DRIVEN AI ROBOTICS IN THE HEALTHCARE INDUSTRY: Balancing economic impacts and ethical considerations

DEY Wissam
BATNA1 University, Management- Transport- Logistics laboratory, (ALGERIA),
wissam.dey@univ-batna.dz

Received: 15/04/2026 *Accepted: 12/05/2026* *Published: 03/06/2026*

Abstract:

This study examines the multifaceted implications of integrating artificial intelligence (AI) and robotics as technological innovations in the healthcare sector. Employing a descriptive-analytical approach, this investigation systematically reviews the expanding role of AI-powered robotic systems across global healthcare environments, encompassing their principal applications from humanitarian, operational, and economic perspectives. The study demonstrates the substantial contributions of AI-powered robots to medical diagnosis, patient monitoring, surgical precision, and rehabilitative care. Simultaneously, it identifies critical concerns pertaining to ethical governance, legislative frameworks, patient privacy, workforce displacement, and prohibitive economic costs that disproportionately affect resource-constrained health systems.

The analysis reveals that while AI-driven robotics holds transformative potential for healthcare delivery, its equitable and responsible integration necessitates the development of robust regulatory frameworks, inclusive innovation partnerships, sustained investment in robotics engineering, and comprehensive human-resource capacity-building programs. The study concludes with targeted policy recommendations for embedding privacy protections within healthcare governance charters and fostering technology transfer through quality-assured training mechanisms.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence; robotics; healthcare industry; economic impacts; ethical considerations.

Jel Classification Codes: O33, I 11, O47, I18

Corresponding author**: DEY Wissam,* ,BATNA1 universitye-mail:** wissam.dey@univ-batna.dz*

1. INTRODUCTION:

During the preceding decade, the healthcare sector has witnessed a marked acceleration in technological advancement, characterised by a growing emphasis on remote care delivery, digital health infrastructure, and high-performance analytical software grounded in artificial intelligence (AI). Such systems are capable of drawing actionable inferences from large-scale datasets, thereby fundamentally reconstituting how care is personalised and delivered. In the contemporary era of exponential growth in health data and computing capacity, AI is rapidly expanding across a spectrum of healthcare domains including medical diagnosis, patient monitoring, therapeutic intervention, and pharmaceutical discovery (Wah, 2025, p. 19).

The intersection of robotics and AI represents one of the most consequential technological confluences of the early twenty-first century. This convergence has catalysed transformative change across multiple industrial sectors, with healthcare emerging as a principal beneficiary. AI enables robotic systems to execute complex, precision-dependent tasks with a consistency and reliability that exceeds unaided human performance. More advanced iterations of AI-enabled robotics are increasingly regarded as the operational foundation of an autonomy-oriented healthcare ecosystem (Alowais et al., 2023, p. 9). Against this backdrop, this study provides a systematic analytical overview of how AI-driven robotics can optimally impact healthcare delivery examining surgical applications, patient care and monitoring, administrative automation, and the attendant ethical and operational challenges that must be navigated to ensure responsible implementation.

1.1. **Research Problem :** This study aims to investigate the central question:

What role does the AI-powered robotics play in promoting and sustaining technological innovation within the healthcare sector, and what are the primary ethical, economic, and operational constraints that affect its equitable dissemination?

1.2. Objectives of the Study:

The study seeks to accomplish the following analytical objectives:

– To situate AI-powered robotics within the broader context of the fifth digital revolution and its implications for healthcare delivery;

– To assess technological innovation in the healthcare sector as a mechanism for social welfare and health cohesion;

– To identify the ethical and operational impacts of AI-powered robots on healthcare provision, with a particular focus on nursing ethics and patient autonomy;

– provide a diagnostic and statistically grounded overview of the expanding role of the robotics economy in enhancing healthcare outcomes;

– To assess the limitations of AI application concerning patient privacy and data governance**.**

2. Literature Review:

Alan Turing was a pioneer in proposing that machines could emulate human behavior. The investigation of this concept gained significant traction in the 1950s, resulting in significant advancements. Professional medical expert systems, initially developed in the 1970s, were designed to offer medical consultations and are widely regarded as groundbreaking innovations that significantly advanced artificial intelligence in the medical domain. However, these systems were not immediately accepted by the medical community at large.

