The journal of El-Ryssala for studies and research in humanities

Volume : 11 / N° : 03/ 2026. pp 272-283

PISSN : 2543-3938 - EISSN : 2602-7771

Research Approaches in Media and Communication Sciences within the Digital Communication Environment A Theoretical and Analytical Study of the Most Significant Methodological Challenges and Problematics

Imene DJEFFAL,

[1] Laboratory of Media and Society Studies, Abu Al-Qasim Saadallah Algiers 2 University (Algeria),

imene.djeffal@univ-alger2.dz

Received: 10/06/2026 **Accepted**: 05/09/2026

Abstract:

Research and methodological challenges in Media and Communication Sciences have intensified and diversified within today's digital communication environment. This has amplified the concerns of researchers and academics beyond mere disagreement over which research approaches should be employed, toward challenges related to understanding the characteristics of this new communication environment and devising mechanisms that enable the adaptation and alignment of methodological procedures and research steps to it, comparable to those applied within the traditional communication environment. This adaptation is expected to encompass all research approaches and their diverse methods, as well as theoretical frameworks that are difficult to project onto a new environment characterized by constant change and non-patterned structures, which have fundamentally reshaped the communication and media process in all its elements and contents. Additional methodological challenges include defining the study population and determining the sample, which have become among the most significant methodological dilemmas confronting contemporary researchers in Media and Communication Sciences, particularly given the nature of digital content and media materials. This also raises questions regarding the extent to which research findings can be generalized to the vast number of users inhabiting this digital communication environment, alongside other methodological issues and challenges addressed in this research paper.

Keywords: Research approaches; methodological problematics; communication environment; digital space; methodologie.

I. INTRODUCTION

Research in the field of Media and Communication Sciences emerged primarily as theoretical inquiries concerned with identifying patterns and mechanisms of media influence on audiences and assessing the strength of such influence. These studies were qualitative, analytical, interpretive, and explanatory in nature, offering results in the form of theories aimed at explaining the process and intensity of media effects on mobilizing recipients. However, a fundamental transformation occurred during the 1940s and 1950s, following the unexpected outcomes of the U.S. elections, which contradicted media-promoted narratives and resulted in Franklin Roosevelt's presidential victory. This shift coincided with the development and widespread diffusion of mass communication media, leading media research to evolve into empirical, field-based studies that relied on quantitative methods to generate statistical data and numerical indicators, offering more precise insights into media influence on audience groups.

One of the key reasons for researchers' reliance on quantitative methods during that period was the increasing accessibility of mass communication media such as radio, television, newspapers, and cinema. These media were no longer restricted to the bourgeois class but became available to the general public, alongside the emergence of satellite communication technology. As a result, these media became primary sources of information for large audiences, covering political, economic, cultural, and social aspects of daily life, thereby producing diverse and continuously evolving media content.

Scholars in Media and Communication Sciences, like their counterparts in other academic disciplines, have disagreed over scientific research techniques and methodologies, generating extensive scholarly debate, particularly regarding the complex and constantly evolving nature of the phenomenon under study. This debate has primarily centered on whether to adopt quantitative approaches aimed at producing measurable and generalizable statistical results—often criticized for neglecting human dimensions—or qualitative approaches that allow deeper exploration of beliefs, ideas, emotions, and human behavior, yet may risk excessive researcher subjectivity and limited generalizability.

The resulting consensus acknowledged that reliance on a single approach or method is insufficient to fully grasp all dimensions of the phenomenon. Statistical interpretation alone does not necessarily lead to accurate truth. Consequently, scholars have increasingly emphasized the importance of employing more than one research approach, commonly referred to as integrative or mixed-methods research. This perspective is based on the assumption that a single data source is inadequate for understanding research problems and that researchers may require in-depth interpretation of quantitative data, just as qualitative studies may later be complemented by quantitative measurement instruments such as surveys to assess phenomena within broader populations.

Within today's digital communication environment, research and methodological problematics in Media and Communication Sciences have intensified and diversified. Researchers' concerns now extend beyond methodological disagreements to challenges surrounding comprehension of the defining characteristics of this new environment and the development of adaptive mechanisms capable of aligning traditional methodological procedures with a dynamic, non-linear communication space. These transformations have fundamentally reshaped the communication and media process in all its elements and contents, while complicating the definition of study populations, sample selection, and the generalizability of results across massive and heterogeneous digital user communities. Accordingly, this study seeks to address the following central research question: What are the most significant methodological

challenges and problematics confronting researchers within the digital communication environment?

