

Volume 14 / Issue: 01 (28) 2026	Received: 21 / 05 / 2026	Accepted: 01 / 06 / 2026	Published: 30 / 06 / 2026	Pages: 153– 175
---------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------

Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives

Mounira Gourari	University of Biskra-Mohamed Khider, (Algeria)	<u>E-mail :</u> mounira.gourari@univ-biskra.dz
-----------------	--	--

Abstract:

This study analyzes cultural entrepreneurship in Algeria through anthropological, economic, behavioral, and institutional perspectives. It examines how cultural values, social norms, identity, religion, authority relations, and risk perception influence entrepreneurial intentions, innovation, and business performance. Based on a qualitative analytical approach using secondary data, academic literature, and contextual observation, the research reviews major theoretical contributions, including Schumpeter's innovation theory, Hofstede's cultural dimensions, Bourdieu's forms of capital, and Geertz's interpretive anthropology. The findings show that although Algeria has developed formal policies and support programs for entrepreneurship, informal cultural patterns continue to shape individual economic behavior and entrepreneurial decision-making. The study concludes that sustainable entrepreneurial development requires more than economic support; it needs culturally sensitive policies, stronger institutional trust, and education that recognizes the social and symbolic dimensions of entrepreneurship.

Keywords : Cultural Entrepreneurship, Algeria, Social Capital, Institutional Trust, Entrepreneurial Culture.

Title of the Article: Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives.	The Author: Mounira Gourari	Volume : 14 / Issue : 01 (28) / 2026	Pages : 153- 175
---	------------------------------------	--	-------------------------

▪ **Introduction:**

Entrepreneurship has emerged as one of the most significant drivers of economic growth, social mobility, and innovation in contemporary societies. At the macro level, it is associated with job creation, competitive advantage, and technological transformation. At the micro level, entrepreneurship reflects individual agency, cultural values, and social identity. This dual nature—simultaneously economic and cultural—makes entrepreneurship a particularly rich subject for interdisciplinary inquiry, especially when examined through an anthropological lens.

The relationship between culture and entrepreneurship has gained considerable scholarly attention over the past three decades. Foundational contributions by “Shane” (1993), “Freytag and Thurik” (2010), and “Hofstede” (1980) have established that entrepreneurial activity varies systematically across societies in ways that cannot be explained by economic variables alone. Cultural dimensions such as individualism, uncertainty avoidance, power distance, and long-term orientation shape the social conditions under which individuals are likely—or unlikely—to pursue entrepreneurial action.

Algeria presents an especially instructive case for this kind of analysis. As a North African country with a complex postcolonial history, a predominantly Islamic cultural framework, a tribal and collective social structure, and a state-led economic tradition, Algeria embodies a set of cultural tensions that profoundly shape its entrepreneurial landscape. Despite significant government investment in entrepreneurship support programs, youth unemployment remains high, and the private sector has struggled to diversify beyond oil and gas dependency. Understanding why requires moving beyond macroeconomic analysis and engaging with the cultural and anthropological dimensions of entrepreneurial life in Algeria.

This Article seeks to fill a gap in the existing literature by providing an in-depth, theoretically grounded anthropological analysis of cultural entrepreneurship in Algeria. It draws on a wide range of theoretical frameworks—from classical economic theory to cultural anthropology, sociology of practice, and institutional economics—to develop a comprehensive picture of the relationship between culture and entrepreneurship in this context. The study argues that entrepreneurial behavior in Algeria is not simply constrained by lack of capital or inadequate policy frameworks, but is deeply embedded in cultural systems of meaning, social obligations, and historical experience

Title of the Article: Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives.	The Author: Mounira Gourari	Volume : 14 / Issue : 01 (28) / 2026	Pages : 153- 175
---	------------------------------------	--	-------------------------

that shape what entrepreneurship means, who can become an entrepreneur, and how entrepreneurial success is defined and legitimized.

The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents a comprehensive review of the literature on entrepreneurship theory and cultural approaches. Section 3 outlines the anthropological and theoretical frameworks guiding the analysis. Section 4 examines the methodology. Section 5 provides a detailed analysis of cultural entrepreneurship in Algeria, including its historical context, current challenges, and emerging opportunities. Section 6 discusses the implications of the findings. Section 7 concludes with recommendations for policy and future research.

I. Literature Review:

1)- Classical Theories of Entrepreneurship:

The intellectual history of entrepreneurship theory spans several centuries and disciplines. **“Richard Cantillon” (1755)** is credited with one of the earliest systematic treatments of the entrepreneur, whom he conceptualized as a rational risk-bearer who purchases goods at known prices and sells them at uncertain future prices. For Cantillon, the entrepreneur’s function was fundamentally economic: to navigate market uncertainty and coordinate productive activity in conditions of imperfect information.

“Jean-Baptiste Say” (1803) extended this framework by positioning the entrepreneur as a coordinator of productive factors—land, labor, and capital—whose judgment and organizational capacity transform inputs into outputs. Say’s entrepreneur was an active agent, not merely a passive bearer of risk, whose utility lay in combining resources in novel and productive configurations. This emphasis on active coordination would later influence process-based and resource-based theories of entrepreneurship.

“Joseph Schumpeter” (1934, 1955) introduced the most influential conceptual innovation in entrepreneurship theory with his idea of **“creative destruction”**. For Schumpeter, the entrepreneur was not simply a risk-bearer or coordinator, but a revolutionary figure who disrupts existing economic equilibria through radical innovation. New products, new processes, new markets, and new organizational forms destroy old ones, driving cycles of economic growth and transformation. Schumpeter’s vision was explicitly dynamic and historical: entrepreneurship was the engine of capitalism’s perpetual self-transformation.