The advent of sequencing technologies has introduced a contentious issue in the interpretation of therapeutic data. It is crucial to utilize findings from experimental studies, often integrated within clinical data repositories, to enhance our understanding of diseases (Eubanks, 2018). 54). This approach facilitates more informed decision-making. Robotics is frequently regarded as a domain that falls within the remit of artificial intelligence.

Intelligence is gaining importance day by day involvement in patient care. **(Fig1)** Emerging Technologies which are AI-based solutions, have been created to forecast the recurrence and progression of diseases or treatment responses. In the world of medicine, artificial intelligence (AI) refers to imitating decision-making behavior by stakeholders or agents actions in healthcare, while artificial intelligence is the root cause of the diagnosis,

which means being able to recognize and identify the disease you are suffering from and differentiating it from a similar one. (Shedge et al., 2025.p.44)

Fig 1. Use of AI and Robots in Healthcare.



Source: (Kitsantas et al., 2024, p.221)

3. The Role and Importance of Ai-Powered Robotics in the Healthcare Industry

The healthcare sector presents a constellation of operational demands—precision, consistency, scalability, and continuous availability—that are structurally congruent with the capabilities of AI-enhanced robotic systems. The deployment of such systems yields benefits along multiple axes of healthcare delivery, from clinical precision in interventional medicine to administrative efficiency and equity of access in underserved regions.

3.1. Surgical Precision and Error Reduction

This degree of accuracy is particularly consequential in high-stakes domains such as neurosurgery and ophthalmic surgery, where even marginal deviations can result in catastrophic sequelae. Clinical evidence indicates that AI-assisted robotic procedures are associated with statistically significant reductions in complication rates and intraoperative blood loss, as well as shorter postoperative recovery periods (Alowais et al., 2023, p. 9).

3.2 Remote Care and Telemedicine Applications

AI robotics substantially extends the geographic reach of specialist clinical expertise through telepresence and remote operative platforms. Healthcare professionals are empowered to conduct surgical procedures and

clinical consultations from geographically distant locations, thereby mitigating the structural access inequities that characterize rural and low-resource health systems. (Kitsantas et al., 2024, p. 217).

3.3. Machine leaning:

Algorithmic approaches to clinical diagnosis constitute one of the most empirically well-developed areas of AI application in healthcare. Machine learning models trained on multimodal clinical data encompassing electronic health records, diagnostic imaging, genomic profiles, and laboratory result have demonstrated diagnostic accuracy comparable to or exceeding specialist human performance in several high-prevalence disease categories (Jiang et al., 2017, p. 230).

3.4 Rehabilitation and Assistive Robotics

Cognitive rehabilitation is further augmented by virtual reality systems and brain-computer interfaces that enable neurologically impaired patients to interface with external devices via cortical signal translation, thereby expanding the scope of rehabilitation beyond conventional therapeutic 3.5. Boundaries (Ghazi, 2024, p. 37).

3.5 Rehabilitation encompasses a range of techniques and technologies aimed at restoring or enhancing physical performance. These include assistive and rehabilitation robotics, cognitive rehabilitation aids, and brain-computer interface (BCI) technology.

3.6 .the quantum frontier in healthcare innovation uses quantum sensors to enhance image quality, enabling earlier and more accurate disease identification. Drug Discovery Acceleration ues quantum computing to mimic complicated molecular interactions, significantly shortening the time required for pharmaceutical development.

4. Methodology

This study adopts an integrative literature review methodology, a research design that is particularly well-suited to multidisciplinary topics that span technological, economic, and ethical domains. Unlike a conventional systematic review, which restricts its scope to narrowly defined clinical outcomes, the integrative review framework accommodates both empirical

and conceptual sources, thereby enabling a holistic synthesis of knowledge across heterogeneous scholarly traditions (azzi et al, 2024)..

The research paradigm is predominantly qualitative, with selective incorporation of quantitative data were available in the reviewed literature to substantiate empirical claims regarding clinical outcomes, cost-effectiveness, and adoption rates. This approach is justified by the nature of the research question which concerns the role of AI-powered robotics in simultaneously advancing technological innovation, generating economic impact, and raising ethical concerns a question that demands interpretive analysis rather than statistical inference.

