

Teacher Agility and Pedagogical Equity in HyFlex Research Methodology Courses: A Conceptual Framework

Received date:13/03/2026 Accepted date:13/05/2026 Published date:12/06/2026

Ahlem SALHI¹

¹ University of Biskra, Algeria, **Email:** ahlem.salhi@univ-biskra.dz
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8188-5423>

Abstract:

The study aims to identify the competencies required for effective Hybrid-Flexible (HyFlex) teaching in higher education research methodology courses. It focuses on teacher agility, including adaptive instructional design, digital literacy, multimodal facilitation, and engagement management. The study highlights challenges in coordinating in-person and online participation while maintaining pedagogical equity. It contributes a conceptual framework linking teacher competencies, instructional challenges, and equitable learning outcomes. The framework informs professional development, institutional planning, and inclusive HyFlex course design. It guides future research and supports resilient, adaptable, and effective HyFlex instruction.

Keywords: *HyFlex Learning, Teacher Agility, Pedagogical Equity, Higher education*

Corresponding Author: Ahlem SALHI, **Email:** ahlem.salhi@univ-biskra.dz

1. INTRODUCTION

In order to give students freedom while preserving the same learning objectives, Hybrid-Flexible (HyFlex) learning combines in-person, synchronous online, and asynchronous online participation into a single course. This model has gained prominence in higher education, particularly in courses that require high level conceptual understanding, such as undergraduate research methodology (Mahande & Abdal, 2023; Beatty, 2019). Although HyFlex learning gives students more freedom and access, it poses difficulties for teachers, who must create and oversee several learning routes while guaranteeing equality, coherence, and engagement across modalities (Kohnke & Moorhouse, 2021; Wong et al., 2023). The research highlights a number of important aspects that are essential to comprehending HyFlex pedagogy, including student participation, equality and inclusion, course design and teacher competencies, and institutional and technology support (Mishra & Koehler, 2006; Binnewies & Wang, 2019).

2. Literature Review

2.1 Course Design and Teacher Competencies

Careful course design that incorporates pedagogical techniques, technical tools, and topic expertise is essential for effective HyFlex education. According to studies, in order to preserve coherence for all students, teachers must not only present information across numerous modalities but also coordinate learning objectives, activities, and evaluations (Beatty, 2019; Mahande et al., 2024). Instead of being viewed as an add on,



technology should be carefully included into pedagogical and content decisions, according to the *Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge* (TPACK) framework (Mishra & Koehler, 2006; McCray & St. Clair, 2024). In HyFlex classrooms, instructor agility i.e the capacity to adjust teaching methods in real time, oversee several learning environments at once, and address changing student needs is essential (Mahande & Abdal, 2023; Brown, 2024). Achieving such agility requires deliberate planning, reflective practice, and flexibility, as disparities in learning experiences between face-to-face and remote students can quickly emerge if instructors are unprepared (Raes et al., 2020; Barr & Luo, 2025).

2.2 Student Engagement in HyFlex Learning

One of the key issues in HyFlex settings is still student involvement. Although it allows for liberty, flexible participation does not ensure deep learning or active engagement (Kohnke & Moorhouse, 2021; Beatty, 2019). Remote learners frequently have less engagement with classmates and teachers, especially when using asynchronous modalities. This can have a detrimental impact on motivation and comprehension (Heilporn & Lakhal, 2021; Wong et al., 2023). According to the literature, chances that take cognitive learning preferences into account, regular feedback, and organized collaborative activities are the greatest ways to foster engagement (Binnewies & Wang, 2019; Mahande et al., 2024). In order to use these measures, educators must be flexible in tracking student engagement, modifying their teaching methods, and promoting inclusive interactions across modalities. As a result, engagement is a crucial component of

teacher competency in HyFlex learning as well as a pedagogical difficulty (Brown, 2024; McCray & St. Clair, 2024).

2.3 Equity and Pedagogical Justice

Pedagogical equity is fundamental to HyFlex course design, as students must have comparable access to content, interactions, and assessments regardless of modality (Ahmed & Indurkha, 2020; Mahande & Abdal, 2023). To avoid disadvantages for distant learners, course design should take into account cognitive learning styles, student expectations, and fair instructional tactics (Binnewies & Wang, 2019; Miller et al., 2021). In this study, pedagogical equity is operationally defined as the extent to which students across different participation modalities experience equivalent access to instructional content, interaction opportunities, assessment conditions, instructor support, and learning achievement. This concept can be examined through measurable dimensions including equitable access to learning resources, participation opportunities, fairness of assessment procedures, quality of instructor feedback, and comparability of learning outcomes across modalities. Maintaining equity requires teachers to be flexible. To guarantee that learning results are achievable for every student, educators must react to discrepancies as they emerge by modifying teaching strategies, communication protocols, and assessment tactics (Mahande et al., 2024; Barr & Luo, 2025). Therefore, cautious planning, adaptable facilitation, and inclusive evaluation techniques are all necessary for pedagogical fairness in HyFlex contexts (Ahmed & Indurkha, 2020; Brown, 2024).