“Israel Kirzner” (1973) offered a contrasting perspective within the Austrian tradition. While Schumpeter emphasized radical discontinuity, “Kirzner” focused on

Title of the Article: Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives.	The Author: Mounira Gourari	Volume : 14 / Issue : 01 (28) / 2026	Pages : 153- 175
---	------------------------------------	--	-------------------------

alertness—the entrepreneur’s capacity to perceive and exploit arbitrage opportunities that others overlook. This vision of entrepreneurship as discovery rather than creation has important implications for cultural analysis: if alertness is culturally conditioned, then the capacity to recognize opportunities may vary systematically across cultural settings.

2)- Behavioral and Psychological Approaches

Behavioral approaches to entrepreneurship shifted the analytical focus from market processes to individual psychology. “**David McClelland’s**” (1961) concept of the “**Need for achievement**” (nAch) became one of the most influential frameworks in this tradition. “**McClelland**” argued that societies with higher levels of achievement motivation produce more entrepreneurial activity and economic growth. Crucially, he demonstrated that achievement motivation is culturally variable—shaped by child-rearing practices, educational values, and religious traditions. This finding anticipated later anthropological and cultural approaches by linking entrepreneurial behavior to socialization processes and cultural transmission.

Subsequent behavioral research expanded “McClelland’s” framework to include other psychological traits associated with entrepreneurship: risk tolerance (Brockhaus, 1980), locus of control (Rotter, 1966), self-efficacy (Bandura, 1997; Zhao, Seibert & Lumpkin, 2010), and entrepreneurial self-efficacy (Chen, Greene & Crick, 1998). These traits are not purely individual: they are shaped by social learning, cultural norms, and institutional environments. An individual’s willingness to assume risk, for example, depends not only on their personality but on whether their cultural context valorizes risk-taking or stigmatizes failure.

“**Edwin Locke**” (2004) emphasized the motivational and cognitive dimensions of entrepreneurial action, arguing that goals, values, and efficacy beliefs mediate the relationship between personality traits and entrepreneurial behavior. This framework is particularly relevant for understanding Algerian entrepreneurship, where social values—including family obligations, religious ethics, and collective honor—may modify or constrain individual entrepreneurial goals.

3)- Process and Systems Approaches:

“**William Gartner’s**” (1988) landmark article “Who is an entrepreneur? Is the Wrong Question” redirected entrepreneurship research from traits to processes. Gartner argued that entrepreneurship is best understood as a set of behaviors and actions involved in creating new organizations, rather than as a property of specific

Title of the Article: Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives.	The Author: Mounira Gourari	Volume : 14 / Issue : 01 (28) / 2026	Pages : 153- 175
---	------------------------------------	--	-------------------------

individuals. This processual view opened entrepreneurship research to sociological and anthropological perspectives that emphasize context, interaction, and emergence.

“Shane” and “Venkataraman’s” (2000) influential framework defined entrepreneurship as the nexus of opportunities and individuals. Opportunities exist in the environment, but their recognition and exploitation depend on individual knowledge, cognition, and social networks. This framework has been widely used to analyze how cultural factors shape opportunity recognition and the decision to exploit opportunities entrepreneurially.

“Fayolle” (2005) proposed a holistic model of the entrepreneurial process that integrates individual, environmental, and processual dimensions. His work emphasized the importance of entrepreneurial education and the role of universities in shaping entrepreneurial intentions, which is particularly relevant to the Algerian context where higher education institutions are increasingly tasked with promoting entrepreneurial culture.

4)- Cultural and Anthropological Approaches:

The cultural turn in entrepreneurship research was marked by “Geert Hofstede’s” (1980) seminal work on cultural dimensions and their effects on work-related values. “Hofstede” identified four original dimensions—power distance, individualism-collectivism, uncertainty avoidance, and masculinity-femininity—and later added long-term orientation and indulgence. These dimensions have been widely used to explain cross-national differences in entrepreneurial activity.

Several dimensions are particularly relevant to the Algerian case. High power distance implies strong deference to authority and may inhibit the autonomous decision-making associated with entrepreneurship. High uncertainty avoidance is associated with preference for stable, secure employment over risky entrepreneurial ventures. And collectivism—the preference for group interests over individual achievement—may conflict with the individualistic ethos often associated with Western entrepreneurship models, even as it provides social capital and family support networks that can facilitate entrepreneurial action.

“Shane” (1993) demonstrated empirically that cultural values explain a significant portion of cross-national variation in innovative activity and entrepreneurship rates. His findings showed that individualism, uncertainty avoidance, power distance, and masculinity all significantly predict national rates of innovation. This work established a rigorous empirical foundation for culturally grounded entrepreneurship research.

Title of the Article: Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives.	The Author: Mounira Gourari	Volume : 14 / Issue : 01 (28) / 2026	Pages : 153- 175
---	------------------------------------	--	-------------------------

“Freytag” and “Thurik” (2010) conducted a comprehensive review of the relationship between culture and entrepreneurship, synthesizing findings from Hofstede’s framework with empirical data on self-employment and new venture creation. They concluded that cultural factors are robust predictors of entrepreneurial activity even after controlling for economic and institutional variables, underscoring the independent causal role of culture in shaping entrepreneurial ecosystems.

Anthropological contributions to entrepreneurship research have gone further, examining the symbolic, relational, and meaning-making dimensions of economic action. “Clifford Geertz’s” (1973) interpretive anthropology provided tools for reading economic behavior as culturally embedded practice—as action that is not merely instrumentally rational but expressive of deeper values and identities. His work on bazaar economies in Morocco demonstrated how market exchange is organized not only by price signals but by trust, reputation, kinship, and symbolic exchange.

“Pierre Bourdieu’s” (1986) theory of capital offers another foundational framework for cultural entrepreneurship analysis. “Bourdieu” distinguished between economic capital, social capital (networks and relationships), cultural capital (knowledge, skills, and credentials), and symbolic capital (recognition and prestige). These forms of capital are not independent: they are convertible into one another through complex social processes. For entrepreneurship in Algeria, Bourdieu’s framework suggests that access to economic capital (financing) is mediated by social capital (wasta or connections) and cultural capital (education and credentials), and that entrepreneurial success confers symbolic capital that may be recognized differently in different social fields.