A structured and replicable search strategy was employed to identify relevant literature. Five major academic databases were systematically queried: PubMed (MEDLINE), IEEE Xplore, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. These platforms were selected on the basis of their disciplinary coverage

5. Findings: The findings of this study are in broad dialogue with, but in several important respects advance beyond, the existing scholarly literature on AI in healthcare

5.1 economic impact: benefits, trade-offs

5.1. 1- Cost Reduction and Productivity Gains

A growing body of health-economic evidence suggests that AI-assisted robotic procedures can substantially reduce direct healthcare costs through complication avoidance, reduced length of inpatient stay, and streamlined administrative processes. Cost-effectiveness analyses across multiple clinical domains report improvements in diagnostic accuracy and quality-adjusted life years (QALYs), frequently at or below accepted willingness-to-pay thresholds (Vithlani et al., 2023, p. 4).

AI imaging systems have been reported to achieve diagnostic detection accuracy rates in the region of 92.5%, while IoMT-powered monitoring platforms demonstrate approximately 85.1% efficiency in early-warning generation supporting resource optimisation and the avoidance of high-cost downstream clinical events (Jiao et al., 2023, p. 25)

table 1. Comparative Performance Indicators: AI Robotics vs. Conventional Healthcare Delivery

Category	Value
AI Imaging Detection Accuracy	**92.5%**
IoMT Early-Warning Efficiency	**85.1%**
Reduction in Diagnostic Errors	**~78%**
Complication Rate Reduction (Surgery)	**~65%**
Admin Processing Time Reduction (RPA)	**~70%**

Sources: Vithlani et al. (2023); Jiao et al. (2023); Kitsantas et al. (2024)**.**

5.1.2 Workforce Transformation and Labour Market Implications

The labour-market implications of AI robotics in healthcare are characterised by complementarity rather than wholesale substitution in the near term, AI systems are projected to assume progressively greater shares of patient administration, routine monitoring, and standardised clinical interventions, repositioning clinicians towards complex, relational, and supervisory functions (Nimkar et al., 2024, p. 48). Robotic process automation (RPA) in administrative domains further reduces operational workload and error incidence, indirectly liberating clinical time and improving institutional throughput. In long-term care and rehabilitation settings, robotic assistance serves as a partial mitigant against workforce shortages while simultaneously raising structural concerns regarding clinical deskilling and occupational task reconfiguration (Zeb et al., 2024, p. 28).

Table 2. Workforce Impact of Healthcare Automation (2024–2030)

Role Category	Automation Exposure (%)	Projected Change	Net Effect
Administrative & Billing Staff	72%	−25 to −35%	Significant Displacement
Diagnostic Imaging Technicians	55%	−10 to −20%	Partial Displacement
Surgical Nurses & Assistants	30%	Role Transformation	Complementary
Specialist Surgeons	15%	Augmentation	Positive / Net Gain
Rehabilitation Therapists	40%	Hybrid Model	Complementary
General Practitioners	25%	Decision Support	Augmentative

Source : Adapted from Ma (2024, p. 77); Nimkar et al. (2024).

5.1.3. Macroeconomic and Structural Market Dynamics

At the macroeconomic level, AI-driven robotics expands healthcare system capacity by enabling higher procedural volumes, extended monitoring coverage, and novel service delivery modalities including home-based chronic disease management and rural tele surgery (TAHER, N. 2024). Patent landscape analyses identify surgical robotics as a high-value, intellectual-property-intensive market segment dominated by large incumbent firms such as Intuitive Surgical, creating significant barriers to entry and shaping the balance of bargaining power between technology vendors and healthcare providers (Jiao et al., 2023, p. 23).

In low-resource settings, AI-enabled diagnostic tools hold particular promise for extending specialist-level diagnostic capabilities, improving population health outcomes and economic productivity, provided that equity of infrastructure access is actively pursued.

Table 3. Market Leaders & Innovation Ecosystem in AI-Driven Healthcare Robotics

Market Segment	Competitive Edge	Strategic Risk	Role in Ecosystem
Established Corporations (60%)	High barriers to entry; deep clinical integration; existing hospital contracts.	"Innovator's Dilemma"moving too slowly on radical AI shifts.	The Infrastructural Backbone of surgical suites.
Pure-Play Robotics (25%)	High agility; native AI integration; focused on specialized robotic precision.	High R&D burn rate; intense competition from incumbent firms.	The Technical Pioneers pushing hardware limits.
Tech-to-Health Entrants (12%)	Mastery of Big Data and Cloud; unmatched AI diagnostic capabilities.	Data privacy concerns; lack of deep clinical hardware history.	The Intelligence Layer providing diagnostic insights.
Emerging Startups (3%)	Disruptive innovation; focus on ultra-low-cost or hyper-niche applications.	Limited scale; dependency on venture capital cycles ($8–12B).	The Innovation Incubators for future acquisitions.