II. Research Approaches in Media and Communication Studies

1.The Quantitative Approach: Concept and Methods

1.1. Concept of the Quantitative Approach (Quantitative Research)

The quantitative approach, or quantitative research, is considered one of the most widely used forms of research in Media and Communication Studies, particularly in recent decades, due to the characteristics and techniques it offers that have kept pace with technological developments. These have been reinforced through the use of various statistical processing programs, including correlation coefficients, data analysis, and results presentation. This has enabled researchers to save time and considerable effort in data collection, analysis, and presentation, while achieving a higher level of precision than previously possible.

It represents a type of scientific research that assumes the existence of objective social facts that are independent of individuals' emotions and beliefs. It relies primarily on statistical methods in both data collection and data analysis (Qandilji, 2018,p33).

1. 2. Objectives of the Quantitative Approach

The quantitative approach aims to test experimental variables by examining the relationships among variables that can be generalized and, in some cases, predicted across similar research fields or populations. It also seeks to test theories by identifying the relevant theoretical framework within existing literature, extracting the necessary concepts and definitions, and hypothesizing relationships among variables. Data are then collected and statistically analyzed, after which the researcher decides whether to accept or reject the proposed hypotheses based on the obtained results.

Within quantitative research, study design— including hypothesis formulation, variable description, and measurement techniques— is established at the proposal stage, prior to data collection, unlike qualitative research. Additionally, the concepts employed in quantitative research are operationally defined to enable empirical hypothesis testing from the outset.

1. 3. Methods of the Quantitative Approach

- **Descriptive Survey Method**

This method involves collecting data by obtaining information directly from selected individuals in order to draw conclusions about the research population under investigation (Bouhous, 2005,p28).

The descriptive method is characterized by the following features:

- It is linked to a specific temporal and spatial context.
- It investigates the research subject across its various dimensions and social interrelations.

- It relies on large social survey samples.
- It uses interviews and questionnaires as primary data collection tools.
- Social surveys may be comprehensive or sample-based.

- **Experimental Method**
  The experimental method is employed in experimental research that seeks to control the primary factors influencing change in dependent variables, with the exception of one variable manipulated by the researcher for the purpose of measuring its effect. Experimentation, in a general sense, involves observing a phenomenon after introducing deliberate modifications by creating new conditions or circumstances.

This method is grounded in scientific experimentation aimed at identifying causal relationships among variables through controlling all influencing factors except those intentionally manipulated by the researcher to measure their impact on the dependent variable or variables.

- **Historical Method**
  The historical method examines social phenomena in the past through systematic scientific analysis in order to anticipate their potential future impacts. It studies phenomena longitudinally from past to present, as well as retrospectively from present to past by tracing events according to their chronological sequence.

- **Comparative Method**
  This method seeks to identify similarities and differences among variables within a single phenomenon or across multiple phenomena, whether contemporary or historical, using a scientific analytical approach

2.2 The Qualitative Approach: Concept and Methods

2.1. Concept of the Qualitative Approach

Qualitative research represents a type of scientific inquiry that assumes social realities and phenomena are constructed through the perspectives of individuals and groups participating in the research process. Its primary objective is to understand the phenomenon under study by focusing on the meanings of collected statements and observed behaviors. In this approach, research participants actively contribute alongside researchers to the process of knowledge production (Al Ayyeb, 2013,p38).

Qualitative research is also defined as inquiry that examines phenomena within their natural settings, treating them as a direct source of data. It relies on words and images rather than numerical values and employs participant observation, in-depth interviews, and document analysis.

2.2. Importance and Characteristics of Qualitative Research

Qualitative research is characterized by a high degree of flexibility, meaning it is less constrained by predefined rules. Research procedures may emerge or evolve in response to the data collection or analysis process or due to modifications in research objectives. In many qualitative studies, research procedures develop and change throughout the study period.

Qualitative research is particularly necessary when information about a topic is scarce, fragmented, or ambiguous and requires in-depth exploration and organization within a coherent scientific framework. It is also essential for providing deeper interpretations of quantitative data.