“Gabriel Tarde’s” (1903) theory of imitation and invention, while less frequently cited in contemporary entrepreneurship research, anticipated key insights of cultural approaches by arguing that social innovation spreads through processes of imitation mediated by social networks and cultural values. More recently, institutionalist anthropologists such as “Mary Douglas” (1986) and anthropologists of development like “James Ferguson” (1994) have examined how institutional structures shape and constrain individual agency in ways that cannot be reduced to individual rationality.

5)- Institutional Theory and Entrepreneurship:

“North’s” (1990) distinction between formal institutions (laws, regulations, property rights) and informal institutions (norms, conventions, cultures) provides a crucial framework for understanding the Algerian entrepreneurial context. “North”

Title of the Article: Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives.	The Author: Mounira Gourari	Volume : 14 / Issue : 01 (28) / 2026	Pages : 153- 175
---	------------------------------------	--	-------------------------

argued that economic performance is determined not only by the quality of formal institutions but by the alignment between formal rules and informal cultural norms. When formal institutions are imported or imposed without regard for existing cultural logics, they may be systematically circumvented or captured by informal practices.

“Scott’s” (1995) three pillars of institutions—regulatory, normative, and cognitive—add further nuance. Regulatory institutions operate through coercive rules and enforcement. Normative institutions operate through social obligations and professional norms. Cognitive institutions operate through taken-for-granted categories and assumptions. All three dimensions are relevant to Algerian entrepreneurship: the regulatory environment shapes formal business creation, normative pressures shape the social legitimacy of entrepreneurship, and cognitive frameworks shape what it means to be an entrepreneur in Algerian society.

“Etzioni’s” (1987) work on entrepreneurship, adaptation, and legitimation emphasized that entrepreneurs must navigate not only market conditions but social expectations. Legitimation—the process of gaining social acceptance and recognition—is culturally specific. What makes an entrepreneur legitimate in one cultural context may render them suspect in another. In Algeria, the legitimacy of entrepreneurial activity is shaped by Islamic ethics of commerce, collective values of solidarity, and historical experiences of economic exploitation under colonialism.

II. Theoretical Framework: An Anthropological Approach to Cultural Entrepreneurship

1) Culture as a Constitutive Force:

The theoretical framework guiding this analysis treats culture not as a background variable but as a constitutive force that shapes the very categories through which entrepreneurial action is conceived, evaluated, and enacted. This position follows “Geertz’s (1973)” definition of culture as “the webs of significance” that human beings spin and within which they are suspended—a framework of meaning that makes action intelligible and communication possible.

From this perspective, entrepreneurship is not a universal rational activity that culture either facilitates or inhibits. Rather, entrepreneurship is itself a cultural practice—a way of acting in the world that is shaped by particular historical experiences, social relationships, symbolic systems, and moral frameworks. The entrepreneur is not an abstract economic agent but a culturally embedded social actor

Title of the Article: Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives.	The Author: Mounira Gourari	Volume : 14 / Issue : 01 (28) / 2026	Pages : 153- 175
---	------------------------------------	--	-------------------------

whose behavior reflects and reproduces the cultural logics of their society.

2) Bourdieu's Field Theory:

“**Bourdieu’s**” field theory provides a powerful framework for analyzing cultural entrepreneurship in Algeria. A field is a structured social space in which agents compete for valued resources (capital) according to implicit rules of the game (doxa). The economic field, the educational field, and the political field are distinct but intersecting arenas of social practice, each with its own logic and its own forms of capital.

Algerian entrepreneurs operate within a complex configuration of overlapping fields. The economic field is shaped by the dominance of the state sector, the weakness of formal credit markets, and the prevalence of informal economic activity. The political field is characterized by strong state intervention and weak civil society; the social field is organized around kinship, tribal affiliation, and regional identity; the religious field provides moral frameworks that both legitimate and constrain certain forms of economic activity.

“**Bourdieu’s**” concept of habitus—the system of durable dispositions that individuals acquire through socialization—is particularly useful for understanding why cultural change in entrepreneurial behavior is slow and uneven. The habitus of risk aversion, deference to authority, and preference for collective security is not simply a rational response to structural constraints but a deeply ingrained set of perceptual and evaluative schemes that shape how individuals perceive opportunities, assess risks, and make decisions. Changing entrepreneurial culture therefore requires not just changing incentive structures but transforming the habitus through sustained processes of socialization and education.

3) Hofstede’s Cultural Dimensions Applied to Algeria:

Using Hofstede’s cultural dimensions framework, Algeria can be characterized as follows. It exhibits high power distance, reflecting hierarchical social structures and strong deference to authority—both political and familial. It shows high uncertainty avoidance, consistent with cultural preferences for security, stability, and predictability. It is strongly collectivist, with group loyalty and family solidarity taking precedence over individual achievement. While comprehensive Hofstede data for Algeria is limited, comparative studies of Arab cultures and contextual observations support these characterizations. These dimensions have specific implications for entrepreneurship

High power distance may inhibit the autonomous initiative required for entrepreneurship, while also creating opportunities for entrepreneurship within family or patronage networks where authority is clearly established. High uncertainty avoidance may explain the strong preference for public sector employment and the reluctance to invest in risky ventures, particularly without strong social guarantees. Collectivism may reduce individual entrepreneurial initiative while simultaneously providing the social capital—networks of family support, informal credit, and collective risk-sharing—that can facilitate small-scale entrepreneurship.

4) The Anthropology of Islamic Economic Ethics:

Any anthropological analysis of Algerian entrepreneurship must engage seriously with Islamic economic ethics, which constitute a fundamental dimension of the cultural context. Islam does not prohibit commerce or entrepreneurship; on the contrary, the Prophet Muhammad was himself a merchant, and Islamic tradition includes a rich body of commercial law (fiqh al-muamalat) that governs economic exchange. However, Islamic ethics impose specific constraints on economic activity that differ from those of secular market economies.