source: Jiao et al. (2023, p. 23)

5.2. Economic Risks and Financing Constraints

Notwithstanding its considerable promise, the economic integration of AI robotics in healthcare is attended by significant fiscal and structural risks. High upfront capital requirements encompassing hardware acquisition, system integration, and workforce retraining can impose substantial budgetary strain on healthcare institutions, particularly where reimbursement structures remain misaligned with innovation costs (Lai et al., 2025, p. 40).

Economic evaluations of AI healthcare interventions frequently underreport infrastructure and equity costs and tend to rely on static analytical models that may systematically overstate net benefits (Vithlani et al., 2023, p. 4). Additionally, risks associated with algorithmic bias, data privacy breaches, and evolving liability frameworks translate into regulatory delay, litigation exposure, and reputational costs for adopting institutions.

5.3. Patient Autonomy and Informed Consent

AI robotic systems increasingly participate in or mediate clinical decision-making, generating recommendations that may influence diagnostic and therapeutic pathways. It is ethically imperative that patients retain the capacity for genuine autonomous choice in this context a capacity that requires not merely formal consent procedures but substantive transparency regarding the functioning and evidential basis of AI-generated recommendations. The opacity of many machine learning algorithms commonly characterized as the **'black box'** problem poses a direct challenge to the informed consent paradigm and necessitates the development of explainable AI (XAI) standards commensurate with the clinical stakes involved (Ma, 2024, p. 46).

5.4. Accountability and Legal Liability:

The assignment of responsibility in cases of adverse outcomes attributable to AI robotic systems presents complex legal and ethical challenges that existing liability frameworks are inadequately equipped to address. Clear governance mechanisms are required to delineate responsibility among AI developers, healthcare institutions, and clinical practitioners, and to ensure that accountability is both prospectively defined and retrospectively enforceable (Ma, 2024, p. 46). The absence of such

mechanisms not only exposes institutions to unquantified legal risk but also risks eroding patient confidence in AI-mediated care.

5.5. Algorithmic Bias and Equity

AI systems trained on historically non-representative datasets may perpetuate or amplify pre-existing health disparities, producing diagnostic or therapeutic recommendations that perform inequitably across demographic subgroups defined by race, gender, socioeconomic status, or geographical location. The ethical imperative of algorithmic fairness demands that training datasets be representative and continuously audited, that bias-mitigation techniques be incorporated throughout the model development lifecycle, and that equity impact assessments be conducted prior to clinical deployment (Mizna et al., 2025).

5.6. Data Protection and Cybersecurity

AI robotic systems process large volumes of sensitive patient health data, creating substantial exposure to data breach, unauthorised access, and identity-related harm. Robust cybersecurity infrastructure including advanced encryption, access control, anomaly detection, and incident response protocols is an essential precondition for trustworthy AI robotic deployment. Patients must be afforded meaningful transparency regarding data collection, storage, sharing, and use, and must provide genuinely informed consent for data utilisation in AI training and operational contexts (Metty et al., 2023, p. 7).

6. Discussion

6.1 .Technological and Interoperability Challenges

The realisation of AI robotics' full potential in healthcare is contingent upon the resolution of substantial technological and interoperability challenges. Healthcare environments are characterised by heterogeneity and dynamism that complicate the generalisation of AI robotic systems trained on bounded datasets.

Real-time clinical decision-making demands a degree of algorithmic sophistication that current systems do not universally possess, while the diversity of proprietary technologies and closed vendor ecosystems generates interoperability barriers that impede seamless data exchange across institutional and sectoral boundaries (Hussain, 2024, p. 10).

The creation of standardised data interfaces, interoperable communication protocols, and open-source collaborative frameworks represents an urgent institutional priority for maximising the systemic benefits of AI robotic integration (Agrawal et al., 2024, p. 57).

To return to the study's guiding research question: what role does the AI-powered robotics economy play in promoting technological innovation in the healthcare industry the evidence supports a three-part answer.

