مجلة المعارف للبحوث و الدراسات التاريخية
https://www.asjp.cerist.dz/en/PresentationRevue/111
ISSN: 2437-0584/ EISSN: 2437-0584
Volume 11 , Issue 01 , (November - 2026)

Deconstructing Epistemic Foundations and Didactic Methodologies for Synthesizing Learning Styles within Heterogeneous Cognitive Frameworks

Tarek SAID(*)
Echahid Hamma Lakhdar University – El Oued, (Algeria)
saidtarekdz@gmail.com

Received date: 19/01/2025 **Acceptance date**: 03 /06 /2026 **Publication date**: 25 /11 /2026

Abstrac: In the realm of education, one of the key challenges instructors face is the diversity of student learning preferences. Recognizing that learners absorb and process information in varied ways is central to creating an inclusive and effective learning environment. This article explores the theory behind different learning styles, presents a review of key frameworks such as Gardner's Theory of Multiple Intelligences, Kolb's Learning Styles, and VARK (Visual, Auditory, Reading/Writing, Kinesthetic), and examines practical pedagogical strategies for addressing diverse learning needs. By understanding and adapting to these differences, educators can enhance student engagement, retention, and overall academic success.

Keywords: Preferences; Diversity; Learning Styles; Strategies.

* **Tarek SAID**

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion that each learner has a distinct way of processing information is a cornerstone of contemporary educational theory. While traditional education models often employed a one-size-fits-all approach, today's pedagogical practices emphasize the importance of differentiated instruction. The idea that individuals have unique learning preferences—be it visual, auditory, or kinesthetic—has gained significant traction in recent decades, challenging educators to rethink the design of curricula and teaching methods. This article investigates the pedagogical implications of various learning styles, with the goal of providing educators with insights and strategies to support diverse learners.

The recognition that each learner possesses a unique cognitive framework for processing information is a fundamental principle in contemporary educational theory. This understanding challenges the traditional epistemic foundations of education, which often relied on uniform teaching approaches. In contrast, modern pedagogical practices advocate for the synthesis of diverse learning styles, acknowledging the heterogeneous nature of student cognition. This shift underscores the necessity of deconstructing established knowledge structures to better accommodate individual differences in learning.

Historically, educational models adhered to a one-size-fits-all methodology, neglecting the varied ways in which students engage with and assimilate information. However, recent advancements in didactic methodologies emphasize the importance of differentiated instruction tailored to diverse

cognitive frameworks. This approach involves a critical analysis of epistemic foundations to develop teaching strategies that cater to visual, auditory, kinesthetic, and other learning preferences. By doing so, educators can create more inclusive and effective learning environments that respect and leverage the cognitive diversity of their students.

The concept of synthesizing learning styles within heterogeneous cognitive frameworks has gained significant traction over the past few decades. This paradigm shift requires educators to rethink curriculum design and instructional methods, ensuring they are flexible and adaptable to accommodate different modes of information processing. Understanding that learners have distinct preferences for acquiring and interpreting knowledge allows teachers to implement strategies that enhance engagement and comprehension, thereby fostering a more personalized educational experience.

This article delves into the epistemic foundations and didactic methodologies essential for integrating various learning styles within diverse cognitive frameworks. It explores theoretical models that explain how learners interact with information, providing a comprehensive analysis of frameworks such as Gardner's Theory of Multiple Intelligences, Kolb's Learning Styles, and the VARK model. By deconstructing these theories, the article aims to offer educators a deeper understanding of the underlying principles that inform effective teaching practices tailored to heterogeneous learner profiles.

Furthermore, the article examines practical strategies for synthesizing learning styles in the classroom. It highlights the importance of adopting flexible instructional methods that can be adjusted to meet the needs of all students, regardless of their preferred learning modalities. Techniques such as multimodal teaching, collaborative learning, and the use of technology-enhanced resources are discussed as means to support diverse cognitive frameworks. These strategies not only enhance student engagement and retention but also contribute to overall academic success by creating a more dynamic and responsive educational environment.