The prohibition of riba (usury or interest) is the most significant constraint on entrepreneurial finance within Islamic frameworks. While Islamic finance has developed alternative instruments (murabaha, ijara, musharaka) that comply with this prohibition, access to Sharia-compliant financial products remains limited in Algeria, particularly for small and medium enterprises. This creates a structural tension between the formal banking system, which is organized around interest-bearing credit, and Islamic cultural norms that discourage reliance on such instruments.

Islamic values of mutual assistance (ta'awun) solidarity, honesty (sidq), and justice ('adl) provide a positive ethical foundation for entrepreneurship oriented toward social benefit rather than purely individual accumulation. The concept of waqf (charitable endowment) represents a traditional Islamic institution for mobilizing private resources for public purposes, which some scholars have proposed as a model for sustainable entrepreneurial finance. The Islamic work ethic—which valorizes effort, diligence, and stewardship of divine gifts—can be a powerful cultural resource for entrepreneurial motivation when properly understood and mobilized.

III. Methodology:

1. Research Design:

This study employs a qualitative interpretive methodology grounded in the anthropological tradition of thick description and contextual analysis (Geertz (1973)). Rather than seeking to establish statistical correlations between cultural variables and entrepreneurial outcomes, the study aims to provide a theoretically informed understanding of the cultural logics that shape entrepreneurial practice in Algeria. This approach is appropriate given the complexity and embeddedness of the phenomena under study and the limitations of existing quantitative data on Algerian entrepreneurship.

The study is based on a comprehensive and systematic review of secondary sources, including peer-reviewed academic articles in entrepreneurship, anthropology, sociology, economics, and development studies; reports published by Algerian governmental institutions, particularly the National Office of Statistics (ONS), and international organizations such as the World Bank, the OECD, and the Global Entrepreneurship Monitor (GEM). In addition, the study draws on reports and research produced by the Research Center in Applied Economics for Development (CREAD), as well as contextual materials, including ethnographic studies of Algerian society and the national economy and selected articles published in Algerian peer-reviewed journals.

This methodological approach follows the tradition of documentary analysis in social science research (Bowen, (2009); Scott, (1990)) and is consistent with systematic literature review practices that emphasize the rigorous identification, evaluation, and synthesis of existing knowledge (Tranfield et al., 2003; Snyder, 2019). Furthermore, the use of secondary data to construct and refine conceptual frameworks is well established in management and entrepreneurship research (Eisenhardt (1989); Webster & Watson (2002)). The collected sources were critically analyzed, compared, and synthesized to identify recurring themes, establish conceptual relationships, and develop an integrated framework for understanding cultural entrepreneurship in the Algerian context.

2. Bis Central Research Question and Sub-Questions:

To sharpen the analytical focus of the study, the analysis is organized around the following central research question:

Title of the Article: Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives.	The Author: Mounira Gourari	Volume : 14 / Issue : 01 (28) / 2026	Pages : 153- 175
---	------------------------------------	--	-------------------------

- How do cultural, institutional, and religious systems of meaning in Algeria shape entrepreneurial intentions, the recognition of economic opportunities, and the social legitimization of entrepreneurial action?

This central question is addressed through four subsidiary research questions that structure the empirical sections of the paper (Section 5):

SQ1: How does the postcolonial identity paradox between collectivist Arab-Islamic values and individualistic entrepreneurial norms shape Algerian entrepreneurs' self-perception and strategic choices?

SQ2: What role do social capital and wasta play in mediating access to entrepreneurial opportunities and resources in Algeria?

SQ3: How do gender norms shape the strategies that Algerian women entrepreneurs adopt to gain economic and social legitimacy?

SQ4: To what extent can digital entrepreneurship circumvent the traditional cultural and institutional barriers facing Algerian youth entrepreneurs?

3. Analytical Approach:

The analysis proceeds through several complementary strategies. First, a historical contextualization traces the development of entrepreneurial culture in Algeria from the precolonial period through colonization, independence, and the contemporary era of economic liberalization. Second, a theoretical triangulation applies multiple theoretical frameworks—Hofstede's cultural dimensions, Bourdieu's field theory, North's institutional theory, and anthropological theories of Islamic economic ethics—to the Algerian case. Third, a comparative perspective draws on studies of entrepreneurship in other North African and Arab countries to identify contextually specific and regionally shared patterns.

The interpretive analysis seeks to identify the cultural logics—the implicit assumptions, values, and meaning-frameworks—that shape entrepreneurial behavior in Algeria. This requires attending to what is said and what is left unsaid in formal policy documents; to the gap between official entrepreneurship discourse and the everyday experience of Algerian entrepreneurs; and to the ways in which cultural norms are reproduced, negotiated, and transformed in the context of economic change.

4. Scope and Limitations:

The study focuses on cultural entrepreneurship in Algeria with particular attention to the contemporary period (2000-2026), though historical contextualization extends further. The analysis does not claim to be exhaustive or definitive; Algeria is a large and diverse country, and entrepreneurial cultures vary significantly across regions, social classes, generational cohorts, and sectors. Future empirical research—ideally including ethnographic fieldwork with Algerian entrepreneurs—is needed to test and refine the theoretical framework developed here.

A key limitation is the scarcity of high-quality empirical data on cultural attitudes toward entrepreneurship in Algeria specifically. Much of the existing research on cultural dimensions in the Arab world treats the region as relatively homogeneous, obscuring important variations within and between countries. The study acknowledges this limitation and treats its conclusions as provisional hypotheses to be tested in future empirical work.

IV. Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: Historical Context and Contemporary Dynamics:

1)- Historical Foundations:

Understanding Contemporary entrepreneurial culture in Algeria requires a historical perspective that accounts for the transformative impact of French colonialism (1830-1962) and its long-term consequences for economic institutions, social structures, and cultural identities. The colonial period systematically dismantled traditional Algerian economic institutions—including tribal land management systems, artisanal guilds (sinf), and Islamic commercial law—and replaced them with colonial economic structures oriented toward metropolitan interests.

