

Dirassat & Abhath
The Arabic Journal of Human
and Social Sciences



مجلة دراسات وأبحاث
المجلة العربية في العلوم الإنسانية
والاجتماعية

EISSN: 2253-0363
ISSN : 1112-9751

Algerian literature of urgency: birth, challenges and legacy of a crisis writing

الأدب الجزائري في أوقات الأزمات: ميلاده، وتحدياته، وإرثه

Dr. Benguella Mansouri Esma

Assistant Professor B, University of Tlemcen,
Faculty of Languages, French Department, Dylandimed LAbo.
esma.benguella@univ-tlemcen.dz

د. بن قلة أسماء

أستاذة محاضرة "ب"، جامعة أبو بكر بلقايد كلية اللغات، قسم اللغة الفرنسية، مخبر ديلانديمد
esma.benguella@univ-tlemcen.dz

تاريخ النشر: 2025/10/15

تاريخ القبول: 2026/09/05

تاريخ الإرسال: 2026/06/23

Abstract:

Algerian emergency literature emerged during the violent context of the 1990s Black Decade. Based on testimony, denunciation, and resistance, it enabled writers to give voice to collective trauma and preserve memory. This literary movement also encouraged the emergence of new voices, particularly women writers, and fostered a significant literature of exile. Today, it stands as a major chapter in Algerian literary history, marked by a legacy that oscillates between recognition and oblivion.

Keywords: Emergency Literature ; Black Decade ; Testimony ; Memory ; Exile.

المخلص:

برز أدب الطوارئ الجزائري خلال أحداث التسعينيات العنيفة، المعروفة بـ"العقد الأسود". وبفضل شهاداته واحتجاجاته ومقاومته، مكّن هذا الأدب الكتاب من التعبير عن الصدمات الجماعية وحفظ الذاكرة. كما شجعت هذه الحركة الأدبية ظهور أصوات جديدة، ولا سيما الكاتبات، وأرست دعائم أدب هامّ في المنفى. واليوم، يُعدّ هذا الأدب فصلاً هاماً في تاريخ الأدب الجزائري، يحمل في طياته إرثاً يتأرجح بين التقدير الكلمات المفتاحية: أدب الطوارئ ؛ العقد الأسود ؛ الشهادة ؛ الذاكرة ؛ المنفى.

1. INTRODUCTION

The 1990s constitute one of the darkest periods in Algeria's contemporary history. The rise of political violence, terrorist attacks, massacres, and the assassination of numerous intellectuals plunged the country into a profound crisis that lastingly transformed Algerian society. This decade often referred to as the "Black Decade" affected not only the political and social spheres but also cultural and literary production.

Faced with the collapse of established reference points and the spread of fear, many writers felt the need to speak out. Writing then became a privileged space for understanding the unfolding tragedy, denouncing violence, and preserving the memory of events. A new literary production thus emerged at the very heart of the crisis. Described by critics as "literature of crisis," "committed literature", or "literature of urgency", it is characterized by its close connection to contemporary events and its determination to capture a constantly shifting reality.

This literature is not merely a spontaneous reaction to events; it also reflects a redefinition of the writer's role within a society confronted with violence. As privileged witnesses of their time,

authors endowed literature with a new mission: to express the unspeakable, to fight against oblivion, and to symbolically resist destruction.

Thirty years after these events, the literature of urgency continues to attract critical interest. Although born in exceptional circumstances, it has also profoundly renewed the Algerian literary landscape. It is therefore worth examining the conditions under which this writing emerged, the stakes that animated it, and the legacy it has bequeathed to contemporary Algerian literature.

1. The Birth of a Literature of Crisis

1.1. The Black Decade and the Emergence of a New Literary Voice

The 1990s marked a major rupture in the history of independent Algeria. The rise of political violence, the establishment of a climate of terror, and the proliferation of attacks plunged the country into a deep crisis that lastingly affected all social, political, and cultural structures. This period, often referred to as the "Black Decade," profoundly transformed the conditions of literary production and writers' relationship to their craft.