Qualitative research is commonly used to:

- Explore new ideas and generate initial insights by allowing researchers to observe target groups in their natural interactions and to analyze the vocabulary and language they use in relation to the research topic.
- Identify new concepts and ideas acknowledged by the target audience.
- Discover previously unknown or poorly understood products or behavioral patterns.
- Develop quantitative research by refining hypotheses related to cognitive processes and decision-making among target populations.
- Determine the types of information required for quantitative studies.
- Identify categories of individuals to be included in quantitative research, such as primary and secondary audiences and decision-makers.
- Assist in identifying and refining the research problem, particularly when investigating unexpected behavioral changes or declining engagement patterns.

2.3. Qualitative Research Methods

- **Ethnographic Method**

  The ethnographic method entails descriptive study of a group's lifestyle, traditions, customs, values, tools, artistic expressions, and cultural heritage within a defined time frame. It aims to understand societal practices by examining group members' ideas, beliefs, values, behaviors, and material culture through participant observation in natural settings.

- **Case Study Method**

  The case study method focuses on detailed examination of a single case or community. It provides both quantitative and qualitative data on multiple factors related to individuals, institutions, or social groups, enabling in-depth and comprehensive description of the studied case.

- **Content Analysis Method**

  Content analysis is a systematic and precise approach to describing written or audio texts. It involves defining the research topic and objectives, determining the study population, selecting relevant cases, and analyzing their content.

Accordingly, content analysis can be defined as an approach based on organized description of manifest communication content through a set of analytical categories, encompassing written, audio, or other forms of documents.

- **Semiological (Semiotic) Analysis Method**

  Semiological analysis represents a form of in-depth research examining the underlying layers of both iconic and linguistic messages. The researcher maintains analytical

neutrality toward the message while integrating psychological, social, and cultural dimensions that may enhance or support interpretive analysis.

2.4. The concept of integrative or mixed-methods research

Researchers and scholars, despite their diverse scientific and research backgrounds, generally agree that **quantitative methods** are designed to measure the phenomena under study with the aim of reaching results that can be generalised. **Qualitative methods**, by contrast, seek to understand the studied phenomena in depth in order to interpret them, without necessarily aiming to generalise the findings to similar phenomena or cases. In recent years, however, an academic debate has emerged regarding the methods used to study social phenomena, given their complex and constantly evolving nature. Scholars have argued that reliance on a single approach or method is insufficient to capture all dimensions of a phenomenon. Moreover, interpreting social phenomena solely through numerical and statistical analysis does not necessarily lead to an accurate or complete understanding of reality.

Accordingly, scholars have increasingly emphasised the importance of adopting more than one methodological approach in scientific research—what has come to be referred to as **integrative research** or **mixed-methods research**. This perspective is based on the assumption that a single source of data is not sufficient to fully understand the research problem. In addition, researchers may require an in-depth interpretation of quantitative data that they obtain. Conversely, after completing a qualitative study and fulfilling its methodological procedures and research tools, the researcher may subsequently employ a quantitative approach—such as a questionnaire—to measure the phenomenon under study within the broader population.

Integrative or mixed-methods research refers to a research design in which **quantitative and qualitative methods are combined within a single study**. In the initial stage, the researcher may begin by exploring participants' perspectives, followed by the collection of relevant data and information, which are later analysed using appropriate analytical tools. After identifying the variables that require examination, the researcher may rely on one of these methodological approaches or combine both in order to verify the accuracy of the data. Consequently, the researcher must remain open and prepared for all possibilities that may arise during the course of the research.

To achieve a more precise understanding of integrative or mixed-methods research, it is necessary to identify the differences between quantitative and qualitative research, as well as the points of convergence and complementarity between them.

1. Differences between quantitative and qualitative research

Quantitative research differs from qualitative research in several respects, the most important of which are the following:

a. Objectives

Quantitative research aims to measure opinions and behaviours and to describe the characteristics of the research population. It also seeks to test hypotheses and propose theories that clarify the relationships between variables and measure them, with the purpose of generalising research findings to similar cases.
In contrast, qualitative research aims to achieve a deeper understanding of the studied phenomenon through the researcher's close engagement with the individuals participating in the

study. This involves the collection of detailed information and observations in order to comprehend practices, ideas, and attitudes.