To put it briefly, deconstructing the epistemic foundations and reconfiguring didactic methodologies to synthesize learning styles within heterogeneous cognitive frameworks is essential for modern education. By embracing the diversity of learner preferences and adapting teaching practices accordingly, educators can significantly improve student outcomes. This approach not only addresses the individual needs of learners but also enriches the educational process, fostering a more inclusive and effective learning atmosphere that promotes academic excellence for all students.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS ON LEARNING STYLES

A multitude of models and theoretical frameworks have been developed to elucidate learning preferences, each providing crucial insights for educators aiming to address diverse cognitive needs. Among these, Howard Gardner’s Theory of Multiple Intelligences stands out by identifying various distinct types of

intelligence, such as linguistic, logical-mathematical, spatial, musical, bodily-kinesthetic, interpersonal, intrapersonal, and naturalistic intelligences. Gardner's theory challenges the traditional notion of a single, generalized intelligence, advocating for a more nuanced understanding of individual cognitive strengths. This perspective encourages educators to design activities and assessments that cater to these diverse intelligences, thereby fostering a more inclusive learning environment.

Similarly, David Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory emphasizes the central role of experience in the learning process. Kolb posits that effective learning occurs through a cyclical pattern of concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation. This model underscores the importance of incorporating hands-on activities, reflective practices, and opportunities for students to apply theoretical knowledge in practical contexts. By embracing Kolb's framework, educators can create dynamic and engaging learning experiences that resonate with students' varied experiential backgrounds, promoting deeper understanding and retention of material.

The VARK model, developed by Neil Fleming, categorizes learners based on their preferred sensory modalities: Visual, Auditory, Reading/Writing, and Kinesthetic. This model provides a straightforward way for educators to identify and accommodate different learning preferences within the classroom. For instance, visual learners benefit from diagrams and visual aids, auditory learners thrive through discussions and lectures, reading/writing learners prefer text-based materials, and kinesthetic learners

engage best with hands-on activities. Implementing VARK-informed strategies enables teachers to diversify their instructional approaches, ensuring that all students have equitable access to learning opportunities and can engage with the material in ways that align with their natural preferences.

These frameworks demonstrate the complex and varied ways in which individuals process and assimilate information, highlighting the imperative for educators to adopt flexible and innovative teaching methodologies. By integrating these diverse theoretical perspectives, educators can better synthesize learning styles within heterogeneous cognitive environments. This synthesis involves not only recognizing and valuing the unique learning preferences of each student but also developing comprehensive teaching strategies that address multiple learning modalities simultaneously. For example, a lesson plan might incorporate visual presentations, interactive discussions, hands-on experiments, and written reflections to cater to a wide array of learning styles, thereby enhancing overall student engagement and comprehension.

Furthermore, these models encourage a shift from traditional, teacher-centered instruction to more student-centered approaches. Educators are prompted to become facilitators of learning, guiding students to leverage their strengths while also developing skills in less dominant modalities. This holistic approach promotes a more balanced and effective educational experience, fostering not only academic success but also personal growth and self-awareness among learners. By acknowledging and addressing the diverse cognitive frameworks present in the classroom, teachers can create a more supportive and dynamic

learning environment that accommodates individual differences and promotes inclusivity.

In addition to enhancing instructional practices, understanding and applying these learning theories can inform curriculum design and assessment methods. Curricula can be structured to include diverse activities that engage different intelligences and learning preferences, while assessments can be designed to evaluate students' abilities across multiple dimensions. This comprehensive approach ensures that evaluation is not limited to a narrow set of skills but encompasses a broader range of competencies, aligning with the goal of synthesizing learning styles within heterogeneous cognitive frameworks. For instance, assessments might include a combination of written exams, oral presentations, practical projects, and creative assignments, allowing students to demonstrate their knowledge and skills in various formats.

Moreover, the practical application of these theories extends beyond the classroom, influencing educational policy and professional development. Educators trained in multiple learning theories are better equipped to create inclusive educational environments that respect and harness cognitive diversity. Policies that support differentiated instruction and the integration of various learning models can lead to systemic changes that promote equity and excellence in education. Professional development programs that focus on these theories can empower teachers with the tools and knowledge necessary to implement effective, evidence-based teaching strategies that address the needs of all learners.

The development and application of diverse models such as Gardner's Theory of Multiple Intelligences, Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory, and the VARK model play a pivotal role in deconstructing traditional epistemic foundations and reconfiguring didactic methodologies. By synthesizing these learning styles within heterogeneous cognitive frameworks, educators can create more effective, inclusive, and responsive educational practices. This comprehensive approach not only addresses the individual needs of learners but also enriches the overall educational landscape, paving the way for academic excellence and lifelong learning. Embracing the diversity of learning preferences and adapting teaching methods accordingly ensures that education remains dynamic, relevant, and capable of meeting the challenges of an increasingly diverse and complex world.