Faced with a reality dominated by fear, massacres, and uncertainty about the future, many authors felt the need to speak

out. Writing then appeared as one of the few remaining spaces in which it was still possible to understand, to denounce, and to resist. Literature ceased to be solely a space for aesthetic creation and became a means of confronting a tragic reality that was upending all of Algerian society.

This exceptional situation encouraged the emergence of an abundant literary output, produced both by established writers and by new voices. Several critics attempted to define this production by proposing various labels. Jean-François Vilarspoke of literature of crisis. However, it was the notion of literature of urgency that gradually became the dominant term for this writing born out of the dramatic context of the 1990s.

The emergence of this literature is directly linked to the need to respond to violence with words. While intellectuals were among the principal targets of armed groups, writing became an act of resistance. Writers refused to remain silent and sought to preserve a space for reflection in a society threatened by obscurantism. From this perspective, the writer was entrusted with a particular responsibility. As Yasmina Khadra states:

"When a people is driven into a corner, when it is stripped of its bearings, when even its dreams though they cannot truly be taken away are confiscated, then one day it suddenly realizes it is alone, and it searches for a voice; and it is the voice of the intellectual that can save it"¹.

This statement highlights the new function attributed to the writer during this period. The writer was no longer merely the creator of literary works; they also became a witness to their time and a spokesperson for a deeply destabilized society. Through their texts, writers sought to convey a violence that often surpassed the ordinary capacities of language.

This conception of literature as an act of resistance also appears in Yasmina

Khadra's statement that "literature is freedom." In a context marked by censorship, fear, and constant threat, writing amounted to defending a space of freedom that violence was attempting to suppress. Literature thus became a means of preserving human dignity in the face of destructive forces.²

For many authors, writing was also an existential necessity. It made it possible to give meaning to a reality that had become incomprehensible and to resist the sense of powerlessness provoked by events. Boualem Sansal sums up this function when he writes:

"Literature is like speaking you want to say things, but sometimes you feel the need to write them down so that they last."³

Writing thus appeared as a means of fixing the memory of events and transmitting a collective experience under threat of erasure. It made it possible to transform ephemeral speech into lasting testimony.

This literature was also driven by a will to survive. For some writers, writing became a way of existing despite the surrounding violence. Salim Bachi thus states that "it is literature that keeps me alive." This phrase conveys the vital importance that the act of writing assumed in a context where death seemed omnipresent.⁴

Paradoxically, the crisis fostered a remarkable literary vitality. While violence convulsed the entire country, fictional production experienced significant growth. New authors emerged, and established writers renewed their themes in order to capture contemporary reality. The novels published during this period are largely marked by descriptions of events, the exploration of individual and collective trauma, and reflection on the mechanisms of violence.

However, this new literature struggled to find its place within the Algerian publishing world. Political and security

conditions made it difficult to publish texts that were often perceived as disturbing. Many French publishing houses then intervened, offering Algerian writers a space for publication and distribution. This situation contributed to the internationalization of the reception of the literature of urgency while also reinforcing the links between writing and the experience of exile.

Recognition of this body of work quickly extended beyond Algeria's borders. At the 2001 Paris Book Fair, Antoine Gallimard highlighted the strength of these texts, describing them as displaying "an extraordinarily powerful style of writing," marked by "a sincerity of tone" and remarkable "courage." In his view, these authors embodied a new form of literary commitment based on resistance to all forms of oppression.⁵

Thus, the literature of urgency appears as the product of an exceptional historical conjuncture. Born out of the violence of the Black Decade, it constitutes at once a testimony to a tragic period, an intellectual response to the crisis, and a major turning point in the evolution of contemporary Algerian literature. It inaugurated a new way of writing in which aesthetic demands were combined with the urgency of speaking out and the necessity of resistance.

1.2. The Emergence of the Notion of "Writing of Urgency"

While the literature of urgency was born out of an exceptional historical context, it was also shaped by writers' own reflections on their practice. Indeed, several Algerian authors used the expression "writing of urgency" to describe a literary production directly linked to the gravity of the situation their country was experiencing. This term does not simply designate a literature produced under the pressure of events; it also refers to an inner necessity a moral and existential obligation to write.