b. Scope of the study

The quantitative approach does not give primary importance to respondents' viewpoints or positions; rather, it seeks to identify a numerical and statistical relationship between research variables. Questionnaires, in this context, do not allow for the analysis of meanings or ideas. Furthermore, quantitative data may lack authenticity or accuracy, as they can sometimes be artificial. Respondents may not find their personal views reflected in the predefined response options, or they may refrain from expressing their genuine opinions and ideas in the questionnaires presented to them.

c. Results

Findings derived from the quantitative approach are generally generalisable, based on precise statistical processing of data collected through instruments whose validity and reliability have been verified during the early stages of the research. Additionally, samples selected using mathematical formulas tend to be highly representative of the study population. By contrast, qualitative research yields findings that are not generalisable to similar cases or phenomena, due to the small and non-representative nature of the samples used. Qualitative research also requires a highly experienced researcher, particularly in the use of in-depth and open interviews. This is because data-collection tools in qualitative research do not rely on predefined or fixed designs in the same way as quantitative instruments; instead, their design varies according to the research topic and differs from one study to another.

2. Integrating quantitative and qualitative research in a mixed study

It is possible to combine quantitative and qualitative approaches within a single study in a sequential manner, known as integrative or mixed-methods research. This occurs when qualitative techniques are used initially to define the research problem—such as employing in-depth interviews to obtain richer and more detailed information about the phenomenon—followed by testing hypotheses through a questionnaire as a quantitative data-collection tool.

Qualitative research is particularly rich in information and detail about the phenomenon under study, especially during its exploratory phase, more so than quantitative research. As such, it assists the researcher in formulating questionnaire items and enables the identification of new research angles.

On the other hand, in qualitative research, in-depth analysis alone may not be sufficient for addressing certain research issues. Consequently, the researcher may find it necessary to draw on a quantitative approach, using a representative sample of the research population relevant to the problem under investigation. This makes it possible to assess the extent of behavioural consistency and the significance of certain patterns, as some elements can only be demonstrated through quantitative methods. In this sense, the quantitative approach reveals phenomena that may remain hidden to the qualitative researcher.

Moreover, statistical analysis can positively enhance the quality of qualitative research by providing a clearer understanding of respondents' characteristics and the specificity of their behaviours. Likewise, the results of quantitative research may lead the researcher to formulate new questions and hypotheses that can be explored through qualitative inquiry.

III. The Digital Communication Environment: Concept and Characteristics

1. The Emergence of Virtual Space and the Evolution of the Communication Environment

Contemporary societies are witnessing unprecedented development in the fields of information and communication technologies. This development has enabled technology to pave the way for dismantling barriers and transcending geographical boundaries, giving rise to an enormous informational revolution manifested in a knowledge explosion unprecedented in human history (Jarrar, 2012,p21).

It has become impossible to deny that the Internet has transformed individual and collective behavior across multiple dimensions. The multi-service virtual space enabled by the Internet has become an unrivaled source of information. Advances in communication technologies have facilitated extensive exchange of information, communication services, entertainment, education, and cultural content, while strengthening and expanding communication networks and generating new modes of interaction, such as the Internet and social networking platforms. These developments have allowed individuals to employ digital media for additional purposes, including the provision of goods and services, cognitive gaming, remote education, and other activities.

Media and social scientists view the invention of the Internet as a qualitative leap and a historical turning point in the field of communication media. Some scholars compare its significance to that of the invention of the printing press, given its profound impact on the structure of human societies and its role in establishing a new global information system capable of storing, producing, and retrieving information. Consequently, the Internet has generated far-reaching social, cultural, economic, and political effects across the globe, reshaping traditional concepts of work, education, and commerce and contributing to the emergence of a new information society.

Most traditional media organizations now maintain an online presence to reach audiences who increasingly rely on the Internet for continuously updated information. In addition, the Internet facilitates interpersonal communication through email, discussion groups, forums, and other platforms, reinforcing the notion of the world as a single global village—or even a global family.

Since its emergence, the Internet has continuously generated new applications and digital messages that have redefined forms of interpersonal, group, and mass communication. Many of these forms transcend the regulatory constraints faced by traditional media, empowering individuals to assume the dual role of sender and receiver rather than remaining passive recipients. This shift has enabled new modes of interaction, social engagement, and relationship-building, albeit within virtual contexts.

Interactive communication within digital environments reflects open democratic participation, exemplified by live online discussion forums, real-time chat rooms, and interactive email-based communication platforms (Aissani Al Tayeb, 2013).