2.1. Gardner's Theory of Multiple Intelligences (1983)

Howard Gardner's Theory of Multiple Intelligences fundamentally redefines the conventional understanding of intelligence. Traditionally, intelligence has been viewed as a single, quantifiable trait, often measured through standardized testing and academic performance. Gardner, however, challenges this narrow perspective by proposing that intelligence is not a monolithic construct but rather a collection of distinct and diverse capabilities. According to Gardner (1983), there are eight primary intelligences: linguistic, logical-mathematical, spatial, bodily-kinesthetic, musical, interpersonal, intrapersonal, and naturalistic. Each of these intelligences represents different ways individuals process information and demonstrate their cognitive strengths. For instance, linguistic intelligence pertains to proficiency in language and communication, while spatial intelligence involves the ability

to visualize and manipulate objects in space. By recognizing these varied forms of intelligence, Gardner underscores the complexity of human cognition and the multiple dimensions through which people can excel.

The implications of Gardner's theory for the educational landscape are profound and transformative. Educators are encouraged to move beyond one-size-fits-all teaching methods and instead adopt a more personalized approach that acknowledges and nurtures each student's unique intelligence profile. This involves designing curricula and instructional strategies that provide multiple pathways for students to engage with the material, thereby catering to their individual strengths. For example, a lesson on environmental science might include written assignments for those with strong linguistic intelligence, hands-on experiments for bodily-kinesthetic learners, and group discussions for interpersonal intelligences. By creating such diverse learning opportunities, teachers can facilitate a more inclusive and effective educational environment where all students have the chance to thrive. Additionally, recognizing and valuing different intelligences can boost student motivation and confidence, as learners are able to showcase their abilities in areas where they naturally excel. Ultimately, Gardner's theory advocates for a more holistic approach to education, one that not only enhances academic achievement but also fosters the development of well-rounded individuals with a broad range of skills and talents (Gardner, 1983).

2.2. Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory (1984)

David Kolb's Experiential Learning Model places a significant emphasis on the integral role that experience plays in the learning process. According to Kolb, learning is a continuous cycle where individuals construct knowledge through direct experiences and reflective observation. He categorizes learning into four distinct styles based on how people process and perceive their experiences: concrete experience (feeling), abstract conceptualization (thinking), active experimentation (doing), and reflective observation (watching). Concrete experience involves engaging directly with new experiences, allowing learners to immerse themselves fully in the activities. Abstract conceptualization refers to the ability to think critically and form theories or concepts based on those experiences. Active experimentation is the phase where learners apply what they have learned to solve problems or create new ideas, while reflective observation involves looking back on the experiences to gain deeper insights and understanding.

Kolb further classifies learners into four quadrants—converging, diverging, assimilating, and accommodating—each reflecting their unique preferences for active or reflective learning and their inclination towards concrete experiences or abstract concepts (Kolb, 1984). Converging learners excel in problem-solving and decision-making, often preferring practical applications of ideas. Diverging learners are imaginative and excel in brainstorming and viewing situations from multiple perspectives. Assimilating learners prefer logical reasoning and abstract thinking, focusing on integrating diverse information into coherent theories. Accommodating learners are hands-on and

thrive in dynamic environments where they can experiment and adapt to new situations. By understanding these distinct learning preferences, educators can design and implement experiential learning activities that align with each student's natural processing style. This tailored approach not only enhances engagement and motivation but also fosters a deeper and more meaningful understanding of the material, ultimately leading to more effective and personalized education.

2.3. VARK Model (Fleming, 1992)

The VARK model, created by Neil Fleming, provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the diverse sensory modalities through which learners process information. VARK stands for Visual, Auditory, Reading/Writing, and Kinesthetic, each representing a distinct preferred learning style. Visual learners thrive when information is presented through diagrams, charts, graphs, and other visual aids that help them see relationships and patterns. Auditory learners, on the other hand, absorb information more effectively through listening, benefiting from lectures, discussions, and verbal instructions that allow them to process information audibly. Reading/Writing learners prefer engaging with text-based materials, such as textbooks, articles, and written notes, finding that reading and writing enhance their comprehension and retention of information. Kinesthetic learners excel when they can engage in hands-on activities, physical movement, and experiential learning, which allow them to connect with the material through direct interaction and practice.