Urgency first appears as an immediate response to violence. Writers felt the need to say what they saw, to bear witness to a reality that was transforming before their

eyes, day after day. Rachid Boudjedra captures this necessity when he states that "there is an urgency to write, to say things." This statement reflects the conviction that silence had become impossible in the face of the tragedy's scale. Writing was no longer a matter of aesthetic choice or mere intellectual activity; it became a necessity imposed by circumstances.⁶

This same idea is found in Maïssa Bey, who speaks of "the urgency of speaking out" and "giving voice." For this writer, the act of writing arises from a confrontation with a reality that had become unbearable. Writing thus became a means of breaking the silence and giving form to a collective experience marked by suffering. It also made it possible to resist the sense of powerlessness that often accompanies periods of crisis.⁷

Sadek Aïssat, for his part, emphasizes the immediate dimension of this writing. According to him, writers of his generation felt the need to speak of events "as they happened", without waiting for the perspective that time usually allows. This proximity to current events is one of the essential characteristics of the literature of urgency. Unlike many works built upon an already settled memory, the texts of the 1990s were forged within the very movement of history.⁸

However, some authors qualify this view. Slimane Benaïssa thus points out that "it is not writing that is urgent, but rather a listening that is in a state of urgency." This remark is particularly significant, as it shifts the question from creation to reception. Urgency would not reside solely in the act of writing but also in society's need to hear what writers were trying to express. Literature thus became a space of dialogue between an endangered voice and a public confronted with the same tragic reality.⁹

The writing of urgency is also linked to a constant awareness of death. In a society where assassinations were multiplying and intellectuals were directly targeted, writing often amounted to leaving a trace before it was too late. This idea

appears clearly in Amine Touati's statement that what mattered most was "leaving something in print." Behind this phrase lies the desire to survive symbolically through writing. The book became a means of preserving individual and collective memory in the face of the threat of disappearance.¹⁰

This memorial dimension is also highlighted by Marie Virolle, who sees in the literature of urgency a form of writing intended to preserve the traces of an exceptional historical experience. According to her, urgency consists in telling "the plain truths behind the lies" while the scars remain visible. Writing thus appears as an undertaking to safeguard memory, intended to prevent the violence of the present from being erased or distorted by time.¹¹

However, reducing this production to a mere emotional reaction would be insufficient. Behind the urgency to speak lies a genuine reflection on the role of literature. Writers sought not only to describe violence; they also attempted to understand its mechanisms, analyze its causes, and assess its consequences for Algerian society. Writing thus became a tool of knowledge as much as a means of denunciation.

Thus, the expression "writing of urgency" does not merely designate a literature produced in a context of crisis. It refers to a particular conception of the act of writing, grounded in the necessity to bear witness, resist, and preserve collective memory. Urgency thereby appears as the founding principle of a literature that seeks to make sense of a profoundly disrupted reality and turns the written word into an act of both individual and collective survival.

2. The Stakes of a Writing of Urgency

2.1. Bearing Witness to Express the Inexpressible

One of the main characteristics of Algerian literature of urgency lies in its testimonial dimension. Confronted with a daily violence that disrupted individual and collective reference points, writers felt the need to account for what they saw, heard, and experienced. Writing thus became a

means of bearing witness to a reality that official discourse, statistics, or media reports could no longer convey in all its human complexity.

Indeed, throughout the 1990s, Algerians lived to the rhythm of attacks, targeted assassinations, massacres, and disappearances. The repetition of these events risked gradually normalizing the horror. Writers therefore became aware of the need to preserve the human dimension of the tragedy by giving a face, a voice, and a memory to the victims. Literature thus appeared as a privileged space in which individual suffering could be expressed and shared.

For the authors of this period, writing was above all a duty. Assia Djebar declared that she wanted "to speak of an Algeria that is faltering," while Rachid Boudjedra insisted on the necessity of "saying things." These statements reflect a shared determination to break the silence and to render visible a reality that was often difficult to grasp in its full scope. Writers could not simply observe events; they felt entrusted with the responsibility of transmitting them¹².

