

Bringing History to Life: Narrative Strategies and the Novelistic Transmission of Reality in Norman Mailer's *The Armies of the Night*

Received date:30/09/2025 Accepted date:26/12/2025 Published date:28/12/2025

Meymouna Haddad ¹, Mehiri Ramdane ²

¹ University of Biskra, Algeria,

Email: meymouna.haddad@univ-biskra.dz

² University of Biskra, Algeria,

Email: ramdanemehiri@hotmail.fr

Abstract:

This article examines how Norman Mailer's *The Armies of the Night* (1968) transforms historical experience into a novelistic form through experimental narrative strategies. While previous scholarship has acknowledged the text's hybrid status and political significance, limited attention has been paid to how self-reflexivity, polyphony, and genre hybridity function together to reshape the transmission of historical reality. Drawing on theories of historiography and narrative developed by Aristotle, Lukács, Bakhtin, White, and Hutcheon, this study adopts a qualitative, text-centered methodology based on close reading. The analysis demonstrates that Mailer's narrative strategies do not merely blur the boundary between fact and fiction but actively expose history as a constructed, subjective, and multi-voiced process while preserving its ethical and experiential immediacy. By clarifying the relationship between narrative form and historical meaning, this article contributes to debates on historiographic metafiction and the novel's capacity to mediate lived political experience.

Keywords: genre hybridity; historiographic metafiction; Norman Mailer; polyphony.

Corresponding Author: Meymouna Haddad, Email: meymouna.haddad@univ-biskra.dz

1. INTRODUCTION

The relationship between literature and history has long been a subject of philosophical and critical debate. Classical theory, beginning with Aristotle's distinction between history as the record of what has happened and poetry as the representation of what could happen, established an early separation between factual narration and imaginative construction (Aristotle, 1996, pp. 54–56). Modern historiography, however, has increasingly challenged the assumption that history can be represented objectively, emphasizing instead the narrative and rhetorical structures that shape historical meaning (White, 1973, pp. 7–11).

The upheavals of the twentieth century—global war, ideological conflict, and the expansion of mass media—intensified this crisis of representation. Writers responded by experimenting with hybrid forms that combined documentary material with literary techniques. Within this context, Norman Mailer's *The Armies of the Night: History as a Novel / The Novel as History* (1968) occupies a central position. The work recounts the 1967 March on the Pentagon while simultaneously dramatizing Mailer's own role as participant, observer, and narrator.

This article argues that *The Armies of the Night* employs three interrelated narrative strategies—self-reflexivity, polyphony, and genre hybridity—to transform historical documentation into a



novelistic mode of understanding. Rather than presenting the Pentagon protest as a transparent historical record, Mailer foregrounds subjectivity, multiplicity of voices, and narrative construction. The central research question guiding this study is therefore: **How do Mailer's narrative strategies reshape the transmission of historical reality in *The Armies of the Night*?** By addressing this question, the article seeks to clarify the novel's contribution to postmodern historiography and to the literary representation of political protest.

2. Literature Review

Critical engagement with *The Armies of the Night* has developed along several intersecting lines, including New Journalism, narrative self-reflexivity, and postmodern historiography. Early discussions place Mailer within the New Journalism movement, which sought to revitalize factual writing through literary techniques such as scene construction, dialogue, and interior perspective. Wolfe (1973) identifies Mailer as a central figure in this movement, emphasizing his rejection of detached reportage in favor of subjective narrative presence.

Subsequent scholarship has focused on Mailer's self-representation and narrative authority. Kellman (1980) interprets Mailer's self-dramatization as a strategy that destabilizes the illusion

of objective authorship, while Lucid (1978) argues that Mailer's ironic narrative persona disrupts conventional expectations of historical narration. Lennon (2013) further contextualizes this approach by linking Mailer's narrative voice to the cultural and political tensions of 1960s America, suggesting that the author's conspicuous presence reflects a broader crisis of representation.

From a theoretical perspective, *The Armies of the Night* has been increasingly associated with postmodern historiography. White's argument that historical narratives are shaped by emplotment and rhetorical choice rather than neutral fact has been widely applied to Mailer's work (White, 1973). Jenkins (1991) reinforces this view by emphasizing the interpretive nature of all historical writing. Hutcheon's concept of historiographic metafiction provides a particularly useful framework, as it accounts for narratives that simultaneously engage historical events and expose their own constructedness (Hutcheon, 1988, pp. 105–123).