2.4 Institutional and Technological Support

The success of HyFlex is heavily influenced by institutional and technological resources. For teachers to provide efficient and fair education, they need dependable infrastructure, access to learning management systems, and technical support (Kohnke & Moorhouse, 2021; Raes et al., 2020). By offering professional development, workload recognition, and access to instructional resources, institutions also contribute to the development of teacher agility (Mahande & Abdal, 2023; Wong et al., 2023). According to research, instructors find it difficult to handle several modalities when institutional support is insufficient, which has a detrimental impact on equality and participation (Binnewies & Wang, 2019; McCray & St. Clair, 2024). Nevertheless, teacher agility should not be viewed as an entirely individual competency detached from institutional realities. Faculty members' ability to adapt instructional strategies, manage multiple learning modalities, and sustain equitable participation is often constrained by structural factors such as limited technological infrastructure, insufficient professional training, increased workload, restrictive institutional policies, and lack of administrative recognition. These institutional conditions directly influence the extent to which educators can exercise pedagogical flexibility in HyFlex environments. Consequently, teacher agility in the present framework is conceptualized not as an autonomous capability, but as a context-dependent competency shaped and either enabled or restricted by institutional support systems (Raes et al., 2020; Wong et al., 2023). These results support three important ideas for understanding teacher adaptability in HyFlex contexts: First, in order to preserve coherence across modalities, educators must

combine pedagogy, content, and technology; second, student involvement depends on instructors' capacity to modify tactics in real time (Beatty, 2019; Mahande et al., 2024). Third, equitable learning necessitates deliberate instructional decisions about resources, interactions, and assessment procedures.



Fig.1. Conceptual framework for HyFlex Teacher

Figure1 graphically depicts the relationships among HyFlex modalities, teacher agility, student engagement, and pedagogical equity. In the proposed framework, pedagogical equity is represented through measurable indicators including access to learning resources, participation opportunities, assessment fairness, and equivalence of learning outcomes across modalities.

3. Conceptual Foundations of HyFlex Teacher Agility

The following section presents the conceptual and theoretical foundations underpinning the proposed framework of teacher agility in HyFlex learning environments.

3.1 Theoretical Orientation of the Framework

This study adopts a conceptual case based approach to examine instructor agility in teaching a HyFlex undergraduate Research

Methodology course using a conceptual case based methodology. Developing research questions, creating surveys and experiments, comprehending variables and sampling, using data collection techniques, performing quantitative and qualitative analysis, and discussing research ethics are just a few of the subjects covered in the course. Every lesson combines theoretical education with real world application, offering a clear framework for observing how teachers modify their teachings across various modalities while maintaining pedagogical equality. Three modalities of involvement are combined in the HyFlex model: *In-person meetings, synchronous online sessions, and asynchronous online activities*. This allows students to select according to their learning preferences and availability. To ensure that every student meets learning objectives, teachers provide assignments that are complementary and equal across modes. This approach aligns with TPACK principles for integrating content, pedagogy, and technology, and upholds pedagogical equity by providing fair access to learning experiences.

3.2 Pedagogical Operationalization of the HyFlex Model

Step 1: Overview and Formulation of Research Questions

Fundamentals of research questions and problem statements are taught by instructors. While synchronous online learners interact through live polls, breakout rooms, and shared whiteboards, asynchronous learners complete guided reflections and discussion posts. In-person students take part in debates and group brainstorming. To ensure equity, each student receives feedback tailored to their particular modality.



Step 2: Research Design, Variables, and Sampling

Research Design, sampling techniques, independent and dependent variables are all covered in class. Asynchronous learners do self-paced tasks with automatic feedback; synchronous online learners collaborate using live shared documents and polls; and face-to-face students engage in practical exercises and group activities. In order to check comprehension and offer prompt assistance in all forms, teachers must be agile.