This conception of writing as testimony echoes Milan Kundera's idea that one writes because one has "something to say that no one else has said." In the Algerian context, this idea takes on a particular resonance. Writers sought to express what other forms of discourse struggled to capture: invisible wounds, individual traumas, everyday fears, and the questions raised by violence.¹³

Abdelkader Djemaï underlines this deeply personal dimension of the act of writing when he states: "You're in pain, you want to say something; there is a lack, and writing comes out of that lack as an open inner wound." Writing thus arises from suffering, but also from the need to give it meaning. It becomes an attempt to transform individual pain into a shareable experience.¹⁴

The writers of urgency did not bear witness only for themselves. They also

wrote for others—for those who could no longer speak, for the victims silenced by violence. This role as spokespersons gives literature a particularly strong ethical dimension. As Slimane Benaïssa points out, the writer must take responsibility for telling the situation as it is. Testimony thus becomes a form of commitment that goes far beyond the framework of literary creation.

This determination to tell the truth about reality appears with particular force in the writings of Maïssa Bey. For this writer, the act of writing constitutes a direct response to the violence coursing through Algerian society. In her text entitled *Dire* (To Say), she describes the difficulty of living surrounded by words such as "carnage," "massacre," "slaughter," or "butchery" words that had become omnipresent in the daily life of Algerians. These words are not mere abstract terms; they refer to faces, stories, and real suffering. The author thus insists on the necessity of naming barbarity in order to prevent it from becoming commonplace.¹⁵

*"Because barbarity exists,
and it must be named."*¹⁶

This statement sums up one of the essential functions of the literature of urgency. To name violence is to refuse its erasure. To speak the horror is to fight against the indifference it risks producing once it becomes too frequent. Writing thus makes it possible to preserve the capacity for outrage and to keep awareness of the tragedy alive.

Testimony also carries a historical dimension. Writers knew that they were witnesses to an exceptional period whose memory would need to be preserved. In this regard, WacinyLaredj points out that the tragic situation pushed writers to express what they had already been carrying within themselves. Literature thus became a place where individual experience meets collective history.¹⁷

Consequently, the literature of urgency cannot be reduced to a mere chronicle of events. It constitutes a genuine undertaking of testimony intended to transmit to future

generations the memory of a period marked by violence and fear. By giving voice to the victims, by denouncing the mechanisms of destruction, and by preserving the traces of lived experience, writers turned writing into an act of resistance against forgetting and against the normalization of tragedy.

2.2. Writing Against Silence and Forgetting

While the literature of urgency first responds to a demand for testimony, it is also part of a broader struggle against silence and forgetting. Faced with a violence that tended to reduce individuals to silence and to gradually erase the traces of its victims, writers felt the need to preserve a threatened memory. Writing thus became an act of resistance aimed at preventing the tragedy from disappearing into indifference or being distorted by time.

In the Algerian context of the 1990s, silence took several forms. It was, first, the silence imposed on individuals by fear. Threats, assassinations, and a climate of terror led many people to remain silent in order to survive. But it was also the silence produced by habit. Through the daily repetition of news about massacres, killings, or attacks, there was a risk that horror would come to seem ordinary. Writers stood precisely against this normalization of violence.

For Maïssa Bey, writing meant refusing this submission to silence. She states that "the power of words reveals the urgency of saying the unsayable" and of "refusing the silence and fear imposed for too long." This statement reveals the full importance attached to speech in the literature of urgency. In the face of attempts at erasure or intimidation, writing became a way of reclaiming a space of freedom and self-affirmation.¹⁸

Writing also appeared as a means of fighting against forgetting. Writers were aware of the ephemeral nature of current events and of the danger posed by the gradual fading of memories. They therefore sought to fix, within their works, the traces of a painful collective experience so that it could be transmitted to future generations.

