tools into their financial operations.

PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC), one of the “Big Four” global professional services firms, has incorporated UiPath into its broader digital transformation strategy to automate key components of its financial reporting processes. The objective has been to enhance process efficiency, improve reporting quality, and optimize the use of time and human capital. Building on this context, the present study aims to assess the impact of UiPath on the efficiency of financial reporting at PwC. The analysis draws on publicly available case studies and company disclosures, focusing on key performance indicators such as reporting time, data accuracy, and cost of preparation.

1.1 Research Problem

Despite the growing adoption of Robotic Process Automation (RPA) technologies in the financial sector, empirical studies assessing the actual impact of these tools on the efficiency of financial reporting within global

corporations remain limited. Specifically, the performance of UiPath in this context has not received sufficient academic scrutiny, particularly through real-world case studies. Accordingly, this research addresses the following central question:

- **Does the use of UiPath (RPA) affect the efficiency of financial reporting at PwC?**

1.2 Research Hypotheses

The primary hypothesis of the study is as follows:

- **UiPath (RPA) has a significant impact on the efficiency of financial reporting at PwC.**

This hypothesis is broken down into five sub-hypotheses addressing different dimensions of efficiency:

- **H1:** The use of UiPath reduces **the time required to prepare financial reports at PwC.**
- **H2:** The use of UiPath decreases **the rate of human error in financial reporting.**
- **H3:** UiPath enhances the **quality of financial information and performance reports.**
- **H4:** UiPath reduces the **costs associated with financial reporting processes.**
- **H5:** UiPath enhances **the level of control and transparency in the financial reporting process.**

1.3 Research Objectives

This study aims to:

- Analyze the extent to which PwC utilizes UiPath technology in its financial reporting processes;
- Evaluate the impact of UiPath on key efficiency indicators: time, cost, accuracy, and report quality;
- Derive practical lessons that can be generalized to other institutions seeking to implement RPA;
- Provide actionable recommendations for organizations aiming to optimize their financial systems through AI.

1.4 Significance of the Study

The importance of this study is highlighted by several aspects:

- It focuses on a specific RPA tool—**UiPath**—rather than addressing RPA in general, allowing for more precise and applicable findings;
- It is based on a **real-world case study** of one of the largest professional services firms globally—**PwC**—enhancing the reliability of the results;

- It contributes to closing a research gap in the literature concerning the application of artificial intelligence in financial reporting;
- It offers a valuable practical reference for professionals and researchers interested in the digitalization of financial functions.

1.5. Conceptual Framework

Robotic Process Automation (RPA) refers to the use of software bots to automate high-volume, rules-based tasks traditionally performed by humans. In the context of financial reporting, RPA tools such as UiPath are capable of streamlining routine processes like data extraction, validation, consolidation, and report generation.

From a theoretical standpoint, the implementation of RPA can be linked to organizational efficiency theory and information systems performance models, which argue that automation contributes to higher productivity, lower error rates, and faster output delivery. These improvements manifest in three core performance dimensions:

- Time Efficiency,
- Cost Reduction, and
- Quality Enhancement.

UiPath, as one of the leading RPA platforms, enables organizations to integrate automation within their ERP and accounting systems without the need for significant coding or restructuring. Its application in financial reporting allows for:

- Automated journal entries,
- Standardized report templates,
- Real-time data validation, and
- Reduced dependency on manual spreadsheet work.

This conceptual relationship can be visualized as follows:

**Input (financial data sources) → UiPath Automation Layer →
Output (financial reports with improved speed, accuracy, and
compliance)**

Thus, this study is grounded in the hypothesis that integrating RPA (specifically UiPath) into the financial reporting workflow of PwC leads to measurable improvements in reporting efficiency, which will be analyzed across dimensions of time, cost, accuracy, and control.

2. Methodology

This study seeks to analyze the impact of UiPath (RPA) on the efficiency of financial reporting through a case study of **PwC**, one of the leading global firms in financial and consulting services, and among the early adopters of intelligent automation within its financial systems. Given the nature of the research problem, which encompasses both technical and organizational aspects, an **analytical case study approach** was selected as the most appropriate framework to examine the relationship between UiPath usage and improvements in reporting efficiency indicators. This approach is supported by the use of **secondary data sources**, as well as qualitative and quantitative analysis of relevant performance metrics.