The resulting rupture in economic institutions, combined with the physical displacement of large portions of the rural population, destroyed established patterns of economic agency and cultural transmission.

Post-independence Algeria pursued a model of state-led development inspired by socialist ideology and Nasserian pan-Arabism. The nationalization of the oil industry and the creation of large state enterprises (sociétés nationales) concentrated economic power in the state apparatus and created a culture of bureaucratic dependence. Private entrepreneurship was systematically marginalized for two decades, and the cultural

valorization of state employment—seen as a form of national participation in the development project—became deeply entrenched.

The economic reforms of the 1980s and 1990s, including the liberalization of import regulations, the legalization of private enterprise, and the partial privatization of some state enterprises, created new opportunities for private entrepreneurship. However, these reforms were implemented in a context of severe political instability—including the Black October riots of 1988 and the subsequent civil conflict of the 1990s—that profoundly disrupted social trust and economic activity. The “dark decade” of political violence left enduring marks on social cohesion, institutional trust, and risk perception that continue to shape the entrepreneurial environment.

2)-The Postcolonial Identity Paradox:

One of the most significant cultural dimensions of Algerian entrepreneurship is what we might term the postcolonial identity paradox. Algeria’s national identity is constructed in opposition to the colonial experience, emphasizing Arab-Islamic cultural heritage and collective national sovereignty. This anti-individualist and anti-capitalist cultural orientation—which valorizes collective solidarity and state stewardship over private accumulation—is historically understandable but creates tensions with the individualistic ethos of market entrepreneurship.

At the same time, the rapid expansion of higher education since independence has created a large class of young graduates whose aspirations are shaped both by traditional cultural frameworks and by global media, digital culture, and international educational models. This generational tension between inherited cultural values and globally circulating entrepreneurial norms is a defining feature of the contemporary Algerian entrepreneurial landscape. Young Algerians are simultaneously embedded in collective cultural logics and exposed to individualistic entrepreneurial models through social media, international education, and diaspora connections.

The concept of ‘ibra (drawing lessons from exemplary others) in Islamic tradition provides a culturally legitimate frame for learning from successful entrepreneurs. The growing visibility of successful Algerian entrepreneurs—in the diaspora and increasingly at home—may be gradually shifting cultural attitudes toward entrepreneurship through processes of social learning and identity remodeling.

Title of the Article: Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives.	The Author: Mounira Gourari	Volume : 14 / Issue : 01 (28) / 2026	Pages : 153- 175
---	------------------------------------	--	-------------------------

3)- Social Capital, “WASATA”, and Informal Networks:

Social capital plays a central and ambivalent role in Algerian entrepreneurship. On one hand, kinship networks, tribal affiliations, and regional solidarities provide forms of social support—access to informal credit, trusted labor, market information, and collective risk-sharing—that compensate for the weaknesses of formal institutions. On the other hand, access to economic opportunities is heavily mediated by personal connections and “wasata” (influence or intercession), which can reproduce social inequality and undermine the meritocratic principles that would encourage broader entrepreneurial participation.

Anthropological research on Mediterranean and Arab societies has documented the importance of dyadic trust relationships in economic life (Geertz(1979); Platteau (1994)). In contexts where formal institutions—courts, regulatory agencies, banks—are not fully trusted to enforce contracts and protect property rights, economic actors rely on personal relationships and community reputation to reduce transaction costs and manage risk. This produces a segmented entrepreneurial landscape in which insiders with strong network connections enjoy access to opportunities and resources that are inaccessible to outsiders.

The informal economy plays a substantial role in Algeria, estimated to account for a significant share of GDP and employment. Much of this informal activity represents a form of necessity entrepreneurship—economic activity driven by lack of formal employment opportunities rather than opportunity recognition. However, the informal sector also includes sophisticated forms of commercial entrepreneurship that operate according to their own institutional logics, including informal credit systems (tontines or jama’iyya), apprenticeship-based skills transmission, and reputation-governed market exchange.

4)- Gender, Entrepreneurship, and Cultural Norms:

The gender dimension of cultural entrepreneurship in Algeria is particularly significant and has received insufficient attention in existing research. Cultural norms governing gender roles, mobility, and economic participation create specific constraints and opportunities for women entrepreneurs. While Algerian women have made significant advances in higher education—now representing the majority of university graduates—their participation in formal entrepreneurship remains limited by social norms that restrict their presence in public economic spaces, particularly in sectors dominated by male networks.

Title of the Article: Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives.	The Author: Mounira Gourari	Volume : 14 / Issue : 01 (28) / 2026	Pages : 153- 175
---	------------------------------------	--	-------------------------

At the same time, there is a growing movement of women entrepreneurs in Algeria, particularly in sectors such as food production, handicrafts, education, and digital services, where cultural norms are less restrictive. Women’s entrepreneurship in Algeria often takes culturally hybrid forms that combine market-oriented innovation with cultural legitimacy strategies—presenting economic activity as an extension of domestic roles or as a form of contribution to family welfare rather than individual advancement.

The anthropological concept of “**gender strategies**” (Hochschild (1989)) is useful here: Algerian women entrepreneurs develop strategies for navigating cultural gender norms that allow them to participate in economic life while maintaining social legitimacy. These strategies range from choosing sectors where women’s presence is culturally accepted, to working from home, to framing entrepreneurial activity in terms of family obligation rather than individual ambition.

5)- Youth Entrepreneurship and Generational Change:

Young Algerians represent both the greatest challenge and the most significant opportunity for entrepreneurial culture transformation. With a median age below 30 and a youth unemployment rate estimated at over 25%, the pressure to develop alternative pathways to economic inclusion is acute. Government programs such as ANSEJ (Agence Nationale de Soutien à l’Emploi des Jeunes) and CNAC (Caisse Nationale d’Assurance Chômage) have provided financing and support for youth entrepreneurship, but their impact has been uneven.