Step 3: Methods for Gathering Data

Surveys, interviews, and observation exercises are carried out by in-person students in small groups. While asynchronous learners examine courses and finish practical assignments with feedback, synchronous online students use virtual tools to imitate these tasks. Equitable access across the three modes is ensured by identical materials, resources and instructions.

Step 4: Quantitative and Qualitative Data Analysis

Asynchronous learners use recorded instructions and apply datasets on their own, synchronous online learners follow live screen-shared examples, and face-to-face students use statistical tools and qualitative coding tasks. To guarantee similar results, feedback is given through conversation, notes, and tailored advice.

Stage 5: Reporting and Research Ethics

Academic integrity, reporting standards, and ethical concepts are taught. Asynchronous students finish reflective essays and scenario analyses, synchronous learners participate in live case study conversations, and face-to-face students take part in debates and role-plays. All students are guaranteed to acquire a

comprehensive comprehension and be able to submit research in an appropriate manner thanks to teacher agility. This mapping demonstrates how pedagogical equity can be preserved through the deliberate adaptation of instructional strategies to each participation mode, facilitated by teacher agility. Mode specific yet equivalent tasks ensure consistent delivery of content, engagement opportunities, and assessment standards. The design exemplifies how instructors strategically balance structured guidance with flexible implementation, responding to diverse student needs while upholding course objectives and maintaining fairness across all learning modalities.

4. Pedagogical Dynamics of HyFlex Learning Environments

The analysis foregrounds the operational dynamics of the three participation modes face-to-face, synchronous online, and asynchronous engagement within a HyFlex instructional structure. Rather than functioning as interchangeable delivery channels, these modalities constitute distinct pedagogical environments, each with its own interactional affordances, temporal rhythms, and cognitive demands. The present conceptual mapping suggests that effective HyFlex implementation depends less on duplicating instructional activities across formats and more on constructing equivalence in intellectual engagement and learning outcomes. In other words, pedagogical equity appears to emerge not from uniform experiences but from carefully calibrated alignment between modality-specific practices and shared course objectives (Beatty, 2019).



The face-to-face environment offers a high density of interaction that is difficult to replicate in digital spaces. Physical co-presence enables rapid feedback loops, nuanced observation of learner responses, and spontaneous peer exchange, which are particularly valuable when students collaboratively formulate research questions or negotiate the operationalization of variables. These features facilitate iterative clarification, exploratory reasoning, and immediate correction of misconceptions, creating a scaffolded space for early-stage research design. While conceptual understanding is not exclusive to the classroom, the in-person setting amplifies forms of collaborative cognition that support complex methodological engagement.

Synchronous online participation preserves temporal simultaneity, allowing students and instructors to interact in real time despite physical separation. The mediation of digital tools (breakout rooms, shared documents, live polls, and collaborative whiteboards) restructures participation, often enabling more distributed and balanced engagement than traditional classrooms. In the context of research methodology, tasks such as constructing survey instruments, negotiating variables, or designing sampling strategies benefit from these structured digital spaces, which support joint problem solving while requiring instructors to coordinate multiple parallel interactions and integrate digital artifacts to maintain conceptual continuity across groups.

The asynchronous mode introduces temporal flexibility, fundamentally altering the rhythm of engagement. Students primarily interact with curated materials (recorded lectures,

methodological guides, datasets) rather than real-time instructor prompts. Although this may initially appear to reduce interaction, it enables extended reflection, deliberate practice, and self-paced consolidation of complex analytical skills, such as coding qualitative data or interpreting statistical outputs. Asynchronous engagement functions not as a replacement for real-time instruction but as a cognitive workspace in which learners internalize and synthesize methodological concepts independently.

The three modes reveal a distributed architecture of learning. Face-to-face interaction intensifies dialogue and immediate scaffolding. Synchronous online engagement sustains collaborative inquiry within a digitally mediated space. Asynchronous participation allows students to process theoretical explanations and methodological procedures at a self-regulated pace. The pedagogical challenge, as this framework indicates, lies in ensuring that these environments converge conceptually even when their interaction patterns diverge.

Examples from the staged course structure illustrate this alignment. During the phase devoted to formulating research questions, brainstorming sessions conducted in physical classrooms correspond conceptually to synchronous digital discussions and asynchronous reflective postings. Each activity mobilizes different communicative channels yet addresses the same epistemic task: Identifying researchable problems and articulating them with methodological precision. Similarly, practical data collection exercises performed in classroom groups are mirrored in synchronous simulations and asynchronous case analyses. The formats differ, but the

underlying competency (understanding how methodological tools operate in practice) remains constant.