This section outlines the study design, the adopted methodology, data sources, unit of analysis, evaluation criteria, and study limitations, ensuring transparency in the methodological procedures and strengthening the validity of the findings.

2.1 Study Design

The study employs an analytical case study approach to evaluate the impact of UiPath (RPA) on the efficiency of financial reporting at **PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC)**. PwC was selected due to its leading role in adopting smart automation solutions and the availability of publicly disclosed data regarding its digital transformation projects, particularly in finance.

2.2 Methodological Approach

The study adopts a **descriptive-analytical methodology**, which combines the description of the phenomenon under investigation with an analysis of available qualitative and quantitative indicators. This approach facilitates a deeper understanding of how UiPath influences reporting processes and allows for the interpretation of results within the operational context of the company.

2.3 Evaluation Indicators

To assess the impact on reporting efficiency, the following key indicators were adopted:

- Time required to prepare financial reports (before and after UiPath implementation);
- Rate of human error in financial reporting;
- Costs associated with the financial reporting process;
- Quality and accuracy of reports in accordance with international accounting standards;
- Flexibility of tracking and control throughout the report preparation process.

2.4 Study Limitations

- The study is based solely on published data and secondary sources, with no direct interviews conducted with PwC personnel;
- The results reflect the specific case of PwC, which may limit the generalizability of findings to other financial institutions;
- The study does not delve into the technical complexities of UiPath (e.g., coding or scripting), but instead focuses on its functional impact within the context of financial reporting.

3. Results

The PwC case study reveals a substantial positive impact of implementing the UiPath platform on the efficiency of financial reporting. The analysis draws upon publicly available data from PwC and its technological partner UiPath, focusing on the following key performance indicators:

3.1 Improved Time Efficiency

Reducing the time required to prepare financial reports stands out as one of the most significantly improved efficiency indicators following the adoption of UiPath at PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC). This effect was evaluated using official publications and data from both firms, as presented in the following table:

Table number (1): Time Comparison of Financial Reporting Before and After UiPath Implementation at PwC

Process	Before RPA (UiPath)	After RPA (UiPath)	Time Saved	% Change
Monthly financial report preparation	40 work hours	8 work hours	−32 hours	80% ↓
Consolidated reports	72 hours (3 business days)	16 hours	−56 hours	77.8% ↓
Daily financial data processing	6 hours	1.5 hours	−4.5 hours	75% ↓
Estimated total annual time saved across PwC units	N/A	Over 5 million hours	—	—

Source: Compiled by the author based on UiPath (UiPath, 2021, pp. 2-9)

The integration of UiPath into financial workflows at PwC led to a significant enhancement in time efficiency. Monthly report preparation time dropped from 40 to 8 work hours (an 80% reduction), and daily data processing time decreased from six to under two hours. This optimization

enabled faster report generation using fewer human resources, increasing the team’s capacity for value-added analysis. According to UiPath’s official reports, the firm achieved over 5 million hours of annual time savings globally, evidencing the transformative role of RPA in streamlining financial reporting cycles.

3.2 Reducing Human Error

UiPath adoption also significantly reduced human error in PwC’s financial reporting processes. Previously, manual data entry led to frequent calculation and formatting errors—especially during consolidation, posting, and internal review stages. After deploying UiPath bots, error rates reportedly declined by up to 85%, thanks to automation’s precision and adherence to pre-programmed accounting rules (UiPath, 2021, p. 4). This improved accuracy strengthened report reliability and reduced the need for manual corrections, freeing time for higher-level analysis and compliance checks. A report by (Netherlands, 2020) highlighted that automated data verification decreased the need for post-processing adjustments and improved the overall quality of internal and external reporting.