First, AI-driven robotics is a powerful accelerant of clinical innovation, enabling procedures and diagnostic capabilities that were previously impossible or prohibitively risky. (Wah, 2025; Agrawal et al., 2024).

AI diagnostic platforms have achieved imaging detection accuracy rates approaching 92.5% in controlled studies, outperforming human radiologists in specific tasks such as the early detection of diabetic retinopathy and pulmonary nodules (Alowais et al., 2023; Mizna et al., 2025). These are not marginal improvements; they represent qualitative shifts in what healthcare can deliver.

Second, AI-driven robotics is an economic disruptor whose net financial impact on health systems is deeply context-dependent and far less uniformly positive than technology-optimistic discourse suggests.

The evidence reviewed by (Lai et al., 2025) and (Hendrix et al. 2021) consistently shows that while AI robotic systems can reduce per-procedure costs and length of hospital stay over the medium term, the upfront capital expenditure for procurement, integration, maintenance, and staff retraining is substantial often in the range of several million dollars per system and the return on investment is sensitive to utilisation rates, reimbursement structures, and the quality of implementation planning. (Vithlani et al. 2023).

Further demonstrate that existing economic evaluations of AI healthcare interventions suffer from systematic methodological weaknesses, including the underreporting of infrastructure costs and the use of static models that fail to capture dynamic adoption effects. The implication is that health systems which adopt AI robotics on the basis of vendor-supplied cost projections, without independent health technology assessment, risk significant financial exposure.

The rapid advancement of AI robotics in healthcare raises profound ethical questions that demand systematic governance responses. These considerations span patient autonomy, accountability for adverse outcomes, algorithmic fairness, and the protection of sensitive personal health data each of which carries substantive implications for both clinical practice and institutional liability.

Third : and most critically, AI-driven robotics is an ethical frontier where the governance architecture required to manage its risks has not kept pace with the pace of its deployment.

The evidence reviewed in this study consistently identifies a gap between the speed of AI-robotic adoption and the maturity of the institutional, regulatory, and professional frameworks needed to ensure that adoption is safe, equitable, and accountable. This gap is not merely a temporary lag that will self-correct as the technology matures; it reflects deeper structural tensions in the political economy of healthcare innovation that require deliberate and sustained policy intervention to resolve.

6.2. Comparison with Existing Literature

The foundational mapping of AI applications in healthcare provided by (Jiang et al. 2017) which identified diagnostic imaging, clinical decision support, and drug discovery as the primary domains of AI integration has been substantially confirmed by the more recent literature reviewed in this study. What the present synthesis adds is a systematic account of the economic and ethical dimensions that Jiang et al.'s largely technical framework left underexplored, and a critical assessment of the tensions and contradictions that have emerged as AI-driven robotics has moved from experimental deployment to mainstream clinical practice. (Ora et al., 2020).

However, the democratisation thesis is directly and powerfully challenged by a critical political-economy strand of the literature, most forcefully articulated by (Eubanks, 2018) and, in the sociology of biomedicine, by (Gardner and Williams, 2015). This critical strand argues that technological innovation in healthcare does not occur in a social vacuum: it is shaped by market structures, intellectual property regimes, and institutional capacities that systematically favour well-resourced actors.

The global surgical robotics market, as documented in the economic analysis reviewed in this study, is dominated by a small number of large incumbents most notably Intuitive Surgical whose pricing strategies and proprietary ecosystems create structural barriers to adoption in low- and middle-income health systems (Jiao et al., 2023).

The second tension concerns the appropriate regulatory architecture for AI-There is a debate about how AI makes decisions in medicine. Some experts say that the "black box" problem of deep learning models, which means we don't fully understand how they work, should be handled by checking their performance after they are used. They suggest using incident reports and learning from experience instead of strict checks before these technologies are available, as strict checks could delay important medical advancements (Hussain, 2024).

Table 4. Clinical Outcome Improvements Attributable to AI Robotics Integration

Category	Value
Surgical Precision Improvement	+87%
Reduction in Post-op Complications	−65%
Diagnostic Accuracy (AI vs. Human)	92.5%
Rehabilitation Adherence Rate	+78%
Hospital Stay Duration Reduction	−42%

Sources: Wah (2025); Alowais et al. (2023); Jiao et al. (2023); Ghazi (2024

6.3. Ethical Governance and the Responsible Diffusion of AI Robotics

The discussion of ethical governance reveals a domain in which the pace of technological development has substantially outstripped the development of regulatory and institutional capacity. The scientific and clinical community must therefore priorities the co-development of robust ethical guidelines, interdisciplinary governance frameworks, and inclusive stakeholder processes that ensure the responsible implementation of AI-enabled robotics in healthcare systems (Agrawal et al., 2024, p. 66).