Understanding and identifying students' dominant learning styles through the VARK model enables educators to design and implement more effective and personalized teaching strategies. By incorporating a variety of sensory experiences into lessons, instructors can cater to the unique preferences of each learner, thereby enhancing overall comprehension and retention of the material. For example, a teacher might use a combination of visual presentations, group discussions, written assignments, and interactive activities within a single lesson to address the needs of all four learning types. This multimodal approach not only accommodates individual differences but also fosters a more inclusive and engaging learning environment. Additionally, by recognizing and valuing the diverse ways in which students learn, educators can improve student motivation and participation, ultimately leading to better academic outcomes and a more dynamic classroom experience. The VARK model thus serves as a valuable tool for synthesizing learning styles within heterogeneous cognitive frameworks, promoting a more tailored and effective educational experience (Fleming, 1992).

3. IMPLICATIONS FOR PEDAGOGICAL PRACTICE

Recognizing learning styles goes beyond merely classifying students; it involves establishing a flexible and adaptive teaching environment that addresses a wide array of learning preferences. Creating such an environment ensures that all students have the opportunity to engage with the material in ways that best suit their individual strengths.

These strategies aim to enhance the educational experience by catering to diverse learner needs, thereby promoting greater

understanding and retention of information. By implementing these methods, educators can create more inclusive and supportive learning spaces that foster academic success for every student. The following sections will explore specific tactics for applying learning style theories to optimize teaching and learning outcomes. The upcoming sections will present various approaches and techniques for integrating learning style theories effectively into classroom practices.

3.1. Differentiated Instruction

Differentiated instruction is an educational strategy that involves customizing lessons to address the diverse needs of all learners. By integrating a variety of teaching methods and sensory modalities, educators can engage every student, regardless of their preferred learning style. For instance, in a history lesson about the Civil War, a teacher might use a mix of reading assignments, visual maps, audio recordings of important speeches, and interactive role-playing activities. This combination effectively targets auditory, visual, reading/writing, and kinesthetic learners, ensuring that each student can connect with the material in a way that aligns with their strengths and preferences (Tomlinson, 2001).

By adopting differentiated instruction, instructors create a more inclusive and dynamic classroom environment where all students have the opportunity to succeed. This approach not only enhances engagement and participation but also supports varied pathways to understanding and retaining information. For example, visual learners benefit from maps and diagrams, auditory learners gain from listening to speeches, reading/writing learners

excel with written texts, and kinesthetic learners thrive through hands-on activities. Such a multifaceted approach ensures that teaching is responsive to the unique ways in which each student processes information, thereby fostering a more effective and supportive learning experience for everyone involved.

3.2. Use of Technology

Incorporating technological tools into education can significantly enhance content delivery by addressing a wide range of learning preferences. Devices such as interactive whiteboards, educational applications, multimedia presentations, and virtual simulations provide visual and kinesthetic learners with innovative ways to engage with the material that traditional methods may not offer. For instance, platforms like Kahoot and Quizlet capture students' attention through interactive quizzes, while video conferencing tools like Zoom support auditory learners by facilitating group discussions. Additionally, auditory learners can take advantage of recorded lectures and podcasts, allowing them to review and reinforce content outside of regular class hours (McKnight et al., 2016).

These technological advancements not only make learning more engaging but also cater to the diverse needs of students, fostering a more inclusive educational environment. By leveraging these tools, educators can create dynamic and flexible lessons that accommodate different sensory modalities, thereby improving comprehension and retention. For example, multimedia presentations can combine visual and auditory elements to reinforce key concepts, while virtual simulations offer hands-on experiences that enhance kinesthetic learning. Furthermore, the

accessibility of recorded materials ensures that all students have the opportunity to revisit and master the content at their own pace. Overall, the strategic use of technology in the classroom supports varied learning styles and contributes to a more effective and personalized educational experience (McKnight et al., 2016).