Table number (2): Comparison of Human Error Rates Before and After UiPath Implementation at PwC

Error Type	Before RPA	After RPA	Remarks
Data entry errors	6.2%	0.9%	Automated migration and input
Formula and logic errors	4.5%	1.2%	Automated validation of functions
Posting errors between tables	3.8%	0.5%	Standardized templates used
Post-review corrections	7.1%	1.4%	Enhanced initial data quality

Source: Compiled by the author based on (UiPath, 2021, p. 4)

As shown above, all four error categories saw reductions above 70%, confirming RPA’s role in mitigating human input mistakes. The most significant improvement occurred in data entry, which dropped from 6.2% to 0.9%. Similarly, post-review adjustments fell by over 80%, highlighting better quality at earlier reporting stages. These improvements enhance compliance with IFRS and support report credibility in a multinational context like PwC.

3.3 Cost Reduction

One of the key objectives of RPA implementation is cost optimization. PwC’s experience with UiPath resulted in substantial savings. According to (UiPath, 2021, p. 5), the deployment of bots in finance departments reduced operational costs—particularly those tied to repetitive human labor.

Post-implementation data indicate annual cost reductions exceeding 40%, driven by less reliance on manual work, improved productivity, and resource reallocation to high-value tasks. Additionally, RPA helped cut indirect costs associated with human errors and reporting delays (PwC US, 2020).

Table number (3): Financial Reporting Cost Comparison Before and After UiPath at PwC

Cost Category	Before UiPath (Annual)	After UiPath (Annual)	Change	Notes
Manual labor costs	\$5.2 million	\$2.8 million	–46.2% ↓	Over 50% reduction in manual hours
Error correction costs	\$1.1 million	\$0.4 million	–63.6% ↓	Improved data accuracy
Report delay penalties	\$0.6 million	\$0.1 million	–83.3% ↓	Faster cycles
Training on manual processes	\$0.35 million	\$0.15 million	–57.1% ↓	Reduced need for onboarding

Source: Compiled by the author based on (UiPath, 2021, p. 5)

Manual labor cost showed the highest reduction, affirming the operational efficiency of automation. Error-related costs also dropped significantly, reinforcing that better data quality leads to financial savings. Notably, the 83.3% drop in delay-related costs underscores UiPath’s role in accelerating reporting and enhancing agility. The lower training expenses reflect a longer-term structural shift in financial workforce roles.

3.4 Enhancing Report Quality

Another major benefit of RPA in finance is improved report quality. At PwC, UiPath reduced discrepancies from manual processing and boosted the consistency and reliability of reports delivered to stakeholders.

According to (UiPath, 2021, p. 6), automated verification mechanisms within bots enhanced compliance with IFRS and internal audit standards—reaching 95% compliance. Report formatting became more consistent, and common manual errors in calculations and structuring were largely eliminated.

Table number (4): Improvement in Report Quality Before and After UiPath at PwC

Quality Indicator	Before UiPath	After UiPath	Improvement	Notes
Compliance with IFRS/ISA	84%	95%	+13% ↑	Higher precision and regulatory alignment
Numerical errors per 100 reports	6.2	1.1	−82.3% ↓	Automation eliminates copy/paste issues
Global consistency across branches	71%	93%	+22% ↑	Standardized report templates
Internal user satisfaction	78%	92%	+14% ↑	Based on internal survey results

Source: Compiled by the author based on (UiPath, 2021, p. 6)

The 13% increase in compliance reflects strong alignment with international standards. A drop of over 82% in numerical errors indicates that automated tools substantially improved data verification. The rise in consistency across global branches points to effective template deployment, while user satisfaction suggests higher trust in the reporting process.

3.5 Improving Control and Transparency

Effective internal controls and financial transparency are cornerstones of corporate governance. UiPath enhanced PwC’s capabilities in real-time monitoring and validation across multiple reporting tasks, thereby boosting audit quality and traceability.

According to (UiPath, 2021, p. 7), automation enabled 100% traceability of financial transactions, compared to only 65% under traditional systems. Response times to detected anomalies were significantly improved, and the audit trail became fully digital.

Table number (5): Control and Transparency Indicators Before and After UiPath at PwC

Control Indicator	Before UiPath	After UiPath	Improvement	Notes
Full transaction traceability	65%	100%	+35% ↑	Automated logs enable full tracking
Monthly non-compliance cases	12	4	−66.7% ↓	Prevention through early detection
Audit response time (hours)	72	18	−75% ↓	Instant analysis and alerts
Fully digitized transaction records	58%	96%	+38% ↑	Centralized electronic documentation

Source: Compiled by the author based on (UiPath, 2021, p. 7) and (Institute of Internal Auditors, 2022, pp. 9-10)

The shift from 65% to full transaction traceability represents a qualitative leap in transparency. Fewer non-compliance cases reflect more effective early controls. A 75% improvement in audit responsiveness allows faster decisions and reduced exposure to financial risks. Finally, 96% digital documentation shows UiPath’s role in building an auditable and integrated digital finance environment.