Research on youth entrepreneurship in Algeria reveals a complex picture of motivations, barriers, and cultural attitudes. Many young graduates report strong entrepreneurial intentions but low entrepreneurial self-efficacy—a gap between aspirations and perceived capability that reflects both inadequate practical preparation and cultural risk aversion. The fear of failure, amplified by social stigmatization of business failure in Algerian culture, is consistently identified as a major barrier to entrepreneurial action.

Digital entrepreneurship represents a particularly promising avenue for youth entrepreneurship in Algeria. The rapid expansion of internet access—Algeria now has one of the highest internet penetration rates in Africa—has created new opportunities for digital commerce, content creation, and technology services. Digital platforms partially circumvent some traditional cultural barriers: they allow women to operate businesses from home, they reduce the importance of physical location and local social

Title of the Article: Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives.	The Author: Mounira Gourari	Volume : 14 / Issue : 01 (28) / 2026	Pages : 153- 175
---	------------------------------------	--	-------------------------

networks, and they provide access to global markets and business communities that may embody different entrepreneurial norms.

6)- The Role of Universities and Entrepreneurial Education:

Algerian universities are increasingly tasked with promoting entrepreneurial culture through dedicated curricula, incubation programs, and startup competitions. The creation of university-based business incubators (pépinières d'entreprises) and the introduction of entrepreneurship courses across disciplines represent important institutional investments. However, the effectiveness of these initiatives is constrained by several cultural and institutional factors.

First, the dominant pedagogical culture in Algerian universities remains largely didactic and authority-centered, emphasizing knowledge transmission over critical thinking, problem-solving, and creative initiative. Transforming this pedagogical culture to support entrepreneurial learning requires fundamental changes in teacher training, curriculum design, and assessment methods that are difficult to achieve quickly. Second, the social prestige hierarchy in Algeria still places civil service and liberal professions (medicine, law, engineering) above entrepreneurship, meaning that high-achieving students rarely choose entrepreneurship as a first-choice career path.

Third, the disconnect between university curricula and labor market realities—including the entrepreneurial ecosystem—means that entrepreneurship education often remains abstract and decontextualized. Effective entrepreneurial education requires exposure to real entrepreneurs, engagement with actual business problems, and development of practical competencies in areas such as financial management, marketing, and legal compliance. Bridging this gap requires partnerships between universities and the private sector that are culturally and institutionally challenging to develop in the Algerian context.

7)- Institutional Environment and Cultural Friction

The institutional environment for entrepreneurship in Algeria is characterized by a complex mixture of formal regulations, informal practices, and cultural norms that create what (North (1990)) would term “**institutional friction**”—the resistance generated by misalignment between formal rules and informal cultural logics. Business registration processes, while formally simplified by recent reforms, remain practically complex due to bureaucratic culture, corruption, and inadequate digital infrastructure. Access to formal bank credit remains a major constraint for Algerian. Entrepreneurs,

Title of the Article: Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives.	The Author: Mounira Gourari	Volume : 14 / Issue : 01 (28) / 2026	Pages : 153- 175
---	------------------------------------	--	-------------------------

particularly small and medium enterprises. Cultural factors compound structural barriers: entrepreneurs from non-elite social backgrounds may lack the social connections needed to navigate bank lending processes; Islamic cultural norms create resistance to interest-bearing credit; and historical mistrust of formal financial institutions—rooted in colonial experiences and postcolonial economic mismanagement—reduces demand for formal financial services.

The informal economy—estimated to account for a substantial portion of economic activity in Algeria—can be understood anthropologically as an adaptive response to institutional friction. When formal institutions are perceived as extractive, unpredictable, or inaccessible, economic actors develop informal alternatives that provide equivalent functions (credit, insurance, contract enforcement) through social mechanisms. The challenge for entrepreneurship development policy is to reduce institutional friction without destroying the social capital embedded in informal networks.

V. Discussion: Toward an Anthropological Theory of Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria:

1. The Multidimensionality of Cultural Entrepreneurship:

The analysis presented in this paper demonstrates that cultural entrepreneurship in Algeria cannot be adequately understood through any single theoretical lens. Economic theories that reduce entrepreneurial behavior to rational responses to market incentives miss the symbolic, relational, and historical dimensions of entrepreneurial action. Psychological approaches that focus on individual traits overlook the social conditions that shape personality formation and motivation. Cultural dimension frameworks like Hofstede's provide useful heuristics but risk essentializing culture as a static and homogeneous force.

An anthropological approach, informed by field theory, institutional theory, and the anthropology of Islam, allows for a more nuanced and contextually sensitive analysis. It treats culture as dynamic, contested, and internally differentiated; as a field of struggle rather than a consensual system of values. It recognizes that cultural change is possible—that the habitus can be transformed through education, mobility, and generational succession—while also taking seriously the durability of deeply ingrained cultural dispositions.

2. Cultural Resources for Entrepreneurship:

Rather than treating Algerian culture purely as a constraint on entrepreneurship, an anthropological analysis reveals cultural resources that can be mobilized for entrepreneurial development. The Islamic tradition of commerce provides a legitimate cultural framework for entrepreneurial activity oriented toward social benefit. The strong tradition of collective solidarity and mutual assistance can be channeled into cooperative entrepreneurship and social enterprise models. The rich tradition of artisanal craftsmanship—leather goods, jewelry, pottery, textiles—represents a form of cultural capital that can be valorized in both domestic and international markets oriented toward authentic cultural goods.

The concept of “cultural entrepreneurship” itself—the use of cultural narratives and symbolic resources to legitimate and organize economic activity (Lounsbury & Glynn, (2001))—suggests that Algerian entrepreneurs who draw on cultural traditions are not simply constrained by them. They are actively using cultural resources to build social legitimacy, differentiate their products, and create value in markets that reward authenticity and cultural specificity. This insight points toward a model of entrepreneurship development that works with rather than against Algerian cultural traditions.