Principles of patient-centricity, algorithmic accountability, fairness in outcome distribution, and privacy protection must be treated not as

complementary add-ons but as foundational design requirements for all AI robotic systems deployed in clinical contexts (Ma, 2024, p. 52).

AI-driven predictive analytics further enhances the capacity for resource optimisation through improved demand forecasting and early intervention—benefits that simultaneously reduce healthcare costs and improve the quality and continuity of patient care. Telepresence robotics platforms expand geographical access to specialist services, substantially reducing the structural inequities associated with rural and remote healthcare delivery. However, the large-scale diffusion of these technologies must be accompanied by deliberate efforts to build workforce capacity, address digital literacy deficits, and ensure that AI systems are designed, trained, and deployed in ways that actively promote rather than erode equity of care.

7. Conclusion

The integration of artificial intelligence and robotics into healthcare delivery represents one of the most consequential technological transitions of the contemporary era. The evidence reviewed in this study attests to the substantial and growing contributions of AI-powered robotic systems to surgical precision, diagnostic accuracy, rehabilitative care, and operational efficiency contributions that carry significant implications for patient outcomes

7.1 Practical Implications for Healthcare Providers and Administrators:

This study carries several important theoretical and policy implications. Theoretically, it advances the application of a multi-framework sociotechnical approach to the analysis of AI robotics in healthcare, demonstrating that single-paradigm models are insufficient to capture the full complexity of technology adoption in high-stakes institutional environments. Empirically, it synthesises evidence across clinical, economic, and ethical dimensions in a manner that supports integrative policy analysis.

From a policy standpoint, the findings underscore the urgency of embedding privacy protections within healthcare governance charters, promoting open innovation partnerships to counteract market concentration, and investing in technology transfer and human-capital development to enable equitable diffusion across resource-diverse healthcare systems

regulatory ecosystems differ substantially from high-income country contexts.

From **an economic standpoint,** the net benefits of AI robotics in healthcare are substantial but contingently realised. While the long-term cost savings associated with complication avoidance, diagnostic efficiency, and administrative automation are well-evidenced, they are conditioned by the magnitude of upfront capital investment, the alignment of reimbursement structures with innovation costs, and the equity of access across institutional contexts.

The market structure of the healthcare robotics industry concentrated among a small number of high-barrier incumbents further shapes the distribution of economic benefits, with risk that value capture will remain asymmetrically concentrated among large-scale, well-capitalised adopters (Jiao et al., 2023, p. 23). Resource-constrained health systems, absent targeted policy intervention, risk being systematically excluded from the productivity dividends of AI robotic adoption.

For healthcare providers and hospital administrator:

Most fundamentally, they challenge the assumption prevalent in procurement discussions and vendor marketing that AI-robotic integration is primarily a technical challenge that can be resolved through software installation and hardware commissioning. The evidence reviewed in this study demonstrates unambiguously that the clinical benefits of AI-driven robotic systems are not intrinsic to the technology itself; they are contingent on the organisational conditions of deployment (Alowais et al., 2023; Banbhrani et al., 2025).

Institutions that have achieved the greatest improvements in patient outcomes through AI-robotic integration are those that have invested not only in the technology but in the human infrastructure surrounding it: structured training programs for surgeons, nurses, and technicians; redesigned clinical workflows that optimize the division of labour between human clinicians and automated systems (Kitsantas et al., 2024); and patient communication strategies that build informed consent and trust. Healthcare administrators who treat AI-robotic procurement as a capital expenditure decision rather than an organizational transformation program

are on the evidence, likely to achieve sub-optimal outcomes and expose their institutions to avoidable risks.

concerns data governanceL .the deployment of AI diagnostic and decision-support systems generates vast quantities of sensitive patient data, and the evidence reviewed in this study particularly the analysis of cybersecurity vulnerabilities and data privacy risks by Metty et al. (2023) and (Nimkar et al. 2024) makes clear that data governance infrastructure must precede, not follow, AI-robotic deployment.