4. Discussion

This section provides a broader interpretation of the results, links the findings to the literature, and explores the practical implications of RPA adoption using the PwC–UiPath case.

4.1 Interpretation of Findings

The findings confirm that UiPath implementation led to transformative improvements in financial reporting efficiency. The results include reduced time, lower error rates, cost savings, enhanced quality, and stronger internal control—highlighting RPA as a strategic enabler of accounting information systems.

These results support (Moffitt, Rozario , & Vasarhelyi, 2018, p. 92), who argue that “digital transformation in accounting goes beyond automation—it redefines the foundation of financial decision-making by providing real-time, reliable information.” PwC’s experience, with over

80% reduction in reporting time, validates this shift toward agile, responsive reporting frameworks.

Similarly, the dramatic drop in error rates (–82.3%) supports (Fernandez & Aman, 2020, p. 104), who emphasized that RPA tools improve not only accuracy but also compliance by reducing human bias. UiPath adoption at PwC was not merely a technical solution but a managerial decision aligned with broader digital governance goals.

4.2 Alignment with Previous Literature

This study aligns with contemporary literature on accounting automation, particularly studies noting RPA’s role in reshaping accounting functions (Sutton, Holt, & Arnold, 2021). However, it adds new applied value by analyzing a real-world use case in a top-tier global firm.

While earlier studies (e.g., (Smith A. , 2019)) focused on RPA’s financial feasibility, this research contributes by examining operational performance indicators in PwC’s actual context, thus offering empirical insights not previously addressed in academic literature.

Moreover, unlike generic evaluations of RPA adoption, this study specifically demonstrates how the integration of UiPath reshaped end-to-end reporting processes. For example, while (Moffitt, Rozario , & Vasarhelyi, 2018) emphasize informational reliability, our findings show quantifiable efficiency gains, which is less explored in prior research. This bridges the gap between theoretical expectations and operational results.

In contrast to the findings of (Chang et al., 2020), who reported marginal gains in SME contexts using open-source RPA tools, PwC’s enterprise-level implementation of UiPath yielded transformative outcomes, emphasizing the role of scale and integration depth.

4.3 Strategic Implications of UiPath in PwC

The results show that PwC’s adoption of UiPath was not purely technical but part of a broader digital transformation strategy. By unifying processes across branches, enabling real-time control, and reallocating human resources to analytical tasks, RPA was leveraged to strengthen global competitiveness.

These insights align with (Gartner, Market Guide for RPA in Finance Operations, 2022) annual report on intelligent automation, which indicated that firms deploying RPA in financial domains typically achieve 30–50% improvements in resource efficiency and faster period-end closings.

Additionally, PwC’s experience illustrates that RPA adoption can drive cultural change in finance departments—redefining roles, improving cross-functional collaboration, and fostering a data-driven decision culture.

4.4 Study Limitations and Challenges

Despite the benefits, several limitations should be noted:

- The analysis relies solely on secondary data, with no field validation;
- The study does not address the human workforce implications or emerging accounting skill requirements;
- Some potential bias may exist in corporate reports from UiPath or PwC aimed at marketing outcomes.

Furthermore, the study focuses on a single organization, which may limit the generalizability of findings to other sectors or firms with different automation maturity levels. Future studies should consider cross-sector analysis with mixed methods.

4.5. Practical Implications for Researchers and Practitioners

If applied strategically, RPA can increase the added value of financial departments. For researchers, this study opens pathways for comparative analysis across sectors. For financial managers, it provides practical evidence supporting digital investment decisions guided by ROI rather than purely operational improvements.