This concern for memory is particularly present in the work of Assia Djebar. The writer states that she writes against death and against forgetting, two phrases that perfectly sum up the function attributed to literature during this period. The act of writing became a way of preserving disappeared voices, of keeping alive the memory of victims, and of sustaining the history of a society confronted with violence. In the same vein, she speaks of the need to trace marks, underscoring the determination to safeguard what risked being erased by time.

Yasmina Khadra shares this same conception when he states that he writes for memory. Through this phrase, the writer reminds us that literature is not limited to describing the present; it also constitutes a place where the past is preserved. The works of the Black Decade thus appear as veritable sensitive archives, recording the fears, sufferings, questions, and hopes of an era.

The memorial function of this literature also connects to a broader reflection on the role of the writer in society. In this respect, Jean-Paul Sartre's thought sheds particular light on the approach taken by the writers of urgency. According to him, writing consists in revealing a situation in order to make it understandable and, possibly, to transform it. In *What Is Literature?* Sartre states:

"Thus, by speaking, I reveal the situation through my very project of changing it."¹⁹

This conception finds a clear echo in Algerian writings of the 1990s. Authors sought not only to recount violence; they also attempted to provoke an awareness capable of countering indifference and resignation.

Writing thus became a space where individual memory meets collective memory. Through their narratives, writers built a memorial heritage intended to transmit the experience of the Black Decade beyond those who had directly lived through it. In doing so, they contributed to the construction of a national memory grounded

not in official discourse, but in the lived human experience of the tragedy.

The literature of urgency therefore appears as far more than a simple testimony to events. It constitutes a genuine undertaking to safeguard collective memory. By refusing silence and fighting against forgetting, writers affirmed the power of literature in the face of destructive forces. Their work helps preserve the traces of a painful period while reminding us that memory remains one of the essential conditions for any individual or collective reconstruction.

2.3. Women's Voices: Writing to Exist and to Resist

Among the most striking phenomena of Algerian literature in the 1990s is the emergence of a particularly dynamic female voice. Although women were not absent from Algerian literature before this period, the Black Decade encouraged the appearance of a significant number of women writers who took up writing as a space for expression, resistance, and self-affirmation. In a context marked by violence, fundamentalism, and threats that weighed particularly heavily on women, writing became for them a vital necessity.

This new female presence contributed to profoundly renewing the Algerian literary landscape. As Maïssa Bey points out, "the inexhaustible, essential wealth of a country also lies in its women." This statement conveys the idea that women were no longer mere observers of history in the making, but full participants in the country's cultural and intellectual production.²⁰

Faced with the violence striking Algerian society, many women felt the need to recount their experience and to make heard a voice that had long been marginalized. Writing then appeared as a means of breaking the silence and resisting all forms of exclusion. In this regard, Maïssa Bey speaks of the "desire to liberate women's voices." Through their works, women writers sought not only to bear witness to the collective tragedy, but also to make visible a specifically female reality

often absent from dominant discourse.²¹

This act of speaking out took place in a particularly difficult context. Women were among the principal targets of fundamentalist discourse, which sought to exclude them from public space and to restrict their freedoms. Khalida Messaoudi highlights the obsession of fundamentalists with the question of women, noting that women were often presented as responsible for the ills afflicting society. This situation led many female intellectuals, journalists, academics, and writers to use writing as an instrument of denunciation and resistance.²²

For Maïssa Bey, writing was above all an act of survival. She states that writing represents her "last refuge" against violence and that it protects her against madness. This conception of writing as a vital necessity is shared by many writers of urgency, but it takes on a particular dimension for women. To write was to affirm one's existence in a society where, in the writer's own words, "any word spoken by a woman is subversive." Literature thus became a space in which women could claim their right to speech and representation.²³

This dimension is also present in the work of Assia Djebar. For her, writing constitutes a means of exploring her subjectivity and affirming her identity. When she declares, "I write out of having been silenced for so long", she highlights the close link between writing and the reclaiming of a long-repressed voice. Literature thus appears as a response to all forms of imposed silence.²⁴

The importance of this body of work by women is also measured by the diversity of the works published during this period. Alongside Assia Djebar, an already established figure of Algerian literature, new women writers emerged, such as Maïssa Bey, Fériel Assima, Malika Boussouf, and Nayla Imaksen. Their texts attest to the richness of a female voice that addressed both questions related to political violence and those concerning the condition of women in Algerian society.