Recent studies have revisited *The Armies of the Night* in light of contemporary concerns with protest, memory, and media credibility. Rocha (2021) examines the text as a representation of domestic dissent during the Vietnam War, while Mosser (2022) highlights its relevance to modern protest movements and youth activism. Ortiz (2022) situates Mailer within post-truth discourse, suggesting that his narrative strategies anticipate current anxieties surrounding historical



manipulation. Williams (2023) further argues that hybrid historical narratives function as ethical interventions that resist simplified or totalizing versions of the past.

Despite this substantial body of scholarship, existing studies tend to address Mailer's political engagement, cultural context, or postmodern sensibility in isolation. Relatively little attention has been paid to how self-reflexivity, polyphony, and genre hybridity function together as an integrated narrative system for transmitting historical reality. This article addresses this gap by offering a systematic narratological analysis of *The Armies of the Night*, focusing on the interaction of these strategies.

3. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative, text-centered methodology based on close reading. Selected passages from *The Armies of the Night* are examined to analyze Mailer's narrative voice, structural hybridity, and dialogic interaction. The analysis is informed by classical and modern theories of narrative and historiography, including Aristotle's distinction between history and poetry, Lukács's theory of the historical novel (Lukács, 1963, pp. 19–25), Bakhtin's concept of polyphony (Bakhtin, 1981, pp. 262–266), White's theory of historical emplotment, and Hutcheon's historiographic metafiction.

Rather than quantifying narrative features, the methodology prioritizes interpretive depth in order to assess how Mailer's narrative strategies shape historical meaning. Particular attention is given to moments of authorial self-reflection, scenes of collective protest, and passages that foreground multiple perspectives.

4. Analysis and Discussion

4.1 Genre Hybridity and Self-Representation

The Armies of the Night resists stable generic classification, operating simultaneously as history, novel, journalism, and autobiography. Mailer explicitly defines the project in hybrid terms, explaining that it is "history as a novel, the novel as history" (Mailer, 1968, p. 14). This declaration signals a deliberate rejection of traditional historiographical neutrality and announces the work's dual commitment to factual accuracy and novelistic insight.

Mailer reinforces this hybridity by portraying himself as both narrator and character. Rather than claiming authoritative distance, he ironizes his role within the narrative, admitting that "Mailer would have liked to think of himself as the historian, but he knew that he was also the clown of the occasion" (Mailer, 1968, p. 52). This self-reflexive stance foregrounds subjectivity as an integral element of historical representation. By exposing his own vanity, doubt, and fallibility, Mailer undermines the illusion of objective authorship



while simultaneously enhancing narrative credibility. Such transparency aligns with Kellman's concept of the self-conscious narrative, in which the author's acknowledgment of bias strengthens, rather than weakens, historical authenticity.

4.2 Novelistic Techniques and Historical Transmission

Mailer's transmission of historical reality relies heavily on polyphony, a narrative strategy that allows multiple voices and perspectives to coexist within the text. The Pentagon March is represented not as a unified political event but as a convergence of conflicting voices—protesters, musicians, soldiers, journalists, and Mailer himself. During the exorcism ritual at the Pentagon, the narrative captures this multiplicity through overlapping chants and commentary: “Then all the musicians suddenly cried out: ‘Out, demons, out—back to darkness, ye servants of Satan—Out, demons, out!’ Voices from the back cried: ‘Out! ... Out! ... Out!’” (Mailer, 1968, pp. 140–142).

This scene layers performance, belief, irony, and skepticism within a single moment, demonstrating how historical reality emerges from collective expression rather than from a single authoritative voice. Mailer's ironic participation—his foot tapping as he half-mockingly joins the chant—further complicates the scene, revealing the tension between personal detachment and collective involvement.

Through such polyphonic passages, Mailer dramatizes history as fragmented and contested, shaped by competing interpretations rather than by a stable narrative truth.