At the policy level, regulators should consider issuing updated guidelines on how to audit and interpret financial reports generated or influenced by RPA tools. Standard-setting bodies should consider issuing explicit guidance on how automated entries and adjustments generated by RPA tools should be recognized, measured, and disclosed under IFRS and GAAP.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

In light of the data analyzed, this conclusion seeks to provide clear answers to the research hypotheses, summarize the study's main findings, and highlight the practical implications of robotic process automation (RPA) in the financial domain. It also outlines recommendations aimed at enhancing the adoption of RPA technologies in financial institutions and offers future perspectives for researchers and decision-makers in the field of digital accounting.

5.1 Conclusion

This study aimed to assess the impact of using **UiPath (RPA)** on the efficiency of financial reporting within **PwC** through an analytical case study based on published data. Based on the analyzed indicators and evidence, the research hypotheses can be addressed as follows:

- **Main Hypothesis:**

UiPath (RPA) has a positive impact on the efficiency of financial reporting at PwC — **confirmed**.

- **Sub-Hypotheses:**

- **H1:** UiPath contributes to reducing the time required for financial report preparation.

Confirmed by data showing a reduction of over 80% in the time needed to prepare monthly reports and a decrease in daily processing time from 6 hours to less than 2 (UiPath, 2021).

- **H2:** UiPath reduces the rate of human error in financial reports.

Confirmed by a significant drop in reporting errors, owing to the precision of automated bots and the elimination of manual processing in various stages.

- **H3:** UiPath improves the quality and accuracy of financial reports.

The study showed enhanced compliance with international standards (IFRS, GAAP), along with greater auditability and traceability, indicating qualitative improvements in report quality.

- **H4:** UiPath helps reduce the costs associated with report preparation.

Confirmed by published data showing annual savings of millions of dollars due to reduced manual labor and error correction costs.

- **H5:** UiPath enhances internal control and transparency in financial reporting processes.

Supported by observations of the system's ability to record transactions and offer dashboards that enable real-time oversight and continuous analysis.

From this analytical case study, based on public data and official reports, the findings reveal that integrating robotic automation technologies led to:

- A **substantial improvement in time efficiency** (over 80% reduction in monthly report preparation time);
- A **significant reduction in human error** rates;
- A **notable decrease in operational costs** related to financial reporting;
- **Improved report quality and compliance** with international standards;
- **Enhanced internal control and transparency** in financial operations.

These outcomes reflect the **strategic role** that artificial intelligence can play in finance and accounting, especially when embedded within a broader digital transformation framework, as demonstrated in PwC's case.

5.2 Recommendations

First: For Practitioners (Companies and Organizations)

- Assess the readiness of current financial systems to adopt RPA, focusing on security, infrastructure, and employee training;
- Launch pilot automation projects in departments with repetitive tasks (e.g., monthly reporting) before company-wide implementation;
- Regularly measure impact using KPIs such as turnaround time, error rates, and labor costs.

Second: For Researchers and Academics

- Broaden studies to include comparative analysis between different institutions or RPA platforms;
- Investigate the social and professional impact of automation on accountants' skills and roles;
- Employ more advanced quantitative and experimental methods (e.g., econometrics or longitudinal studies) to increase result accuracy.

Third: For Government and Regulatory Policymakers

- Update accounting and regulatory frameworks to reflect digital automation realities;
- Support SMEs in accessing RPA technologies through financial and tax incentives;
- Integrate educational modules on accounting automation into university curricula and continuing education programs.

6. Bibliography

- Gartner. (2022). *Market Guide for RPA in Finance Operations*. Retrieved from Gartner Research: <https://www.gartner.com/en/documents>
- Deloitte. (2018). *The robots are ready. Are you?* Retrieved from Untapped advantage in your digital workforce: <https://www2.deloitte.com/insights/us/en/focus/signals-for-strategists/intelligent-automation-rpa.html>
- Institute of Internal Auditors. (2022). *The Rise of RPA in Audit Functions*. Institute of Internal Auditors.
- UiPath. (2021). *PwC: Global Professional Services Firm Saves Millions of Hours With Automation*. Retrieved from

<https://www.uipath.com/resources/automation-case-studies/pwc-digital-transformation>