This development has led critics to

reflect on the specificity of women's writing. Christiane Achour notes that some women writers developed a particular approach to reality, grounded in an experience different from that of men. Without essentializing this distinction, it is possible to observe that the female texts of the 1990s often pay particular attention to everyday experience, to intimate life, to silent suffering, and to the consequences of violence on women and families.²⁵

The emergence of these women's voices thus constitutes one of the major transformations of the Algerian literary field during the Black Decade. By entering a space long dominated by men, women writers helped diversify perspectives on the crisis and enrich the forms used to represent reality. Their commitment demonstrates literature's capacity to become a place of resistance, freedom, and identity affirmation.

Consequently, the women's literature of the 1990s should not be regarded as a mere secondary component of the literature of urgency. On the contrary, it constitutes one of its most significant expressions, revealing both the violence of the period and women's determination to make their voices heard in a context that sought precisely to reduce them to silence.

3. Legacy and Posterity of a Literature of Crisis

3.1. Exile: Between Constraint and Space for Creation

The history of the Algerian literature of urgency is inseparable from that of exile. During the 1990s, the deteriorating security situation drove many intellectuals, journalists, academics, and writers to leave the country in order to escape the threats hanging over them. The assassination of Tahar Djaout in 1993 stands as a symbolic event that profoundly marked the Algerian intellectual world. From that date onward, exile appeared to many as the only alternative to violence.

However, this exile should not be understood as a simple geographical displacement. It represents a complex, often

painful experience that profoundly transformed writers' relationship to their country, their identity, and their writing. Torn from their familiar environment, these authors nevertheless continued to write about Algeria, turning literature into a space in which to maintain a bond with a homeland that had become inaccessible.

For many writers, exile was above all a constraint imposed by circumstances. Nourredine Saâdi recalls that he had never considered leaving his country until threats forced him to do so. His departure was not the result of a personal choice but a matter of survival. Like many other intellectuals of his generation, he then discovered a paradoxical condition: that of being physically far from his country while remaining profoundly attached to it.²⁶

This experience is also described by Anouar Benmalek, who emphasizes the involuntary nature of exile. According to him, it was not a free decision but a direct consequence of the tragic history Algeria was going through. This separation is often accompanied by a sense of guilt—the guilt of having left behind a country where others continued to live, suffer, or die. Exile thus became an intimate wound that would accompany the writer for a long time.²⁷

Yet, despite the pain it caused, exile also offered a space of freedom that writers no longer found in their own country. Far from threats and censorship, they were able to continue their writing and to keep denouncing the violence afflicting Algeria. In this context, exile paradoxically became the very site of literary creation.

Arezki Metref highlights this dimension when he states that writing constitutes his true homeland in exile. Literature then replaces the lost territory and becomes a symbolic space where the author can continue to inhabit his country. This idea conveys the importance of writing as a means of preserving an identity threatened by distance.²⁸

Slimane Benaïssa, for his part, expresses the full ambivalence of this condition. He refuses to consider exile as a

definitive state and states that his mind cannot fully settle into it. His testimony reveals just how much exile remains an experience of inner tearing. However, he also points out that the absence of freedom can sometimes produce a sense of exile even within one's own country. From this perspective, exile is not only geographical; it is also political, cultural, and existential.²⁹

This reflection leads us to consider exile as a particularly fertile space for creation. It is often from this distance that writers develop a deeper analysis of Algerian events. Such distance fosters a perspective that allows for questioning the causes of the crisis, its consequences, and the transformations it imposes on society.

Exile also plays an essential role in the dissemination of the literature of urgency. Settled mainly in France, many authors found with French publishing houses the conditions necessary for the publication of their works. This reception helped make Algerian literature known to an international audience and contributed to its critical recognition. Thus, what initially appears as a rupture also becomes a vector of visibility and influence.