Healthcare institutions should establish comprehensive data governance frameworks including robust anonymisation protocols, role-based access controls, audit trail mechanisms, and algorithmic bias monitoring systems as a non-negotiable precondition for AI adoption. The failure to do so exposes institutions not only to regulatory liability but to the deeper ethical failure of deploying systems whose outputs cannot be scrutinised or challenged by the clinicians and patients they are designed to serve.

7.2. Future Research Directions

Three specific, theoretically motivated, and practically consequential future research directions emerge from the gaps identified in this study.

The first is the need for longitudinal, multi-system comparative studies of the real-world economic outcomes of AI-robotic adoption across healthcare systems stratified by income level and institutional capacity. The current economic literature is dominated by single-institution case studies and modelled cost projections that cannot capture the systemic, dynamic, and distributional effects of large-scale adoption. (Mizna et al., 2025).

A multi-country, prospective cohort study tracking AI-robotic adoption decisions, implementation costs, clinical outcomes, and workforce effects across high-income, middle-income, and low-income healthcare systems over a minimum five-year period, using standardized outcome metrics and matched comparison groups would generate the kind of evidence needed to make genuinely informed policy decisions about public investment in AI-robotic healthcare infrastructure. Such a study would also be uniquely positioned to test the democratisation thesis empirically, by examining whether AI-robotic adoption in low-resource settings actually reduces or amplifies health inequalities over time.

The second priority is the development and validation of a comprehensive, operational sable ethical audit framework specifically

designed for AI-driven robotic systems in clinical settings. While the philosophical principles of AI ethics transparency, fairness, accountability, non-maleficence, beneficence are well-established in the theoretical literature, the translation of these principles into practical, institution-level audit tools remains nascent.

A research program combining normative ethical theory with empirical participatory methods co-designing audit criteria with clinicians, patients, patient advocacy organisations, and representatives of marginalised communities who are disproportionately affected by algorithmic bias could produce a validated, context-sensitive audit instrument of immediate value to healthcare regulators, hospital ethics committees, and AI developers.

The third research direction concerns the governance of AI-robotic data ecosystems in healthcare, a domain that sits at the intersection of health informatics, law, and political economy and has received insufficient systematic scholarly attention. As AI-driven robotic systems generate, process, and transmit increasingly large volumes of sensitive patient data across institutional and national boundaries, questions regarding data ownership, the beneficiaries of its analysis, and the parties bearing the risks of its misuse become increasingly urgent

8. Bibliography list:

1) Agrawal, A., Soni, R., Gupta, D., & Dubey, G. (2024). **The role of robotics in medical science: Advancements, applications and future directions**. *Journal of Autonomous Intelligence*.7(3), https://doi.org/10.32629/jai.v7i3.1008

2) Al-Emran, M., & Arpaci, I. (2021). I**ntelligent systems and novel coronavirus (COVID-19): A bibliometric analysis.** *Emerging Technologies During the Era of COVID-19 Pandemic,* **59–67**. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-67716-9_5

3) Alowais, S., Alghamdi, S., Alsuhebany, N., Alqahtani, T., Alshaya, A., Almohareb, S., Aldairem, A., Alrashed, M., Saleh, K., Badreldin, H., Yami, M., Harbi, S., & Albekairy, A. (2023). **Revolutionising healthcare: The role of artificial intelligence in clinical practice.** *BMC Medical Education, 23(689)*. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12909-023-04698-z

4) Azzi, sihem, & Salmi, ahmed. (2024). Artificial Intelligence Applications in Scientific Research According to the UTAUT Model Using Structural Equation

Modeling. Revue Les Cahiers Du POIDEX 13(2), 60-77. https://doi.org/https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/259969

5) -Banbhrani, S., Akhter, M., Noureen, F., Sajjad, M., & Talpur, H. (2025). **How AI is Revolutionising Healthcare: From Personalised Medicine and Diagnostic Tools to Drug Discovery and Robot-Assisted Surgery**. *Social Science Review Archives*.*3*(1), 2693–2709. https://doi.org/10.70670/sra.v3i2.578

6) Eubanks, V. (2018). A**utomating Inequality: How High-Tech Tools Profile, Police, and Punish the Poor**. Macmillan Press. https://doi.org/10.4000/sdt.42117