2. Characteristics of the Digital Communication Environment

The digital communication environment is distinguished by several defining characteristics, including:

- **Interactivity**
  Interactivity refers to a set of features, tools, or services associated with print, visual, or digital media that allow audiences to participate actively by expressing opinions and engaging with content.

- **MultiMedia Intégration**
  Multimedia constitutes one of the Internet's core capabilities, enabling the integration of text, tables, graphics, static and moving images, color, animation, sound, and video in coherent and complementary formats.

The effective use of multimedia enhances content quality and impact, aligning media messages with users' needs and interests. Visual and audio elements reduce cognitive effort in message reception, while images and colors facilitate comprehension and retention. Audio and audiovisual effects—whether spoken word, music, or dramatization—add new persuasive dimensions to digital content. Furthermore, digital content remains continually adaptable, allowing for renewal, innovation, and idea generation.

Through these capabilities, it has become possible to deliver text, audio, image, and video content, as well as radio and television services, including live satellite broadcasting, alongside newer media forms such as online chat applications.

- **Availability of Vast Information Repositories**
  The Internet surpasses other media in providing enormous archival resources that can be accessed easily and efficiently. It also hosts digital versions of printed newspapers, broadcasts radio content, and streams television programs (Al Labban, 2008,p256).

- **Speed of Diffusion**
  These features have contributed to the rapid spread of the Internet and the exponential growth of its user base. The Internet required only four years to reach fifty million users, compared to seventy-four years for the telephone and thirteen years for television (Al Mahdawi, 2007,p27).

One of the Internet's most distinctive features is the direct proportional relationship between its expansion and the growth of its informational content. As the number of users increases, so does the volume of information, owing to continuous user contribution. This makes the Internet one of the few media systems whose internal informational structure expands alongside audience size.

IV.The Application of Research Approaches in Media and Communication Studies within the Digital Communication Environment: Methodological Challenges and Problematics

The transition from the traditional communication environment to the digital environment has not been a straightforward process. On the contrary, it has generated considerable academic and scientific pressure, stemming from attempts to comprehend the mechanisms through which research approaches, methodologies, and techniques can be adapted and aligned with the nature

and characteristics of the new communication environment. This adaptation is essential to enable the study of communication phenomena within their new digital context and to reach findings that are more accurate and capable of providing meaningful interpretation.

Many research methods and techniques remain relevant within the digital environment and can still be employed, such as survey research and empirical techniques including content analysis and audience measurement, as well as qualitative methods like ethnography, provided that certain methodological adjustments are introduced (Hilal, 2023,p33).

However, an alternative perspective argues that the digital social environment has generated a true paradigm shift within this scientific field. According to this view, research based on traditional sampling frameworks and conventional survey instruments—such as the questionnaire—has become insufficient or ill-suited to the digital age. Networked environments necessitate a comprehensive methodological renewal, opening new exploratory domains, resources, and research fields that have yet to be fully examined and that demand significant intellectual effort and innovative methodological creativity (Abdullah, 2023,p51).

The most prominent methodological challenges and problematics facing communication and media studies within the digital environment can be summarized as follows:

1. Application of Theoretical Frameworks

This stage is considered critically important in structuring research design, defining core research problems, and formulating hypotheses and variables. Researchers typically select one or more communication and media theories to study their topic. However, a fundamental challenge arises from the fact that many of these theories were developed to explain communication processes, elements, and dynamics within traditional media environments, such as print journalism, radio, television, and cinema.

This raises questions regarding the capacity of such theories to adequately interpret communication and media phenomena within the digital communication environment, which exhibits characteristics that did not previously exist. It also raises concerns about whether attempts to adapt these theories—through individual scholarly effort—are sufficient to ensure methodological rigor and yield reliable scientific results.

2. Defining the Study Population and Sample Size

One of the most significant methodological challenges confronting researchers today involves accurately defining the true study population and determining an appropriate sample size. Within the digital environment, the concept of “media audience” has largely shifted in favor of the notion of “Internet users,” encompassing millions of individuals from diverse nationalities, ethnicities, languages, and cultural backgrounds.

This digital space transcends geographic, cultural, religious, and social boundaries, effectively dissolving local citizenship in favor of global or cosmopolitan digital citizenship. Moreover, in traditional media environments, audiences were predominantly conceptualized as recipients—individuals who consumed media content primarily designed to influence attitudes, values, or behaviors. In contrast, the digital environment enables all users to produce media content, rendering individuals both senders and receivers simultaneously, each influencing and being influenced according to their own values, orientations, and ideas.