However, this newfound freedom does not erase the suffering caused by separation. Writers continue to carry within them the memory of the country they left behind. Their works frequently express nostalgia, loss, and the sense of belonging simultaneously to two spaces. As Mohamed Dib suggests, culture itself sometimes becomes an act of resistance when violence seeks to reduce it to silence.³⁰

Exile thus constitutes one of the major paradoxes of the literature of urgency. It is at once a wound and a resource, a rupture and a continuity. While it physically distances writers from their country, it also offers them the possibility of continuing their mission of testimony and resistance. Far from putting an end to their commitment, it instead reinforces their determination to speak of Algeria and to preserve the memory of a tragic period.

3.2. From the Literature of Urgency to a

Literary Legacy: Between Recognition and Oblivion

Thirty years after the emergence of the literature of urgency, it is now possible to take a more distanced view of this phenomenon. Although this production was born out of an exceptional context marked by violence and the urgency of testimony, it now constitutes an important chapter in the history of contemporary Algerian literature. However, this posterity raises several questions. Have all the works produced during this period withstood the test of time? Have all the writers of urgency received the same recognition? Historical distance invites us to qualify the judgments made in the immediacy of events.

At the time of their publication, the works of urgency enjoyed strong visibility. The media, publishing houses, and readers showed particular interest in these texts, which helped make sense of the Algerian tragedy. Current events gave these novels an immediate legitimacy: they appeared as indispensable testimonies on a situation that was still unfolding. The documentary value of these works sometimes carried as much weight as their aesthetic dimension.

However, as the sense of urgency gradually faded, a natural selection took place within this abundant production. Some texts remained closely tied to the context that gave rise to them and lost part of their impact once the events receded into the past. Others, by contrast, moved beyond mere testimony to attain a more universal reach. They continued to be read not only for what they recount about the Black Decade, but also for their literary qualities, their human depth, and their capacity to raise fundamental questions such as violence, identity, memory, or exile.

Thus, several writers from or associated with the literature of urgency achieved lasting recognition. Yasmina Khadra established himself as one of the major figures of contemporary Francophone literature through works that extend far beyond the Algerian crisis alone. Boualem Sansal built an important body of work in

which reflection on history, freedom, and ideological excesses occupies a central place. Maïssa Bey became one of the most studied female voices in contemporary Algerian literature, while Assia Djebar's work achieved international recognition.

Conversely, many authors who were highly visible in the 1990s gradually disappeared from the literary forefront. Some published only a limited number of works, while others remained associated with a particular period without managing to renew their writing or reach a lasting readership. This shows that the immediate success of a work does not necessarily guarantee its permanence in literary history.

This distinction between lasting recognition and relative oblivion helps clarify the limits of the very notion of "literature of urgency." While urgency explains the conditions under which these texts were born, it is not sufficient to ensure their survival. Posterity also depends on aesthetic factors, the quality of the writing, the originality of the themes addressed, and the works' ability to transcend their original context.

It would nevertheless be unfair to reduce the literature of urgency to only those authors who achieved lasting recognition. Even works that are less read today have helped document an essential period of Algerian history. They constitute valuable archives, allowing us to understand representations of violence, the reactions of intellectuals, and the debates that ran through society during that decade. Their historical importance remains undeniable, even when their literary visibility has faded.

Moreover, the influence of this literature extends well beyond the 1990s. The themes it brought to the fore—memory, violence, identity, exile, the relationship to history—continue to run through much of contemporary Algerian literary production. Many present-day writers directly or indirectly inherit the questions raised by their predecessors of the Black Decade. In this sense, the literature of urgency has lastingly helped redefine the directions taken

by Algerian literature in French.

Thus, far from being a mere circumstantial phenomenon, the literature of urgency now appears as a decisive stage in the evolution of Algerian letters. Born out of tragic circumstances, it enabled the emergence of new voices, renewed forms of literary commitment, and opened up perspectives that continue to nourish contemporary creation. Its legacy can be measured both by the recognition achieved by certain authors and by the lasting imprint it has left on the Algerian literary imagination.