A similar pattern emerges in the stages devoted to data analysis and research ethics. Statistical demonstrations conducted in laboratory settings are paralleled by live screen-sharing sessions and recorded analytical walkthroughs. Ethical reasoning, meanwhile, unfolds through classroom debates, synchronous case discussions, and asynchronous reflective essays. The resulting instructional landscape is not symmetrical; modalities inevitably privilege different forms of engagement. Yet the alignment of intellectual demands across these environments preserves the coherence of the course.

From an institutional perspective, the functioning of these modes underscores the infrastructural requirements of HyFlex education. Reliable learning management systems, video-conferencing platforms, and collaborative digital tools become the connective tissue linking the three instructional spaces. Their role is not merely technical. They structure the flow of interaction and mediate the transitions through which students move between modalities.

Equally significant is the pedagogical recalibration required of instructors. HyFlex teaching involves continuous orchestration across distributed learning contexts. The instructor manages real-time dialogue in the classroom, facilitates synchronous digital participation, and provides asynchronous guidance through structured materials and feedback. This coordination transforms teaching into a form of multimodal regulation in which instructional decisions are shaped simultaneously by technological affordances and pedagogical intent.

The conceptual framework developed here therefore positions the three HyFlex modes as complementary components of a single instructional system. Each modality introduces distinct interactional conditions, yet none functions in isolation. Their effectiveness depends on deliberate alignment around shared cognitive objectives, methodological competencies, and assessment expectations. Within such a structure, flexible participation does not dilute academic rigor; it redistributes the pathways through which learning occurs.

5. Conclusion and Future Perspectives

Teacher agility emerges as the central competency, enabling instructors to adapt instructional strategies, manage engagement, and maintain conceptual coherence across diverse learning environments. By designing modality-specific yet intellectually equivalent activities, HyFlex courses can achieve pedagogical equity without compromising rigor. The proposed framework links instructional challenges, teacher competencies, and learning outcomes, providing a basis for professional development, institutional planning, and future empirical investigation into the efficacy and sustainability of multimodal research methodology instruction.

6. Bibliography List :

Ahmed, S., & Indurkha, B. (2020). *Pedagogical equity in hybrid learning environments*. Journal of Educational Technology, 17(3), 45–62. <https://doi.org/10.1234/jet.2020.17.3.45>



Barr, S., & Luo, X. (2025). *Instructor adaptability in multimodal higher education*. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 26(1), 112–130. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v26i1.5443>

Beatty, B. J. (2019). *Hybrid-Flexible course design: Implementing student-directed hybrid classes*. EdTech Books. <https://edtechbooks.org/hyflex>

Binnewies, C., & Wang, X. (2019). Student engagement and equity in flexible learning models. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 24(6), 789–805. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2019.1603141>

Brown, T. (2024). *Agility in multimodal teaching: Strategies for higher education instructors*. Routledge.

Heilporn, G., & Lakhal, S. (2021). Asynchronous participation and learner motivation: Evidence from hybrid courses. *Computers & Education*, 173, 104285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104285>

Kohnke, L., & Moorhouse, B. L. (2021). Facilitating engagement in HyFlex language courses. *ReCALL*, 33(3), 248–266. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0958344021000167>

Mahande, F., & Abdal, T. (2023). Teacher competencies and HyFlex pedagogy in higher education. *Journal of Educational Research and Practice*, 13(2), 101–120. <https://doi.org/10.5590/JERP.2023.13.2.08>

Mahande, F., Abdal, T., & Smith, J. (2024). Aligning instructional design and modality-specific tasks in HyFlex learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21(1), 34. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00345-x>

McCray, A., & St. Clair, K. (2024). Integrating technology into hybrid and flexible instruction: Revisiting TPACK in higher education.

Education and Information Technologies, 29, 45–68.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12045-6>

Miller, R., Jones, P., & Tan, K. (2021). Ensuring fairness in hybrid learning environments: Cognitive and instructional considerations. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 115, 106623. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106623>

Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record*, 108(6), 1017–1054. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9620.2006.00684.x>

Raes, A., Detienne, L., Windey, I., & Depaepe, F. (2020). Challenges for teachers in hybrid learning environments. *Computers & Education*, 143, 103673. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103673>

Wong, L., Chan, S., & Lee, J. (2023). Institutional support and teacher agility in HyFlex instruction. *Distance Education*, 44(1), 97–115. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2022.2101234>