Within this context, accurately determining a study population—such as the number of followers of a specific media page or channel—remains challenging, even if numerical data can be obtained from administrators. Access to users' demographic and personal characteristics is often limited, and conventional probability and non-probability sampling techniques are difficult to apply rigorously. Consequently, many researchers rely on convenience sampling by distributing online questionnaires and analyzing available responses, often without ensuring that the sample adequately represents the broader population.

3. The Nature of Digital Media Content

Digital media content differs substantially from traditional media content in both form and substance. These differences complicate the direct application of established content analysis and semiological analysis tools without modification. Digital content encompasses diverse formats, including text, images, videos, short reels, live broadcasts, and audiovisual effects used to enhance or manipulate quality.

As a result, researchers have been compelled to introduce new analytical categories within content and semiological analysis frameworks, such as measures of interactivity, view counts, engagement indicators, visual and audio effects, and artificial-intelligence-based content production tools.

4. Accuracy of Research Findings in the Context of Non-Authentic Virtual Identities

One of the defining features of the digital communication environment is the vast number of users from various age groups, social categories, and cultural backgrounds. However, a substantial proportion of these users operate under virtual or non-authentic identities. These identities may not reflect real names, personal images, or accurate demographic data such as age and gender—particularly within Arab societies.

This reality directly affects the accuracy and reliability of data generated through research conducted within digital spaces. For instance, accounts belonging to minors may report false ages, or accounts appearing to represent women may in fact be operated by men, among other discrepancies. Additionally, responses provided in online questionnaires may reflect users' virtual personas rather than their real-world identities, undermining trust in research findings and raising concerns regarding their validity in measuring and interpreting communication and media phenomena.

V.Conclusion

The contemporary digital communication environment, with the profound and far-reaching transformations it has introduced into the communication process in all its components, elements, and dimensions, has placed researchers in Media and Communication Studies before numerous methodological dilemmas. These transformations have compelled scholars to confront complex methodological challenges that require adaptation and sustained scholarly effort in order to develop research approaches in all their foundational dimensions, including explanatory theories, research methods, techniques, and data-collection tools.

Addressing these challenges necessitates rethinking and refining existing methodological frameworks to ensure their capacity to adequately study and interpret communication phenomena within digital contexts. This includes integrating quantitative and qualitative approaches in complementary ways that allow for both measurement and deep interpretation,

thereby enabling the production of scientific results that more closely approximate accuracy and truth, and that reflect the dynamic realities of digital communication practices.

References:

1. **Qandilji, Amer, and Al-Samaraei, Iman.** *Quantitative and Qualitative Scientific Research*. Al-Yazouri Publishing House, Amman, 2018.
2. **Bouhous, Ammar.** *A Researcher's Guide to Methodology and Writing University Theses*. National (National Book Institution), Algeria.
3. **Al-Ayyeb, Salim.** "A Cognitive Theorization of the Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches in Social Sciences." *Afaq for Sociology Journal*, University of Blida 02, Issue 2, No. 2, May 2013.
4. **Jarrar, Laila Ahmed.** *Facebook and Arab Youth*. 1st ed. Kuwait: Al-Falah Library for Publishing and Distribution, 2012.
5. **Aissani Al-Tayeb, Rahima.** "Users of Social Networking Sites in the Arab World: A Reading of Numbers and Indicators." *Algerian Journal of Communication*, Vol. 14, No. 23.
6. **Al-Labban, Sherif Darwish, and Ateya, Hisham Abdel-Maqsoud.** *An Introduction to Media Research Methods*. 1st ed. Cairo: Arab House for Publishing and Distribution, 2008.
7. **Al-Mahdawi, Fares Hassan.** *Internet Pages: An Analytical Study of Electronic Newspapers Associated with Satellite News Channels*. Master's thesis, Arab Open Academy, Denmark, 2007.
8. **Hilal, Manal.** *Problematics of Arab Media Research and Methods of Their Development in the Digital Environment: An Analytical Perspective*. Al Jazeera Centre for Studies, Qatar, 2023.
9. **Abdullah, Mai.** *A Critical Vision of Research Methodologies in Media and Communication Sciences*. Al Jazeera Centre for Studies, Qatar, 2023.