Conclusion

The Algerian literature of urgency constitutes one of the most striking cultural responses to the crisis Algeria went through during the 1990s. Born in the dramatic context of the Black Decade, it stands as a literature of circumstance, deeply tied to the events that upended Algerian society. In the face of violence, fear, and silence, writers turned writing into a space of resistance, testimony, and memory.

This literary production is characterized above all by the urgency of speaking out. Writing became a necessity imposed by circumstances, a means of denouncing barbarity, of accounting for collective experience, and of preserving the traces of a history in the making. The authors of this period refused silence and fully embraced their role as witnesses in the face of a reality threatened with sinking into oblivion.

The importance of this literature also lies in its diversity. Alongside already recognized major figures, new voices emerged—particularly female voices—that considerably enriched the Algerian literary landscape. Women writers fully participated in this movement, offering original perspectives on violence, memory, and the condition of women in a society in crisis. Likewise, the experience of exile helped renew forms of writing by turning distance into a privileged site of observation and creation.

With hindsight, however, it becomes clear that the literature of urgency does not form a homogeneous whole. While some authors achieved lasting recognition and continue to hold an important place in the Francophone literary field, others remained closely tied to the context that gave rise to their works. This shows that historical urgency alone is not enough to ensure a text's literary permanence.

Nevertheless, beyond individual trajectories, the literature of urgency has profoundly shaped the history of Algerian letters. It preserved the memory of a tragic period, renewed forms of literary commitment, and opened up new aesthetic and thematic perspectives. More than a mere literature of circumstance, it now appears as a major legacy whose resonances continue to nourish contemporary literary creation. It thus remains an essential testimony to literature's capacity to resist violence, to safeguard collective memory, and to give voice once again to those whom history threatens to silence.

4. Bibliography List :

- Algérie Littérature/Action (1995), Literary news and global review, Algérie Littérature/Action, Marsa, Paris, No. 5.
Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, Algérie Littérature/Action, Marsa, Paris, No. 3-4.
Algérie Littérature/Action (1997), Literary news and global review, Algérie Littérature/Action, Marsa, Paris, No. 1.
Algérie Littérature/Action (1998), Literary news and global review, Algérie Littérature/Action, Marsa, Paris, No. 3.
Algérie Littérature/Action (1998), Literary news and global review, Algérie Littérature/Action, Marsa, Paris, No. 2.
Messaoudi K. (1995), Une Algérienne debout, Flammarion, France.
Sartre J-P. (1948), Qu'est-ce que la littérature ?, Gallimard, France.

¹⁶Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 1, p. 14.
¹⁷Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 3-4, p. 167.
¹⁸Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 65-66, p. 55.
¹⁹Sartre J-P. (1948), Qu'est-ce que la littérature ?, Gallimard, France, p. 23.
²⁰Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 5, p. 77
²¹Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 5, p. 76
²²Messaoudi K. (1995) Une algérienne Debout, Flammarion, France, p.95.
²³Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 1, p. 89.
²⁴Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 3-4, p. 111.
²⁵Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 5, p. 76.
²⁶Algérie Littérature/Action (1998), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 2, p. 134.
²⁷Algérie Littérature/Action (1998), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 2, p. 119.
²⁸Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 5, p. 80.
²⁹Algérie Littérature/Action (1998), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 2, p. 192.
³⁰Algérie Littérature/Action (1998), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 3-4, p. 164

¹Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 5, p. 12.
²Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 5, p. 19.
³Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 5, p. 81.
⁴Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 5, p. 12.
⁵Algérie Littérature/Action (2003), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 56,66 p. 57.
⁶ Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 1, p. 211.
⁷Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 5, p. 75.
⁸Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 3-4, p. 117.
⁹Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 1, p. 107.
¹⁰Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 5, p. 173.
¹¹Algérie Littérature/Action (1997), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 1, p. 73.
¹²Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 5, p. 86.
¹³Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 1, p. 175.
¹⁴Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 5, p. 90.
¹⁵Algérie Littérature/Action (1996), Literary news and global review, *Algérie Littérature/Action*, Paris, No. 1, p. 94.