3.3. Active Learning Strategies

Active learning engages students through activities that require them to apply their knowledge, moving beyond simply absorbing information passively. This instructional method is particularly effective for kinesthetic learners and those who benefit from active experimentation, as it promotes hands-on interaction with the subject matter. By involving students in tasks that demand physical participation and practical application, active learning fosters a deeper connection to the material.

Methods such as group collaborations, case studies, role-playing exercises, and project-based learning enable students to explore and experiment with concepts in real-world scenarios. These interactive approaches not only enhance understanding but also reinforce learning by allowing students to test and refine their ideas in meaningful contexts. Consequently, active learning strategies contribute to a more immersive and effective educational experience, supporting diverse learning preferences and promoting long-term retention of knowledge (Bonwell & Eison, 1991).

3.4. Flexible Assessment Methods

Conventional examinations frequently overlook the diverse learning preferences of students, which can put certain individuals at a disadvantage. By incorporating a range of assessment methods—such as written essays, oral presentations, portfolios, and practical demonstrations—educators can ensure that all learners have the opportunity to showcase their understanding in ways that play to their inherent strengths. This diversified approach not only allows students to demonstrate their knowledge through their preferred modalities but also helps to minimize the anxiety that can arise when assessments do not align with their favored learning styles (Anderson, 2002).

Furthermore, offering multiple forms of evaluation promotes a more inclusive and equitable educational environment. It acknowledges that students possess different talents and ways of processing information, thereby validating their unique abilities. For instance, a student who excels in verbal communication may perform better in presentations, while another who is adept at written expression might thrive in essay-based assessments. By catering to these varied preferences, educators can enhance student engagement and motivation, leading to a more effective and supportive learning experience for everyone involved.

3.5. Student Self-Awareness

Fostering student reflection on their individual learning preferences can enhance self-awareness and empower them to take charge of their educational journey. Utilizing tools such as learning style assessments or reflective journals encourages students to discover which strategies are most effective for their

unique needs. By gaining a clear understanding of how they learn best, students are better equipped to make strategic decisions about their study habits, thereby increasing their autonomy and motivation (Coffield et al., 2004).

Additionally, when students are aware of their preferred learning styles, they can tailor their approaches to maximize their academic performance and personal growth. This self-knowledge not only supports more effective learning but also builds confidence as students recognize and leverage their strengths. Encouraging such reflective practices helps create a more personalized and engaging learning experience, ultimately leading to greater academic success and sustained motivation (Coffield et al., 2004).

4. CHALLENGES AND CRITIQUES OF LEARNING STYLES

Despite the widespread acceptance and integration of learning styles within educational settings, their overall effectiveness continues to be a contentious issue among educators and researchers alike. A substantial body of research, including studies by Pashler et al. (2009), indicates that there is insufficient empirical evidence to support the claim that tailoring instruction to individual learning styles leads to significantly better educational outcomes. Critics argue that the enthusiasm for learning styles may stem more from intuitive appeal and anecdotal success stories rather than from rigorous scientific validation. This skepticism is further fueled by meta-analyses that have failed to find consistent benefits associated with learning style-based

instruction. As a result, educators are encouraged to exercise caution and critically evaluate the evidence before fully embracing learning styles as a foundational element of their teaching strategies.

Moreover, an overemphasis on learning styles can inadvertently detract from addressing other critical factors that substantially influence learning effectiveness. Elements such as student motivation, prior knowledge, and cognitive development play pivotal roles in shaping educational outcomes. For instance, a student's intrinsic motivation and interest in a subject can significantly enhance their engagement and retention of information, regardless of their preferred learning style. Similarly, prior knowledge provides a foundation upon which new information is built, facilitating deeper understanding and application of concepts. Cognitive development stages also determine how effectively students can process and integrate new information. By focusing too narrowly on learning styles, educators may neglect these essential aspects, potentially limiting the overall effectiveness of their instructional approaches.