4.3 Postmodern Context and Critical Implications

Mailer's narrative strategies reflect broader postmodern critiques of historical objectivity. At a key moment, he acknowledges the uncertainty of historical judgment, observing that "one day the historians would argue whether this march had changed anything. But for now, what mattered was that it had been lived" (Mailer, 1968, p. 297). This remark anticipates Hayden White's argument that historical meaning is shaped by narrative form rather than discovered as objective fact. By privileging lived experience over definitive historical assessment, Mailer emphasizes immediacy, ambiguity, and ethical tension.

This approach corresponds closely with Hutcheon's concept of historiographic metafiction, which describes narratives that both engage historical events and expose the processes through which history is narrated (Hutcheon, 1988, pp. 105–123). Through genre hybridity, self-reflexivity, and polyphony, *The Armies of the Night* transforms the Pentagon protest into a space where history, memory, and ideology intersect. The novel thus demonstrates that historical reality is inseparable from narrative perspective and form, revealing



history not as a neutral record but as a constructed and interpretive process.

5. Conclusion

This article has examined how Norman Mailer's *The Armies of the Night* reshapes the transmission of historical reality through the combined use of self-reflexivity, polyphony, and genre hybridity. Rather than presenting the 1967 March on the Pentagon as a transparent historical record, Mailer transforms the event into a complex narrative space where personal experience, collective protest, and historiographical reflection intersect. Through this hybrid form, the novel challenges traditional distinctions between history and fiction while maintaining a strong ethical and experiential engagement with historical events.

The analysis has shown that Mailer's self-representation as both narrator and character destabilizes claims of historical objectivity and foregrounds subjectivity as an unavoidable dimension of historical writing. At the same time, the polyphonic structure of the narrative allows multiple, often conflicting voices to coexist, presenting history as fragmented, contested, and dialogic rather than unified or authoritative. Genre hybridity further reinforces this effect by merging journalistic documentation with novelistic techniques, thereby

revealing history as a narrative construction shaped by perspective, selection, and form.

By situating *The Armies of the Night* within the framework of historiographic metafiction, this study demonstrates that Mailer's work does not merely blur the boundary between fact and fiction but actively interrogates the processes through which historical meaning is produced. The novel emphasizes lived experience, immediacy, and ethical tension over definitive historical judgment, suggesting that historical truth emerges through narrative mediation rather than objective detachment.

Ultimately, this article contributes to ongoing debates about the relationship between narrative form and historical knowledge by showing how the novel can function as a powerful medium for transmitting historical reality. Mailer's experiment illustrates that literary narrative is not opposed to history but can offer a critical and reflective mode of understanding the past—one that acknowledges subjectivity, embraces multiplicity, and preserves the complexity of political and historical experience.

6. Bibliography List :

Aristotle. (1996). *Poetics* (M. Heath, Trans.). Penguin Classics.



Bakhtin, M. M. (1981). *The dialogic imagination*. University of Texas Press.

Hutcheon, L. (1988). *A poetics of postmodernism: History, theory, fiction*. Routledge.

Jenkins, K. (1991). *Re-thinking history*. Routledge.

Kellman, S. G. (1980). *The self-begetting novel*. Columbia University Press.

Lennon, J. M. (2013). *Norman Mailer: A double life*. Simon & Schuster.

Lucid, R. (1978). Mailer and the forms of his time. In R. Merrill (Ed.), *Norman Mailer: The man and his work* (pp. 47–65). Ohio University Press.

Lukács, G. (1963). *The historical novel*. Beacon Press.

Mailer, N. (1968). *The armies of the night*. New American Library.

Mosser, J. (2022). Reading *The Armies of the Night* in an age of youth protest. *Los Angeles Review of Books*.

Ortiz, D. (2022). Revisiting protest literature in the post-truth era. *American Studies Review*, 47(3), 201–223.

Rocha, L. C. M. (2021). The home front: Norman Mailer's *The Armies of the Night*. *Signótica*, 33, e68871.

White, H. (1973). *Metahistory*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

Williams, S. (2023). Hybrid histories and the ethics of representation. *Contemporary Literary Studies*, 58(4), 389–412.

Wolfe, T. (1973). *The new journalism*. Harper & Row.