3. Policy Implications:

The analysis has significant implications for entrepreneurship development policy in Algeria. First, it suggests that purely economic interventions—subsidized credit, business registration simplification, financial incentives—will have limited impact if they are not accompanied by cultural change strategies. Entrepreneurship policy must engage with the cultural dimensions of entrepreneurial behavior, including the social stigmatization of failure, the preference for state employment, and the role of family and social networks in shaping entrepreneurial decisions.

Second, the analysis suggests that entrepreneurship education should be anthropologically informed: grounded in cultural context, attentive to the specific barriers and resources of different social groups (women, rural youth, artisans), and oriented toward developing practical competencies as well as cognitive frameworks. Entrepreneurship education that ignores cultural context—importing Western models without adaptation—is likely to generate the same disconnect between aspirations and action that currently characterizes youth entrepreneurship in Algeria.

Third, the analysis points to the importance of institutional trust-building as a precondition for entrepreneurial development. Reforming the formal institutional environment—reducing bureaucratic complexity, improving access to justice, strengthening intellectual property protection—is necessary but not sufficient. Building trust in formal institutions requires sustained demonstration of institutional reliability, transparency, and responsiveness—a long-term cultural and political project that goes beyond technical regulatory reform.

4. The Digital Revolution as Cultural Disruptor:

The digital revolution represents a potentially transformative force for entrepreneurial culture in Algeria. Digital platforms reduce the importance of physical infrastructure and social networks; they provide access to global markets and knowledge communities; and they create new forms of identity and sociality that may be more conducive to entrepreneurial action than traditional cultural frameworks. The rapid growth of Algerian digital entrepreneurship—in e-commerce, software development, digital media, and online education—suggests that digital spaces are emerging as cultural enclaves where entrepreneurial norms can take root and spread.

However, the digital revolution does not automatically dissolve cultural barriers. Cultural norms are reproduced in digital spaces as well as physical ones: gender norms shape women's online presence; social hierarchies influence digital network formation; and Islamic ethical frameworks raise specific issues about digital business models (advertising, financial services, entertainment). An anthropological analysis of digital entrepreneurship in Algeria would need to examine how cultural norms are reproduced, adapted, and transformed in digital spaces—a research agenda that remains largely underdeveloped.

-Conclusion

This study has provided a comprehensive interdisciplinary and anthropological analysis of cultural entrepreneurship in Algeria, integrating classical entrepreneurship theory, cultural dimension frameworks, field theory, institutional economics, and the anthropology of Islamic economic ethics. The central argument is that entrepreneurial behavior in Algeria is not simply constrained by lack of capital, inadequate regulation, or poor infrastructure—though all of these factors are relevant—but is deeply embedded in cultural systems of meaning, social obligation, and historical experience that shape what entrepreneurship means, who can become an entrepreneur, and how entrepreneurial success is defined and legitimized.

Title of the Article: Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives.	The Author: Mounira Gourari	Volume : 14 / Issue : 01 (28) / 2026	Pages : 153- 175
---	------------------------------------	--	-------------------------

The analysis has identified several key cultural dimensions of the Algerian entrepreneurial context: the postcolonial identity paradox that creates tensions between collective cultural values and individualistic entrepreneurial norms; the central role of social capital and *wasta* in mediating access to economic opportunities; the specific constraints and opportunities facing women and youth entrepreneurs; the institutional friction created by misalignment between formal regulations and informal cultural logics; and the cultural resources—Islamic commercial ethics, artisanal traditions, collective solidarity—that can be mobilized for culturally grounded entrepreneurial development.

The study makes several contributions to the existing literature. First, it provides a theoretically grounded anthropological framework for analyzing cultural entrepreneurship in North Africa that goes beyond existing cultural dimension approaches. Second, it develops a historically informed understanding of how colonialism, state-led development, and political violence have shaped contemporary entrepreneurial culture in Algeria. Third, it identifies cultural resources for entrepreneurship—not just cultural barriers—pointing toward a more constructive approach to policy and education.

Future research should build on this framework through empirical fieldwork with Algerian entrepreneurs, including ethnographic observation, in-depth interviews, and longitudinal case studies. Comparative research that examines cultural entrepreneurship across different regions of Algeria and in different sectors—formal and informal, digital and traditional—would provide more granular insights into the diversity of entrepreneurial cultures within Algerian society. Research that examines the role of diaspora communities in transmitting and transforming entrepreneurial cultures across national boundaries would also be particularly valuable.

Ultimately, strengthening entrepreneurial culture in Algeria requires a patient, culturally sensitive, and anthropologically informed approach that treats culture not as an obstacle to be overcome but as a resource to be engaged and transformed. This requires moving beyond technocratic policy prescriptions to develop a genuine understanding of the cultural logics that shape economic life in Algeria—an understanding that can only be developed through sustained interdisciplinary dialogue between economists, anthropologists, sociologists, and the entrepreneurs themselves.

Title of the Article: Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives.	The Author: Mounira Gourari	Volume : 14 / Issue : 01 (28) / 2026	Pages : 153- 175
---	------------------------------------	--	-------------------------

- Practical Suggestions:

1. Adapt entrepreneurship education in Algerian universities to local cultural realities by integrating practical training, mentorship, field projects, and case studies of Algerian entrepreneurs.
2. Develop culturally sensitive financing mechanisms, including Islamic finance instruments and cooperative funding models, to reduce the gap between formal credit systems and social expectations.
3. Strengthen institutional trust by simplifying administrative procedures, improving transparency, and expanding reliable digital public services for business creation and support.
4. Support women and youth entrepreneurs through incubators, digital platforms, and sector-specific programs that respect social constraints while expanding economic participation.
5. Valorize Algerian cultural heritage, artisanal knowledge, and collective solidarity as entrepreneurial resources for social enterprise, cultural industries, and sustainable local development.