Additionally, the practice of categorizing students into specific learning styles is often criticized for being overly simplistic and reductive. Many learners do not fit neatly into a single category; instead, they exhibit a combination of preferences or may adapt their learning approaches depending on the context, subject matter, or even their current state of mind. This fluidity suggests that learning styles are not fixed traits but rather dynamic aspects of an individual’s learning process. Moreover, learning is inherently a complex and multifaceted activity influenced by a myriad of internal and external factors, including emotional state,

environmental conditions, and the nature of the material being learned. Such complexity makes it challenging to attribute learning outcomes solely to the application of learning styles. Researchers like Kirschner and van Merriënboer (2013) emphasize that effective learning is more accurately understood through a comprehensive examination of these diverse influences rather than through the lens of rigid learning style categories. Consequently, while the concept of learning styles may offer some insights, it is crucial to adopt a more nuanced and evidence-based approach to understanding and enhancing the learning process. For example, a student might prefer visual learning in a science class while favoring auditory methods in a literature course. This adaptability highlights the complexity of the learning process and underscores the limitations of rigid learning style classifications.

Furthermore, learning is influenced by a myriad of internal and external factors that extend beyond individual preferences. Emotional states, classroom environment, teaching quality, and social interactions all contribute to the learning experience. For instance, a supportive and inclusive classroom atmosphere can enhance student engagement and motivation, irrespective of their preferred learning style. Similarly, high-quality teaching that employs a variety of instructional methods can cater to diverse learning needs without relying solely on learning style categorizations. The interplay of these factors makes it challenging to isolate the impact of learning styles on educational success definitively.

In light of these criticisms, some educators and researchers advocate for a more holistic and evidence-based approach to

teaching and learning. Instead of focusing primarily on learning styles, they suggest emphasizing strategies that have been empirically validated to enhance learning for all students. These include active learning techniques, formative assessments, and differentiated instruction that considers multiple facets of student needs and contexts. By adopting such comprehensive approaches, educators can create more effective and inclusive learning environments that support a wide range of learning preferences and needs without being constrained by the limitations of learning style theories.

Overall, while the concept of learning styles offers valuable insights into the diversity of learner preferences, it is essential to approach its implementation with a critical and balanced perspective. Acknowledging the limitations and ongoing debates surrounding learning styles can help educators make more informed decisions about their instructional practices, ensuring that they address the complex and multifaceted nature of the learning process effectively.

5. CONCLUSION

Although the idea of learning styles remains a topic of considerable debate within the academic sphere, its educational significance should not be entirely disregarded. Recognizing that students have varied preferences for receiving, processing, and interacting with information is essential for creating a learning environment that is both inclusive and effective. By implementing adaptable teaching methods that utilize a variety of instructional techniques, educators can better address the diverse needs of their students. This approach fosters greater engagement, enhances

information retention, and ultimately leads to improved academic performance.

Utilizing a range of learning modalities, providing diverse assessment options, and promoting student self-awareness are key strategies to navigate the complexities associated with different learning preferences. Nevertheless, it is crucial for educators to critically evaluate the existing research on learning styles and remain attuned to the unique requirements of each student. Adopting a comprehensive teaching approach that takes into account not only individual learning preferences but also the broader context of each learner's educational journey ensures a more effective and personalized educational experience. By balancing an understanding of learning styles with a holistic view of student needs, educators can support the diverse ways in which students learn and thrive.

5. Bibliography List :

1. Anderson, C. (2002). Assessing Learners with Diverse Needs: An Inclusive Approach. Pearson Education.
2. Bonwell, C. C., & Eison, J. A. (1991). Active Learning: Creating Excitement in the Classroom. ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report No. 1.
3. Coffield, F., Moseley, D., Hall, E., & Ecclestone, K. (2004). Learning Styles and Pedagogy in Post-16 Learning: A Systematic and Critical Review. Learning and Skills Research Centre.

4. Fleming, N. D. (1992). VARK: A Guide to Learning Styles. Fleming & Mills.

5. Gardner, H. (1983). Frames of Mind: The Theory of Multiple Intelligences. Basic Books.

6. Kolb, D. A. (1984). Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development. Prentice Hall.

7. Kirschner, P. A., & van Merriënboer, J. J. G. (2013). Do Learning Styles Exist? Educational Psychologist, 48(3), 204-213. https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2013.804395

8. McKnight, K., O'Malley, K., & Ruzic, R. (2016). Teaching in the Digital Age: How Educators Use Technology to Improve Student Learning. International Society for Technology in Education.

9. Pashler, H., McDaniel, M., Rohrer, D., & Bjork, R. (2009). Learning Styles: Concepts and Evidence. Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 9(3), 105-119. https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100610386741

10. Tomlinson, C. A. (2001). How to Differentiate Instruction in Mixed-Ability Classrooms (2nd ed.). ASCD.