7) Gardner, J., & Williams, C. (2015). **Sociology of low expectations: Recalibration as innovation work in biomedicine,** *Sci Technol Human Values*40(6):998-1021.DOI: 10.1177/0162243915585579

8) Ghazi, R. (2024). **Transformative Impact of Artificial Intelligence and Robotics in Healthcare: Applications, Challenges, and Ethical Implications**.*Saudi Journal of Medicine and Public Health 1*(1), 37–46. https://doi.org/10.64483/20251119

9) Hendrix, N., Veenstra, D., Cheng, M., Anderson, N., & Verguet, S. (2021). **Assessing the Economic Value of Clinical Artificial Intelligence: Challenges and Opportunities. ,** *Value in Health*, *25*(3), 331–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2021.08.015

10) Hussain, A. (2024). E**xploring sustainable healthcare: Innovations in health economics,** . *Heliyon*,.10, (13)

11) Jiang, F., Jiang, Y., & Zhi, H. (2017). **Artificial intelligence in healthcare: Past, present, and future**. *Stroke Vasc Neurol*, *2*, 230–243.

12) Jiao, W., Zhang, X., & D'Souza, F. (2023). **The Economic Value and Clinical Impact of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: A Scoping Literature Review**. In IEEE Access. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2023.3327905

13) Kitsantas, T., Georgoulas, P., & Chytis, E. (2024). **Integrating robotic process automation with artificial intelligence for business process automation: Analysis, applications, and limitations**. *Journal of System and Management Sciences*, *14*, 217–242. https://doi.org/10.33168/JSMS.2024.0712

14) Lai, T., Heggie, R., Kamaruzaman, H., Bouttell, J., & Boyd, K. (2025). **Economic evaluations of robotic-assisted surgery: methods, challenges and opportunities**. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy*, *23*(1): 35–49.10.1007/s40258-024-00920-1

15) Metty, P., Leandros, M., Mohamed, A., & Iman, A. (2023). **Digitisation of the healthcare sector: A study on privacy and security concerns**. *ICT Express*, *9*, 571–588. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icte.2023.02.007

16) Mizna, S., Arora, S., Saluja, P., Das, G., & Alanesi, W. (2025). **Analytic research and review of the literature on the practice of artificial intelligence in healthcare**. *European Journal of Medical Research, 30*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40001-025-02603-6

17) Nimkar, P., Kanyal, D., & Sabale, S. (2024). **Increasing Trends of Artificial Intelligence with Robotic Process Automation in Health Care:** *A Narrative Review. Cureus, 16(9)* . https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.69680

18) Ora, G., Narain, T., Sharma, A., Mavuduru, R., Devana, S., Singh, S., & Mandal, A. (2020). Robot-assisted surgery in India: a SWOT analysis. *Indian J Urol*, *36*, 1–3. https://doi.org/10.4103/iju.IJU_220_19

19) Shedge, S., Gulhane, M., Shete, A., Rakesh, N., Panda, B., & Dixit, S. (2025). **Innovations in Robotics and Automation to Improve Efficiency and Precision in Healthcare Delivery and Rehabilitation Systems.** *2025 International Conference on Emerging Smart Computing and Informatics (ESCI)*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1109/esci63694.2025.

20) -*T***he Impact of RPA on Healthcare Operations with Practical Use Cases.** (2024),https://neolytix.com/use-cases-of-robotic-process-automation-in-healthcare/ consulted: 20-12-2025

21) TAHER, N. (2024). Electronic Marketing of Health Services in the Light of Corona Pandemic -Some Algerian Models-. Revue Les Cahiers Du POIDEX, 13(2), 179-198. https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/259975

22) Vithlani, J., Hawksworth, C., Elvidge, J., Ayiku, L., & Dawoud, D. (2023). **Economic evaluations of artificial intelligence-based healthcare interventions: a systematic literature review of best practices in their conduct and reporting**. *Frontiers in Pharmacology, 14*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2023.1220950

23) Wah, J. (2025). **The rise of robotics and AI-assisted surgery in modern healthcare**. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 19(311). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11701-025-02485-0

24) Zeb, S., Fnu, N., Abbasi, N., & Fahad, M. (2024). **AI in Healthcare: Revolutionising Diagnosis and Therapy** *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Arts*..3(3) , 118–128, https://doi.org/10.47709/ijmdsa.v3i3.4546