-References list:

- 1- Bandura, A (1997). **Self-efficacy: The Exercise of Control** W.H, Freeman and Company.
- 2- Bourdieu, P (1986). **The forms of capital, in:** J. G. Richardson (Ed.), **Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education**, Greenwood.
- 3- Bourdieu, P (1990). **The logic of practice**, Stanford University Press.
- 4- Bowen, G. A (2009) **“Document analysis as a qualitative research method”**, **Qualitative Research Journal**, 9(2),27-40. <https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027>
- 5- Brockhaus, R. H (1980) **“Risk taking propensity of entrepreneurs”**, **Academy of Management Journal**, 23(3),509-520. <https://doi.org/10.2307/255515>
- 6- Cantillon, R (1755). **Essai sur la nature du commerce en général**, Macmillan (1931 reprint).
- 7- Chen, C. C. Greene, P. G., & Crick, A (1998) **“Does entrepreneurial self-efficacy distinguish entrepreneurs from managers?”**, **Journal of Business Venturing**, 13(4), 295–316. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026\(97\)00029-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026(97)00029-3)
- 8- Douglas, M (1986). **How institutions think**, New York: Syracuse University Press.
- 9- Eisenhardt, K. M (1989) **“Building theories from case study research”**, **Academy of Management Review**, 14(4), 532–550. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.1989.4308385>

Title of the Article: Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives.	The Author: Mounira Gourari	Volume : 14 / Issue : 01 (28) / 2026	Pages : 153- 175
---	------------------------------------	--	-------------------------

- 10- Etzioni, A (1987) “**Entrepreneurship, adaptation and legitimation**”, Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 8(2), 175–189. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2681\(87\)90002-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2681(87)90002-3)
- 11- Fayolle, A (2005). **Introduction à l’entrepreneuriat**, Dunod.
- 12- Ferguson, J. (1994). The anti-politics machine: Development, depoliticization, and bureaucratic power in Lesotho, University of Minnesota Press.
- 13- Freytag, A, & Thurik, R. (2010) “**Entrepreneurship and culture**”, In: A. Freytag & R. Thurik (Eds.), **Entrepreneurship and culture** (pp. 1–27). Springer.
- 14- Gartner, W. B. (1988) “**Who is an entrepreneur? Is the wrong question**”, American Journal of Small Business, 12(4), 11–32. <https://doi.org/10.1177/104225878801200401>
- 15- Geertz, C. (1973). **The interpretation of cultures**, Basic Books.
- 16- Geertz, C. (1979) “**Suq : The bazaar economy in Séfrou**”, In: C. Geertz, H. Geertz & L. Rosen (Eds.), **Meaning and order in Moroccan society**, Cambridge University Press.
- 17- **Global Entrepreneurship Monitor (GEM)**. (2022). *Global Entrepreneurship Monitor 2021/2022 global report: (NO.8) Opportunity amid disruption*, Global Entrepreneurship Research Association (GERA), Retrieved June 2, 2022, from : <https://www2.gemconsortium.org>
- 18- Hochschild, A. R (1989). **The second shift**. Viking.
- 19- Hofstede, G (1980). **Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values**, Sage.
- 20- Hofstede, G (2001). **Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions, and Organizations across nations**, Sage, 2nd ed.
- 21- Idang, G. E (2015). “**African culture and values**”, Phronimon, 16(2), 97–111. (No DOI)
- 22- Kirzner, I. M. (1973). Competition and entrepreneurship. University of Chicago Press.
- 23- Locke, E. A. (2004). Linking goals to monetary incentives. Academy of Management Executive, 18(4),130–133. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AME.2004.15268739>
- 24- Lounsbury, M., & Glynn, M. A. (2001). Cultural entrepreneurship: Stories, legitimacy, and the acquisition of resources. Strategic Management Journal, 22(6–7), 545–564. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.188>
- 25- McClelland, D. C. (1961). The achieving society. Van Nostrand.
- 26- North, D. C. (1990). Institutions, Institutional change and economic performance. Cambridge University Press.
- 27- Plateau, J.-P. (1994). Behind the market stage where real societies exist—Part I: The role of public and private order institutions. Journal of Development Studies, 30(3), 533–577. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220389408422328>
- 28- Rotter, J. B (1966). Generalized expectancies for internal versus external control of reinforcement. Psychological Monographs: General and Applied, 80(1), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0092976>
- 29- Say, J. B (1803). **Traité d’économie politique**, Paris: Deterville.
- 30- Schumpeter, J. A (1934). **The theory of economic development**, Harvard University Press.
- 31- Schumpeter, J. A (1955). **The theory of economic development**., Oxford University Press.

Title of the Article: Cultural Entrepreneurship in Algeria: An Anthropological and Interdisciplinary Analysis: Economic, Behavioral, Institutional, and Cultural Perspectives.	The Author: Mounira Gourari	Volume : 14 / Issue : 01 (28) / 2026	Pages : 153- 175
---	------------------------------------	--	-------------------------

- 32- Scott, W. R (1995). **Institutions and Organizations**, Sage.
- 33- Shane, S (1993) “**Cultural influences on national rates of innovation**”, Journal of Business Venturing, 8(1), 59–73. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-9026\(93\)90011-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-9026(93)90011-S)
- 34- Shane, S, & Venkataraman, S. (2000). The promise of entrepreneurship as a field of research. Academy of Management Review, 25(1), 217–226. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.2000.2791611>
- 35- Tarde, G (1903). **The laws of imitation**. Henry Holt.
- 36- Thurik, R., & Dejardin, M. (2012) “**Entrepreneurship and culture**”, In: M. Casson et al. (Eds.), **The Oxford handbook of entrepreneurship**. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 37- World Bank. (2020). **Doing Business 2020: Comparing Business Regulation in 190 Economies**. Washington DC: World Bank, <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1440-2>.
- 38- Zhao, H., Seibert, S. E., & Lumpkin, G. T. (2010). **The relationship of personality to entrepreneurial intentions and performance: A meta-analytic review**. Journal of Management, 36(2), 381–404. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206309335187>.