- Gartner. (2021). *AI in Manufacturing: Opportunities and Risks*. Gartner Research.
- Smith, A. (2019). The cost-benefit analysis of robotic process automation in finance. *Accounting Today*, 4(33), 22–26.
- Sutton, S., Holt, M., & Arnold, V. (2021). The changing role of the accountant in an RPA world: A research agenda. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*.
- Fernandez, D., & Aman, A. (2020). Impacts of robotic process automation on global accounting services. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 1(5), 100–112.
- Moffitt, K., Rozario, A., & Vasarhelyi, M. (2018). Robotic process automation for auditing. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, 1(15), 89–98.
- Asatiani, A., & Penttinen, E. (2016). Turning robotic process automation into commercial success – Case OpusCapita. *Journal of Information Technology Teaching Cases*, 2(6), 67–74.
- Willcocks, L., Lacity, M., & Craig, A. (2015). *The IT function and robotic process automation*. Retrieved from The Outsourcing Unit Working Research Paper Series: <http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/64520/>
- Ghosh, R. (2020). *RPA in finance: Automating reporting processes*. Retrieved from Deloitte Insights: <https://www2.deloitte.com>
- Fernández, D., & Aman, A. (2020). Impact of RPA implementation on financial performance and reporting accuracy. *Journal of Accounting and Organizational Change*, 3(16), 377–396.
- Brougham, D., & Haar, J. (2018). Smart technology, artificial intelligence, robotics, and algorithms (STARA): Employees’ perceptions of our future workplace. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 239-257.
- Lacity, M., & Willcocks, L. (2018). Robotic Process Automation: The Next Transformation Lever for Shared Services. *Journal of Information Technology Teaching Cases*, 8(1), pp. 13–25.
- Aguirre, S., & Rodriguez, A. (2017). Automation in financial services: How RPA is transforming finance departments. In *Proceedings of the Future Technologies Conference (FTC)*. Springer.
- Syed, R., Bandara, W., French, E., Stewart, G., & Fielt, E. (2020). Robotic Process Automation: Contemporary themes and challenges. *Computers in Industry*, pp. 115-162.

- van, d., & W. M. P. . (2016). Process Mining: Data Science in Action. 2, pp. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-49851-4>.
- Jean-Claude, J. (2012). Les fondements de l'analyse financière. France, Université de NICE-LAE.
- Bouquin, H. (2000). *Le Contrôle de Gestion*. Paris: PUF.
- Anthony, R., & Govindarajan, V. (2014). *Management Control Systems*. McGraw Hill.
- Horngren, C., T, D., & Rajan, M. (2021). *Cost Accounting: A Managerial Emphasis*. Pearson.
- Alazard, C., & Sépari, S. (2019). *Contrôle de Gestion*. Paris: Dunod.
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies. W. W. Norton & Company.
- Porter, M. (1985). Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance. *Free Press*.
- Juran, J. (1999). Juran's Quality Handbook. *McGraw-Hill*.
- Crosby, P. (1979). Quality is Free: The Art of Making Quality Certain. *McGraw-Hill*.
- Kumar, S., & Kakkar, M. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Manufacturing: A Case Study of Defect Detection Systems. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 127-142.
- Huang, X. (2022). AI for Business: Competitive Advantages in Manufacturing. *Journal of Technology Management*, 72-85.
- Nakajima, S. (1988). Introduction to TPM: Total Productive Maintenance. *Productivity Press*.
- Lee, H., & Kim, J. (2019). The Impact of Predictive Maintenance on Operational Efficiency in Manufacturing. *Journal of Industrial Maintenance*, pp. 34-47.
- Williams, P., & Davis, R. (2020). Cost Optimization through AI in Manufacturing: A Comparative Study of Traditional and Modern Systems. *Journal of Applied Financial Management*, pp. 50-70.
- Tesla, I. (2023). *Annual Report 2023*. www.tesla.com.
- Anderson, L., & Brown, M. (2021). Performance Measurement in the Automotive Industry: Traditional vs. AI-driven Systems. *journal of Manufacturing Efficiency*, pp. 115-130.

- Smith, J., & Johnson, R. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Manufacturing: A Case Study of Tesla's Gigafactory. *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Technology*, pp. 75-90.
- Netherlands, P. (2020). Retrieved from Saving time by robotising data processing: <https://www.pwc.nl>